WILMINGTON, N.C., DISTRICT

This district comprises central and eastern North Carolina and a portion of south-central Virginia, embraced in drainage basins tributary to the Atlantic Ocean from the southern boundary of Virginia to the Shallotte River, inclusive, with exception of the Meherrin River Basin above Murfreesboro, N. C., the Chowan River Basin above the confluence of the Nottaway and Blackwater Rivers, and the Pasquotank River and its tributaries for navigation only. Also included are those portions of the Yadkin-Pee-Dee and Catawba River basins within the State of N. C. as well as a portion of the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway from the northern boundary of North Carolina to Little River, S.C., and a portion of the waterway from Norfolk, Va., to the Sounds of North Carolina, south of the north shore of Albemarle Sound.

IMPROVEMENTS

Page

Navigation

1. Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway Between Norfolk, Va. and St. Johns River, Fla., (Wilmington District) 6-2
2. Beaufort Harbor 6-3
3. Cape Fear River, N.C. Above Wilmington 6-4
4. Lockwoods Folly River, N.C. 6-4
5. Manteo (Shallowbag) Bay, N.C. 6-5
6. Morehead City Harbor, N.C. 6-5
7. Pamlico and Tar River, N.C. 6-6
8. Roanoke River, N.C. 6-6
9. Rollinson Channel, N.C. 6-7
10. Wilmington Harbor, N.C. 6-7
11. Navigation Work Under Special Authorization. 6-9

Flood Control

12. Cape Fear River Basin, N.C. 6-9
12-A. B. Everett Jordan Dam and Lake, N.C. 6-9
13. Clinton Wastewater Treatment Plant, Clinton, N.C. 6-10
14. Neuse River Basin, N.C. 6-10
14-A. Falls Lake, N.C. 6-10
15. Roanoke River Upper Basin, Va.-Headwaters Area 6-11
16. Sanitary Sewer & Fairway (CAP Section 14)..... 6-12
17. Yadkin River Basin, N.C. and S.C. 6-12
17-A. W. Kerr Scott Dam and Reservoir, N.C. 6-12
18. Inspection of Completed Shoreline Protection and Flood Control Projects 6-13
19. Flood Control Work Under Special Authorization . 6-13

Shoreline Protection

20. Brunswick County Beaches, N.C. (Cape Fear to North Carolina - South Carolina State Line) 6-13
21. Carolina Beach and Vicinity, N.C. 6-14
22. Dare County Beaches, N.C. (Bodie Island) 6-15
23. West Onslow Beach and New River Inlet, N.C. 6-15
24. Wrightsville Beach 6-16

Multi-Purpose Projects, Including Power

25. Roanoke River Basin, VA. and N.C. 6-16
25-A. John H. Kerr Dam and Reservoir, VA. and N.C..... 6-16
25-B. Philpott Lake, VA. 6-17
26. Scheduling Flood Control Reservoir Operations 6-17

Page

Miscellaneous

27. Sea Turtle Habitat Restoration, Oak Island, N.C. (CAP Section 1135) 6-17
28. Roanoke Island Festival Park, Dare County, N.C. (Cap Section 206) 6-18
29. Wilson Bay Restoration, Jacksonville, N.C. (CAP Section 206) 6-18
30. Wanchese Marsh Creation and Protection, Dare County, N.C. (Cap Section 204) 6-19
31. Morehead City Harbor, NC Section 933 (CAP Section 933)..... 6-20
32. Stanly County Wastewater Infrastructure, N.C..... 6-20
33. Regulatory Program 6-21

General Investigations

34. Surveys 6-21
35. Collection and Study of Basic Data 6-21
36. Preconstruction Engineering and Design 6-21

Tables

Table 6-A Cost and Financial Statement 6-22
Table 6-B Authorizing Legislation..... 6-28
Table 6-C Other Authorized Navigation Projects 6-31
Table 6-D Other Authorized Shore Protection Projects .. 6-32
Table 6-E Other Authorized Environmental Restoration Projects..... 6-32
Table 6-E Other Authorized Flood Control Projects..... 6-33
Table 6-G Deauthorized Projects 6-35
Table 6-H Dams and Reservoirs..... 6-36
Table 6-I Reconnaissance and Condition Surveys 6-37
Table 6-J Navigation Work Under Special Authorization..... 6-37
Table 6-L Environmental Restoration Work Under Special Authorization 6-38
Table 6-L Flood Control Work Under Special Authorization..... 6-38

Navigation

1. ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY BETWEEN NORFOLK, VA, AND ST. JOHNS RIVER, FL. (WILMINGTON DISTRICT)

Location. The project is located on the east coast of the United States, between Norfolk, Va., and St. Johns River, Florida. The section within Wilmington District begins at the Virginia-North Carolina State line and extends generally southerly and southwestwardly to Little River, S.C., a total of 308 statute miles. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Charts 830 to 842, inclusive.)

Previous projects. For details see Annual Reports for 1915, 1926, 1932, and 1938.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a waterway, 12 feet deep, with widths varying from 90 feet in land cuts to 300 feet in open waters; the construction, operation and maintenance of suitable bridges; saltwater intrusion preventive measures in the vicinity of Fairfield, N.C.; a channel in Peltier Creek, 6 feet deep and 50 feet wide from the Intracoastal Waterway in Bogue Sound to and including a basin in Peltier Creek, 6 feet deep, 200 feet wide, and 600 feet long; a channel, 6 feet deep and 90 feet wide between the Intracoastal Waterway and the gorge in Bogue Inlet, then 8 feet deep and 150 feet wide across the ocean bar; a channel, 12 feet deep and 90 feet wide to a turning basin, 200 feet wide and 350 feet long, at Swansboro; a channel, 6 feet deep and 90 feet wide in New River Inlet, and a connecting channel of the same dimensions to the Intracoastal Waterway near the mouth of New River; a channel, 10 feet deep, and 90 feet wide in New River, between the Intracoastal Waterway and the Seaboard Coastline Railroad bridge at Jacksonville, N.C.; a channel through New Topsail Inlet, 8 feet deep and 150 feet wide and a channel from New Topsail Inlet to the Intracoastal Waterway by way of Old Topsail Creek, 7 feet deep and 80 feet wide; a channel, 7 feet deep and 80 feet wide, in Banks Channel, from New Topsail Inlet, paralleling the barrier beach, to the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway; a channel, 14 feet deep and 400 feet wide, across the ocean bar at Masonboro Inlet, with suitable jetties at the entrance, thence 12 feet deep and 90 feet wide to the channel of the Intracoastal Waterway at Wrightsville Beach by way of Banks and Motte Channels; a turning basin, 15 feet deep, 300 feet wide, and 700 feet long, on the east side of Banks Channel near Masonboro Inlet, with three 15-pile dolphins therein; a channel, 8 feet deep and 150 feet wide across the ocean bar at Carolina Beach Inlet to the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway; a channel, 6 feet deep, 80 feet wide, and 8,000 feet long, to and including a turning basin of the same depth, 130 feet wide and 180 feet long, at Carolina Beach; a yacht basin, 230 feet wide, 450 feet long, and 12 feet deep, at the town of Southport, connected to the waterway by a suitable channel of the same depth; and maintenance of the general navigation features of the North Carolina State Ports Authority Small Boat Harbor at Southport, consisting of an entrance channel, 150 feet wide and 400 feet long, an eastern harbor access channel, 70 feet wide and 430 feet long, a western harbor-access channel, 60 feet wide and 185 feet long, to a turning basin, 180 feet wide and 550 feet long, all to a depth of 6 feet. A modification providing for the replacement of five federally owned and operated highway bridges was authorized in December 1970. A modification providing for 100 percent Federal funding for Walter B. Jones (formerly Wilkerson Creek) and Joseph P. Knapp (formerly Coinjock) bridges was authorized in October 1976. A modification providing for 100 percent Federal funding at Core Creek, Gene A. Potter (formerly Hobucken), and Fairfield Bridges was authorized in November 1986. Estimated Federal cost is $70,200,000 (2000). The tidal lock at Snow's Cut was deauthorized September 23, 1986, under authority of Sec. 12, PL93-251. The 12-foot-deep channel in Peltier Creek was deauthorized by the Water Resources Development Act of 1986. The 12-foot deep channel modification to New River was deauthorized January 1, 1990, under Sec. 1002, PL99-662. The jetties at Masonboro Inlet are complete except for the training wall, which was deauthorized April 5, 1999. A Section 111 project to mitigate damages caused by the north jetty was authorized in October 1980 and was completed in April 1981. Length of channels and basins total 347.7 miles. Plane of reference is mean low water. In the waterway north of Neuse River, variations in water surface due to winds seldom exceed 2 feet above or below mean stage. Between Beaufort and the Cape Fear River, normal tidal range varies from 3.5 feet at the inlets to 1 foot at points between. Average range of tide is 4 feet on the ocean side of Bogue Inlet and 2.5 feet just inside the inlet. At New River, tidal range varies from 3.5 feet at the inlet to 1 foot at the head of the marshes and zero at Tar Landing, 31 miles above. From Cape Fear River, N. C., to Little River, S. C., mean tidal range varies between 4.7 feet in Cape Fear River and 4 feet at the intermediate inlets, and 2 feet at points midway between the inlets. On October 15, 1954 (Hurricane Hazel), the tide at Holden Beach reached an elevation of 17.6 feet. (See Table 6-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date except for the uncompleted modifications. The State of North Carolina agreed to keep the bridges toll free and upon completion of each bridge, accept maintenance, replacement, and ownership responsibilities. They

withdrew their offer to contribute 25 percent of first cost. Water Resources Development Acts of 1976 and 1986 modified the terms of local cooperation to delete the non-Federal cost-sharing requirement for all five bridges.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: During intermittent periods the U.S. debris boat *Snell* conducted clearing and snagging operations and maintained dredging ranges and mooring facilities at a cost of $28,230. Between August 17 and September 14, 2005, the contract dredge *Richmond* removed 262,368 cubic yards from inlet crossings at a cost of $1,551,966. Mosquito control in disposal areas cost $149,312. Real estate support for easements and audit cost $36,857. Long-term environmental dredging and monitoring studies cost $11,332. Economic update and miscellaneous planning activities cost $6,046. Dredged material management plan cost $21,157. Engineering and design for FY 2006 maintenance dredging at a cost of $31,871. Maintenance dredging for prior fiscal year at a cost of $411,391. Water control management cost $11,300. Condition and operations studies and project operation and management cost $136,899. Receipts in connection with non-Federal use of Federal diked disposal areas and other miscellaneous collections resulted in a negative cost adjustment of $22,355. **Bogue Inlet** – July 28, the U.S. debris vessel *Snell* conducted clearing and snagging in Bogue Inlet at a cost of $21,000. Between April 7 and April 13, 2005, the U.S. sidecasting dredge *Merritt* removed 147,830 cubic yards from shoals in Bogue Inlet at a cost of $285,000. Economic evaluation cost $3,460. Condition and operation studies cost $61,761. **Carolina Beach Inlet** – Between June 8, 2005 and June 23, 2005, the U.S. sidecasting dredge *Merritt* removed 79,620 cubic yards from shoals in Carolina Beach Inlet at a cost of $152,000. August 9-16, 2005, the U.S. sidecasting dredge *Fry* removed 29,500 cubic yards from shoals in Carolina Beach Inlet at a cost of $88,000. Economic evaluation cost $6,583. Condition and operation studies and project operation and management cost $48,440. **Masonboro Inlet** – Environmental studies cost $2,168. Maintenance dredging by U.S. debris boat *Snell* at a cost of $132,262. Project management cost $1,302. **New River Inlet** – During intermittent periods, the U.S. sidecasting dredge *Merritt* removed 147,330 cubic yards from shoals in New River Inlet at a cost of $317,300. During intermittent periods, the U.S. debris boat *Snell* maintained dredging ranges and mooring facilities at a cost of $43,400. Economic evaluation cost $3,775. Condition and operation studies and Project Management cost $57,604. **New Topsail Inlet** – At intermittent periods, the sidecasting dredge *Merritt* removed 115,011 cubic yards from shoals in New Topsail Inlet at a cost of $256,500. Economic evaluation cost $3,775. Condition and operation studies and project operation and management cost $43,693.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project was completed in September 1997 except for three tie-up dolphins at Masonboro Inlet and the replacement of Fairfield Bridge. Fairfield Bridge is the last of the AIWW bridges to be replaced and was opened to traffic on March 12, 2001. Total cost of existing project to September 30, 2005, was $329,657,707; of which $88,835,273 was for new work including $86,851 in contributed funds and $240,068,283 was for maintenance, including $667,300 in contributed funds.

2. BEAUFORT HARBOR, N. C.

Location. The project is just inside Beaufort Inlet, adjacent to Morehead City Harbor. (See Coast and Geodetic survey Chart 420.)

Previous project. For details see Annual Reports for 1915 and 1938.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for stopping erosion at Beaufort Inlet by jetties and sand fences at Fort Macon and Shackleford points and other shore protection; channels 15 feet deep at mean low water and 100 feet wide in Bulkhead and Gallants Channels, except for a depth of 12 feet in the upper 5,000 feet of Gallants Channel; a harbor of refuge in Town Creek 12 feet deep, 400 feet wide, and 900 feet long connected to Gallants Channel by a channel 12 feet deep, 150 feet wide, and 1,400 feet long; a basin 12 feet deep, 600 feet wide in front of the town of Beaufort except for a channel 15 feet deep, 100 feet wide through the basin; a stone bulkhead from Town Marsh across Bird Shoal to the west end of Carrot Island; a channel 14 feet deep, 70 feet wide, and 1,900 feet long from Bulkhead Channel to a turning basin 14 feet deep, 150 feet wide, and 300 feet long near the upper end of Morgan Creek; and a channel in Taylors Creek 15 feet deep, 100 feet wide, extending about 2.6 miles easterly from about opposite Marsh Street in Beaufort to Lennoxville Point at North River except for an 800-foot-long section at the east end which is 12 feet deep. Total length of channels is 7.3 miles. Average tidal range is 2.5 feet at Beaufort and 3.5 feet at the inlet. (See Table 6-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date.

Terminal facilities. There are fifty waterfront facilities with a total frontage of 2,000 feet. These facilities are adequate for present commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: Egineering and Design for future year dredging cost $212,322.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project was completed in October 1965 except for the Morgan

Creek modification which was completed November 12, 1983. (For further details see Annual Report for 1962.)

3. CAPE FEAR RIVER, N. C. ABOVE WILMINGTON

Location. The river is formed by confluence of the Deep and Haw Rivers at Moncure, Chatham County, N.C., and empties into the Atlantic Ocean at Cape Fear, near the southern extremity of the State. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 426.)

Previous project. For details see Annual Reports for 1915 and 1938.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a channel 25 feet deep and 200 feet wide from Wilmington to a turning basin 400 feet wide and 550 feet long at Navassa (2.9 miles); a channel 12 feet deep and 140 feet wide from Navassa to mile board 30 at Acme, with five channel cutoffs, 12 feet deep and 150 feet wide to eliminate sharp bends; a channel 8 feet deep from mile board 30 to Fayetteville by constructing three locks and dams and by dredging river shoals; and recreational facilities at the locks and dams. Total length of the project channels is 113.9 miles. The project was authorized by River and Harbor Acts of June 25, 1910; June 26, 1934; August 30, 1935; August 26, 1937; October 27, 1965; and Section 4, Flood Control Act of 1944. (For further details see Annual Reports for 1962 and 1970.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. There are twelve waterfront facilities on the river, with a total frontage of 1,190 feet.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: During intermittent periods, the U.S. debris boat *Snell* conducted clearing and snagging, dredging operations at a cost of $275,314. A National Register of Historic Places Evaluation cost $10,352. Long-term environmental studies and monitoring cost $3,020. Periodic inspections cost $47,308. Operation and maintenance of the three locks and dams cost $790,446.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project was completed in June 1970. Total cost of existing project to September 30, 2005, was $31,193,159 of which $3,946,448 (including $1,226,385 public works) was for new work and $27,246,710 was for maintenance.

4. LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, N. C.

Location. The project is located on the south coast of North Carolina about 12 miles west of Cape Fear River. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 1236 and Geological Survey Map of North Carolina.)

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a channel 100 feet wide and 6 feet deep at low water from the ocean to the bridge at Supply 12.5 miles above, to be obtained by dredging through oyster rocks and mudflats. The completed river portion of the project above the waterway was reclassified to the active category in 1971. Lunar tidal ranges in Lockwoods Folly River are 4.5 feet at the inlet and 2 feet at the head of navigation at Supply. The existing project was authorized by the 1890 River and Harbor Act. (See Annual Report for 1887, page 1099.) A modification to enlarge the channel from 6 to 12 feet deep and from 100 to 150 feet wide across the ocean bar for a distance of 4,700 feet was approved by the Chief of Engineers on June 3, 1980, under Section 107 of the 1960 River and Harbor Act. During dredging operations it became apparent that establishment of the 12-foot project would not be possible with currently available equipment. Therefore, the Chief of Engineers, on December 20, 1983, approved the District's recommendation that further construction activity for the Lockwoods Folly Inlet project be suspended until suitable equipment becomes available and that the project be maintained at an 8-foot project depth in the interim.

Local cooperation. For the new modification local interests must: (a) pay, contribute in kind, or repay with interest, one-half of the first costs of construction allocated to recreational boating; (b) hold and save the United States free from damages resulting from changes in ground water levels, saltwater intrusion, or wave action due to the construction works, except damages due to the fault or negligence of the United States or its contractor; (c) provide without cost to the United States all lands, easements, and rights-of-way necessary for the construction and maintenance of the project and for aids to navigation upon request of the Chief of Engineers, and (d) provide depths in berthing areas commensurate with project depths and provide service facilities.

Terminal facilities. Thirty-one piers and wharves on the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway at Holden Beach, about 1.25 miles west of Lockwoods Folly Inlet, with a total frontage of about 1,980 feet available. At Dixons Landing, about 2 miles upstream of the inlet, there are five wharves with a total frontage of 240 feet. Numerous natural landings are used for loading and unloading small boats. Berthing space in the vicinity of Lockwoods Folly Inlet totals about 2,220 feet. Facilities are considered adequate for present commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: Between June 3 and August 8, 2005, the U.S. sidecasting dredge *Fry* removed 133,490 cubic yards from shoals in Lockwoods Folly Inlet at a cost of $378,950. During intermittent periods, the U.S. sidecasting dredge *Currituck* removed 22,670 cubic yards from shoals in Lockwoods Folly Inlet at a cost of

$65,075. During intermittent periods the U.S. debris boat *Snell* conducted clearing and snagging operations and maintained dredging ranges and mooring facilities at a cost of $270. Condition and operation studies and project operation and management cost $67,203.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The active portion of the project was completed in June 1965 except for the latest modification. Work was initiated on the latest modification on September 23, 1982, with a depth of 8 feet being reached in October 1982. The project will be maintained at the 8-foot depth until suitable equipment for deepening to 12 feet becomes available.

5. MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY, N. C.

Location. The project is located on the northeastern side of Roanoke Island, North Carolina. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 1229.)

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a channel 14 feet deep and 400 feet wide from the Atlantic Ocean through Oregon Inlet with connecting 12-foot channels, 100 feet wide, to Pamlico Sound, Manteo, and Wanchese; and a channel 6 feet deep and 100 feet wide connecting the Manteo-Oregon Inlet Channel with Albemarle Sound. Length of channels is 25.4 miles. The project modification authorized in 1970 provides for stabilization of Oregon Inlet with a dual rubble-mound jetty system, including means for sand transfer to the down drift beach; a channel, 20 feet deep and 400 feet wide, through the ocean bar at Oregon Inlet; a channel, 14 feet deep and 120 feet wide, from the gorge in Oregon Inlet to and through Roanoke Sound to and including a 15-acre basin of the same depth at Wanchese; and a channel 10 feet deep and 100 feet wide from the 12-foot-deep channel in Manteo (Shallowbag) Bay through Roanoke and Albemarle Sounds to deep water near the northern end of Croatan Sound. In FY 2003, after years of controversy, the Oregon Inlet stabilization project was referred to the President's Council on Environmental Quality, which directed the Corps of Engineers to a) develop alternative approaches for improving navigation; b) implement the channel widener project; c) survey navigation channels more frequently and make the data available directly to the public and through NOAA's Electronic Navigational Charts. The Corps of Engineers agreed to cease work and funding for jetties at Oregon Inlet. The unconstructed portion of the 1970 project was reclassified as deferred September 23, 2003. The State of N.C. has constructed the Wanchese Harbor portion and was reimbursed under Sec. 215, PL 90-483. The project was authorized by River and Harbor Acts of June 25, 1910; October 17, 1940; May 17, 1950; and December 31, 1970; and under Section 107 of the 1960 River and Harbor Act, as amended.

Local cooperation. Fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. The project is served by 33 waterfront facilities with a total frontage of 3,320 feet. Additional wharves and facilities will be provided with the enlarged basin at Wanchese.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: Between January 28 and March 13, 2005, the contract dredge *Cherokee* dredged 157,799 cubic yards from shoals in Old House Channel and Channel to Wanchese at a cost of $1,668,923. Between October 1 and November 12, 2004, the contract dredge *Beachbuilder* removed 538,797 cubic yards from shoals in the spit and vicinity of the bridge at a cost of $1,589,004. The contract dredge *Atchafalya* removed 34,704 cubic yards between September 20 and September 30, 2005 at a cost of $419,124 from shoals in the ocean bar. During intermittent periods the U.S. sidecasting dredge *Fry* removed 695,070 cubic yards at a cost of $2,398,066 and the U.S. hopper dredge *Currituck* removed 34,045 cubic yards at a cost of $199,335 from shoals in Oregon Inlet. During intermittent periods the U.S. debris boat *Snell* repaired the dock at Wanchese at a cost of $77,000 and conducted clearing and snagging operations and maintained dredging within the vicinity of the bridge and mooring facilities at a cost of $359,100. Costs in connection with future year contract dredging in the vicinity of the bridge were $165,000. Additional cost in connection with prior year dredging of Oregon Inlet was $146,052. Engineering and design in connection with future years dredging of interior channels cost $266,631. Environmental and sea turtle monitoring cost $8,554. Condition and operation studies and project operation and management cost $266,346. Island H disposal area repairs cost $104,723 in contributed funds. Emergency dredging was accomplished with hurricane supplemental funding in the amount of $1,429,043.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project is complete except for the latest modification. Environmental mitigation for the constructed 15 acre basin at Wanchese remains to be implemented. (For further detail, see Annual Report of 1962.)

6. MOREHEAD CITY HARBOR, N. C.

Location. The project is located on the northern shore of Bogue Sound, adjacent to Beaufort Inlet. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 420.)

Previous project. For details see page 470 of Annual Report for 1935.

Existing project. A channel 47 feet deep and 450 feet wide from deep water in the Atlantic Ocean through the ocean bar at Beaufort Inlet with three wideners; a cutoff channel 400 feet wide; and a depth of 45 feet in

the east leg of the basin, including a 1,350 foot diameter turning area; a channel 12 feet deep, 100 feet wide from the turning basin to Sixth Street, Morehead City, then 12 feet deep, 200 to 400 feet wide to Tenth Street; then 6 feet deep, 75 feet wide, to Bogue Sound. Project also includes assumption of maintenance in the northwest leg and the east leg extension. The Corps of Engineers also assumed maintenance of the West Turning Basin as part of the Morehead City Harbor Project, in accordance with Section 509(a)(17) of WRDA 1996 and ASA (CW) approval on September 20, 2002, and as constructed by the State of North Carolina. Jetties at Beaufort Inlet were reclassified to the "active" category on March 8, 1972. The jetties were deauthorized by the Water Resources Development Act of 1986. The project was authorized by the 1958 River and Harbor Act (S.D. 54, 84th Cong., 1st sess.), the River and Harbor Act of December 31, 1970, the Water Resources Development Act of 1992, and Section 519(a)(17) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996. (For further details see Annual Report for 1962.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date.

Terminal facilities. Twenty-six waterfront facilities serve the port, with a total frontage of 1,250 feet. Marine terminals provide 5,300 feet of berthing space, with a depth alongside of 35 feet, and facilities for transfer of cargoes between rail and water carriers. Improvements to facilities were completed in 1969. (For further details see Port Series No. 12, revised 1987, Corps of Engineers.)

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: Between February 24 and March 19, 2005 the contract hopper dredge *Bayport* removed 234,689 cubic yards from shoals in the ocean bar at a cost of $741,550. Contract pipeline dredges *Meridian* and *Hampton Roads* removed 3,661,075 cubic yards out of Brandt Island, the inner harbor, the bulkhead, and Radio Island ship docks at a cost of $10,177,966. An evaluation and report of a Morehead City Harbor Section 933 project cost $53,806 and associated plans and specifications and engineering and design cost $771,122. The sea turtle environmental study cost $6,224. Underwater historic site evaluation at a cost of $7,838. Condition and operation studies and project operation and management cost $172,008. No work was accomplished with contributed funds.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project is complete with the latest modification being physically complete in April 1994. (For further details, see Annual Report of 1962.) Total cost of the existing project to September 30, 2005, was $125,112,957 of which $15,892,219 was for new work, including $2,731,996 contributed funds (including $553,477 public works funds) and $108,225,140 for maintenance, including $3,307,628 contributed funds.

