

Appendix A-C
MATRIX FOR CONSISTENCY DETERMINATIONS

(Name of Project) Date
SUMMARY OF CONSISTENCY WITH APPROVED PRP

Consistency Criterion	Approved PRP - date	ERR/or other documentation for projects with a Federal cost of <\$300,000 - date
WITHOUT PROJECT CONDITION		No change/or describe change
TOTAL PROJECT COST: INCREASES >25% or DECREASES OF >50%	\$XXXX	\$YYYY percent increase or decrease
CHANGES IN PHYSICAL SCOPE (Acres or Structure Size Changes >25%)	Number of acres, or river miles or feet plus very brief mention of any structures that changed.	No change/or describe change
CHANGES IN EST. OUTPUTS, E.G., CHANGES IN QUANTITY DISPROPORTIONATE TO CHANGES IN COST AND CHANGES IN QUALITY, OR THE TYPES OF HABITAT TO BE RESTORED	Summarize expected outputs, such as x habitat units for y species, or z type of habitat or number of miles of stream improved, change in DO	Using the same units as in the PRP- no change/or describe change
CHANGES IN PROJECT DESIGN SUCH AS SIG. CHANGES IN LOCATION, NUMBER, OR TYPE OF STRUCTURES	Brief description of original design. Focus on critical features.	No change/ or briefly describe the change - for example -6 drop structures to 2
INCREASES IN LERRDs WHICH CAUSES THE VALUE OF LERRDs TO EXCEED 25% OF THE TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	LERRDs = 0	LERRDs = 0 Percent change and percent of total project cost.
NEW POLICY ISSUES	NA	NA/or describe

Summary - State your conclusion about appropriate approval category. This may be the place to provide a brief explanation of the cause of the changes. The table should only document the changes not the causality.