Year-End Information Quality Report Format

I. Cover Sheet: Requests for Correction Received FY 2005

> Department Name: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)

Period Covered: 01 Oct 04 – 30 Sep 05 (FY 2005)

Web page location of agency information quality correspondence:¹ http://www.hq.usace.army.mil/ceci/informationqualityact/

Number of Requests Received Agency Name Number Designated as Influential

USACE 1 1

> **Total** 1 **Total** 1

If you received correction requests or appeals and did not provide a final response in FY03 or FY04, please list those correction requests below and provide a detailed summary in section III of this template.²

Number of Requests Received in FY03 or FY04 Agency Name

which were responded to in FY05 or

are still incomplete.

Number of Appeals Received in FY03 or FY04 which were

responded to in FY05 or are still

incomplete.

USACE 2 0

> Total 2 Total 0

¹ Requirement added in response to new OMB IQA Annual Report Template received 29 Nov 05.

² Ibid.

Agency Receiving Correction Request:			Department of the Army Corps of Engineers, Headquarters		
•	Requestor:	Edward J. Heisel Executive Director Missouri Coalition fo 6267 Delmar Blvd. 2 St. Louis, MO 63130 sents a public interest g			
•	Date Received:	6 April 2005, Mail/F 6 April 2005, log	ax		
•	findings and cond Flow Frequency (Engineers, Rock) http://www.mvr.ugoal of recalculated rivers, approximate predictions in the	mary of Request: MCEF ("The Coalition") challenges the information, data, analyses, ngs and conclusions drawn in the document entitled <i>Upper Mississippi River System of Frequency Study: Final Report</i> , published February 2004 by the U.S. Army Corps of neers, Rock Island District on the World Wide Web at "/www.mvr.usace.army.mil/pdw/pdf/FlowFrequency/flowfreq.htm. This study had the of recalculating flood risks on the entire Upper Mississippi, Lower Missouri and Illinois s, approximately 1,900 miles of river channel. The Coalition is concerned that the ctions in the study seriously underestimate actual flood risk and will lead to increased damages in the future.			
•	Description of Requested Correction: The Coalition seeks a withdrawal of the Study's results, a statement that the Study should not be relied on for public or private decision-making, and the undertaking of a new effort to establish more accurate flood profiles for the Midwest's large rivers.				
•	Influential: X_Yes No Undetermined				
•	First Agency Response:X_ in progress completed				
•	Resolution: Pending.				
•	Judicial Review:Xnoneyes in progress ³				
•	Appeal Requests	: <u>NA</u> noi	ne <u>NA</u> in progress <u>NA</u> completed		
•	Summary of Request for Reconsideration: NA				
•	Type of Appeal Process Used: NA				
•	Appeal Resolution	on: NA			
³ It	pid.		2.		

•	Agency Receiving	ng Correction Request:	Department of the Army Corps of Engineers, Headquarters		
•	Requestor:	Madeleine Fortin 21801 SW 152 Street Miami, FL 33187 e citizen.			
•	Date Received:	9 Aug 2004, Federal Exp 11 Aug 2004, log	press		
•	Summary of Request: Ms. Fortin challenges the information, data, analyses, and conclusions drawn in the document entitled "Supplemental EIS for the Central and Southern Florida Project, Modified Water Deliveries to Everglades National Park, Florida, 8.5 Square Mile Area," published July 2000 by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Jacksonville District. The report was prepared as part of the Federal effort to restore a more natural hydrologic regime in the Everglades National Park. She is concerned that the report will result in her property not receiving flood protection and being condemned.				
•	Description of Requested Correction: Ms. Fortin claims that the computer model used by the Corps lacks transparency, that the data presented in the report is incomplete and asks that the report be corrected.				
•	Influential:	Yes <u>X</u> No	Undetermined		
•	First Agency Response: X in progress completed				
•	Resolution: Pending.				
•	Judicial Review:	_X_noneyes	in progress ⁴		
•	Appeal Request:	<u>NA</u> none <u>NA</u>	in progress <u>NA</u> completed		
•	Summary of Request for Reconsideration: NA				
•	Type of Appeal Process Used: NA				
• Appeal Resolution: NA					

⁴ Ibid.

•	Agency Receivin	g Correction Request:	Department of the Army Corps of Engineers, Headquarters		
•	Requestor:	Martin Becker 600 Peachtree Street Suite 3740 Atlanta, GA 30308-2214 sents a Public Interest Group	p.		
•	Date Received:	2 March 2004, E-Mail 5 March 2004, log			
•	Summary of Request: Mr. Becker challenges the 100-yr flow calculation for Day Creek in San Bernardino County, California found in the November 29, 1999 US Army Corps of Engineers, Los Angeles District report entitled "Review of Debris Production and Level-of-Protection Deer Creek Debris Basin."				
•	Description of Requested Correction: Mr. Becker claims that the Los Angeles District 100-yr flow computation in the "Review of Debris Production and Level-of-Protection Deer Creek Debris Basin" Report used a skew coefficient that was not computed in accordance with the "Guidelines for Determining Flood Flow Frequency, Bulletin 17b," even though the District Report represented that it followed the Guidelines.				
•	Influential:	Yes <u>X</u> No I	Undetermined		
•	First Agency Response: X in progress completed				
•	Resolution: Pending.				
•	Judicial Review: Xnoneyes in progress ⁵				
•	Appeal Request:	<u>NA</u> none <u>NA</u>	in progress <u>NA</u> completed		
•	Summary of Request for Reconsideration: NA				
•	Type of Appeal Process Used: NA				
•	• Appeal Resolution: NA				

⁵ Ibid.