7. PAMLICO AND TAR RIVERS, N.C.

Location. The two names apply to the same river, known as the Tar River above Washington, N.C. and as the Pamlico River below. This stream rises in Person County, flows southeasterly 180 miles to Washington, thence 38 miles to Pamlico Sound. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 537.)

Previous project. For details see page 502 of Annual Report for 1938.

Existing project. A channel 12 feet deep at mean low water and 200 feet wide from 12-foot contour in the river below Washington to Atlantic Coastline Railroad bridge at Washington; thence 12 feet deep and 100 feet wide to a turning basin of same depth, 200 feet wide, 300 feet long in Hardee Creek, 1,500 feet above its mouth; thence 6 feet deep, 75 feet wide to Greenville; thence 20 inches deep, 60 feet wide to Tarboro; and thence to clear the natural channel to Little Falls. Project was authorized by River and Harbor Acts of August 14, 1876; March 3, 1879; August 11, 1888; March 2, 1907; July 25, 1912; July 3, 1930; and August 26, 1937. (For Further details see Annual Report for 1962.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. There are 54 wharves on the portion of this river under improvement, of which 34 are along the waterfront at Washington, 19 between Washington and the mouth, and only 1 in useable condition above Washington. They have a total frontage of 3,570 feet. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: Environmental assessment by the U.S. debris boat *Snell* at a cost of $3,890.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Project is complete. (For details see Annual Report for 1962.)

8. ROANOKE RIVER, N.C.

Location. Rises in Blue Ridge Mountains, west of Roanoke, Va., flows southeasterly about 398 miles, and empties into westerly end of Albemarle Sound, N.C. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 1228 and Post Route Map of North Carolina.)

Existing project. A channel 12 feet deep and 150 feet wide from Albemarle Sound to 1 mile above Plymouth, N.C.; thence a channel 10 feet deep and 100 feet wide to Hamilton; and thence a channel 8 feet deep and 80 feet wide to Palmyra Landing. Project authorized by River and Harbor Acts of March 3, 1871; July 3, 1930; and June 20, 1938. For further details see Annual Report for 1962.

Local cooperation. Fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. Thirty-two waterfront facilities serve the waterway. Facilities appear adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: Environmental assessment cost $3,873 by U.S. debris boat *Snell*.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Project is complete.

9. ROLLINSON CHANNEL, N. C.

Location. The project is located about 3.5 miles northeast of Hatteras Inlet. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 1232.).

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a channel 12 feet deep, 100 feet wide, and about 5.1 miles long from deep water in Pamlico Sound to and including a basin of the same depth, 80 to 150 feet wide, and 1,450 feet long at Hatteras; a rubble-mound breakwater on each side of the channel at the entrance to the basin; and a channel 10 feet deep and 100 feet wide from that depth in Hatteras Inlet gorge to Rollinson Channel, in the vicinity of the basin at Hatteras. The project was authorized by the River and Harbor Acts of August 30, 1935; March 2, 1945; September 3, 1954; and October 23, 1962. (For further details see Annual Report for 1961.)

Local cooperation. Complied with to date.

Terminal facilities. Six waterfront facilities serve the channel, with a total frontage of 926 feet. Existing facilities are adequate for present commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: Project condition surveys cost $4,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project was completed August 22, 1966. (For further details see Annual Report for 1961.)

10. WILMINGTON HARBOR, N. C.

Location. The project is located on the Cape Fear River, on southeast coast of North Carolina, between the Atlantic Ocean and Wilmington, North Carolina. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart No. 426.)

Previous projects. For details, see page 1804 of Annual Report for 1915 and page 533 of Annual Report for 1938.

Existing project. The authorized and constructed project provides for a channel 40 feet deep and 500 feet wide from the Atlantic Ocean through the ocean bar and entrance channels to Southport, thence 38 feet deep and 400 feet wide to the upper end of the anchorage basin (foot of Castle Street) at Wilmington, thence 32 feet deep and 400 feet wide, to Hilton Bridge over Northeast Cape Fear River; a 38-foot deep anchorage basin at Wilmington; a 32-foot-deep turning basin opposite the principal terminals; a connecting channel 12 feet deep and 100 feet wide to the AIWW, about 3 miles long, in Cape Fear River; and a channel 25 feet deep and 200 feet wide from Hilton Bridge over Northeast Cape Fear River to a point 1.66 miles above, including a turning basin of the same depth, 700 feet wide and 500 feet long, at a point 1.25 miles above the bridge. The project was authorized by River and Harbor Acts of July 3, 1930; March 2, 1945; May 17, 1950; October 23, 1962; and March 10, 1964; and under the continuing authority of Section 107 of the 1960 River and Harbor Act. Three modifications to the project were authorized by the Water Resources Development Acts of November 17, 1986 (PL 99-662) and October 12, 1996 (PL 104-303). The Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1998, subsequently combined these three modifications into one project modification. The project consists of two separable elements, the portion for deepening of the existing project and the portion for raising the dikes on Eagle Island dredged material disposal facility (DMDF) for maintenance of the existing 38 foot project until the deepening is completed. The plan of improvement consists of deepening the ocean bar and entrance channels from the authorized depth of 40 feet to 44 feet; deepening the authorized 38-foot project to 42 feet up to and including the anchorage basin immediately upriver from the State Ports Authority dock, and extending the anchorage basin northward by 300 feet; widening the existing 400-foot wide channel to 600 feet over a total length of 6.2 miles including Lower and Upper Midnight and Lower Lilliput reaches; widen five turns and bends by 100 to 200 feet providing a total average channel width of 500 to 675 feet; widening the Fourth East Jetty Channel to 500 feet over a total length of 1.5 miles; deepening the 32-foot channel between Castle Street and the Hilton Railroad Bridge, the 32-foot turning basin just above the mouth of the Northeast Cape Fear river on the west side, and the 25-foot channel from the Hilton Railroad Bridge to 750 feet upstream all to a depth of 38 feet; deepening the 25-foot channel from 750 feet upstream of the Hilton Railroad Bridge to the turning basin near the upstream limits of the project to 34 feet, along with widening of the channel from 200 to 250 feet; and widening the turning basin from 700 to 800 feet; mitigation to include acquiring, by fee title, 30 acres of upland and construction of an embayment and acquisition of about 500 acres of existing marsh and upland areas for preservation of habitat to offset losses of wetlands and primary nursery areas. The plan of improvement for the dredged material disposal facility consists of incrementally raising the dikes of three cells on Eagle Island dredged material disposal facility from their current elevations to an ultimate elevation of 40 feet. The environmental enhancement portion of the

project is unprogrammed. The estimated Federal cost is $280,000,000 (2005) for the deepening project separable element and $35,000,000 (2005) for the dredged material disposal facility separable element. (For further details of authorization, see 1962 Annual Report.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date except for latest modification. Cost sharing and financing are in accordance with concepts reflected in the Water Resources Development Act of 1986. For the deepening project separable element the non-Federal sponsor must (1) provide all lands, easements, rights-of-way, and dredged material disposal area lands presently estimated at $2,115,000, and bear all operation and maintenance costs presently estimated at $6,000 annually; (2) modify or relocate buildings, utilities, roads, bridges (except railroad bridges), and other facilities, where necessary in the construction of the project, presently estimated at $22,034,000; (3) pay 25 percent of the costs allocated to deep draft navigation during construction presently estimated at $89,381,000 4) pay 35 percent of costs allocated to the Section 933 portion during construction, currently estimated at $5,380,000 and reimburse an additional 10 percent of the costs allocated to deep draft navigation within a period of 30 years following completion of construction which is partially offset by a credit allowed for the value of lands, easements, rights-of-way, relocations (except utility relocations), and dredged material disposal areas, presently estimated at $34,000,000: and (4) provide and maintain, at its own expense, the local service facilities necessary to realize the benefits of the general navigation features, presently estimated at $24,090,000.

For the dredged material disposal facility separable element the non-Federal sponsor must (1) pay 25 percent of the costs allocated to deep draft navigation during construction, presently estimated at $12,000,000; and (2) reimburse an additional 10 percent of the costs allocated to deep draft navigation within a period of 30 years following completion of construction, presently estimated at $4,700,000.

Terminal facilities. Forty-three principal wharves, piers, and docks at port of Wilmington, with a berthing space of about 20,000 linear feet serve the harbor. These facilities handle general cargo and petroleum products. (For further details, see Port Series No. 12, revised 1987, Corps of Engineers.)

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Engineering and design and construction management in connection with the harbor deepening project cost $2,005,170. Between May 7 and September 30, 2005, the contract dredge *Great Lakes 54* removed 911,540 cubic yards for the Anchorage Basin/Passing Lane contract at a Federal cost of $6,145,532 and a contributed funds cost of $2,017,469. The Beach Disposal – Clean Sweep 2 contract cost $5,344,392 in Federal funds and $1,743,618 in contributed funds. Cost in connection with prior year dredging for the Ocean Bar II contract (Inner Bar-New Channel) was $66,711. Disposal area construction in connection with the harbor deepening project resulted in a Federal cost of $2,885,568 and a contributed funds cost of $833,222. Engineering and design, site investigation, and plans and specifications costs for the Northeast Cape Fear River in the amount of $692,178. **Maintenance:** Between October 1, 2004, and December 21, 2004, the contract dredges *Hampton Roads* and *Cherokee* removed 1,415,483 cubic yards from shoals in the anchorage basin/passing lane at a cost of $2,491,763. Between August 25 and September 30, 2005, the contract dredge *Hampton Roads* dredged 396,051 cubic yards from the anchorage basin/passing lane at a cost of $1,752,134. Emergency dredging done in Anchorage Basin/Passing Lane at a cost of $1,501,096. Between March 20 and April 6, 2005, the contract dredge *Bayport* removed 672,027 cubic yards from the ocean bar at a cost of $966,276. During intermittent periods the U.S. debris boat *Snell* conducted clearing and snagging operations and maintained dredging ranges and mooring facilities at a cost of $65,970. Engineering and design in connection with future years dredging for mid-river channels and ocean bar cost $67,963. Real estate studies cost $5,939. Sea turtle monitoring cost $10,942. Water control management cost $11,300. Economic benefits planning evaluation $18,483. Engineer yard operation cost $17,600. ODMDS/ocean dumping monitoring cost $14,216. Mosquito control in disposal areas cost $161,463. Condition and operation studies and project operation and management cost $419,820. Receipts in connection with non-Federal use of Federal diked disposal areas and other miscellaneous collections resulted in a negative cost adjustment of $6,230.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The active portion of the project was completed August 1997 except for the latest modification. (For further details, see Annual Report for 1962) Total cost of existing project to September 30, 2005, was $491,340,798 of which $325,580,394 was for new work, including $81,377,308 contributed funds and $165,760,404 was for maintenance, including $12,728,130 contributed funds. The remaining uncompleted portion of the work authorized under the River and Harbor Act of March 2, 1945, was deauthorized in accordance with Section 1001(b) (1), PL 99-662. A new construction start for the latest modification was received in FY98, with the first disposal area construction contract awarded May 1999 and completed October 1999 and the mitigation contract awarded August 1999 and completed November 2000 and the first deepening contract awarded August 2000.

The interim milestone of providing deep water to the State Ports was reached in January 2004.

11. NAVIGATION WORKS UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

Navigation activities pursuant to CAP Section 107, Public Law 645, 86th Congress, as amended (preauthorization). (See Table 6-J.)

Flood Control

12. CAPE FEAR RIVER BASIN, N. C.

Location. Work covered by this project consists of a series of dams and reservoirs on tributaries of the Cape Fear River in North Carolina within a radius of 100 miles from Raleigh, North Carolina. (See Geological Survey Map of North Carolina.)

Existing project. Public Law 88-253, approved December 30, 1963, authorized three principal dams and reservoirs and a series of smaller reservoirs on tributaries of the Cape Fear River in accordance with the comprehensive plans in House Document 508, 87th Congress, 2d session. The act also provides that the appropriate agencies of the Departments of the Army and Agriculture shall conduct joint investigations and surveys and prepare a report on the upper tributaries of the Cape Fear River in the interest of watershed protection and flood prevention, and the conservation, development, utilization, and disposal of water. The report was prepared in compliance with provisions of Public Law 87-639. The study was unfavorable and has been submitted to Congress. No further action will be taken. B. Everett Jordan Dam and Lake, Randleman Lake, and Howards Mill Lake were included in the comprehensive plan and were authorized for construction in accordance with the above authorization. Howards Mill Lake was deauthorized in July 1995 due to the current lack of economic justification. Randleman Lake was reclassified to the "deferred" category in April 1992, due to the current lack of economic justification, and was deauthorized in April 2002. See Table 6-H on Dams and Reservoirs. Estimates of cost as given are based on 1960 price levels, except for B. Everett Jordan Dam and Lake which was revised in 1994 and Randleman Lake, which was revised in 1990.

Local cooperation. Requirements are given in the individual project reports.

12-A. B. EVERETT JORDAN DAM AND LAKE, N. C.

Location. The project is located on the Haw River, N.C., 4.3 miles above its mouth, and 2.5 miles north of Moncure, N. C.

Existing project. The project provides for an earth dam 1,330 feet long with a maximum height of 112 feet above the streambed, an uncontrolled, unpaved chute spillway, and a controlled 19-foot diameter outlet structure. Some saddle dikes are required beyond the spillway. The reservoir has a gross storage capacity of 753,500 acre-feet, of which 538,400 acre-feet is for flood control and a conservation pool of 215,100 acre-feet for water-quality control, water supply, and sedimentation. The reservoir will be operated as a unit of a coordinated system for control of floods in the Cape Fear River Basin and for water supply, water-quality control, and other purposes. Estimated Federal cost for new work is $147,600,000 (1994), consisting of $89,186,000 for construction, and $58,414,000 for lands and damages, including highway, railroad, and utility relocations. The existing project was authorized by Public Law 88-253 approved December 30, 1963 (H.D.508, 87th Cong., 2d Session).

Local cooperation. Local interests must protect downstream channels from encroachments and obstructions which would adversely affect operation of the project; reimburse the Federal Government for all costs allocated to municipal and industrial water supply, presently estimated at $3,700,000 and bear all annual costs for operation, maintenance, and major replacements allocated to municipal and industrial water supply, an amount presently estimated at $46,000 annually; and contribute toward the cost of the ranger security buildings, an amount presently estimated at $44,000. A contract between the State of N. C. and the United States for water supply storage space was executed by ASA (CW) on April 10, 1988. In addition, the State of N. C. has leased the project for public park, recreational, fish, wildlife, and other natural resource management purposes and the estimated cost to the State for O&M under this lease is $806,000 annually.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: Periodic inspections cost $29,307. Construction of the management center addition cost $3,446. Normal operation and maintenance cost of dam and reservoir $262,317. Environmental compliance cost $1,409. Natural resource management cost $254,527. Pest management cost $2,400. Operation and maintenance of recreational facilities $466,654. Update of the master plan cost $19,459. Water control management cost $220,706. Activities associated with real estate cost $100,418. Management of archeological and cultural resources cost $5,398. Environmental

impact statement, EIS, review of wastewater for the Town of Cary cost $1,607.

Condition at end of fiscal year. All facilities are complete. Impoundment was completed in February 1982, with dedication on May 1, 1982. The project was completed in June 1999. The real estate audit was completed March 2001. The boater use study was completed March 2004. There are no remaining new work construction items. The master plan has been updated.

13. CLINTON WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT, CLINTON, N.C. (CAP Section 205)

Location. The project is located at the confluence of Dollar Branch and Williams Old Mill Branch near the City of Clinton, about 60 miles south of Raleigh, North Carolina.

Existing Project. The project consists of construction of a dike approximately 2,200 feet long around the Clinton Wastewater Treatment Plant, with sumps and pumps to provide interior drainage. Loss of 1.8 acres of wetlands due to construction will be mitigated by purchase of a 4-acre mitigation site and by the Sponsor placing a restrictive covenant to preserve 6 acres of land already owned. The project provides protection from a 100-year flood event. Approved estimated Federal cost for construction implementation is $591,000 (1998). The project was approved by the Division Commander on April 7, 1998, under the continuing authority of Section 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948, as amended.

Local cooperation. Local interests must provide all lands, easements and rights-of-way, including suitable borrow and disposal areas necessary for construction and subsequent maintenance and inspection of the project; accomplish without cost to the United States all relocation and alterations of buildings, transportation facilities, storm drains, utilities and other structures and improvements made necessary by the construction; provide, during the period of construction, an amount equal to not less than 25 percent, but no greater than 50 percent, of total project costs, estimated at $197,000, at least 5 percent of which will be cash; hold and save the United States free from damages due to the construction and subsequent maintenance, except damages due to the fault or negligence of the United States or its contractors; maintain and operate the project after completion without cost to the United States; assume full responsibility for all project costs in excess of the Federal cost limitation of $5,000,000 and assume financial responsibility for cleanup of hazardous and toxic waste, as defined in the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, which is necessitated by the project. Such costs will not be considered part of the total project costs, nor will the sponsor receive credit for such costs it incurs.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Project close out continued at a Federal cost of $2,259.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Real estate acquisition by the Sponsor is complete, but issues remain on the completion of and credit for mitigation lands. The construction contract was awarded July 13, 1999 and was substantially complete in June 2000. The project was turned over to the Sponsor in October 2000. The sponsor has completed the required flood plain management plan at their expense as a non-project cost. Coordination continues with the Sponsor on mitigation lands and LERRD's credits.

14. NEUSE RIVER BASIN, N. C.

Location. Works covered by this project consist of a series of dams and reservoirs in the Neuse River Basin in North Carolina within a radius of 50 miles from Raleigh, North Carolina. (See Geological Survey Map of North Carolina.)

Existing project. The Flood Control Act of 1965 authorized construction of the Falls project as the key project in the recommended general plan of development of the Neuse River Basin. The plan will serve as a guide for immediate and future development of the basin's water resources as set forth in House Document 175, 89th Congress, 1st Session. A list of projects included in the general plan of development follows. (See Table 6-J on Dams and Reservoirs.) Estimated costs as given are based on 1963 price levels, except for Falls Lake, which was revised in 1989.

14A. FALLS LAKE, N. C.

Location. The project is on the Neuse River about 10 miles north of the city of Raleigh, North Carolina.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for an earth dam 1,915 feet long with a maximum height of 95 feet above streambed. The dam has a 30-foot top width. An uncontrolled chute spillway, 100 feet wide, is located in the east abutment. The reservoir has a gross storage capacity of 374,450 acre-feet, of which 243,050 acre-feet is for flood control, 45,000 acre-feet for water supply for the city of Raleigh, 61,330 acre-feet for water quality control, and 25,070 acre-feet for sediment storage. The reservoir will be operated as the initial unit of a coordinated system for control of floods in the Neuse River Basin for water supply, water quality control, recreation, and other purposes. Estimated cost of new work is $183,000,000 (1996) consisting of $91,334,000 for construction and $91,666,000 for lands

and damages, including highway, railroad, and utility relocations. The project was authorized by the 1965 Flood Control Act (H.D. 175, 89th Cong., 1st sess.).

Local cooperation. Local interests must prevent encroachment on downstream channels that would interfere with the operation of the reservoir; pay the United States in accordance with the Water Supply Act of 1958, as amended, the entire amount of construction cost allocated to water supply, presently estimated at $13,637,000 and entire amount of operation, maintenance, and replacement costs allocated to water supply, presently estimated at $116,000 annually, the final amounts to be determined after actual costs are known; administer project land and water areas for recreation and fish and wildlife enhancement; pay, contribute in kind, or repay (which may be through user fees), with interest, one-half of the separable cost of the project allocated to recreation and one-fourth of the separable cost allocated to fish and wildlife enhancement, the amount involved currently being estimated at $21,595,000, and bear all costs of operation, maintenance, and replacement of recreation and fish and wildlife lands and facilities, the amount involved currently being estimated at $1,544,000 on an average annual basis. Formal assurances have been received. The N.C. Department of Natural and Economic Resources has been authorized by an act of legislation to assure payment of all non-Federal costs allocable to water supply in all Federal projects as required by law. The State will require repayment of water supply costs by the users. A contract between the city of Raleigh and the United States for water-supply storage space was approved by the Secretary of the Army on September 11, 1972. A contract agreement for cost sharing of recreational lands and facilities in accordance with PL 89-72 was approved by the Secretary of the Army on September 11, 1972. A contract agreement in accordance with Section 221 of PL 91-611 was executed on October 10, 1972.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Holly Point Recreation Area improvements cost $14,579. Engineering and design for Forest Ridge Peninsula recreation facilities cost $25,368. **Maintenance:** Periodic inspections cost $28,394. Normal operation and maintenance cost $279,985. Natural resource management cost $232,841. Operation and maintenance of recreational facilities cost $458,292. Update of the master plan cost $13,307. Water control management cost $182,875. Pest management cost $2,400. Activities associated with real estate cost $46,966. Repairs to visitor assistance center cost $271,610. Erosion control at Yorkshire Center cost $267,394. Archeological and cultural resource management cost $29,238.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Reservoir filling was completed on December 7, 1983. Dedication ceremonies were held on April 30, 1983. The real estate audit was completed January 2000. The project was completed March 2000. The boater use study was completed March 2004. The only new work construction items remaining are the archaeological work at Forest Ridge Peninsula (Act Language funds), completed in FY 2005, and the Holly Point Recreation Area well connection, scheduled to be completed in May 2006. The master plan update will be completed with O&M funds.

15. ROANOKE RIVER UPPER BASIN, VIRGINIA, HEADWATERS AREA

Location. The project is located on the Roanoke River in the City of Roanoke, Va. (See USGS quadrangle sheet, Roanoke, Va.)

Existing project. The authorized plan includes about 6.2 miles of channel widening along the 10-mile project reach through the City of Roanoke, Va. Channel widening will be accomplished with the construction of a benched channel above the elevation of the average stream flow. Other flood damage reduction features include flood proofing at two locations, training walls to prevent floodwater intrusion into low areas along the river (total length 6,120 feet), replacement of two low-level bridges that constrict stream flows, and a flood warning system. Recreation facilities consist of a 5-mile recreation trail along the project reach and access and parking areas. Approved estimated Federal cost for new work is $44,200,000 (2005). The project was authorized by the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (H.R. 6, PL99-662).

Local cooperation. Local interests must provide all lands, easements, and rights-of-way including spoil disposal areas presently estimated at $6,315,000; modify or relocate buildings, utilities, roads and other facilities except railroad bridges, where necessary for construction of the project presently estimated at $4,880,600; pay 25 percent of the cost of the flood warning system (partially offset by a credit for lands, easements, rights-of-way, and relocations) presently estimated at $10,000; pay 5 percent of the total cost allocated to flood control in cash in addition to all lands, easements, rights-of-way and relocations presently estimated at $2,086,400, and bear all costs of operation, maintenance, and replacement of flood control facilities presently estimated at $101,000, annually; pay one-half of the separable cost allocated to recreation presently estimated at $6,141,000, (partially offset by a credit for land, easements, rights-of-way and relocations) and bear all costs of operation, maintenance and replacement of recreation facilities presently estimated at $9,000, annually; pay 25 percent of the cost of non-structural

flood proofing (partially offset by a credit for lands, easements, rights-of-way and relocations) presently estimated at $367,000; and pay 25 percent of cultural resources recovery that exceeds 1 percent of the total project cost, currently estimated at $219,000. Fully complied with to date.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Engineering and design continued at a cost of $2,067,300. Cultural resources data recovery cost $92,006. Construction and construction management cost $51,667 in Federal funds and $263,258 in contributed funds.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The contract for the flood warning system was completed in January 1991. The contract for the sewage treatment plant flood proofing was awarded in August 1991 and completed in February 1993. Two hazardous material sites have been cleaned by the owners. Sponsor initiated land acquisition for channel improvement in FY98. Project completion is scheduled for September 2010.

16. SANITARY SEWER AND FAIRWAY LANE, MOUNT AIRY, N.C. (CAP Section 14)

Location. The project is located in Mount Airy, North Carolina about 35 miles northwest of Winston-Salem, North Carolina. Two public facilities will be protected from loss to erosion, one is a main line sanitary sewer on the bank of an outside bend in the Ararat River at Riverside Park and the other at a stream crossing of Fairway Lane, the only road access for 38 residences in an adjacent neighborhood.

Existing Project. The Ararat River site will provide: rock vanes in the river to redirect flow away from the bank and provide some fish habitat, a small width bench on the lower stream bank; sloping back of the bank and vegetative protection; excavation back to the stream invert of a sand bar in the opposing inside bend of the Ararat River, to maintain flow area and stream bottom area. The Fairway Lane site consists of: piping of 250 feet of the stream next to the road; improved alignment of the stream under the road to reduce scour at the confluence by placing one 42 inch culvert under the road with two 42 inch realigned culverts; a head wall; and appropriate grading and vegetative and rock protection of the stream beside the road, the upstream and downstream banks of the streams; and the stream bottom at the confluence. The project was approved by the Division Commander on November 26, 2004, under the continuing authority of Section 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1948, as amended.

Local cooperation. Local interest must provide all lands, easements and rights-of-way, including suitable borrow and disposal areas necessary for construction and subsequent maintenance and inspection of the project; accomplish without cost to the United States all relocation and alterations of buildings, transportation facilities, storm drains, utilities and other structures and improvements made necessary by the construction; provide, during the period of construction, an amount equal to not less than 25 percent, but not greater than 50 percent, of total project costs, estimated at $189,000, at least 5 percent of which will be cash; hold and save the United States free from damages due to the construction and subsequent maintenance, except damages due to the fault or negligence of the United States or its contractors; maintain and operate.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Construction activities on Ararat River site completed and plans and specifications for Fairway Lane site initiated at a Federal cost of $178,755 and a contributed funds cost of $79,074.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction of the Ararat River site was substantially completed May 2005. Construction of the Fairway Lane site is scheduled to be completed in the Spring 2006.

17. YADKIN RIVER BASIN, N. C. AND S.C.

Location. The river rises on the eastern slope of the Blue Ridge Mountains in western North Carolina, flows generally easterly about 100 miles to the vicinity of Donnaha, North Carolina, thence southeasterly 104 miles to its confluence with Uwharrie River near Badin, North Carolina, where its name changes to Great Pee Dee River. (See U.S. Geological Survey map of North Carolina.)

Existing project. The Flood Control Act of July 24, 1946, authorized construction of four flood control dams in the Upper Yadkin River Basin, two on the Yadkin River above Wilkesboro, North Carolina, and two on Reddies River, a tributary stream. Studies made subsequent to authorization established the economic advantage of providing needed flood-control storage in only two reservoirs. W. Kerr Scott Dam and Reservoir was constructed in 1962 on the Yadkin River and was transferred to the Wilmington District from Charleston in Fiscal Year 1980. Reddies River Lake and Roaring River Lake were deauthorized in April 2002. (See Table 6-H on Dams and Reservoirs.)

17A. W. KERR SCOTT DAM AND RESERVOIR, N. C.

Location. W. Kerr Scott Dam and Reservoir is on Yadkin River, North Carolina, about 6 miles upstream from Wilkesboro. At full flood-control pool elevation (1,075 feet mean sea level), the reservoir extends 15.7 miles upstream to the Wilkes-Caldwell County line.

Existing project. The project consists of a rolled earth-fill dam 1,740 feet long, with top of dam at elevation 1,107.5 feet mean sea level or about 148 feet above streambed elevation; a spillway near the north abutment of the dam in a rock cut with crest elevation 1,075; and outlet works consisting of an intake structure, control tower, and a circular concrete conduit 12.25 feet in diameter through the base of the dam near the south abutment. The reservoir has a gross capacity of 153,000 acre-feet of which 112,000 acre-feet are reserved for flood control, 33,000 acre-feet will be used as required for water supply, and 8,000 acre-feet being contained in the minimum pool. Estimated cost is $9,110,000 (1983) for new work. The existing project was authorized by the 1946 Flood Control Act.

Local cooperation. Requirements fully satisfied. For details, see page 413 of Annual Report for 1963.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: Periodic inspections cost $21,179. Law enforcement activities cost $24,430. Normal operation and maintenance cost $2,770,466. Natural resource management cost $337,470. Water control management cost $170,040. Real estate cost $32,984. Environmental compliance cost $29,324. The master plan was updated at a cost of $7,626. Archeological and cultural resource management cost $46,521.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project was commenced in August 1960 and placed in operation for flood control and water storage purposes in August 1962.

18. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED SHORELINE PROTECTION AND FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS

To determine the extent of compliance with approved regulations for maintenance and operation, review and inspections were made for the following projects during Fiscal Year 2005: Ararat River, N.C. (CAP Sec 205); Broad Creek, N.C. (CAP Sec 205); Pantego & Cucklers Creek, N.C. (CAP Sec 205); South Mayo, N.C. (CAP Sec 205); and Swift Creek, N.C. (CAP Sec 205); BCB, Ocean Isle Beach, Brunswick County, N.C.; Carolina Beach and Vicinity, N.C.; Carolina Beach Area South, N.C. (Kure Beach); and Wrightsville Beach, N.C. Responsible local officials were advised of inadequacies in maintenance and operation on local flood protection works, where appropriate. Cost for the period was $27,313. Total cost to September 30, 2005, was $653,128, charged to operations. A one time adjustment of the cumulative costs corrects errors made and carried forward each year, including a -$36 error prior to FY 76, a +$3,000 error in FY 99, and a typographical error in FY 02.

19. FLOOD CONTROL WORKS UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

Flood control activities pursuant to Section 205, Public Law 858, 80th Congress, as amended (preauthorization). (See Table 6-L.)

Emergency flood control activities and hurricane flood and shore-protection activities at Federally authorized projects (Public Law 99, 84th Congress, and antecedent legislation).

Catastrophic Disaster Preparedness Program cost $714. Anti-terrorism/Force Protection Program had an overall net cost correction from the prior fiscal year of $46,291. Disaster Preparedness Program cost $242,511.

Non-reimbursable costs for Emergency Operations were $424,942 for EOC Activation and PDA Team deployment for Hurricanes Katrina, Ophelia, Rita, and Wilma.

Shoreline Protection

20. BRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES, N.C. (CAPE FEAR TO NORTH CAROLINA-SOUTH CAROLINA STATE LINE)

Location. The project is in Brunswick County, on the south Atlantic coast of North Carolina, between the mouth of the Cape Fear River and the North Carolina-South Carolina State Line. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Charts 1236 and 1237.)

Existing Project. The project was authorized by the 1966 Flood Control Act (H.D. 511, 89th Congress, 2d session). The project was rejected by a public referendum in 1974 and was reclassified inactive in February 1976. The project was reclassified to active in 1985 due to renewed local interest caused by continuing erosion and repeated storm damage, with the exception of Sunset Beach, which remains inactive. The General Reevaluation Report for Ocean Isle Beach was approved May 15, 1998. The authorized project for the Ocean Isle Beach portion provides a continuous vegetated dune and berm stabilized by periodic re-nourishment. The dune crown width is 25 feet at elevation 9.5 feet NGVD fronted by a berm 50 feet wide at 7 feet NGVD for a distance of 5,150 feet, then a berm with crown width of 50 feet at 7 feet NGVD for a distance of 2,600 feet, then a berm with crown width of 25 feet at 7 feet NGVD for a distance of 2,400 feet. Transitions will be 4,200 feet on the eastern end and 2,800 feet on the western end. Total length of beach segment including transitions is 17,150 feet. A General Reevaluation is underway for the Oak Island (formerly Long Beach and Yaupon Beach), Caswell Beach, and Holden Beach portion. Sunset

Beach remains inactive. The estimated Federal cost is $74,000,000 (2005) for the Ocean Isle beach portion and $140,000,000 (2005) for the Oak Island, Caswell Beach, and Holden Beach portion.

Local Cooperation. The PCA executed January 9, 2001, for the Ocean Isle Beach portion provides that the non-Federal sponsor shall: (a) provide all lands, easements, rights-of-way, and suitable borrow and dredged or excavated material disposal areas; (b) perform required relocations; (c) during initial construction, contribute 35 percent of construction costs assigned to hurricane and storm damage reduction, with credit allowed for (a) and (b), currently estimated at $3,160,000; (d) during periodic re-nourishment, contribute 35 percent of construction costs assigned to hurricane and storm damage reduction, with credit allowed for (a) and (b), currently estimated at $35,840,000; (e)participate in and comply with applicable Federal floodplain management and flood insurance programs; (f)not less than once a year inform affected interests of the extent of protection afforded by the project; (g)enforce floodplain regulations; (h)provide and maintain public ownership, during the economic life of the project, of an adequate width of beach for public use, with acceptable beach access, parking areas, and other facilities necessary for realization of the benefits upon which Government participation is based; (i)adopt and enforce ordinances to provide for preservation of the project and its protective vegetation; (j)control water pollution to the extent necessary to safeguard the health of bathers; and (k)operate, maintain, repair, and rehabilitate the project. The nonfederal sponsor share of total project costs for the Oak Island, Caswell Beach, and Holden Beach portion is currently estimated to be $75,000,000.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: The General Reevaluation for the Oak Island, Caswell Beach, and Holden Beach portion continued at a cost of $257,278. Engineering and design in connection with an Ocean Isle Beach future year renourishment cycle at Federal cost $182,827 and contributed funds cost of $11,197.

Condition at end of fiscal year. For the Ocean Isle Beach portion, a new construction start was approved in FY 2000, the PCA was executed January 9, 2001, the construction contract was awarded February 26, 2001, and initial project construction was substantially complete and the project was operational May 15, 2001. The first nourishment cycle scheduled to be awarded in FY 2006 and completed in FY 2007. The General Reevaluation is continuing for the Oak Island, Caswell Beach, and Holden Beach portion. Sunset Beach remains inactive.

21. CAROLINA BEACH AND VICINITY, N.C.

Location. The project is in New Hanover County, about 15 miles southeast of Wilmington, N. C., on the peninsula which separates lower Cape Fear River from the Atlantic Ocean. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Map 834.)

Existing project. The authorized project consists of two separable elements, the Carolina Beach Portion and the Area South of Carolina Beach and extends about 32,000 feet from the northern limits of Carolina Beach to the southern limits of Kure Beach. Federal participation in the cost of periodic beach nourishment will be for a period not to exceed 50 years from the year of initial placement. The project provides for construction of a dune with a crown width of 25 feet at elevation 13.5 feet National geodetic vertical datum (NGVD), a berm with a crown width of 50 feet at elevation 10.5 feet NGVD for Carolina Beach and 9 feet NGVD for the Area South, and for Carolina Beach a rock revetment at elevation 10.5 feet NGVD along the northern 2,050 feet fronted by a 130-foot wide berm at elevation 6.5 feet NGVD. The Area South of Carolina Beach was reclassified to the active category, June 1985. The estimated Federal cost for the Carolina Beach Portion is $28,300,000 (2005) and for the Area South is $88,400,000 (2005). The project was authorized by the 1962 Flood Control Act (H.D.418, 87th Cong. 2d sess.). The Water Resources Development Act of 1986 authorized Federal participation in future nourishment for 50 years.

Local cooperation. For the **Carolina Beach portion**, as originally authorized and constructed, local interests must (a) provide lands, easements, and rights-of-way for construction; (b) make required relocations and alterations of streets, utilities, or structures; (c) contribute 37.9 percent of the total first cost, with credit allowed for (a) and (b); (d) hold the United States free from damages; (e) maintain all works and undertake periodic beach nourishment after completion, with specified Federal contributions for 10 years after completion; and (f) additional provisions in the agreement executed August 17, 1981. Subsequently, WRDA 86 extended Federal participation to 50 years from initial construction (1964-2014). A PCA was executed July 29, 1994 that addressed periodic nourishment through the project life and provided that local interests shall (a) provide all lands, easements, relocations, rights-of-way, and suitable borrow and dredged or excavated material disposal areas (b) pay 35 percent of the total costs of each periodic nourishment assigned to hurricane and storm damage reduction; with credit for (a) above; and (c) operate, maintain, repair, replace, and rehabilitate hurricane and storm damage reduction facilities. For the **Area South portion**, local interests must: (a) provide lands, easements, relocations,

rights-of-way, including suitable borrow and dredged or excavated material disposal areas; (b) pay 35 percent of the total costs of initial construction and of each periodic nourishment assigned to hurricane and storm damage reduction with credit for (a) above; and (c) bear all costs of operation, maintenance and replacement of hurricane and storm damage reduction facilities; and additional provisions in the PCA executed September 26, 1995.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Prior year programs and project management costs for Carolina Beach portion in the amount of $6,800. Prior year construction costs for the Area South portion in the amount of $68,881, including contributed funds in the amount of $37,355.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Initial project construction for the Carolina Beach portion was completed August 12, 1982, except for grassing which was completed in September 1983. The latest cycle of periodic nourishment for the Carolina Beach portion and Area South was completed in April 2004. The contract for the initial construction of the Area South portion was awarded August 1996 with dredging completed in January 1998, and final contract completion in December 1999. The contract for the first cycle of periodic nourishment for Area South was awarded in January 2001 and completed in June 2001. The next nourishment cycle is scheduled to be awarded in FY 2006 and completed in FY 2007.

22. DARE COUNTY BEACHES, N.C. (BODIE ISLAND PORTION)

Location. The project is in Dare County on the north coast of North Carolina, about 40 miles south of the North Carolina-Virginia state line. (USGS quadrangle sheets Kitty Hawk, Manteo, and Roanoke Island NE)

Existing Project. The project was authorized by the Water Resource Development Act of 2000. (Chief of Engineers Report dated December 29, 2000) The authorized project consists of a 25 foot wide dune at elevation 13 feet National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD) and a 50 foot wide berm to be constructed at elevation 7 feet NGVD along two separate stretches of shoreline starting at Kitty Hawk and ending at Nags Head. Total length is about 14.1 miles. The estimated Federal cost is $770,000,000 (2005).

Local Cooperation. The PCA has not been executed, but in accordance with changed cost sharing and financing requirements, the non-Federal sponsor must provide: a) all lands, easements and rights-of-way, including suitable borrow and spoil disposal areas, presently estimated at $6,522,000; b) required relocations; c) 35 percent of the initial construction cost allocated to hurricane and storm damage reduction, with credit for a) and b) above, presently estimated at $29,478,000, and d) 50 percent of periodic re-nourishment costs allocated to hurricane and storm damage reduction, presently estimated at $704,000,000 and e) bear all costs of operation, maintenance, repair, rehabilitation, and replacement of hurricane and storm damage reduction facilities, estimated at $100,000.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Engineering and design, preconstruction project and biological monitoring, and real estate activities continued at a Federal cost of $814,128.

Condition at end of fiscal year. A new construction start was approved in FY 2003. The PCA is scheduled to be executed in the third quarter of FY 2006.

23. WEST ONSLOW BEACH AND NEW RIVER INLET, NC

Location. The project is in the Town of Topsail Beach at the southern end of Topsail Island in Pender County on the central North Carolina coast. Topsail Island is a barrier island located approximately 40 miles northeast of Wilmington, North Carolina. (See USGS quadrangle sheets Hampstead and Holly Ridge)

Existing Project. The project was authorized by Title I, Section 101 (15) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992. (H.D. 102-393, 102nd Congress, 2d session). Funds to initiate preconstruction engineering and design were appropriated in FY 1990. The project received a new construction start for FY 1994; however, no Construction, General appropriation funds were expended. The project cooperation agreement was not executed due to the Sponsor's inability to fund their share of the project cost. The project was placed in inactive status in July 1994 due to lack of local support. The Town of Topsail Beach has experienced severe beach erosion, heavy property damage, and damage to or destruction of the primary dune system as a result of storm surges from hurricanes in 1996 and 1999 and northeasters over recent years. Local interests are now able to and would support the project. In FY 2001, a design agreement was executed and a general reevaluation was initiated to redefine the project scope. The authorized project consists of a sand dune constructed to an elevation of 13 feet above mean sea level (MSL) fronted by a storm berm constructed to an elevation of 9 feet above mean sea level and a beach (natural) berm constructed to an elevation of 7 feet above MSL along 9,500 feet of shoreline; two transition sections constructed to elevation 7 feet above MSL along 2,400 feet on the southern end and along 6,860 feet on the northern end; and renourishment of the project at approximately two year intervals. The borrow area, located in Banks Channel, will be dredged to a

depth of 20 feet below mean low water. The estimated Federal cost for new work is $119,000,000 (2005).

Local Cooperation. The authorizing document provides that the non-Federal sponsor shall (a) provide all lands, easements, rights-of-way, and suitable borrow and dredged or excavated material disposal areas; (b) perform required relocations; (c) during initial construction, contribute 35 percent of construction costs assigned to hurricane and storm damage reduction, with credit allowed for (a) and (b), currently estimated at $13,000,000; (d) during periodic re-nourishment, contribute 50 percent of construction costs assigned to hurricane and storm damage reduction, with credit allowed for (a) and (b), currently estimated at $95,000,000; (e) hold and save the Government free from damages; (f) comply with the Uniform Relocations Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Act of 1970; (g) publicize flood plain information for the area; (h) provide and maintain public ownership and use, during the economic life of the project, of an adequate width of beach for public use, with acceptable beach access, parking areas, and other facilities necessary for realization of the benefits upon which Government participation is based; (i) at least once a year inform affected interests of the limitations of the protection provided by the project; (j) adopt and enforce regulations to prevent encroachment and preserve the project.; and (k) operate, maintain, repair, and rehabilitate the project.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: The General Reevaluation Report was continued at a Federal cost of $294,698 and a contributed funds cost of $247,739.

Condition at end of fiscal year. In FY 2001, a design agreement was executed and a General Revaluation Report was initiated to redefine the project scope.

24. WRIGHTSVILLE BEACH, N. C.

Location. A small island 10 miles east of Wilmington, N. C. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Map p.834)

Existing Project. A dune with a base bordering at or near the building line, with a crown width of 25 feet at elevation 15 feet above mean low water, together with a beach berm with a crown width of 50 feet at elevation 12, extending about 14,000 feet from Moores Inlet on the north to Masonboro Inlet on the south. Existing project was authorized by 1962 Flood Control Act (H.D. 511, 87th Cong., 2d sess.). The Water Resources Development Act of 1986 authorized Federal participation in future nourishment for the life of the project. The estimated Federal cost for new work is $24,000,000 (2005).

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Engineering and design in connection with Wrightsville Beach future year renourishment cost $78,400.

Condition at end of fiscal year. All work under the initial authorization has been completed. Initial construction was completed May 1970. Under WRDA 86, the third renourishment was completed in May 1998. The latest periodic renourishment was completed in FY 2002, with the next cycle scheduled for FY 2006.

Multi-Purpose Projects, Including Power

25. ROANOKE RIVER BASIN, VA. AND N.C.

Location. The project is on the Roanoke River and its tributaries in Virginia and North Carolina within a radius of 100 miles from Danville, Virginia.

Existing project. The Flood Control Act of 1944 approved a general plan for the comprehensive development of the Roanoke River Basin for flood control and other purposes, and authorized construction of John H. Kerr and Philpott Reservoirs. (See Table 6-H for a list of dams and reservoirs included in the comprehensive plan.)

Local cooperation. None required. John H. Kerr and Philpott Reservoirs are the only projects in the comprehensive plan that have been authorized for construction. (See Table 6-H on Dams and Reservoirs.)

25A. JOHN H. KERR DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA. AND NC

Location. The project is on Roanoke River, about 178.7 river miles above its mouth, in Mecklenburg County, Virginia, and 20.3 miles downstream from Clarksville, Virginia. The reservoir extends upstream on the Roanoke River 56 miles and on the Dan River 34 miles.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a concrete gravity dam with wing and saddle dikes on the right and left banks, with a total length of about 22,285 feet. The reservoir is operated as a unit of a coordinated system of reservoirs in the Roanoke River Basin for control of floods, generation of hydroelectric power, regulation of low-water flow, and for other purposes. The power installation is 204,000 kilowatts. (For further details see Annual Report for 1962.) The existing project was authorized by the 1944 Flood Control Act.

Local cooperation. None required.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Major rehabilitation of power facilities cost $3,757,967, including engineering and design, construction management, and construction contract earnings. **Maintenance:** Law enforcement activities cost $37,959. Normal operation and maintenance cost $8,841,184 in Federal funds and a contributed funds cost of $49,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Project is complete except for additional recreational facilities. Production of power and protection from floods are provided by project. Major rehabilitation of power facilities, with a new construction start in FY 2000, is continuing.

25B. PHILPOTT LAKE, VA.

Location. The project is located on the Smith River, Virginia, 44.3 miles above its junction with Dan River, and 35 miles upstream from the Virginia-North Carolina State line in Franklin and Henry Counties.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a concrete gravity dam 892 feet long and with a maximum height of 220 feet. Reservoir is operated as a unit of a coordinated reservoir system for flood control in the Roanoke River Basin, generation of hydroelectric power, regulation of low-water flow, and for other purposes. The powerhouse has a total installation of 14,000 kilowatts. (For further details see Annual Report for 1962). Existing project was authorized by 1944 Flood Control Act.

Local cooperation. None required.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: Periodic inspections cost $16,279. Law enforcement activities cost $18,416. Normal operation and maintenance cost $2,746,944.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project is complete except for additional recreational facilities, and is providing power and flood protection.

26. SCHEDULING FLOOD CONTROL RESERVOIR OPERATIONS

Five flood control reservoir projects were operated in Wilmington District in FY 2005. All provided some measure of flood damage reduction while two, John H. Kerr Dam and Reservoir and Philpott Lake, additionally provided hydropower generation and revenue. A summary of each project follows.

B. Everett Jordan Dam and Lake is located in the Cape Fear River Basin, North Carolina. Flood damages prevented during FY 2005 were $2,405,900 for a cumulative total of $250,005,844 since inception of the project in 1983. Total cost of functional operation for the period was $220,706.

John H. Kerr Dam and Reservoir is located in the Roanoke River Basin, Virginia and North Carolina. Gross power generation for the fiscal year was 457,916,100 kilowatt-hours, compared to a period of record (1953-2005) average of 420,351,182 kwh and net marketed power revenue was $6,867,628, making the cumulative total $225,825,235 since inception of the project in 1952. SEPA did not purchase replacement energy for John H Kerr project during the fiscal year. Flood damages prevented during FY 2005 were $473,600, increasing the cumulative total since 1952 to $422,751,163. Headwater benefits for the fiscal year were $169,261. Total cost of functional operation for the period was $576,377.

Falls Lake is located in the Neuse River Basin, North Carolina. Flood damages prevented during FY 2005 were $23,625 for a cumulative total of $578,619,245 since inception of the project in 1983. Total cost of functional operation for the period was $182,875.

Philpott Lake is located on the Smith River in the Dan River Basin, Virginia. Gross power generation for the fiscal year was 31,074,319 kwh, compared to a period of record (1953-2005) average of 25,723,632 kwh, and net marketed power revenue was $638,832, making the cumulative total $35,893,338 since inception of the project in 1952. SEPA did not purchase replacement energy for Philpott Lake project during the fiscal year. Flood damages prevented during FY 2005 were $272,000, raising the cumulative total to $539,372,685. Total cost of functional operation for the period was $196,409.

W. Kerr Scott Dam and Reservoir is located in the Yadkin-Pee Dee River Basin, North Carolina. Flood damages prevented during FY 2005 were $9,085, making the total damages prevented since inception of the project $182,083,670. Total cost of functional operation for the period was $170,040.

Miscellaneous

27. SEA TURTLE HABITAT RESTORATION, OAK ISLAND, N.C. (CAP Section 1135)

Location. This project is located on the oceanfront of the Town of Oak Island (formerly Long Beach), south of the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway in Brunswick County, North Carolina. (See USGS quadrangle sheet, Southport, N.C.)

Existing project. This project modification for improvement of the environment modifies the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway Between Norfolk, Virginia and the St. Johns River, Florida. The project modification

restores sea turtle nesting habitat on Oak Island by placing beach compatible sand from the Yellow Banks Confined Disposal Facility between East 26th Place and East 58th Street to construct a 8,900 foot long main fill and a small dune to discourage turtles from crawling beyond the project. Implementation of a lighting ordinance will provide a more attractive nesting beach and improve survival of hatchlings. The approved estimated cost for construction implementation is $11,284,000 (2000), consisting of $5,000,000 Federal and $6,284,000 non-Federal. The project was approved by the Division Commander on September 17, 1999 under the continuing authority of Section 1135, Water Resources Development Act of 1986, as amended.

Local Cooperation. The sponsor shall contribute 25 percent of total project modification costs, which include implementation of the authorized improvements as well as planning, engineering, design, supervision and administration, monitoring, and other activities associated with implementation, but does not include betterments. The sponsor shall also contribute sufficient additional funds to keep the Federal cost from exceeding the per project limit of $5,000,000. The non-Federal contribution will consist of credit for required lands, easements, relocations, and rights-of way; work-in-kind credit for dune walkover structures and dune stabilization provided by the sponsor; credit for participation on the Project Coordination Team; and cash.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Resolution of a construction contractor claim cost $2,500 in contributed funds.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The construction contract was awarded in December 2000 and substantially completed in May 2001. Monitoring of turtles and seabeach amaranth is continuing. Project closeout is underway. A construction contractor claim has been addressed.

28. ROANOKE ISLAND FESTIVAL PARK, DARE COUNTY, NC. (CAP Section 206)

Location. The Roanoke Island Festival Park is a State owned historic facility located on Iceplant Island, in Shallowbag Bay, off of Roanoke Sound adjacent to Manteo, in Dare County, North Carolina, about 75 miles south of Norfolk, Virginia. (See USGS quadrangle sheet, Manteo)

Existing project. This project will provide 4 acres of valuable estuarine and wetland habitat that will be restored and protected, including protection of 2 acres of existing coastal marsh and adjacent wooded wetlands from erosion and restoration of about 2 acres of shallow water area by marsh restoration and development of sea grass, marine rock and oyster habitat. In order to facilitate the construction of the aquatic ecosystem restoration and to protect the aquatic habitat from further wave erosion, a 1,330-foot long rock sill and breakwater will be constructed. The project will provide incidental benefits by shoreline protection for public facilities located at Festival Park. The approved estimated cost for construction implementation is $1,080,000 (2002), consisting of $702,000 Federal and $378,000 non-Federal. The project was approved by the Division Commander on November 21, 2001 under the continuing authority of Section 206, Water Resources Development Act of 1996, as amended.

Local Cooperation. The sponsor shall contribute 35 percent of project costs, which include implementation of the authorized improvements as well as planning, engineering, design, supervision and administration, monitoring, and other activities associated with implementation, but does not include betterments. The sponsor shall also contribute sufficient additional funds to keep the Federal cost from exceeding the per project limit of $5,000,000. The non-Federal contribution will consist of credit for required lands, easements, relocations, and rights-of way; estimated at $1,000; work-in-kind credit for oyster bed placement, management of the 1.3 acres of wooded wetland, and project signage, estimated at $42,000, and participation on the project coordination team, estimated at $6,000; and cash.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: The construction phase continued, including monitoring and coordination with the sponsor on work-in-kind credits, at a Federal cost of $21,330 and a contributed funds cost of $264.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The Division Commander approved the PDA Documentation and the project on November 21, 2001. Construction was substantially completed and the project was operational in FY 2002. Additional construction to complete the rock sill was completed in FY 2003. Marsh and sea grass plantings, as needed, and project monitoring will continue through FY 2006.

29. WILSON BAY RESTORATION, JACKSONVILLE, N.C. (CAP Section 206)

Location. Wilson Bay is a 126-acre shallow estuarine embayment of the New River within the city of Jacksonville, Onslow County, North Carolina (See USGS quadrangle sheet Jacksonville North).

Existing Project. The project will restore the Wilson Bay ecosystem, which has been degraded for many years by wastewater plant discharges, urban runoff, and alteration of hydrology. The project consists of mechanical water column aeration by the purchase,

installation, and operation of three aerators and the use of three existing aerators; restoration of approximately 11.3 acres of wetlands along creeks and drainages within the Wilson Bay urban watershed; restoration of a viable benthic community by bivalve plantings at Wilson Bay Island and Wilson Bay Park; planting of approximately 4.5 acres of submerged aquatic vegetation in five areas on the perimeter of the bay; planting of approximately 1.6 acres of bioswale in an area characterized with relatively heavy surface runoff within the Wilson Bay urban watershed; and planting of approximately .08 acre of rain gardens in areas characterized with sheet flow. The approved estimated cost for construction implementation is $5,340,000 (2003), consisting of $3,471,000 Federal and $1,869,000 nonfederal.

Local Cooperation. The sponsor shall contribute 35 percent of project costs, which include implementation of the authorized improvements as well as planning, engineering, design, supervision and administration, monitoring, and other activities associated with implementation, but does not include betterments. The sponsor shall also contribute sufficient additional funds to keep the Federal cost from exceeding the per project limit of $5,000,000. The non-Federal contribution will consist of credit for required lands, easements, relocations, and rights-of way; estimated at $696,000; work-in-kind credit currently estimated at $1,168,000, and participation on the project coordination team, estimated at $5,000. Cash may be required but is not anticipated. The sponsor will assume full responsibility for the costs of operation, maintenance, repair, rehabilitation, and replacement of project features, currently estimated at $20,000 per year.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Preparation of plans and specifications continued at a cost of $13,115.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The feasibility report and project were approved in June 2003 and construction funds were committed in August 2003. Preparation of plans and specifications started in June 2003 and is continuing. The PCA was executed in April 2004. Construction by the sponsor started in August 2004, as specified in the PCA.

30. WANCHESE MARSH CREATION AND PROTECTION, DARE COUNTY, NC (CAP Section 204)

Location. This project is in Dare County, North Carolina on the southeastern corner of Roanoke Island at Wanchese Harbor adjacent to the channel from Oregon Inlet and north of the entrance to Wanchese Harbor. (See USGS quadrangle sheet, Oregon Inlet)

Existing project. The marshes of Roanoke Sound are important habitat for fish and wildlife resources, support recreational and commercial activities that rely on these resources, and provide an important function as nursery habitat for estuarine fish and shellfish and support a rich and diverse benthic fauna. The proposed project will create an estuarine creek and marsh area within a protective dike. The project will encompass an area of about 12.1 acres including; (1) 8.6 acres of construction in an area that is primarily open sound waters, (2) 2 acres of high marsh that will be protected by the proposed construction and (3) 1.5 acres of *Phragmites* to be removed by chemical control and replaced by native grasses. Construction will include a dike to protect the marsh from wave action until it becomes established and can withstand the strong wave action in this area. The dike will be parallel to the existing harbor entrance channel for approximately 500 feet and then turn in a northerly direction and parallel the shoreline for approximately 700 feet. Armor stone will be placed on the outside of the permanent dike to protect against wave action. The construction of the new marsh will protect 2 acres of existing marsh from continued erosion and provide an incidental benefit by helping to stabilize the Wanchese Harbor entrance. The marsh area will be graded, planted with marsh grasses as needed over a 3-year establishment period, and monitored for the same 3 years to determine appropriate functioning of the habitat. Dredged material will come from maintenance dredging of the Manteo (Shallowbag) Bay – Channel to Wanchese navigation channel. The new marsh will be established by sprigging with at least three varieties of native marsh grasses including smooth cordgrass (S*partina alterniflora*), black needlerush (J*uncus roemerianus*) and saltmeadow hay (S*partina patens*). The use of additional species to increase habitat diversity will be considered. The approved estimated cost for construction implementation is $1,972,000 (2004) consisting of $1,479,000 Federal and $493,000 non-Federal. The Division Commander approved the project on August 9, 2001 under the continuing authority of Section 204, Water Resources Development Act of 1992, as amended.

Local Cooperation. The sponsor shall contribute 25 percent of project costs which include implementation of the authorized improvements as well as planning, engineering, design, supervision and administration, monitoring, and other activities associated with implementation, but does not include betterments. The non-Federal contribution will consist of credit for required lands, easements, relocations, and rights-of way; credit for participation on the Project Coordination Team; and cash.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Engineering and design at a Federal cost of $36,613 and a contributed funds cost of $13,425.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The PCA was executed in November 2002. The construction contract for the sill was awarded in February 2003 and completed in FY 2004. Dredged material, in connection with a maintenance dredging contract, was placed in the site in FY 2004 but the material was found to be unsuitable. The site must be reworked to make it suitable for marsh. After the material has settled, the site will be graded and planted in marsh grass in FY 2006. Monitoring will continue through FY 2009.

31. MOREHEAD CITY HARBOR, N.C. SEC 933 (CAP Section 933)

Location. This Section 933 project is located on the mid Atlantic coast, on Bogue Banks in Carteret County, North Carolina. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 420)

Existing Project. The project makes beneficial use of dredged material available from periodic maintenance dredging of the adjacent Morehead City Harbor, NC navigation project. The recommended plan consists of two portions and uses maintenance dredging material to construct a sand berm along a portion of the oceanfront of Bogue Banks at an elevation of 7 feet above National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD). This elevation mimics the natural berm elevation of the area. The approved estimated construction implementation cost is $12,780,000 (2004), consisting of $8,307,000 Federal and $4,473,000 nonfederal.

Local Cooperation. The sponsor shall contribute 35 percent of project costs, which include implementation of the authorized improvements as well as planning, engineering, design, supervision and administration, monitoring, and other activities associated with implementation, but does not include betterments. The non-Federal contribution will consist entirely of cash. The sponsor shall provide all required lands, easements, relocations, and rights-of way; at no cost to the Government. No per project cost limit nor any provision for credit for work-in-kind has been established for Section 933 projects. The sponsor will maintain beach access throughout the 10-year life of the project.. In accordance with the PCA, there is no requirement for operation, maintenance, repair, rehabilitation, and replacement of project features.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Engineering and design and construction management in connection with project construction cost $824,928 Federal and $302,015 contributed funds.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The feasibility report and plans and specifications were completed in FY 2003 with O&M funds. The PCA was approved by the ASA(CW) and was executed in January 2004. The first construction portion makes beneficial use of dredged material from maintenance of the entrance channel by placing it along Indian Beach, Salter Path, and a part of Pine Knoll Shores, and was substantially completed in March 2004. The second construction portion will make beneficial use of dredged material from maintenance of the entrance channel by placing it along other parts of Pine Knoll Shores in FY 2007.

32. STANLY COUNTY WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE, N.C. (Section 219)

Location. The project is located in Stanly County, in mid-southern North Carolina about 26 miles northeast of Charlotte. (See USGS quadrangle sheets, Norwood, Stanfield, Mt. Pleasant, and Albemarle).

Existing project. The county desires to upgrade a substandard wastewater system at three elementary schools, including a wastewater pumping station, 20,000 feet of force main and 1,700 feet of gravity sewer. The county is predominately rural and unemployment is relatively high. In much of the county, basic infrastructures such as wastewater lines and highways necessary to attract industry are lacking. Without major infrastructure improvements, quality of life in many of the communities in the county will continue to fall well short of the rest of the Nation. The estimated project cost is $4,514,000 (2005), including $3,751,000 Federal and $1,216,000 non-Federal. This project is authorized by Sec 219(f) of the WRDA of 1992, as amended and Section 108(d) of the FY 2001 Omnibus Appropriations Act which provides "$8,900,000 for wastewater Infrastructure, Stanly County, North Carolina".

Local cooperation. The sponsor shall contribute 25 percent of the total cost of the project, estimated at $1,216,000. Project costs include implementation of the authorized improvements as well as planning, engineering, design, supervision and administration, monitoring, and other activities associated with implementation, but does not include betterments. The non-Federal contribution will consist of credit for required lands, easements, relocations, rights-of way, and borrow or disposal areas and participation on the project coordination team.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Construction completed at Norwood at a Federal cost of $586,068 and contributed funds cost of $151,203. Design and preparation of plans and specifications for Millingport was initiated at a Federal cost of $16,030.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Replacement of a wastewater pumping station and associated force main

in the Town of Norwood to benefit Aquadale School is complete. The decision document was approved in August 2003. The project cooperation agreement was executed in August 2004. The construction contract was completed in September 2005. Construction of wastewater facilities for Millingport School in the City of Albemarle is continuing. The decision document was approved in August 2004. The design agreement was executed in July 2005. Execution of the PCA, and award of a construction contract are subject to availability of funds.

33. REGULATORY PROGRAM

Cost for the period was $5,096,965, including $4,107,383 for Permit Evaluation, $534,215 for Enforcement, $24,946 for Environmental Impact Statement preparation and $427,936 for Compliance and $2,485 for Administrative Appeals.

General Investigations

34. SURVEYS

Cost for the period was $556,457 for flood damage prevention studies, $447,308 for shoreline protection studies, $196,281 for watershed/ecosystem restoration studies, $35,949 for Special Investigations, $991 for FERC License Review, $7,793 for Interagency Water Resources Development, $3,391 for National Estuary Studies, $22 for North American Waterfowl Management, $691 for Cooperation With Other Water Resource Agencies, and $107,709 for Planning Assistance To States. Contributed funds cost was $320,548 for flood damage prevention studies, $380,924 for shoreline protection studies, $143,258 for watershed/ecosystem restoration studies, and $67,056 for Planning Assistance To States.

35. COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA

Flood plain management information studies, as authorized by Section 206, 1960 Flood Control Act, as amended, provide information, technical assistance, and guidance in identifying the magnitude of the flood hazard and for planning wise use of the flood plain. Direct response and assistance are provided to states, Indian tribes, and local governments without charge and to Federal agencies and private persons on a cost reimbursable basis. Total costs for the period were $162,567. Total costs to September 30, 2005, were $9,367,492. Contributed funds of $4,136 were expended for the New Hanover County Flood Study, the cost of which is fully paid by New Hanover County.

Hydrologic studies collect and analyze basic data on hydrologic, climatologic, and river morphology for general use in connection with Corps planning, design, construction, and operation of water resource projects. Total costs for the period were $3,175.

36. PRECONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING AND DESIGN

No work for preconstruction engineering and design (PED) for FY 2005.

TABLE 6-A COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Section In Text	Project	Funding	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	Total cost to Sept. 30, 2005
1.	Atlantic Intracoastal	New Work:					
	Waterway between	Approp.	285,000	-	6,000	-	88,835,273[1]
	Norfolk, Va. & St.	Cost	508,841	8,100	7,102	-	88,830,685[1]
	John River, Fla.	Maint:					
	(Regular Funds)	Approp.	10,196,316	8,866,274	8,110,738	3,921,524	240,068,283[2]
		Cost	10,137,960	8,910,049	8,057,508	3,946,741	240,025,113[2]
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib	-	-	-	-	86,851
		Cost		-	-	-	86,851[3]
		Maint:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	667,300
		Cost	-	-	-	-	667,300
2.	Beaufort Harbor, N.C.	New Work:					
	(Regular Funds)	Approp	-	-	-	-	818,040[4]
		Cost	-	-	-	-	818,040
		Maint:					
		Approp	198,752	49,894	-	212,322	4,870,064
		Cost	198,752	49,894	-	212,322	4,870,064
3.	Cape Fear River,	New Work:					
	N.C. Above	Approp.	-	-	-	-	3,759,573[5]
	Wilmington	Cost	-	-	-	-	3,759,573[5]
		Maint:					
		Approp.	671,771	904,305	585,052	1,131,816	27,598,324[6]
		Cost	632,550	941,201	585,311	1,126,441	27,590,883[6]
4.	Lockwoods Folly	New Work:					
	River, N.C.	Approp.	-	-	-	-	241,272[7]
	(Regular Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	241,272[8]
		Maint:					
		Approp.	1,241,752	1,125,377	813,415	511,499	15,719,549
		Cost	1,228,252	1,138,877	813,415	511,499	15,719,549
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	92,650
		Cost	-	-	-	-	92,650[9]
5.	Manteo (Shallowbag)	New Work:					
	Bay, N.C.	Approp .	252,000	-	-	-	10,099,515[10]
	(Regular Funds)	Cost	234,903	23,464	142	-	10,099,515[10]
		Maint:					
		Approp.	6,285,371	8,458,373	8,848,000	8,576,500	135,617,244
		Cost	5,905,827	8,715,527	8,427,274	9,096,901	135,590,623
	(Contributed Funds)	Maint:					
		Contrib.	47,000	1,951,000	-	-	5,042,993
		Cost	51,004	164,868	1,585,323	104,723	4,895,733
6.	Morehead City	New Work:					
	Harbor, N.C.	Approp.	-	-	-	-	13,204,707[11]
	(Regular Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	13,204,707[11]
		Maint:					
		Approp.	5,233,598	3,195,277	2,282,286	11,290,200	105,557,353[12]
		Cost	5,232,746	3,191,250	2,287,166	11,105,587	105,372,739[12]
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	2,731,996
		Cost	-	-	-	-	2,731,996
		Maint:					
		Contrib	-	1,484,546	-	-4,213	3,317,111
		Cost	-	1,480,334	-	-	3,307,628
7.	Pamlico and Tar	New Work:					
	River, N.C.	Approp.	-	-	-	-	674,651
		Cost	-	-	-	-	674,651
		Maint:					
		Approp.	-	3,034	60,336	3,890	1,381,173
		Cost	-	3,034	60,336	3,890	1,381,173

8.	Roanoke River, N.C.	New Work:					
		Approp	-	-	-	-	404,584
		Cost	-	-	-	-	404,584
		Maint:					
		Approp. -	-	67,573	6,908	3,873	786,773
		Cost -	-	67,573	6,908	3,873	786,773
9.	Rollinson Channel, N.C.	New Work:					
	(Regular Funds)	Approp.	-	-	-	-	589,105
		Cost	-	-	-	-	589,105
		Maint:					
		Approp.	327,974	23,896	240,076	4,000	3,767,721
		Cost	261,212	90,658	240,076	4,000	3,767,721
	(Contributed Funds)	Maint:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	41,142
		Cost	-	-	-	-	41,142
10.	Wilmington Harbor, N.C.	New Work:					
	(Regular Funds)	Approp.	63,665,000	41,801,000	15,799,000	17,645,200	249,348,626[13]
		Cost	65,639,752	41,980,976	15,856,445	17,146,553	248,828,700[13]
		Maint					
		Approp.	5,818,154	4,226,626	5,137,435	6,526,862	153,764,912[14]
		Cost	5,662,742	4,383,212	4,023,084	7,511,195	153,634,888[14]
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	22,350,800	16,002,000	3,500,000	5,000,000	82,505,353
		Cost	20,477,868	17,618,577	3,994,093	4,594,309	81,377,308
		Maint:					
		Contrib.	1,169,929	150,000	-138,939	-	12,940,462[15]
		Cost	303,632	1,007,253	78,076	-	12,907,181[15]
12A.	B. Everett Jordon	New Work:					
	Dam and Lake, N.C.	Approp.	7,000	-17,000	15,000	-	149,920,287
	(Regular Funds)	Cost	30,469	-	16,547	-	149,915,644
		Maint:					
		Approp.	1,161,198	2,005,804	1,623,657	1,389,800	27,196,162[16]
		Cost	1,130,066	2,022,337	1,618,280	1,367,648	27,153,810[17]
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	1,764,735
		Cost	-	-	-	-	1,764,735
13.	Clinton Wastewater	New Work:					
	Treatment Plant,	Approp.	-38,000	3,000	1,000	10,000	1,023,000[18]
	Clinton, N.C.	Cost	10,188	5,064	3,082	2,259	1,015,259[19]
	(Regular Funds)	New Work:					
	(Contributed Funds)	Contrib.	-	-	-	-	144,000
		Cost	-2,500	-	850	-	136,000
14A	Falls Lake, N.C.	New Work:					
	(Regular Funds)	Approp.	148,000	56,000	22,000	-	184,513,996
		Cost	254,020	71,381	88,527	39,947	184,441,420
		Maint:					
		Approp.	1,175,967	1,214,253	1,597,845	1,824,300	25,783,680[20]
		Cost	1,173,618	1,210,085	1,551,054	1,813,485	25,719,373[21]
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	259,724
		Cost	-	-	-	-	259,724
15.	Roanoke River Upper	New Work:					
	Basin VA. - Headwaters	Approp.	1,366,000	1,930,000	1,350,000	2,782,000	13,030,000
	Area	Cost	1,564,777	1,953,924	1,268,041	2,210,973	12,294,076
	(Regular Funds)	New Work:					
	(Contributed Funds)	Contrib.	-	-	260,000	391,000	1,097,000[22]
		Cost	-	-	180,363	263,258	859,685[22]
16.	Sanitary Sewer Fairway	New Work:					
	Lane, Mount Airy, NC.	Approp.	-	-	66,000	312,000	378,000
	(Regular Funds)	Cost	-	-	65,988	178,755	244,743
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work					
		Approp.	-	-		127,000	127,000
		Cost	-	-		79,074	79,074

TABLE 6-A (Continued) COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Section In Text	Project	Funding	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	Total cost to Sept. 30, 2005
17A.	W. Kerr Scott Dam	New Work:					
	and Reservoir, N.C.	Approp.	-	-	-	-	8,841,326
	(Regular Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	8,841,326
		Maint:					
		Approp.	2,832,168	2,928,050	3,193,870	3,523,000	54,204,714[23]
		Cost	2,829,684	2,892,203	3,217,317	3,440,040	54,106,732[24]
20.	Brunswick County	New Work:					
	Beaches N.C. (Cape	Approp.	433,000	828,000	631,000	623,000	7,177,115[25]
	Fear to N.C. - S.C. Line)	Cost	1,001,854	801,315	682,285	440,104	6,991,437[26]
	(Regular Funds)	New Work:					
	(Contributed Funds)	Contrib.	-	-	-	1,085,000	4,220,000
		Cost	31,932	12,457	-	11,197	2,916,563[27]
21.	Carolina Beach and	New Work:					
	Vicinity, N.C.	Approp.	27,000	129,000	2,313,000	31,000	28,763,532
	(Regular Funds)	Cost	278,241	141,003	2,216,042	76,944	28,711,732
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-53,751	-	1,406,464	-	17,941,560[28]
		Cost	168,187	-	1,371,039	37,355	17,935,133[28]
22.	Dare County Beaches,	New Work:					
	N.C. (Bodie Island)	Approp.	-	16,000	873,000	881,000	1,770,000[29]
	(Regular Funds)	Cost	-	6,125	805,210	814,128	1,625,463[29]
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	-
		Cost	-	-	-	-	-
23.	West Onslow Beach	New Work:					
	and New River Inlet, N.C	Approp.	153,000	658,000	566,000	311,000	1,964,000
	(Regular Funds)	Cost	377,290	671,280	557,313	294,698	1,931,459
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	233,333	217,000	211,167	98,667	808,667
		Cost	126,049	226,439	181,936	247,739	789,127
24.	Wrightsville Beach,	New Work					
	N.C.	Approp.	753,000	-9,000	-	154,000	5,552,100[30]
	(Regular Funds)	Cost	756,726	-	-	78,400	5,476,291
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work					
		Approp.	500,061	-	-	-	3,720,610
		Cost	500,061	-	-	-	3,720,610
25A.	John H. Kerr Dam	New Work:					
	and Reservoir, VA&NC	Approp.	-	-	-	-	94,402,927
	(Regular Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	94,402,927
		Major Rehab:					
		Approp.	2,652,000	4,894,000	4,739,000	4,685,000	21,835,000
		Cost	3,539,356	4,998,090	4,750,958	3,757,967	20,869,794
		Maint:					
		Approp.	10,372,861	9,639,683	10,716,334	9,380,100	212,494,885[31]
		Cost	10,172,609	10,609,551	11,529,103	8,984,671	211,983,373[32]
	(Contributed Funds)	Maint:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	390,657
		Cost	-	-	-	49,000	119,096
25B.	Philpott Lake, Va.	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	14,796,384
		Cost	-	-	-	-	14,796,384
		Maint:					
		Approp.	4,085,806	1,493,244	3,411,858	2,985,003	64,776,766[33]
		Cost	4,880,486	3,168,128	3,360,682	2,781,639	64,491,785[34]

27.	Sea Turtle Habitat,	New Work:					
	Oak Island, N.C.	Approp.	-	-	-	-	5,000,000[35]
	(Regular Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	5,000,000[36]
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	70,000	-	245,000	-	4,740,000
		Cost	118,831	55,494	212,092	2,500	4,696,738
28.	Roanoke Island Festival	New Work:					
	Park, Dare County, N.C.	Approp.	544,000	54,000	15,000	31,000	644,000[37]
	(Regular Funds)	Cost	542,671	53,638	16,691	21,330	634,330[38]
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	246,000	62,000	-	-	308,000
		Cost	245,787	52,674	4,275	264	303,000
29.	Wilson Bay Restoration,	New Work:					
	Dare County, N.C.	Approp.	217,000	80,000	151,000	35,000	481,000[39]
	(Regular Funds)	Cost	219,140	80,472	152,248	13,115	494,115[40]
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	-
		Cost	-	-	-	-	-
30.	Wanchese Marsh	New Work:					
	Creation and Protection	Approp.	48,000	591,000	247,000	285,000	1,420,000[41]
	Dare County, N.C.	Cost	67,199	594,790	248,957	36,613	1,171,613[42]
	(Regular Funds)	New Work:					
	(Contributed Funds)	Contrib. -	-	400,000	-	75,000	475,000
		Cost	-	278,927	100,621	13,425	392,973
31.	Morehead City						
	Harbor, NC Section 933	New Work:					
	(Regular Funds)	Approp.	-	-	2,378,000	1,156,000	3,534,000[43]
		Cost	-	-	2,363,716	824,928	3,188,644[43]
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	1,970,000	1,495,000	3,465,000
		Cost	-	500,061	1,310,000	302,016	1,612,016
32.	Stanly County Wastewater	New Work:					
	Infrastructure, N.C.	Approp.	420,000	-394,000	186,000	844,000	1,056,000
	(Regular Funds)	Cost	17,669	6,800	173,792	602,098	800,359
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	37,200	248,575	285,775
		Cost	-	-	34,056	2,999	37,055

1. Includes $198,707 for previous projects.

2. Includes $107,634 for previous projects.

3. Includes $13,934 refund to local interests.

4. Include $12,854 for previous projects

5. Includes $149,119 for previous projects.

6. Includes $8,178 for previous projects.

7. Includes preauthorization study funds: FY64, $3,000; FY65, -$2,755; FY71, $8,000; FY72, $2,000; FY74, $9,000; FY75, $25,000; FY76 & 76T, $20,000; FY77, $2,500; FY78, $8,800; FY79, $3,000; FY81, $1,680; and preconstruction planning funds: FY80, $15,000 and FY81, $19,320.

8. Includes preauthorization study costs: FY64, $219; FY65, $26; FY71, $8,000; FY72, $1,448; FY73, $552; FY74, $9,000; FY75, $11,925; FY76 & 76T, $27,977; FY77, $7,598; FY78, $7,449; FY79, $4,351; FY81, $1,680; and preconstruction planning costs: FY80, $5,686 and FY81, $1,471.

9. Includes $69,145 refund to local interests.

10. Adjusted by $6,361 to reflect actual costs.

11. Includes $44,484 for previous projects.

12. Includes $284,557 for previous projects.

13. Includes $4,625,614 for new work on previous projects.

14. Includes $602,614 for previous projects.

15. Includes refunds to local interests: FY78, $92,374; FY79, $8,000; FY80, $14,007; FY81, $1,847; FY82, $2,823; FY85, $60,000.

16. Includes maintenance and operation of Dams funds: FY87, $66,678; FY88, $75,000; FY89, $73,000; FY90, $54,000; FY91, $97,200; FY92, $79,000; FY93, $80,300; FY94, $67,800; FY95, $153,900.

17. Includes maintenance and operation of Dams costs: FY87, $66,678; FY88, $75,000; FY89, $73,000; FY90, $54,000; FY91, $97,200; FY92, $79,000; FY93, $80,300; FY94, $67,800; FY95, $153,900.

18. Includes preauthorization study funds: FY82, $10,000; FY83, $85,000; FY84, $70,000; FY85, $35,000; FY86, $45,000; FY87, $30,000; FY88, $1,000; FY89, $55,000; FY90, $85,000; FY92, $39,484 and preconstruction planning funds: FY92, $516; FY94, $40,000; FY95, $75,000; FY96, $61,000; FY97, $10,000; FY98, $14,000 and FY99, $11,910.

19. Includes preauthorzation study costs: FY82, $4,828; FY83, $76,218; FY84, $61,709; FY85, $41,994; FY86, $36,550; FY87, $49,888; FY88, $3,562; FY89, $30,816; FY90, $32,657; FY91, $63,108; FY92, $50,468; FY93, $3,024; FY94, $662 and preconstruction planning costs: FY94, $25,758; FY95 $70,987; FY96, $27,117; FY97, $41,023; FY98, $21,990; and FY99 $25,551.

20. Includes maintenance and operation of Dams funds: FY87, $66,678; FY88, $75,000; FY89, $73,000; FY90, $54,000; FY91, $97,200; FY92, $79,000; FY93, $80,300; FY94, $67,800; FY95, $153,900.

21. Includes maintenance and operation of Dams costs: FY87, $66,678; FY88, $75,000; FY89, $73,000; FY90, $54,000; FY91, $97,200; FY92, $79,000; FY93, $80,300; FY94, $67,800; FY95, $153,900.

22. Adjusted in FY2000 report to remove funds and costs for reimbursable, support for others work on the low water bridges; should not have been included in the FY90 - FY99 report.

23. Includes Special Recreation Use Fees funds: FY74, $4,000; FY75, $5,500; FY76 & 76 T, $3,600; FY 77, $5,800; FY78, $7,200; FY79, $8,000; FY80, $10,000; FY81, $10,000; FY82, $11,040; FY83, $7,000; FY84, $9,000; and maintenance and operation of Dams funds: FY87, $66,678; FY88, $75,000; FY89, $73,000; FY90, $54,000; FY91, $97,200; FY92, $79,200; FY93, $80,300; FY94, $67,800; FY95, $153,900.

24. Includes Special Recreation Use Fees costs: FY74, $4,000; FY75, $4,400; FY76 & 76T, $4,666; FY 77, $5,193; FY78, $6,824; FY79, $7,506; FY80, $11,312; FY81, $9,688; FY82, $9,727; FY83, $7,000; FY84, $8,444; FY85, $2,379; and maintenance and operation of Dams costs: FY87, $66,678; FY88, $75,000; FY89, $73,000; FY90, $54,000; FY91, $97,200; FY92, $79,200; FY93, $80,300;FY94, $67,800; FY95, $153,900.

25. Includes $116,508 refund to local interests and prior to FY77 costs of $31,161 for Ocean Isle, $49,731 for Long Beach, $41,443 for Yaupon Beach and $31,157 for Sunset Beach.

26. Includes refunds to local interests: FY83, $400,000; FY84, $128,345; FY85, $82,600.

27. Does not include preauthorization PED funds and costs of $4,837,200 GI Federal through FY 2004.

28. Does not include preauthorization PED funds and costs of $970,000 GI Federal and $323,333.33 non-Federal contributed funds through FY 2004.

29. Does not include preauthorization PED funds and costs of $461,600 GI Federal through FY 1994.

30. Includes $61,585 refund to local interests.

31. Includes Special Recreation Use Fees funds: FY74, $35,000; FY76 & 76T, $48,000; FY77, $51,400; FY78, $115,100; FY79, $63,000; FY80, $60,000; FY81, $80,000; FY82, $67,160; FY83, $77,759; FY84, $73,000; and maintenance and operation of Dams funds: FY79, $167,646; FY87, $66,678; FY88, $75,000; FY89, $73,000; FY90, $54,000; FY91, $97,200; FY92, $79,000; FY93, $80,300; FY94, $67,800; FY95, $153,900; FY00, $475,769.

32. Includes Special Recreation Use Fees costs: FY75, $35,000; FY76 & 76T, $13,606; FY77, $85,692; FY78, $114,604; FY79, $1,120; FY80, $118,718; FY81, $83,760; FY82, $67,160; FY83, $77,759; FY84, $67,850; FY85, $5,149; and maintenance and operation of Dams costs: FY79, $167,350; FY80, $296; FY87, $66,678; FY88, $75,000; FY89, $73,000; FY90, $54,000; FY91, $97,200; FY92, $79,000; FY93, $80,300; FY94, $67,800; FY95, $153,900; FY00, $472,993.

33. Includes Special Recreation Use Fees funds: FY75, $47,000; FY78, $40,400; FY79, $22,000; FY80, $25,000; FY81, $20,000; FY82, $20,240; FY83, $21,000; FY84, $19,000; and maintenance and operation of Dams funds: FY87, $66,678; FY88, $75,000; FY89, $73,000; FY90, $54,000; FY91, $97,200; FY92, $79,000; FY93, $80,300; FY94, $67,800; FY95, $153,900; FY00, $18,748.

34. Includes Special Recreation Use Fees costs: FY75, $13,741; FY76 & 76T, $31,666; FY77, $1,593; FY 78, $39,771; FY79, $22,629; FY80, $24,619; FY 81, $20,381; FY82, $20,240; FY83, $21,000; FY84, $19,000; and maintenance and operation of Dams costs: FY87, $66,678; FY88, $75,000; FY89, $73,000; FY90, $54,000; FY91, $97,200; FY92, $79,000; FY93, $80,300; FY94, $67,800; FY95, $153,900.

35. Includes preauthorization study funds: FY97, $150,000; FY98, $30,000, FY99, $40,000 and preconstruction planning funds: FY99, $ 1,000; FY00, $184,000; and FY01, $31,000.

36. Includes preauthorization study costs: FY97, $4,792; FY98, $167,663; FY99, $43,471; FY00, $4,074 and preconstruction planning costs: FY00 $179,408; and FY01, $36,592.

37. Includes planning design and analysis funds: FY02, $15,000 and construction implementation funds: FY02, $529,000; FY03, $54,000; and FY04, $15,000.

38. Includes planning design and analysis costs: FY02, $15,000 and construction implementation costs: FY02, $527,671; FY03, $53,638; and FY04, $16,691.

39. Includes preauthorization study funds: FY00, $45,000; FY01, ($12,000); FY02, $217,000; FY03, $40,000; and preconstruction planning funds: FY03, $40,000; FY04, $151,000.

40. Includes preauthorization study costs: FY00, $24,347; FY01, $4,793; FY02, $219,140; FY03, $40,513; FY04, $1,207; and preconstruction planning costs: FY03, $39,959; FY04, $151,041.

41. Includes preauthorization study funds: FY97, $25,000; FY98, $115,000; FY99, $28,000; FY00, $16,000; FY01, $14,000 and preconstruction planning funds: FY01, $51,000; FY02, $48,000; and FY03, $5,000.

42. Includes preauthorization study costs: FY98, $103,281; FY99, $61,594; FY00, $15,236; FY01, $17,869; FY02, $20 and preconstruction planning costs: FY01, $26,074; FY02, $67,179; and FY03, $10,747.

43. Includes $768,000 of O&M funds and costs through FY03, prior to CAP C.G. funding. O&M funds were used for the Section 933 report and plans and specifications and will be included in the final accounting and cost sharing calculations.

TABLE 6-B AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Project and Work Authorized	Documents
	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY BETWEEN NORFOLK, VA. AND ST. JOHNS RIVER, FLA.	
July 25, 1912	Original route of the Norfolk-Beaufort Inlet section.	H.D. 391, 62d Cong., 2d sess.
July 25, 1912	Purchase of canal.	H.D. 589, 62d Cong., 2d sess.
August 8, 1917	Change in route; following changes approved by Secretary of War, April 14, 1919. Albemarle Sound-Pamlico Sound section: Changed from "Alligator River-Rose Bay route" to "Alligator River- Pungo River route." Pamlico Sound-Neuse River section: Changed from "Pamlico Sound-Brant Shoal Neuse River route" to "Goose Creek-Bay River route."	H.D. 1478, 63d Cong., 3d sess. and H. D. 1136, 64th Cong., 1st sess.[1]
July 18, 1918	Alligator River-Pungo route (proposed land cut connecting the rivers): Changed from a straight line to a bent line approaching nearer town of Fairfield, N. C.	Approved by Secretary of War, May 15, 1919.
January 21, 1927	A 12-foot channel 90 feet wide from Beaufort to Cape Fear River, N.C., including highway bridge and tidal lock.[4]	H.D. 450, 69th Cong., 1st sess.
July 3, 1930	An 8-foot channel 75 feet wide from Cape Fear River to Winyah Bay, S.C.	H.D. 41, 71st Cong., 1st sess.
March 4,1933[2]	Construct a suitable bridge near Fairfield, N. C.	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 5, 72d Cong., 1st sess.
June 26, 1934[3]	Operating and care of works of improvements provided for with funds from War Department appropriations for rivers and harbors.	
August 26, 1937	Increasing dimensions of waterway to 12 feet deep and 90 feet wide.	Rivers and Harbors Committee, Doc. 6, 75th Cong., 1st sess.[1]
August 26, 1937	A 12-foot side channel 90 feet wide to Swansboro.	Rivers and Harbors Committee, Doc. 16, 75th Cong., 1st sess.[1]
June 20, 1938	A yacht basin near Southport, 12 feet deep, 230 feet wide, and 450 feet long, with connecting channel.	H.D. 549, 75th Cong., 3d sess.[1]
June 20, 1938	A 6-foot channel 90 feet wide from New River Inlet to Inland Waterway.	H.D. 691, 75th Cong., 3d sess.[1]
March 2, 1945	Six mooring basins.[5]	H.D. 660, 76th Cong., 3d sess.[1]
June 30, 1948	A 12-foot channel in New River.[6, 11]	H.D. 421, 80th Cong., 1st sess.[1]
May 17, 1950	Vicinity of Fairfield - drainage.	H.D. 723, 80th Cong., 2d sess. [1]
May 17, 1950	Masonboro Inlet and connecting channels, including jetties at the inlet.[7,12] .	H.D. 341, 81st Cong., 1st sess.[1]
September 3, 1954	A 12-foot channel and basin in Peltier Creek.[8]	H.D. 379, 81st Cong., 1st sess. [1]
November 29, 1963, Sec. 107 July 14, 1960	A 6-foot channel 90 feet wide from Intracoastal Waterway to Bogue Inlet gorge.	Detailed Project Report April 1963.
April 7,1966 Sec. 107, July 14, 1960	An 8-foot channel 150 feet wide through New Topsail Inlet, thence a 7-foot channel 80 feet wide to Intracoastal Waterway by way of Old Topsail Creek; and a 7-foot channel 80 feet wide in Banks Channel from New Topsail Inlet, paralleling barrier beach, to Intracoastal Waterway.	Detailed Project Report July 1965.

TABLE 6-B AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Project and Work Authorized	Documents
November 7, 1966	Maintenance of a channel 6 feet deep, 80 feet wide, and 8,000 feet long, from the through channel of the waterway to and including a basin of the same depth, 130 feet wide and 180 feet long at Carolina Beach.	H.D. 515, 89th Cong., 2d sess.1
November 7, 1966	Maintenance of general navigation features of N.C. State Ports Authority Small Boat Harbor at Southport.	H.D. 514, 89th Cong., 2d sess.
December 31, 1970	Replacement of federally-owned and operated highway bridges at Coinjock, Fairfield, Wilkerson Creek, Hobucken, and Core Creek.	H.D. 142, 92nd Cong., 1st sess.
October 22, 1976	Modification of terms of local cooperation to allow for full Federal funding of Wilkerson Creek and Coinjock Bridges.	H.D. 597, 94th Cong., 2d sess.
August 13, 1968	Mitigation of damages caused by north jetty at Masonboro Inlet.	Approved by OCE Oct. 2,1980
February 18, 1982, Sec. 107 July 14, 1960	An 8-foot channel 150 feet wide through Carolina Beach Inlet to the Intracoastal Waterway.	Detailed Project Report June 1980
September 7, 1983, Sec. 107 July 14, 1960	An 8-foot channel 150 feet wide from the gorge in Bogue Inlet through the ocean bar.	Detailed Project Report May 1983
November 17, 1986	Modification of terms of local cooperation to allow for full Federal funding of Core Creek, Hobucken and Fairfield Bridges.	
	BEAUFORT HARBOR, N.C.	
March 3, 1881	A 9-foot channel 200 feet wide through Bulkhead Channel to Beaufort; a 6-foot channel 100 feet wide to North River and Core Sound; and construct jetties on Shackleford Point.	Annual Report, 1881, p.1013
March 2, 1907	Repairs to Fort Macon jetties and additional jetties and shore protection.	Specified in act.
March 3, 1925	Bulkhead across Bird Shoal.	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 8, 68th Cong., 2d sess.1
July 3, 1930	Increase in depth to 12 feet in Bulkhead Channel, Gallants Channel, and in front of Beaufort.	H.D. 776, 69th Cong., 2nd sess.1
March 2, 1945	Increase in width and length of basin in front of Beaufort, all to 12 feet deep.	H.D. 334, 76th Cong., 2nd sess.1
May 17,1950	Increase in depth to 12 feet and in width to 100 feet in Taylors Creek; transfer to Beaufort Harbor project.	H.D. 111, 81st Cong., 2nd sess.1
May 21, 1965, Sec. 107 July 14, 1960	Channels 15 feet deep, 100 feet wide in Bulkhead, Gallants and Taylors Creek channels, and through turning basin in front of Beaufort; and harbor of refuge in Town Creek, 12 feet deep, 400 feet wide and 900 feet long connected to Gallants Channel by channel 12 feet deep, 150 feet wide and 1,400 feet long.	Detailed Project Report April 1965
August 12, 1983 Sec. 107 July 14, 1960	A channel 14 feet deep, 70 feet wide, and 1,900 feet long, from Bulkhead Channel to a turning basin 14 feet deep, 150 feet wide, and 300 feet long near the upper end of Morgan Creek.	Detailed Project Report June 1983

TABLE 6-B AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Project and Work Authorized	Documents
	SILVER LAKE HARBOR, N. C.	
July 3, 1930	Entrance channel, 5 feet deep and 50 feet wide, from Pamlico Sound to the 3-foot contour in the lake, and training wall at entrance.	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 3, 70th Cong., 1st sess.
October 17, 1940	Channel, 10 feet deep, and anchorage basin of same depth, 100 feet wide across Big Foot Slough and 60 feet wide in entrance.	H.D. 325, 76th Cong., 1st sess.[1]
February 7, 1967, Sec. 107 July 14, 1960	Basin depth of 12 feet in Silver Lake Harbor; channels 12 feet deep, 150 feet wide, in Teaches Hole and Big Foot Slough Channels and across Bluff Shoal; entrance channel, same depth, 60 feet wide; and training wall on south side of entrance channel.	Detailed Project Report July 9, 1965
	WATERWAY CONNECTING PAMLICO SOUND AND BEAUFORT HARBOR, N. C.	
August 30, 1935[9]	A 7-foot channel 75 feet wide from Pamlico Sound to Beaufort Harbor via Wainright Channel.	H.D. 485, 72d Cong., 2d sess.[1]
August 26, 1937	A7-foot channel 75 feet wide to Atlantic.	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 92, 74th Cong., 2d sess.[1]
March 2, 1945	Channels, 7 feet deep, 75 feet wide, at east and west ends of Harkers Island and side channel 5 feet deep, 75 feet wide, with basin 150 by 130 feet, same depth, at Davis.	H.D. 99, 77th Cong., 1st sess.[1]
March 2, 1945	A 7-foot side channel 75 feet wide, with basin 200 feet by 500 feet, same depth, at sea level.	S.D. 247, 77th Cong., 2d sess.[1]
May 17,1950	A6-foot side channel 60 feet wide, with basin 100 feet by about 600 feet, same depth, at Marshallberg.	H.D. 68, 81st Cong., 1st sess.[1]
July 11, 1963, Sec. 107 July 14, 1960	A side channel 7 feet deep, 70 feet wide to local harbor at Cedar Island and an access channel 6 feet deep, 60 feet wide and about 400 feet long to a basin same depth, 60 by 100 feet.	Detailed Project Report Dec.12, 1962
September 22, 1967, Sec. 107 July 14, 1960	An entrance channel 6 feet deep, 60 feet wide, from Back Sound to harbor of refuge 120 by 250 feet, same depth, at west end of Harkers Island in Brook Creek.	Detailed Project Report March 13, 1967
February 17, 1969, Sec. 107 July 14, 1960	A channel 7 feet deep, 70 feet wide, from existing side channel at Atlantic to a basin 600 by 180 feet, same depth, with a breakwater, between mouth of Little Port Brook and White Point.	Detailed Project Report December.21, 1967
April 3, 1975, Sec. 107 July 14, 1960[10]	Deepening the existing 5-foot channel and basin at Davis to 7 feet.	Detailed Project Report Feb. 8, 1974

1. Contains latest published maps.
2. Public Law No. 443, 72d Cong.
3. Permanent Appropriations Repeal Act.
4. Tidal lock in land cut between Myrtle Sound and Cape Fear River, deauthorized September 23, 1986, under authority of Sec. 12, PL93-251.
5. Deauthorized August 5, 1977, under authority of Sec. 12, PL 93-251.
6. A12-foot by 90-foot channel in New River from Intracoastal Waterway to and including a basin at Jacksonville, N. C.
7. Jetties on each side of Masonboro Inlet.
8. A 6-foot by 50-foot channel provided under Section 3,River and Harbor Act of March 2, 1945; 12-foot by 90-foot project deauthorized November 17, 1986, under authority of 1002, PL99-662.
9. Included in the Public Works Administration Program January 3, 1934.
10. Deauthorized by the Chief of Engineers March 31, 1982.
11. Deauthorized January 1, 1990, under authority of Sec. 1001(b)(1), PL99-662.
12. Training wall at Masonboro Inlet deauthorized April 5, 1999 under authority of Sec. 1001 (b)(1), PL99-662.

TABLE 6C OTHER AUTHORIZED NAVIGATION PROJECTS

Project	Annual Report For Last Full Report	Cost to September 30, 2005 Construction	Cost to September 30, 2005 Operation and Maintenance
Aquatic Plant Control[1] (R & H Act of 1958 and 1962)	1969	70,664	—
Aquatic Plant Control (R & H Act of 1965)[1,6]	2002	958,800	31,809
Atlantic Beach Channels, N.C.	2002	517,995[7]	528,595
Avon Harbor, N.C. [1]	1999	74,096	1,821,129
Bay River, N.C.[1]	1950	44,382	49,627
Belhaven Harbor, N.C.[1.]	1998	126,687	773,175
Black River, N.C.[1]	1969	12,358	124,846
Cashie River, N.C.[1]	1950	40,403	15,905
Channel Connecting Thoroughfare Bay with Cedar Bay, N.C.[1]	1975	69,610	25,615
Channel From Back Sound to Lookout Bight, N.C.[1]	2001	88,328	3,384,794
Channel from Pamlico Sound to Rodanthe, N.C.[1]	1998	42,029	856,572
Chowan River, N.C.	1950	—	—
Contentnea Creek, N.C.[1]	1941	64,395	32,247
Drum Inlet, N.C.[1]	1999	166,119	3,725,663
Edenton Harbor, N.C.[1]	1960	73,750	47,642
Far Creek, N.C.[1]	1999	164,642	2,314,445
Fishing Creek, N.C.[1]	1922	22,715	8,633
Knobb's Creek, N.C.[1,2]	1961 [3]	80,500	48,969
Mackay Creek, N.C.[1]	1938 [3]	13,375	6,273
Neuse River, N.C.[1]	1999	477,223[5]	336,747
Newbegun Creek, N.C.[1]	1928 [3]	4,802	247
Northeast Cape Fear River, N.C.[1]	1950	10,688	61,139
Ocracoke Inlet, N.C.[1]	2001	346,240	1,032,786
Pembroke Creek, N.C.[1]	1976	60,000	—
Perquimans River, N.C.[1]	1910 [3]	13,750	414
Scuppernong River, N.C.[1]	1950	81,164	92,825
Shallotte River, N.C.[1]	2002	18,181	718,163
Silver Lake Harbor, N.C.[1]	2003	184,284	12,187,869
Smith's Creek (Pamlico County), N.C.[1]	1989	113,273	55,771
Smiths Creek (Wilmington), N.C.[1]	1950	8,507	18
South River, N.C.[1]	1936	12,452	23,686
Stumpy Point Bay, N.C.[1]	1999	268,381	1,181,650
Swift Creek, N.C.[1]	1940	1,600	5,422
Trent River, N.C.[1,4]	1968	115,199	143,579
Wallace Channel, Pamlico Sound, N.C.[1]	1965	132,834	85,299
Waterway Connecting Pamlico Sound and Beaufort Harbor, N.C.	2000	502,567	4,182,561
Waterway Connecting Swanquater Bay with Deep Bay, N.C.[1]	1997	751,099	193,880
Waterway - Norfolk, Va. to Sounds of N.C.	1976	751,196	2,893,812
Wrights Creek N.C. [1]	1984	68,325	166,602

1. Completed.
2. Transferred from Norfolk District (FY1970 Meherrin River, N.C., transferred to Norfolk District.)
3. Report of Norfolk District.4. 8-foot modification deauthorized August 5, 1977, under authority of Sec. 12, PL93-251
5. Includes $1,959 refund to local interests Dawson Creek, N.C.
6. Includes $2,369 returned to local interests in FY 82.
7. Includes $2,055 refund to local interests.
8. Federal funds and costs include $25,000 for previous projects.

TABLE 6D OTHER AUTHORIZED SHORE PROTECTION PROJECTS

Project	For Last Full Report See Annual Report For	Cost to September 30, 2005 Construction	Cost to September 30, 2005 Operation and Maintenance
Fort Fisher and Vicinity, N.C.	1997	5,966,730	__
Fort Macon Park, N.C.[1]	1973	620,000	__

1. Uncompleted portion of project was deauthorized November 17, 1986, under authority of Sec. 1002, PL99-662.

TABLE 6E OTHER AUTHORIZED ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION PROJECTS

Project	For Last Full Report See Annual Report For	Cost to September 30, 2005 Construction	Cost to September 30, 2005 Operation and Maintenance
Battery Island Bird Habitat Preservation, N.C. (CAP Section 204)	2002	1,220,850	__
Cape Fear L&D No.1 Fish Ladder, N.C. (CAP Section 1135)	2002	63,000	__

TABLE 6-E OTHER AUTHORIZED FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS

Project	For Last Full Report See Annual Report For	Cost to September 30, 2005 Construction	Cost to September 30, 2005 Operation and Maintenance
Ararat River, Mount Airy, N. C. [1]	1987	4,901,854	__
Black River, Harnett County, N. C. [1]	1984	475,574	__
Blackberry Creek, Henry Country, Va. [1]	1994	22,870	__
Broad Creek, Beaufort County, N. C. [1]	1972	283,846	__
Conetoe Creek, N. C. [1]	1960	29,867	__
Contentnea Creek, N. C. [1]	1939-1943 & 1958	50,889	__
Core Creek, N. C. [1]	1965	236,223[7]	__
Dan River, Madison Wastewater Treatment Plant, N. C. [1]	1989	175,315	__
Danville (Southside Wastewater Treatment Plant) Va. [1]	1999	279,562	__
Deep Creek, Edgecombe County, N. C. [1]	1984	394,055	__
Ellerbe Creek, Durham County, N. C. [1]	1963	223,413	__
Ellis Swamp, Gates County, N. C. [1]	1971	138,117[4]	__
Filberts Creek, N. C. [1]	1970	37,777[3]	__
Gapway Swamp, N. C. & S. C. [1,8]	1969	374,005	__
Gardners Creek, N. C. [1]	1972	54,597[6]	__
Genoa Sewer Facility, Wayne County, N. C. [1]	1985	167,800	__
Goldsboro, Neuse River, N.C.	1984	50,430	623,687
Hamlet City Lake, N.C.	2002	3,019,828	__
Joyce Creek, Camden County, N. C. [1]	1984	606,189	__
King (Water Plant), N.C. [1]	1998	270,227	__
Leesville, Va. [1]	1989	367,755	__
Leith's Creek, Scotland County, N. C. [1, 10]	1982	430,951	__
Lick Run, Roanoke, Va. [1]	1974	1,280,317	__
Little Rockfish Creek, Hope Mills, N. C. [1]	1978	113,657	__
Little Sugar Creek, Charlotte, N. C. [1, 8]	2004	485,000[12,13]	__
Little Sugar Creek, Charlotte, N. C. [1, 8]	2004	567,000[14,15]	__
Lower Creek, Lenoir, N.C. [1]	1997	638,500	__
Neuse River, Oriental, N. C. [1]	1992	370,446	__
Moravian Creek, Wilkesboro, NC	2004	742,543[16,17]	__
New River, N.C. [1]	1950 & 1956	51,896	__
New River, Onslow County, N. C. [1]	1972	580,977	__
N.C. Aquarium, Dare County, N.C. [1]	1998	708,000	__
Northeast Cape Fear River, N. C. [1]	1961	95,873	__
Old Field Swamp, N. C. [1, 8]	1969	86,600	__
Oriental, South Avenue, N.C. [1]	1997	542,800	__
Pantego Creek and Cucklers Creek, N. C.	1963	517,948	__
Pasquotank River, N. C	1960	80,931	__
Perquimans River, N. C. [1]	1961	6,366	__
Pungo Creek, N. C. [1]	1972	582,270	__
Pungo River, N. C. [1]	1971	296,602[2,3]	__
Simmons Bay, N. C. [8]	1963	186,435	__
South Creek, N. C. [1]	1971	194,367[5]	__
Stuart, Va. [1]	1989	2,220,440	__
Swift Creek, Pitt and Craven Counties, N. C. [1]	1966	611,096	__
Tar River, N. C.	1964	81,266	61,473
Tar River, N. C. [1]	1947	18,624	__
Tar River and Tributaries, N. C. [1]	1943	22,660	__
Tar River, Princeville, N. C. [1]	1967	390,249	__
Thomasville (Walnut Street), N.C. [1]	1996	59,919	__
Trent River, N. C. [1]	1953	64,769	__
Waccamaw River & Seven Creeks, N. C. & S. C. [1 8]	1961	67,821	__
White Oak Dike, Bladen and Pender Counties, N.C. [1]	1963	214,286	__

1. Project authorized by Chief of Engineers under continuing authority.
2. Includes $17,356 refund to local interests.
3. Adjusted to reflect actual cost.
4. Includes $1,519 refund to local interests.
5. Includes $387 refund to local interests
6. Includes $338 refund to local interests
7. Adjusted $3,000 to include preauthorization cost prior to FY 1960.
8. Transferred from Charleston District, FY 1980.
9. Reclassified to inactive category February 3, 1976.
10. Transferred from Charleston District, FY 1984.
11. Includes $1,057 refund to local interests.

12. Includes preauthorization study funds; FY98, $40,000; FY99, $110,000; FY00, $10,000 and preconstruction planning funds; FY00, $65,000; FY01, $145,000; FY02, $97,000;.FY03, $13,000; and FY04, $5,000.

13. Includes preauthorization study costs; FY98, $16,807; FY99, $128,745; FY00, $14,448 and preconstruction planning costs; FY00, $38,176; FY01, $156,678; FY02, $89,036; FY03, $35,839; and FY04, $5,271.

14. Includes preauthorization study funds: FY99, $59,000; FY00, $111,000 and preconstruction planning funds: FY00, $40,000; FY01, $190,000; FY02, $136,000; FY03, $27,000; and FY04, $4,000.

15. Includes preauthorization study costs: FY99, $44,071; FY00, $112,681; FY01, $11,766; FY02, $1,482; and preconstruction planning costs: FY00, $39,968; FY01, $155,536; FY02, $144,441; FY03, $52,672; and FY04, $4,383.

16. Includes preauthorization study funds: FY91, $70,000; FY92, $15,000; FY93, $3,000; FY94, $35,000; FY95, $4,543 and preconstruction planning funds: FY95, $457; FY96, $20,000; FY97, $55,000; FY98, $15,000; FY99, $10,000; FY00, $32,000; and FY01, $14,000.

17. Includes preauthorization study costs: FY91, $12,573; FY92, $69,544; FY93, $57; FY94, $32,103; FY95, $13,311; FY96, -$45 and preconstruction planning costs: FY96, $11,317; FY97, $26,257; FY98, $45,357; FY99, $15,425; FY 00, $23,500; and FY01, $24,601.

TABLE 6-G DEAUTHORIZED PROJECTS

Project	For Last Full Report See Annual Report For	Date Deauthorized	Federal Funds Expended	Contributed Funds Expended
Adkin Branch, N. C.	1982	8 Sept. 81[2]	219,477	__
AIWW- Masonboro Inlet - Training Wall	1998	5 April 99[8]	__	__
AIWW- Mooring Basins	None	5 Aug. 77[1]	__	__
AIWW- New River Onslow County, N. C.	1990	1 Jan. 90[6]	__	__
AIWW- Peltier Creek, N. C. 12-foot project	None	17 Nov. 86[5]	__	__
AIWW- Tidal Lock in Snows Cut	None	23 Sept. 86[1]	__	__
Bodie Island, N. C.	None	5 Aug. 77[1]	__	__
Cape Lookout, N. C.	None	5 Aug. 77[1]		
Conoho Creek, N. C.	1974	31 Mar. 78[2]	79,782	__
Contentnea Creek, N. C.	1972	31 Mar. 78[3]	4,250	__
Davis, N. C.	1982	31 Mar. 82[4]	25,419	__
Fort Macon State Park, N. C., Remaining Work	1973	17 Nov. 86[5]	__	__
Harbor of Refuge, Cape Lookout, N. C.	1934	1 Nov. 81[1]	1,396,653	__
Hominy Swamp, N. C.	1973	31 Mar. 78[2]	107,472	__
Howards Mill Lake, N.C.	1980	9 Jul. 95[8]	698,400	__
Hyde County Dike, N. C.	None	5 Aug. 77[1]	__	__
MacKay Creek, N.C.	1976	31 Mar. 78[2]	130,900	__
Mann's Harbor, N. C.	1973	31 Mar. 78[4]	7,265	__
Mill Creek, N. C.	1973	31 Mar. 78[2]	116,395	__
Mocassin Swamp, N. C.	1973	31 Mar. 78[2]	36,680	__
Morehead City Harbor, N. C. Jetties at Beaufort Inlet	1986	17 Nov. 86[5]	__	__
Moyock Creek, N. C.	1973	31 Mar. 78[2]	64,416	__
Nahunta Swamp, N. C.	1973	31 Mar. 78[2]	65,673	__
Neuse River, N. C.	None	31 Mar. 78[4]	30,911	__
Neuse River Barrier, N. C.	None	5 Aug. 77[1]	__	__
Neuse River, 300 ft.-wide channel in front of New Bern, N.C.	None	5 Aug. 77[1]	__	__
North River Dike, N. C.	None	5 Aug. 77[1]	__	__
Ocracoke Inlet Jetty, N. C.	1986	17 Nov. 86[5]	__	__
Ocracoke Island, N. C.	1975	17 Nov. 86[5]	129,592	__
Randleman Lake, N.C.	1994	16 Apr. 02[8]	4,786,088	__
Reddies River Lake, N.C.[9]	1980	16 Apr. 02[8]	985,800	__
Roanoke River, 50 mile long Channel from Palmya Landing to Weldon, N.C.	1983	17 Nov. 86[5]	__	__
Roaring River Lake, N.C.[9]	1978	16 Apr. 02[8]	370,000	__
Rockfish Creek, N. C.	1976	31 Mar. 78[2]	157,721	__
Scuppernong River, N. C.	1987	20 Apr. 88[2]	234,032	__
Six Runs Creek, N. C.	1971	31 Mar. 78[2]	64,977	__
Sweetwater Creek, N. C.	1973	31 Mar. 78[2]	64,584	__
Thoroughfare Swamp, N. C.	1976	31 Mar. 78[2]	132,767	__
Topsail Beach and Surf City, N.C.	None	5 Aug. 77[1]	__	__
Tranters Creek, N. C.	1974	11 Jan. 85[2]	139,339	__
Trent River, Basins and Access Channels at New Bern, N.C.	None	5 Aug. 77[1]	__	__
Wilmington Harbor Widening and Deepening, N.C.	1990	1 Jan. 90[6]	__	__

1. Deauthorized under authority of Sec. 12, PL93-251.
2. Deauthorized pursuant to the continuing authority provided the Chief of Engineers under Sec. 205 of the 1948 Flood Control Act, as amended.
3. Deauthorized pursuant to the continuing authority provided the Chief of Engineers under Sec. 208 of the 1954 Flood Control Act.
4. Deauthorized pursuant to the continuing authority provided the Chief of Engineers under Sec. 107 of the R&HAct of 1960, as amended.
5. Deauthorized under authority of Sec. 1002, PL99-662.
6. Deauthorized under authority of Sec. 1001 (b)(1), PL99-662.
7. Cost-to-date included in remaining authorized portion of project (Engineering and Design only).
8. Deauthorized under authority of Sec. 1001(b)(2), PL99-662.

TABLE 6-H DAMS AND RESERVOIRS

See Section in Text	Project	Name	Nearest City	River	Miles Above Mouth	Height of Dam (Ft)	Type	Reservoir Capacity (acre-feet)	Power Development (kilowatts)	Construction	Estimated Cost Lands and Damages1	Total
13.	Cape Fear	B.Everett Jordan[2]	Moncure, N.C.	Haw	4.3	112	E	753,500	-	89,186,000	58,414,000	147,600,000[3]
	River Basin, N.C.	Randleman[2,14]	Randleman, N.C.	Deep	85.0	110	E	108,000	-	62,300,000	61,700,000	124,000,000[13]
		Small reservoirs	-	Various	-	20 to 70+	E	923,000	-	-	-	38,454,000
16.	Neuse River	Falls[2]	Falls, N.C.	Neuse	-	92	E	335,620	-	91,334,000	91,666,000	183,000,000[4]
	Basin, N.C.	Wilson Mills	Wilson Mills, N.C.	Neuse	-	81	CG,E	201,000	-	-	-	13,000,000
		Beulahtown	Kenly, N.C.	Little	-	50	E	81,000	-	-	-	9,300,000
		Bakers Mills	Princeton, N.C.	Little	-	53	E	36,000	-	-	-	6,600,000
		Little Buffalo	Kenly, N.C.	Little Buffalo Creek	-	51	E	13,000	-	-	-	1,100,000
		Buckhorn	Wilson, N.C.	Contentnea Creek	-	63	E	119,000	-	-	-	17,300,000
		Wiggins Mill	Wilson, N.C.	Contentnea Creek	-	42	E	35,000	-	-	-	6,700,000
		Stantonsburg	Stantonsburg, N.C.	Tisnot Swamp	-	36	E	48,000	-	-	-	5,100,000
		Great Swamp	Fremont, N.C.	Great Swamp	-	39	E	18,000	-	-	-	1,800,000
		Black Creek	Wilson, N.C.	Black Creek	-	33	E	17,000	-	-	-	1,500,000
		Aycock Swamp	Fremont, N.C.	Aycock Swamp	-	37	E	7,000	-	-	-	550,000
		Hillsboro	Durham, N.C.	Eno	-	136	E	123,000	-	-	-	8,100,000
		Orange	Durham, N.C.	Little	-	107	E	57,000	-	-	-	3,500,000
18.	Yadkin River Basin, N.C.&S.C.	W. Kerr Scott, N.C.	Wilkesboro, N.C.	Yadkin-Pee Dee	404.0	148	E	153,000	-	5,749,343	3,360,657	9,110,000
25.	Roanoke River Basin, Va. and N.C.	John H. Kerr, Va., and N.C.[2]	Boydton, VA	Roanoke	178.7	144	CG,E	2,808,000	204,000	67,529,000	24,521,000	92,050,000[5]
		Philpott, Va.[2]	Bassett, Va.	Roanoke	336.2	220	CG[11]	249,800	14,000	13,933,000	1,157,000	15,090,000
		Gaston, Va. and N.C.[6]	Roanoke Rapids, N.C.	Roanoke	144.9	108	CG,E	432,000	54,000	27,000,000	3,500,000	30,500,000
		Roanoke Rapids, N.C.[7]	Roanoke Rapids, N.C.	Roanoke	137.0	75	CG	59,300	83,000	31,300,000	800,000	32,100,000
		Smith Mountain, Va.[8]	Altavista, Va.	Roanoke	314.2	244	CG	825,000	41,000	28,000,000	3,800,000	31,800,000
		Leesville, Va.[9]	Altavista, Va.	Roanoke	293.7	95	CG.E	76,900	20,000	9,100,000	1,000,000	10,100,000
		Taber, Va.	Altavista, Va.	Roanoke	275.0	54	CG	34,000	12,000	8,000,000	1,700,000	9,700,000
		Melrose, Va.	Bookneal, Va.	Roanoke	262.9	110	CG,E	120,000	43,000	17,700,000	6,000,000	23,700,000[10]
		Randolph, Va.	Chase City, Va.	Roanoke	227.8	147	CG,E	350,000	48,000	22,100,000	4,700,000	26,800,000
		Stuart, Va. and N.C.	Spray, N.C.	Roanoke	297.2	138	E	163,000	15,000	9,000,000	1,100,000	10,100,000
		Schoolfield, Va. and N.C.	Danville, Va.	Roanoke	265.9	126	CG,E	248,000	80,000	27,800,000	6,400,000	36,000,000

1. Includes highway, railroad, and utility relocations.
2. For details, see individual report.
3. Includes $3,700,000 presently allocated to water supply to be reimbursed in the future by local interests and $44,000 cash contribution for construction of ranger security buildings.
4. Includes $13,637,000 presently allocated to water supply and $21,595,000 recreation and fish and wildlife to be reimbursed in the future by local interests.
5. Exclusive of transmission lines.
6. Construction completed in 1963 by Virginia Electric and Power Co.
7. Based on modified plan developed in fiscal year 1949. Construction completed June 1955 by Virginia Electric and Power Co.
8. Construction completed in February 1966 by Appalachian Power Co.
9. Construction completed in June 1963 by Appalachian Power Co.
10. Includes cost of earth dam on Whipping Creek.
11. Authorizing legislation provided for earth dam; concrete gravity dam constructed.
12. Includes $1,600,000 presently allocated to recreation and fish and wildlife.
13. Includes $74,058,000 presently allocated to water supply, $8,646,000 allocated to recreation and $8,296,000 to flood control to be paid by local interests during construction.
14. Deauthorized April 2002

Key
E.....Earth
CG.....Concrete-Gravity

TABLE 6-I RECONNAISSANCE AND CONDITION SURVEYS

Project	Date Survey Conducted
Beaufort Harbor, N.C.	October, November, December 2004; March, July, September 2005
Channel From Pamlico Sound To Rodanthe, N.C.	July 2005
Rollinson Channel, N.C.	March, May, June 2005
Waterway Connecting Pamlico Sound and Beaufort Harbor, N.C.	October, December 2004
Silver Lake Harbor, N.C.	April, July, September 2005
Stumpy Point Bay, N.C.	July 2005

NAVIGATION WORK UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION
Navigation Activities CAP Section 107, Public law 86-645 (Preauthorization)

TABLE 6-J

Study Identification	Fiscal Period Cost
Newport River, Carteret County, N.C.	7,062[1]
Shallotte River, Brunswick County, N.C.	1,015
Walter Slough, Dare County, N.C.	2,557
New River Inlet, Onslow County, N.C.	1,529
Section 107 Coordination Account	0

1. Includes $4,031 contributed funds cost.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION WORK - SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION
CAP Sections 1135, 206, 204, 933
(Preauthorization)

TABLE 6-L

Study Identification	Fiscal Period Cost
Pleasant Green Road Dam Removal, Eno River Near Durham, N.C.	3,561[2]
Western Cary Streams Restoration, Cary, N.C.	50,022[2]
Concord Streambank Restoration	52,616[2]
Section 1135 Coordination Account	1,859
Section 206 Coordination Account	8,890
Section 206 Preliminary Restoration Plans	5,956
Section 204 Coordination Account	0

1. Sec. 1135 Project.
2. Sec. 206 Project.
3. Sec. 204 Project.
4. Sec. 933 Project.

FLOOD CONTROLWORK UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION
Flood Control Activities CAP Section 205,
Public Law 858, 80th Congress, as amended
(Preauthorization)

TABLE 6-L

Study Identification	Fiscal Period Cost
Cashie River, Windsor, N.C.	3,453
Neuse River, Seven Springs, N.C.	7,689
Sanitary Sewer and Fairway Lane, Mount Airy, N.C.[1]	178,755[2]
Stony Creek, Rocky Mount, N.C.	1,902
Section 205 Coordination Account	0
Section 14 Coordination Account	0

1. Sec. 14 Project.
2. Includes $79,074 non-Federal contributed funds cost.

CHARLESTON, S.C., DISTRICT*

This district comprises all of South Carolina (except local watersheds draining into the Savannah River). It embraces the drainage basins tributary to the Atlantic Ocean between Little River and Port Royal Sound, except watersheds of Mackey and Skull Creeks, and excluding Hilton Head Island.

IMPROVEMENTS

Navigation

1. Aquatic Plant Control, SC 7-1
2. Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway Between Norfolk, VA and St. Johns River, FL 7-2
3. Brookgreen Gardens, SC 7-2
4. Charleston Harbor, SC..... 7-2
5. Cooper River, Charleston Harbor, SC 7-3
6. Folly River, SC..... 7-4
7. Georgetown Harbor, SC 7-4
8. Little River Inlet, NC and SC 7-4
9. Murrells Inlet, SC 7-4
10. Town Creek, SC 7-5
11. Reconnaissance and Condition Surveys 7-5
12. Other Authorized Navigation Projects..... 7-5
13. Navigation Work Under Special Authorization..... 7-5

Shore Protection

14. Folly Beach, SC..... 7-5
15. Hunting Island Waterline, SC..... 7-6
16. Other Authorized Shore Protection Projects..... 7-6
17. Shore Protection Work Under Special Authorization7-6

Flood Control

18. Inspection of Completed Works 7-6
19. Other Authorized Flood Control Projects..... 7-6
20. Flood Control Work Under Special Authorization... 7-6

Emergency Bank Protection

21. Streambank Erosion Under Special Authorization... 7-6

Environmental Infrastructure

22. Lakes Marion & Moultrie, SC..... 7-7

Multiple Purpose Projects Including Power

23. Multiple Purpose Projects Including Power 7-7

Miscellaneous

24. Ecosystem Restoration and Protection 7-7
25. Emergency Response Activities..... 7-7
26. General Regulatory Activities..... 7-8

General Investigations

27. Active Investigations..... 7-8

Tables

Table 7-A Cost and Financial Statement.....7-9
Table 7-B Authorizing Legislation 7-12
Table 7-C Other Authorized Navigation Projects……7-17
Table 7-D Other Authorized Shore Protection Projects ..7-17
Table 7-E Other Authorized Flood Control Projects 7-18
Table 7-F Multiple Purpose Projects Including Power 7-18
Table 7-G Deauthorized Projects 7-19
Table 7-H Other Authorized Streambank Erosion Control Projects 7-20
Table 7-I Active General Investigations.....7-21
Table 7-J Flood Control Work Under Special Authorization 7-22
Table 7-K Inspection of Completed Works…… 7-23
Table 7-L Other Authorized Environmental Projects 7-23
Table 7-M Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration Under Special Authorization 7-24

*All costs and financial statements for projects are listed at the end of this chapter. All other tables are referenced in text and also appear at the end of this chapter.

.

Navigation

1. AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL, SC

Location. The project includes all public waters in the State of South Carolina.

Existing Project. The project provides for the control of noxious aquatic plant growths from public waters in the combined interest of navigation, flood control, drainage, agriculture, fish and wildlife conservation, and public health.

Local Cooperation. Local interests must agree to hold the United States free from damages that may occur from operations performed in connection with this project and contribute 50 percent of the total cost. The South Carolina Department of Natural Resources, Water Resources Division, is designated as the State's lead agency for aquatic plant management and meets local interest requirements.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Aquatic plants now infest approximately 8,000 acres, with the worst infestations occurring in the Cooper River, Back River Reservoir, and various public water bodies in Georgetown and Charleston Counties. During FY 05, 3,935 acres of aquatic plants in 19 water bodies were treated to control problematic growth. The total cost of control operations (non-Federal and Federal) in FY 05 was $655,535 of which the Federal share was $270,317.

2. ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY BETWEEN NORFOLK, VA AND ST. JOHNS RIVER, FL (CHARLESTON DISTRICT)

Location. The project starts near Little River at the North Carolina-South Carolina state line and extends generally south along the coast of South Carolina 62 miles to Winyah Bay; thence 63.5 miles to Charleston; thence 84.5 miles to and including Port Royal Sound; a total of 210 miles. (See National Ocean Survey Charts Nos. 11513, 11521, 11531, 11534, and 11535.)

Previous projects. For further details see page 613 of Annual Report for 1932.

Existing project. The project provides for a waterway twelve feet deep and not less than 90 feet wide with a branch channel of the same dimensions to McClellanville and construction of three bridges crossing the waterway in Horry County, South Carolina. Existing project was completed in 1940; three bridges were completed in 1936. (See Table 7-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. None required.

Terminal facilities. There are rail-water terminals at Georgetown, Charleston and Port Royal and numerous open-pile wharves mostly for shipping agricultural products, fish, oysters, pulpwood, wood products, and petroleum products. Marinas are located at convenient intervals along the waterway where limited supplies and repair facilities are available for both commercial vessels and pleasure craft. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce and recreation requirements.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: None. Maintenance: Maintenance dredging was performed by Dredge Enterprise, LLC using a pipeline dredge in the AIWW from Winyah Bay to Charleston during June – September 2005, removing 930,899 cubic yards of material, at a cost of $1,908,207. Costs of $108,014 were incurred for mosquito abatement and trenching. Condition and operation studies, natural resource management, project operations management, planning, engineering and design and supervision and administration costs were $318,324. Federal costs for the project were $2,334,545.

3. BROOKGREEN GARDENS, SC

Location. The project is located on the western boundary of Brookgreen Gardens near Murrells Inlet in Georgetown County, SC.

Existing project. The project provides for a 3,600 foot canal with a 30 foot bottom width maintained to a depth of four feet mlw. (See Table 7-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local Cooperation. Requirements are fully satisfied.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: None. Maintenance: Maintenance dredging was performed by Dredge Enterprise, LLC using a pipeline dredge during Sep 05, removing 5,343 cubic yards of material, at a cost of $205,000. Planning, engineering and design and supervision and administration costs were $11,783. Federal costs for the project were $216,783.

4. CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC

Location. The harbor is located on the coast of South Carolina about 15 miles south of the midpoint of the coastline, 50 miles southwest of Winyah Bay, SC, and 80 miles northeast of the mouth of Savannah River. (See National Ocean Survey Charts Nos. 11521 and 11524.)

Previous projects. For further details see page 1808 of the Annual Report for 1915; page 579 of the Annual Report for 1926; page 562 of the Annual Report for 1938; page 425 of the Annual Report for 1962; and page 7-2 of the Report for 1997.

Existing project. The project as authorized by the 1996 Water Resources Development Act provides for deepening of the entrance channel from 42 ft deep to 47 ft deep and the inner channels from 40 ft deep to 45 ft deep. Other improvements include realignment/widening of various channels/reaches, construction of a new turning basin opposite the future Daniel Island terminal, construction of a new contraction dike, reconstruction of two existing dikes and removal of a third existing dike. Removal of the east contraction dike on Daniel Island was completed in June 1999. Dredging of the Daniel Island Reach was completed in July 2000. The Entrance Channel dredging was completed in September 2001. Dredging in the Lower Harbor was completed in April 2002. Construction of dikes at the Clouter Creek disposal area continues. Dredging in the Upper Harbor was completed in May 2004. The entire project is scheduled for completion in June 2010. (See Table 7-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Requirements are described in full on pg 7-2 of the FY 99 Annual Report. The non-Federal partner is the South Carolina State Ports Authority. The Project Cooperation Agreement was executed on June 5, 1998.

Terminal facilities. The South Carolina State Ports Authority owns and operates four public terminals and a grain elevator in Charleston Harbor. These terminals offer more than two miles of berthing space, room enough for seventeen vessels at one time. The Ports Authority operates twenty-one container cranes, thirty rubber tire gantry cranes, twenty-three toplifters, nine emptyhandlers, and two traveling breakbulk gantry cranes. There are 1.4 million square feet of warehouse space with covered rail access and truck loading docks. In addition to both CSX and Norfolk Southern rail lines, over 150 truck carriers provide inland transportation for Charleston Harbor. Facilities for marine repairs and servicing are available at Detyens Shipyard, which operates two yards. The main shipyard is located on the Cooper River and the original, smaller shipyard is located on the Wando River. For further details see Port Series No. 13, 1987, Corps of Engineers.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Costs of $102,117 were incurred for prior years dredging in the Upper Harbor. Costs of $657,750 were incurred for Request for Equitable Adjustments to Marinex Construction Company for debris delays in the Upper Harbor. Costs of $148,080 were incurred for trenching in the North Cell of the Clouter Creek Disposal Area. Real estate costs, engineering and design and supervision and administration costs were $18,462. Total project costs were $926,409; $852,890 Federal and $73,519 non-Federal. Maintenance: Dredging was performed (a) by Cottrell Contracting Corporation using a pipeline dredge in the Upper Harbor during January – September 2005, removing 1,325,434 cubic yards of material, at a cost of $2,066,537, and (b) by Weeks Marine Inc. using a pipeline dredge in the Lower Harbor and Wando River during October - December 2004, removing 455,058 cubic yards of material, at a cost of $1,682,296 and (c) by Southern Dredging Company using a pipeline dredge in Lower Town Creek and Shem Creek during October - November 2004, removing 5,263 cubic yards of material, at a cost of $18,947. Costs of $45,000 were incurred for mosquito abatement and trenching. Costs of $574,621 were incurred for Request for Equitable Adjustments to Marinex Construction Company for debris delays in the Upper Harbor. Adjustments of -$243,749 were made for prior years dredging in the Upper Harbor. Condition and operation studies, planning, engineering and design, and supervision and administration costs were $1,366,965. Federal costs for maintenance were $5,510,617.

5. COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC

Location. Cooper River is located in Charleston and Berkeley Counties, SC, and empties into the Atlantic Ocean at Charleston (See National Ocean Survey Charts Nos. 11521 and 11524.)

Existing project. The major cause of the shoaling problem in Charleston Harbor was the diversion of fresh water from the Santee River through Pinopolis Dam into the salt water of Charleston Harbor, which caused density currents that trapped sediments resulting in a phenomenal rate of deposition. The most practical solution of the shoaling problem was to redivert most of the Santee River waters above Pinopolis Dam back into the lower Santee River through a canal beginning at Lake Moultrie and extending to the Santee River in the vicinity of St. Stephen, South Carolina. The project for Cooper River, as authorized by the 1968 River and Harbor Act, provided that the discharge through the existing Pinopolis Hydroplant be reduced to a flow which would not establish a density current in the harbor. This flow was estimated at 3,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) during the design phase of the project, but after operational tests, this flow is estimated at 4,500 cfs. The excess water impounded in Lake Moultrie is being directed through a new canal above St. Stephen, South Carolina, to enter the Santee River at a point well below the Lake Marion Spillway (Wilson Dam). A new hydroelectric facility was constructed on the new canal at the edge of the swamp adjacent to the Santee River. This plant replaces those features made less effective at Pinopolis through curtailment of flows. The average flow of 11,000 cfs at the new powerplant, plus the 4,500 cfs average release planned for Pinopolis, approximates the historical average flow at Pinopolis. The three generators at the new plant are rated at 28,000 kilowatts each, for a total of 84,000 kilowatts. In the interest of fish and wildlife resources of the area, the project includes a herring lift at the new powerhouse site and a fish hatchery. Construction as initiated March 1977. The power-on-line date was March 1985. The power plant, channels and canals, and attendant work were completed in FY 85. (See Table 7-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. None required.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Federal costs for the project were $112. Maintenance:

Funds of $5,276,630 were spent for maintenance of completed work.

6. FOLLY RIVER, SC

Location. The project begins in Folly River and Folly Creek north of the Town of Folly Beach and follows a southwesterly course into the Atlantic Ocean. (See National Ocean Survey Chart No. 11521.)

Existing project. The project provides for an entrance channel, eleven feet deep by 100 feet wide extending from the Stono River three miles through the ocean bar; a channel within Folly River nine feet deep and 80 feet wide, extending three miles downstream from U. S. Highway 171 to the confluence of Folly and Stono Rivers; and a channel within Folly Creek nine feet deep by 80 feet wide extending three miles downstream from Highway 171 to the confluence with the Folly River. The existing project was completed in FY 79. (See Table 7-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. None required.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: None. Maintenance: Maintenance dredging was performed by the government-owned sidecaster dredge *Fry* in the entrance channel during July 2005, removing 57,500 cubic yards of material, at a cost of $469,000. Condition and operations studies, environmental monitoring, planning, engineering and design and supervision and administrative costs were $25,429. Federal costs for the project were $494,429.

7. GEORGETOWN HARBOR, SC

Location. The harbor is located on the coast of South Carolina 50 miles northeast of Charleston Harbor and 90 miles southwest of the entrance to Cape Fear River, North Carolina. (See National Ocean Survey Chart No. 11532)

Previous projects. For further details see page 1806 of Annual Report for 1915; page 549 of Annual Report for 1938; and page 442 of Annual Report for 1944.

Existing project. The authorizations provide for a channel 27 feet deep with varying widths of 600 feet to 400 feet from the Atlantic Ocean to and including a turning basin at the U. S. Highway 17 bridge over Sampit River, with a side channel 2,400 feet long and not less than 200 feet wide leading to a turning basin at the upper end of the built-up portion of the city waterfront, a total of 17.9 miles. The project also provides for the continued maintenance to a depth of 18 feet and a width of 400 feet for the bypassed portion of Sampit River opposite the City of Georgetown. The existing project was completed in 1951. The jetties were completed in 1903 - 1904. (See Table 7-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. None required.

Terminal facilities. Terminal facilities at the Port of Georgetown consist of one 600-foot concrete wharf, one 700-foot bulkhead adjacent to a paved outside storage area, and one 600-foot steel berth. There are 103,000 square feet of transit warehouse space, 36,400 square feet of covered transit storage sheds, and 25 acres of paved backup space. Mobile cranes with up to a 225-ton lifting capacity are available. The port is equipped with special handling facilities for metals, cement, salt, and forest products. On-terminal rail service is provided by CSX and the port is accessible via U.S. Highways 17, 521, 701 and Interstates 95,26, and 20. For further details, see Port Series No. 13, 1987, Corps of Engineers.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: None. Maintenance: Maintenance dredging was performed by Cottrell Contracting Corporation in the Sampit River and Upper Winyah Bay by pipeline dredge during May – September 2005, removing 1,210,000 cubic yards of material, at a cost of $2,065,000. Condition and operation studies, planning, engineering and design and supervision and administration costs were $643,877. Federal costs for the project were $2,708,877.

8. LITTLE RIVER INLET, NC AND SC

Location. The project is located near the North Carolina-South Carolina state line. Little River enters the Atlantic Ocean at Little River Inlet at the state line and affords the only connection between the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway and the ocean along 68 miles of coastline from Shallotte, N. C. to Georgetown, S. C. (See National Ocean Survey Chart No. 11535.)

Existing project. The project provides for an entrance channel twelve feet by 300 feet across the ocean bar; thence a ten by 90-foot inner channel to the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway. The entrance channel is stabilized by jetties extending seaward 3,284 feet and 3,830 feet long on the east and west sides of the inlet, respectively. The project was completed in FY 84. (See Table 7-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Fully in compliance.

Terminal facilities. There are seven marinas, numerous private docks, and several public boat ramps located in or near Little River.

Operations and results during fiscal year.
New Work: None. Maintenance: None. Excess funds of $400 were transferred out of the project.

9. MURRELLS INLET, SC

Location. The project is located on the coast of South Carolina, in Georgetown County, about 13 miles southwest of the City of Myrtle Beach. The inlet is the ocean entrance through a

barrier beach to several tidal streams in the Murrells Inlet-Garden City estuarine area. (See National Ocean Survey Chart No. 11535.)

Existing project. The project provides for an entrance channel twelve feet by 300 feet across the seaward bar; thence a ten by 90-foot inner channel to a turning basin at the old Army crashboat dock. The entrance channel is stabilized by ocean jetties extending seaward 3,445 feet and 3,319 feet on the north and south sides of the inlet, respectively. The recreational project includes a walkway on the south jetty with access road and parking area. The existing project was completed in 1981. (See Table 7-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Fully in compliance.

Terminal facilities. There are five marinas, numerous private docks, and several public boat ramps located about the Murrells Inlet Harbor.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: None. Maintenance: Condition and operations studies and supervision and administration costs were $21,254.

10. TOWN CREEK, SC

Location. Town Creek Channel begins at the AIWW directly south of the Town of McClellanville in Charleston County, SC. The channel follows Five Fathom Creek in a southerly direction to the Atlantic Ocean. (See National Ocean Survey Chart No. 11531.)

Existing project. The project provides a channel ten feet deep by 80 feet wide from the AIWW to the mouth of Five Fathom Creek, a distance of 6.2 miles. The project includes an entrance channel twelve feet deep by 100 feet wide across the ocean bar, a distance of 4.0 miles. The existing project was completed in 1975. In 1989, Hurricane Hugo breached Sandy Point and created a new inlet to the ocean. This inlet continued to increase in size and was being used by local traffic to get to the Atlantic Ocean. In 1997, the district requested authority to maintain this new inlet in lieu of the existing authorized channel. Our request to abandon the existing Town Creek channel alignment and establish the Clark's Creek channel alignment was approved by headquarters. The proposed channel relocation, due to natural occurrences, is within the scope of the project authorization. The authorized project dimensions of 12 feet deep by 100 feet wide shall be maintained as appropriate. (See Table 7-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Requirements fully satisfied.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: None. Maintenance: Maintenance dredging was performed by the government-owned sidecaster dredge *Fry* and Snagboat *SNELL* during May 2005 – June 2005, at a cost of $379,300. Condition and operations studies, natural resource management, planning, engineering and design and supervision and administration costs were $13,550. Federal costs for the project were $392,850.

11. RECONNAISSANCE AND CONDITION SURVEYS

Costs of $331,022 were incurred.

12. OTHER AUTHORIZED NAVIGATION PROJECTS

(See Table 7-C.)

13. NAVIGATION WORK UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

Navigation Activities Pursuant to Section 107, Public Law 86-645, as amended (Preauthorization).

No cost incurred in FY05.

Snagging and Clearing for Navigation (Section 3, Public Law 79-14.)

No cost incurred in FY05.

Shore Protection

14. FOLLY BEACH, SC

Location. The municipality of Folly Beach, SC is located along the Atlantic shoreline of Folly Island, approximately 12 miles south of the City of Charleston, SC. Folly Island is the second island south of the Charleston Harbor entrance channel. (See National Ocean Survey Chart No. 11521).

Previous project. For further details see page 7-2 of the FY 2004 Annual Report. (See Table 7-B for authorizing legislation.)

Existing project. The authorized constructed project had provided Folly Beach protection for 11 years of storm damage reduction benefits. The quantity of advanced nourishment placed in front of the design berm had eroded away to the trigger point for scheduled renourishment prior to the start of hurricane season 2004. During the 2004 hurricane season Folly Beach endured a series of attacks by five tropical storms and a strong northeaster with offshore wave heights ranging up to 25 feet with the passage of Hurricane Charlie. Storm damages resulted in a loss of material from the project reach and the protective berm. This loss is directly attributable to the effects of the series of storms described above. Damages to the

shore protection project resulted in a loss of 552,000 cubic yards of material from the project reach.

The portion eligible for Public Law 84-99 (PL 84-99) Rehabilitation Assistance was the amount of sand necessary to restore the project to pre-storm condition of which the cost of repair was a fully Federal cost. The project sponsor desired to have the project fully restored at the same time the PL 84-99 rehabilitation effort was being accomplished. Full project restoration provides for restoration of the advance nourishment over the entire 5.47-mile project length. The cost of replacing the sand to restore the design profile was cost shared based on the agreed formula as explained in the Project Cooperation Agreement for the Folly Beach project.

Local cooperation. Requirements are described in full on page 7-8 of the FY 1994 Annual Report.

Operations and results during fiscal year. A contract was awarded to Weeks Marine, Inc. on March, 4, 2005 for $12,115,200. Sand placement was performed by pipeline dredge during May – September 2005, placing 1,408,864 cubic yards of material, at a cost of $7,637,805. Federal share of contract payments was $6,758,951. Sand placement is scheduled to be completed in December 2005. A contract for grassing and fencing was awarded to Professional Grading Clearing & Excavation on 27 September 2005 for $401,000. That work is scheduled to be performed in Spring 2006. Environmental activities, planning, engineering and design and supervision and administrative costs were $653,007. Total project costs were $8,290,812; $7,369,650 Federal and $921,162 non-Federal.

15. HUNTING ISLAND WATERLINE, SC

Location. Hunting Island is located approximately 16 miles east of Beaufort, South Carolina. The island is owned and operated as a State Park by the South Carolina Department of Parks, Recreation, and Tourism.

Previous projects. For further details see page 7-7 of the FY 2003 Annual Report. (See Table 7-B for authorizing legislation.)

Existing project. The authorized constructed project was completed in March 2003, with an expected project life of approximately 3 – 5 years. Erosion damage associated with wave activity generated by Hurricane Frances and Jeanne caused extensive erosion to the existing project berm. The portion eligible for Public Law 84-99 Rehabilitation Assistance was the amount of sand necessary to restore the project to pre-storm condition of which the cost of repair was a fully Federal cost.

Local cooperation. Requirements are described in full on page 7-7 of the FY 2003 Annual Report.

Operations and results during fiscal year. A contract was awarded to Marcol Dredging Company on March 17, 2005. Sand placement was performed by pipeline dredge during April – May 2005, placing 87,092 cubic yards of material over a project length of 2,484 feet, at a cost of $1,506,375. Environmental activities, planning, engineering and design and supervision and administrative costs were $158,176. Total federal costs for the project were $1,664,551.

16. OTHER AUTHORIZED SHORE PROTECTION PROJECTS

(See Table 7-D.)

17. SHORE PROTECTION WORK UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

Shore Protection Activities Pursuant to Section 103, Public Law 87-874 (Preauthorization).

Fiscal year costs were Battleground Road, James Island, SC, $61,283; and Morris Island Lighthouse, SC, $81,772.

Flood Control

18. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS

Flood Control Act of June 22, 1936, and subsequent acts require local interests to maintain and operate local protection projects after completion in accordance with regulations prescribed by Secretary of the Army. Inspections were made to determine extent of compliance and responsible local officials were advised of inadequacies in maintenance and operation on local flood protection works when appropriate. Cost for the period was $28,818. For project inspection data see Table 7-K.

19. OTHER AUTHORIZED FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS

(See Table 7-E.)

20. FLOOD CONTROL WORK UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

Flood Control Activities Pursuant to Section 205, Public Law 80-858, as amended (Preauthorization).

(See Table 7-J.)

Emergency Bank Protection

21.STREAMBANK EROSION UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

Emergency Streambank and Shoreline Protection Activities

Pursuant to Section 14, Public Law 79-526 as amended (Preauthorization).

Fiscal year costs were Westside Cemetery, Lancaster, SC, $19,914. (See Table 7-H for Other Authorized Streambank Erosion Control Projects.)

Snagging and Clearing of Navigable Streams and Tributaries in Interest of Flood Control, Section 208, Public Law 83-780.

No cost incurred in FY 05.

Environmental Infrastructure

22. LAKES MARION & MOULTRIE, SC

Location. The Lakes Marion and Moultrie, SC project is located in the east central part of the state and the two lakes make up the Santee Cooper Lake system. Calhoun, Clarendon, Colleton, Dorchester, Orangeburg, and Sumter Counties are located around Lakes Marion and Moultrie.

Existing project. Six counties and fourteen municipalities have joined together to form the Lake Marion Regional Water Agency. Using Lake Marion as a source, the system will provide potable water to satisfy the immediate and future water supply needs for a large portion of five counties and six municipalities located in central South Carolina in the proximity of Lake Marion. The proposed project includes construction of an 8 MGD (million gallon per day) water treatment plant and installation of approximately 62 miles of water transmission pipeline (includes six separable reaches) and installation of a sewer component. The five reaches are Santee, Elloree, Holly Hill, St. George, and Manning. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers currently has authorization to expend $35 million for planning, engineering, design, and construction assistance for the project. (See Table 7-B for authorizing legislation).

Local cooperation. The Design Agreement was executed on May 11, 2001 with the Lake Marion Regional Water Agency. An amendment was executed on January 14, 2002 that allowed the Corps to accept a design provided by the sponsor. A second amendment was executed on June 4, 2004 to include design of the sewer component. The Project Cooperation Agreement was executed on June 4, 2004.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Design efforts are underway on the pipeline reaches and sewer component. A contract for the water treatment plant was awarded to M.B. Kahn Construction Company, Inc. on September 7, 2004. Contract costs were $10,998,400. Environmental activities, planning, engineering and design and supervision and administrative costs were $846,089. Total costs for the project were $11,844,489; $9,483,583 Federal and $2,360,906 non-Federal.

23. MULTIPLE PURPOSE PROJECTS INCLUDING POWER

(See Table 7-F.)

Miscellaneous

24. ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AND PROTECTION

Project modifications accomplished under the authority of Section 206, Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration, Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (Preauthorization).

(See Table 7-M.)

Project modifications accomplished under the authority of Section 204, Beneficial Use of Dredged Material, Water Resources Development Act of 1986, as amended.

No cost incurred in FY05.

Project modifications accomplished under the authority of Section 1135, Project Modifications For Improvement of the Environment, Water Resources Development Act of 1986, as amended.

No cost incurred in FY05.

See Table 7-L for Other Authorized Environmental Projects.

25. EMERGENCY RESPONSE ACTIVITIES - FLOOD CONTROL AND COASTAL EMERGENCIES

Federal costs incurred under the Flood Control and Coastal Emergencies Program for planning, training, and facilities was $557,160

Federal costs incurred under Emergency Response Operations were $203,996 for EOC activation for Hurricanes Frances, Dennis, Jeanne, and Katrina.

Emergency Work in Support of Other Federal Agencies. Costs of $2,875,101 were incurred to support FEMA.

Costs of $58,521 were incurred for the Catastrophic Disaster Preparedness Program.

Emergency flood control activities – repair, flood fighting, and rescue work (Public Law 99, 84th Congress, and antecedent legislation).

Fiscal year costs were Folly Beach, SC, $2,149,647 and Hunting Island Waterline, SC, $1,664,551. See individual project write-ups for details.

26. GENERAL REGULATORY ACTIVITIES

During FY 05, $2,811,786 was expended on Permit Evaluation, $469,446 on Enforcement, and $74,607 on Compliance. Total costs were $3,355,839.

General Investigations

27. ACTIVE INVESTIGATIONS

See Table 7-I which covers: Surveys, Collections, and Study of Basic Data, and Research and Development Activities.

TABLE 7-A COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Sect. in Text	Project	Funding	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	Total Cost to Sept. 30, 2005	
1	Aquatic Plant Control, SC	New Work:						
		Approp.	99,000	357,000	75,100	266,000	11,895,705	
		Cost	187,987	253,965	184,624	270,317	11,895,113	
	(Contributed Funds)	Approp.	0	0	0	0	52,028	
		Cost	0	0	0	0	52,028	
2	Atlantic Intra-coastal Waterway Between Norfolk, Va. and the St. Johns River, FL	New Work:						
		Approp.	0	0	0	0	7,455,378	1
		Cost	0	0	0	0	7,455,378	1
		Maint:						
		Approp.	4,246,000	1,788,221	1,748,496	2,637,000	95,897,008	2
		Cost	4,247,531	1,793,568	1,780,992	2,334,545	95,593,488	2
3	Brookgreen Gardens, SC	Maint:						
		Approp.	0	0	0	243,000	243,000	
		Cost	0	0	0	216,783	216,783	
4	Charleston Harbor, SC	New Work:						
		Approp.	7,004,000	8,313,000	3,642,635	1,182,000	145,363,128	3
		Cost	7,133,969	8,315,502	3,638,798	852,890	145,016,343	3
		Maint:						
		Approp.	5,630,000	10,459,221	8,197,766	5,545,400	184,934,181	4
		Cost	5,840,601	10,519,823	8,213,470	5,510,617	184,897,354	4
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:						
		Approp.	3,858,009	1,174,983	1,215,061	392,817	45,065,855	5
		Cost	2,398,804	2,371,374	1,404,493	73,519	44,597,055	5
5	Cooper River, Charleston Harbor, SC	New Work:						
		Approp.	0	-25,800	0	0	204,188,712	
		Cost	51	-22,336	-112	112	204,188,712	
		Maint:						
		Approp.	3,266,542	7,072,828	3,323,915	5,298,000	78,983,545	6
		Cost	3,243,217	5,033,022	5,398,931	5,276,630	78,947,593	6
6	Folly River, SC	New Work:						
		Approp.	0	0	0	0	337,736	
		Cost	0	0	0	0	337,736	
		Maint:						
		Approp.	661,000	979,491	352,726	499,700	8,742,320	
		Cost	659,000	981,569	354,989	494,429	8,737,049	

TABLE 7-A COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Sect. in Text	Project	Funding	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	Total Cost to Sept. 30, 2005	
7	Georgetown Harbor, SC	New Work:						
		Approp.	0	0	0	0	7,061,755	7
		Cost	0	0	0	0	7,061,755	7
		Maint:						
		Approp.	3,060,000	3,333,723	3,268,661	3,577,900	100,068,260	8
		Cost	3,000,055	3,398,693	3,279,013	2,708,877	99,196,384	8
8	Little River Inlet, NC and SC	New Work:						
		Approp.	0	0	0	0	17,037,428	
		Cost	0	0	0	0	17,037,428	
		Maint:						
		Approp.	7,000	-1,808	0	-400	3,017,422	
		Cost	124	3,615	1,500	-400	3,017,422	
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:						
		Approp.	0	0	0	0	1,521,920	
		Cost	0	0	0	0	1,521,920	
9	Murrells Inlet, SC	New Work:						
		Approp.	0	0	0	0	15,502,240	
		Cost	0	0	0	0	15,502,240	
		Maint:						
		Approp.	2,483,072	787,363	14,911	21,500	7,858,685	
		Cost	2,453,416	815,147	19,261	21,254	7,858,439	
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:						
		Approp.	0	0	0	0	1,536,893	9
		Cost	0	0	0	0	1,536,893	9
10	Town Creek, SC	New Work:						
		Approp.	0	0	0	0	219,521	
		Cost	0	0	0	0	219,521	
		Maint.						
		Approp.	356,000	383,477	368,992	392,900	10,028,699	
	(Contributed Funds)	Cost	345,410	394,105	368,992	392,850	10,028,649	
		New Work:						
		Approp.	0	0	0	0	8,600	
		Cost	0	0	0	0	8,600	

TABLE 7-A COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Sect. in Text	Project	Funding	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	Total Cost to Sept. 30, 2005
14	Folly Beach, SC (First Nourishment)	New Work: Approp.	98,000	92,000	155,000	8,722,900	9,067,900
		Cost	93,262	75,160	172,451	5,220,003	5,560,876
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work: Approp.	15,000	10,294	25,588	1,789,200	1,850,082
		Cost	14,891	244	45,594	921,162	981,891
		Rehab: Approp.	0	0	0	3,614,900	3,614,900
		Cost.	0	0	0	2,149,647	2,149,647
15	Hunting Island Waterline, SC	Rehab: Approp.	0	0	0	1,670,325	1,670,325
		Cost	0	0	0	1,664,551	1,664,551
22	Lakes Marion & Moultrie, SC	New Work: Approp.	9,786,000	-9,793,600	-1,926,400	9,665,000	11,083,000
		Cost	240,936	367,122	663,659	9,483,583	10,872,629
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work: Approp.	177,400	150,400	82,500	5,392,033	5,864,333
		Cost	75,212	144,026	229,163	2,360,906	2,818,528

1 Includes $109,490 for new work for previous projects.
2 Includes $69,422 for maintenance of previous projects.
3 Includes $47,395,108 for previous projects and $318,000 for Preconstruction, Engineering and Design on the current project.
4 Includes $401,989 for maintenance of previous projects and $600 for maintenance expended from contributed funds for the existing project.
5 Includes $12,409,848 for the 40 ft project, excludes $2,996,994 credit for LERRD's and $1,966,945 final project reimbursement for the 40 ft project. Includes $179,000 for Preconstruction,
Engineering and Design on the current project.
6 Includes $765,000 appropriated and expended in FY 96 under appropriation 96X5125 Maintenance and Operation of Dams and Other Improvements of Navigable Waters.
7 Includes $2,445,852 for new work for previous projects.
8 Includes $114,556 for maintenance of previous projects.
9 Includes $67,000 accomplished under authority of Section 3, P.L. 79-11 incurred through FY 73.

TABLE 7-B AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

See Sect. in Text	Date of Authorizing Act	Project and Work Authorized	Documents
		AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL, SC	
1.	Oct. 27, 1965	Provides for control and progressive eradication of water hyacinth, alligatorweed, Eurasian water-milfoil and other obnoxious aquatic plant growths from navigable waters, tributary streams, connecting channels, and other allied waters of the U.S., in combined interest of navigation, flood control, drainage, agriculture, fish and wildlife conservation, public health and related purposes, including continued research for development of most effective and economic control measures in cooperation with other Federal and state agencies.	H.D. 251, 89th Cong., 1st Sess. P.L. 89-298
		ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY BETWEEN NORFOLK, VA, AND ST. JOHNS RIVER, FL	
2.	Sep. 19, 1890	Channel from Minim Creek to Winyah Bay.	Annual Report, 1889, p. 1184.
	Jun. 13, 1902	Channel from Charleston to a point opposite McClellanville.	H.D. 84, 56th Cong., 1st sess. and Annual Report 1900, p.1908
	Mar. 2, 1907	Branch channel to McClellanville.	Annual Report 1903, p. 1133
	Mar. 2, 1907	Extending the channel to Minim Creek, thence through the Esterville-Minim Canal to Winyah Bay.	H.D. 178, 63rd Cong., 1st. sess.
	Mar. 3, 1925	Cut across the Santee Delta at Four Mile Creek.	H.D. 237, 68th Cong., 1st sess.
	Mar. 3, 1925	Widening and deepening the waterway from Charleston to Beaufort.	S.D. 178, 68th Cong., 2nd sess.
	Jul. 3, 1930	A waterway eight feet deep and 75 feet wide from Cape Fear River to Winyah Bay.	H.D. 41, 71st Cong., 1st sess.
	Aug. 30, 1935 [1]	Construction of bridges across the waterway in Horry County, SC.	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 14, 72nd Cong., 1st sess.
	Aug. 30, 1935 [2]	Cutoff between Ashepoo and Coosaw Rivers.	H.D. 129, 72nd Cong., 1st sess.
	Aug. 30, 1935 [2]	Enlarging the channel from Winyah Bay to Charleston including the branch channel to McClellanville, to depth of ten feet and bottom width of 90 feet.	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 11, 72nd Cong., 1st sess.
	Aug. 26, 1937	Increasing dimensions of waterway to twelve feet deep and 90 feet wide.	Rivers and Harbors Committee, Doc 6, 75th Cong., 1st sess.[3]
	Mar. 2, 1945	Anchorage Basin 125 feet wide, 335 feet long, twelve feet deep, near Myrtle Beach, SC. (Deauthorized by 1986 WRDA) [4]	H.D. 327, 76th Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 7-B AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

See Sect. in Text	Date of Authorizing Act	Project and Work Authorized	Documents
		BROOKGREEN GARDENS, SC	
3.	Jul. 14, 1960 as amended	Provides for a 3,600 foot canal with a 30-foot bottom width, maintained to a depth of four feet mlw.	Sec. 107, P.L. 86-645
		CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	
4.	Jun. 18, 1878	2 jetties. [5]	Annual Report 1878, pp. 553-572.
	Aug. 8, 1917	Increase in depth to 30 feet with width of 500 feet between the jetties and 1,000 feet seaward thereof.	H.D. 288, 62nd Cong., 2nd sess.
	Jul. 18, 1918	The 40-foot channel to the Naval Base. Act provided that the 40-foot channel should not be undertaken "until the proposed new drydocks at this navy yard, carrying a depth of 40 feet of water over the blocks, has been authorized." This dock was authorized in the Naval Appropriations Act approved July 1, 1918 (40 Stat. L. 725).	H.D. 1946, 64th Cong., 2nd sess, pt.1, pp. 21-29, 57, 58, and 64-68.
	Jan. 21, 1927	A 30-foot channel from the sea to Goose Creek via Cooper River, together with a 30-foot channel through Town Creek for commercial purposes. The act also provided that the 40-foot channel be prosecuted only as found necessary for national defense.	H.D. 249, 69th Cong. 1st sess.
	Oct. 17, 1940	The 35-foot channel depth from the sea to the head of the project via Cooper River and Town Creek, also a channel in Shem Creek to Mount Pleasant, 110 feet wide and ten feet deep, including a turning basin at the upper end.	H.D. 259, 76th Cong., 1st sess.
	Mar. 2, 1945	An anchorage area 30 feet deep in the water area between Castle Pinckney and Fort Moultrie. [6] (Deauthorized by 1986 WRDA)	H.D. 156, 77th Cong., 1st sess.
	Sep. 3, 1954	Deepen the 30-foot channel north and east of Drum Island to 35 feet.	S.D. 136, 83rd Cong., 2nd sess.[3]
	Jul. 14, 1960 as amended	Shem Creek Channel modified by extending 1,150 feet upstream and downstream from mouth to Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway.	H.D. 35, 86th Cong., 1st sess.
	Oct. 22, 1976	Project authorized for the Phase I design memorandum stage of Advanced Engineering and Design.	H.D. 94-436, 94th Cong., 2nd sess.
	Nov. 17, 1986	Deepening 35 foot channel to 40 feet (42 feet in the ocean bar and entrance channel) from the 42 foot ocean contour to Goose Creek, a distance of 27.1 miles, construction of one turning basin, modification of existing turning basins, deepening and modification of the anchorage basin, deepening Shipyard River to 38 feet, maintain the Wando River Channel to 35 feet at Federal expense and the deepening of this channel to 40 feet if economically justified.	99th Cong. 2nd sess., P.L. 96-662

TABLE 7-B AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

See Sect. in Text	Date of Authorizing Act	Project and Work Authorized	Documents
	Oct 12, 1996	Deepening of the entrance channel from 42 ft. deep to 47 ft. deep and the inner channels from 40 ft. deep to 45 ft. deep. Other improvements include realignment/widening of various channels/reaches, construction of a new turning basin opposite the future Daniel Island terminal, construction of a new contraction dike, reconstruction of two existing dikes and removal of a third existing dike.	104 th Cong. P.L. 104-303
.			
		COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC (ST. STEPHEN PROJECT)	
5.	Aug. 13, 1968	Redivert most of the Santee River waters Pinopolis Dam into the lower Santee River through a canal beginning at Lake Moultrie and extending to the Santee River in the vicinity of St. Stephen, South Carolina	S.D. 88, 90th Cong.,P.L. 90-483
		FOLLY RIVER, SC	
6.	Jul. 14, 1960 as amended	Consists of stable all-tide channel nine feet deep and 80 feet wide in Folly River and Folly Creek and an entrance channel at Stono Inlet 100 feet wide and eleven feet deep.	Sec. 107, P.L. 86-645. Authorized by Chief of Engineers, Dec. 23, 1977.
		GEORGETOWN HARBOR, SC	
7.	Aug. 5, 1886	Jetties and earthen dike to protect south jetty.	H. Ex. Doc. 258 48th Cong., 2nd sess., and Annual Report 1885, pp. 1154-1170, and H. Ex. Doc. 117, 50th Cong., 2nd sess., and Annual Report 1889, pp. 1110-1111.
	Jun. 25, 1910	Previous project channel dimensions and training wall.	H.D. 398, 58th Cong., 2nd sess. and Annual Report 1904, pp. 1591-1605.
	Mar. 2, 1945	27-foot channel from ocean, including a turning basin in Sampit River.	H.D. 211, 76th Cong., 1st sess.
	Jun. 30, 1948	Cutoff and side channel in Sampit River.	H.D. 21, 81st Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 7-B AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

See Sect. in Text	Date of Authorizing Act	Project and Work Authorized	Documents
		LITTLE RIVER INLET, NC AND SC	
8.	Oct. 27, 1965	Provides for an entrance channel twelve feet by 300 feet across the ocean bar; thence ten feet by 90-foot inner channel to the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway.	H.D. 362, 92nd Cong. Section 201, P.L. 89-298 River and Harbor and Flood Control Act of 1965
	Mar. 7, 1974	Authorized emergency dredging operations as the Chief of Engineers determines necessary to maintain channel depths sufficient to permit free and safe movement of vessels until such time as the authorized project is constructed.	H.D. 10203, 93rd Cong. Section 67, P.L. 93-251 Water Resources Development Act of 1974
		MURRELLS INLET, SC	
9.	Oct. 27, 1965	Provides for an entrance channel twelve feet by 300 feet across the seaward bar, thence ten by 90-foot inner channel to a turning basin at the old Army crash boat dock.	H.D. 137, 92nd Cong. Section 201, P.L. 89-298 River and Harbor and Flood Control Act of 1965
	Mar. 7, 1974	Authorized emergency dredging operations as the Chief of Engineers determines necessary to maintain channel depths sufficient to permit free and safe movement of vessels until such time as the authorized project is constructed.	H.D. 10203, 93rd Congr. Section 67, P.L. 93-251 Water Resources Development Act of 1974
		TOWN CREEK, SC	
10.	Jul 14, 1960 as amended	An entrance channel twelve feet deep by 100 feet wide across the ocean bar a distance of 4.0 miles and a channel ten feet deep by 80 feet wide from the mouth of Five Fathom Creek to the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, a distance of 6.2 miles.	Sec. 107, P.L. 86-645. Authorized by Chief of Engineers, Feb. 12, 1974.

TABLE 7-B AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

See Sect. in Text	Date of Authorizing Act	Project and Work Authorized	Documents
		FOLLY BEACH, SC	
14.	Nov. 17, 1986	Shoreline protection.	P.L. 99-662 99th Cong., 2nd sess.
	Aug. 17, 1991	Construct hurricane and storm protection measures.	P.L. 102-104, 102nd Cong.
		HUNTING ISLAND WATERLINE, SC	
15.	Oct. 23, 1962	Shoreline protection.	Sec. 103, P.L. 87-874 Authorized by Chief of Engineers, Aug. 6, 2002.
		LAKES MARION & MOULTRIE, SC	
22.	Aug. 17, 1999	Provide technical, planning and design, and construction assistance for $5M for water supply treatment and distribution projects in the counties of Calhoun, Clarendon, Colleton, Dorchester, Orangeburg, and Sumter, SC.	Sec. 502(f)(25), P.L. 106-53 Water Resources Development Act of 1999
	Dec. 21, 2000	Increased authority from $5M to $15M	Sec. 108(c)(4), P.L. 106-554
	Dec. 1, 2003	Increased authority from $15M to $35M and added wastewater treatment component.	Sec. 126, P.L. 108-137

1/ Included in Public Works Administration Program September 6, 1933.
2/ Included in Emergency Relief Administration Program May 28, 1935.
3/ Contains latest published maps.
4/ Inactive.
5/ Completed under previous projects.
6/ For national defense.

TABLE 7-C OTHER AUTHORIZED NAVIGATION PROJECTS

(See Section 12 of Text)

Project	Status	For Last Full Report See Annual Report For	Construction	Cost to Sep. 30, 2005 Operation & Maintenance
Adams Creek, SC (107)	Completed	1978	$125,697	$29,143
Aquatic Plant Control, NC and SC 1/	Completed	1968	379,680	--
Archers Creek, SC	Completed	1914	20,646	--
Ashley River, SC	Completed	1955	260,996	589,436
Brookgreen Gardens, SC (107)	Completed	1992	102,500	4,011
Calabash Creek, SC (107)	Completed	2003	728,517 2/	--
Charleston Hbr Rediversion (Fishlift), SC	Completed	2001	0	6,705,010
Edisto River, SC	Completed	1938	33,103	2,887
Great Pee Dee River, SC	Completed	1950	183,712	271,098
Jeremy Creek, SC (107)	Completed	1996	49,987	116,175
Lynches River and Clark Creek, SC	Completed	1982	9,500	85,595
Mingo Creek, SC	Completed	1950	29,050	8,575
Port Royal, SC	Completed	2004	1,786,100	16,774,429
Salkahatchie River, SC	Completed	1896	15,841	1,936
Santee River, NC & SC	Completed	1950	99,750	182,469
Village Creek, SC (107)	Completed	1985	26,500	111,314
Waccamaw River, NC and SC	Completed	1978	262,814	284,347
Wateree River, SC	Completed	1940	60,000	154,559

Construction costs include both federal and non-federal.

1 Pilot Program

2 Includes $33,000 credit for LERRD's.

TABLE 7-D OTHER AUTHORIZED SHORE PROTECTION PROJECTS

(See Section 16 of the Text)

Project	Status	For Last Full Report See Annual Report For	Construction	Cost to Sep. 30, 2005 Operation & Maintenance
Folly Beach, SC	Completed	1996	$12,538,693 1/	--
Hunting Island Beach, SC	Completed	1984	$ 4,122,053	--
Hunting Island Waterline , SC (103)	Completed	2003	$ 2,480,258 2/	--
Myrtle Beach, SC	Completed	2004	$ 51,319,020 3/	

Construction costs include both federal and non-federal.

1/ Includes $819,693 credit for LERRD's and includes $1,586,000 for Preconstruction, Engineering and Design.

2/ Includes $800 credit for LERRD's.

3/ Includes $1,629,993 credit for LERRD's and includes $2,666,000 for Preconstruction, Engineering and Design.

TABLE 7-E OTHER AUTHORIZED FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS

(See Section 19 of Text)

Project	Status	For Last Full Report See Annual Report for	Construction	Cost to Sep. 30, 2005 Operation & Maintenance
Buck Creek, NC & SC (205)	Completed	1970	$334,167	--
Cow Castle Creek, SC (208)	Completed	1985	276,000	--
Cowpen Swamp, SC (208)	Completed	1960	37,200	--
Crabtree Swamp, SC (208)	Completed	1969	139,900	--
Eagle Creek, SC (205)	Completed	1986	1,245,063	--
Edisto River, SC	Discontinued	1947	6,379	--
Edisto River, North Fork, SC (205)	Completed	1969	170,960	--
Edisto R. Vicinity Canadays Landing (208)	Completed	1958	3,160	--
Gapway Swamp, SC 1	Completed	1969	339,197	--
Kingstree Branch, Williamsburg Cty, SC (205)	Completed	1978	247,242	--
Leith Creek, NC 1	Completed	1982	430,951	--
Little Sugar Creek, NC 1	Completed	1969	86,600	--
Old Field Swamp, NC 1	Completed	1979	763,022	--
Reddies River Lake, NC 1	Completed	1980	985,800	--
Reedy River, SC	Discontinued	1974	4,500	--
Roaring River, Wilkes County, NC 1	Phase I Only	1978	370,000	--
Saluda River, SC (208)	Completed	1963	99,500	--
Sawmill Branch, SC (205)	Completed	1971	334,105	--
Scotts Creek, SC (205)	Completed	1988	545,000	--
Shot Pouch Creek, Sumter Co., SC (208)	Completed	1971	130,400	--
Simmons Bay Creek, NC 1	Completed	1963	186,435	--
Simpson Creek, SC (208)	Completed	1957	129,000	--
Socastee Creek, SC (205)	Completed	1996	1,365,257	--
Todd Swamp, SC (208)	Completed	1964	57,000	--
Turkey Creek, Sumter County, SC (205)	Completed	1974	393,169	--
Turkey Creek, Sumter County, SC (205) 2	Completed	2001	576,765	--
Waccamaw R. & Seven Creeks, NC & SC 1	Completed	1961	67,821	--
Wilson Branch, Chesterfield County, SC (205)	Completed	1985	284,017	--
				--

Construction costs include both federal and non-federal.

1 Transferred to Wilmington District

2 Includes $5,596 credit for LERRD's.

TABLE 7-F MULTIPLE PURPOSE PROJECTS INCLUDING POWER

(See Section 23 of the Text)

Project	Status	For Last Full Report See Annual Report for	Construction	Cost to Sep. 30, 2005 Operation & Maintenance
Cooper River Seismic Modification, SC	Completed	1992	$29,400,000 1/	--

1 Excludes $770,000 for credits to Santee Cooper.

TABLE 7-G DEAUTHORIZED PROJECTS

Project	For Last Full Report See Annual Report for	Date and Authority	Federal Funds Expended	Contributed Funds Expended
Abbapoola Creek, SC	--	5 Aug 77 Section 12, P.L. 93-251	--	--
Beresford Creek, SC	--	5 Aug 77 Section 12, P.L. 93-251	--	--
Charleston Hbr (Anchorage Basin), SC	1954	17 Nov 86 Section 1002, P.L. 99-662	$1,330,000	--
Congaree River, SC	--	5 Aug 77 Section 12, P.L. 93-251	--	--
Little Pee Dee River, SC	--	5 Aug 77 Section 12, P.L. 93-251	--	--
Lumber River, SC & NC	--	5 Aug 77 Section 12, P.L. 93-251	--	--
Myrtle Beach (Anchorage Basin), SC	1954	17 Nov 86 Section 1002, P.L. 99-662	--	--
Reedy River, Greenville, SC	1971	17 Nov 86 Section 1002, P.L. 99-662	$ 4,500	--
Russell Creek, SC	--	5 Aug 77 Section 12, P.L. 93-251	--	--
Yadkin River, SC	--	5 Aug 77 Section 12, P.L. 93-251	--	--

OTHER AUTHORIZED

TABLE 7-H STREAMBANK EROSION CONTROL PROJECTS

(See Section 21 of the Text)

Project	Status	For Last Full Report See Annual Report for	Construction	Cost to Sep. 30, 2005 Operation & Maintenance
Battery Pringle, SC	Completed	1996	$152,579	--
Castle Pinckney, SC	Completed	2000	$381,681	--
Cooper River, Pompion Hill Chapel, SC	Completed	1987	$185,000	--
Drayton Hall, SC	Completed	1994	$250,374	--
Hunting Island Waste Treatment Plant, SC	Completed	2000	$ 69,160	--
Indian Bluff, SC	Completed	1998	$164,155	--
Pinopolis Dam, SC	Completed	1996	$574,787	--
Santee Dam, SC	Completed	1996	$558,117	--
SC DOT Bridges, SC	Completed	1998	$217,890	--
Shore Drive, Singleton Swash, SC	Completed	2001	$261,077 1/	--

Construction costs include both federal and non-federal.

1/ Includes $6,785 for work-in-kind credit and $36,028 for betterments.

TABLE 7-I ACTIVE GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

(See Section 27 of Text)

ITEM		FISCAL YEAR COSTS
NAVIGATION (CATEGORY 110)		
Charleston Harbor Deepening		($1,063)
FLOOD DAMAGE PREVENTION (CATEGORY 120)		
Waccamaw River		$60
SHORELINE PROTECTION (CATEGORY 130)		
Edisto Island		$20,607
Pawleys Island		$35
SPECIAL STUDIES (CATEGORY 140)		
Reedy River		$34,951
Santee Delta Environmental Restoration		$17,825
COMPREHENSIVE STUDIES (CATEGORY 150)		
Broad River		$64
REVIEW OF AUTHORIZED PROJECT (CATEGORY 160)		
AIWW		$148,330
MISCELLANEOUS ACTIVITIES (CATEGORY 170)		
North American Waterfowl Management Plan		$1,490
Special Investigations		$16,839
Interagency Water Resources Development		$ 5,551
COORDINATION STUDIES WITH OTHER AGENCIES (CATEGORY 180)		
Cooperation With Other Water Resource Agencies		$ 1,253
Planning Assistance to States (Coordination)		$9,848
Planning Assistance to States (Cost-shared Studies)		$143,504
	TOTAL (CATEGORY 100)	**$ 399,294**
FLOOD PLAIN MGMT SERVICES (CATEGORY 250)		
Flood Plain Management Services		$31,895
SS – Mullins Drainage Study		$7,991
SS - SC Streams		$15,995
Technical Services		$39,957
HYDROLOGIC STUDIES (CATEGORY 260)		
Hydrologic Studies		$3,017
	TOTAL (CATEGORY 200)	**$ 98,854**
PRECONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING & DESIGN (CATEGORY 400)		
Pawleys Island		$143,952
	TOTAL (CATEGORY 400)	**$143,952**
	TOTAL FEDERAL	**$642,100**
CONTRIBUTED FUNDS	**TOTAL NON-FEDERAL**	**$113,645**
	TOTAL GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	**$ 755,745**

TABLE 7-J FLOOD CONTROL WORK UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

Preauthorization Studies

(See Section 20 of Text)

Study Identification	Section	Fiscal Year Costs
Brushy Creek, Greenville, SC	205	$2,865
Coordination Account	205	4,320
Gills Creek at Lancaster, SC	205	12,153

TABLE 7-K INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS

(See Section 18 of Text)

Project	Date of Inspection
Battery Pringle, SC	September 12, 2005
Cape Marsh, SC	August 25, 2003
Castle Pinckney, SC	August 10, 2004
Cow Castle Creek, SC	September 26, 2005
Drayton Hall, SC	September 15, 2005
Eagle Creek, SC	September 1, 2005
Hunting Island, SC	September 21, 2005
Indian Bluff, SC	September 14, 2005
Kingstree Branch, SC	September 13, 2005
Murphy Island, SC	August 25, 2003
Pinopolis Dam, SC	July 16, 2003
Pompion Hill, SC	August 18, 2003
Santee Dam, SC	July 16, 2003
Sawmill Branch, SC	September 1, 2005
Shore Drive, Singleton Swash, SC	September 7, 2005
Scotts Creek, SC	September 14, 2005
SC DOT Bridges, SC	September 3, 2003
Socastee Creek, SC	September 7, 2005
Turkey Creek, SC	September 13, 2005
Wilson Branch, SC	August 12, 2003

TABLE 7-L OTHER AUTHORIZED ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS

(See Section 24 of the Text)

Project	Status	For Last Full Report See Annual Report for	Construction	Cost to Sep. 30, 2005 Operation & Maintenance
Cape Marsh Management Area, Santee Coastal Reserve, Charleston County, SC	Completed	2001	$333,914 1/	--
Miller Corner Phragmites Control, SC	Completed	2001	$236,923 2/	--
Murphy Island, SC	Completed	1998	$375,631 3/	--

Construction costs include both federal and non-federal.
1 Includes $43,000 credit for work-in-kind installation of the water control structures.
2 Includes $47,600 credit for work-in-kind structural modifications.
3 Includes $100,277 for work-in-kind credit.

TABLE 7-M AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION

Preauthorization Studies

(See Section 24 of Text)

Study Identification	Section	Fiscal Year Costs
Hunting Island, SC	206	2,966
Ireland Creek, SC	206	121
Lake Connestee, SC	206	16,043
Lynches River, Lake City, SC	206	1,171
Pocotaligo River and Swamp, SC	206	13,964
Wilson Branch, SC	206	1,819

SAVANNAH, GA DISTRICT

The District comprises drainage basins that flow into the Atlantic Ocean between Port Royal Sound, SC, and Cumberland Sound, GA and FL, and includes the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway between these points. This area covers the headwaters of the Savannah River in southwestern North Carolina, eastern Georgia, and a small portion of northeastern Florida.

IMPROVEMENTS

Navigation **Page**

1. Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway between Norfolk, VA and St. Johns River, FL 8-2
2. Brunswick Harbor, GA. 8-2
3. Lower Savannah River Basin, GA & SC.. 8-3
4. Savannah Harbor, GA. 8-3
5. Savannah River Below Augusta, GA. 8-5
6. Reconnaissance and Condition Surveys. 8-5
7. Other Authorized Navigation Projects. 8-5
8. Dredged Material Disposal Facilities Program 8-5
9. Navigation Work Under Special Authorization 8-5

Beach Erosion Control

10. Tybee Island, GA. 8-6

Flood Control

11. Inspection of Completed Flood Control Projects. 8-7
12. Other Authorized Flood Control Projects . . .… 8-8
13. Flood Control Work Under Special Authorization 8-8
14. Emergency Streambank and Shoreline Protection Under Special Authorization 8-8
15. Snagging and Clearing 8-8

Environmental

16. Project Modification to Improve Environment Under Special Authorization. . . 8-8
17. Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration Under Special Authorization 8-8
18. Wetland/Other Aquatic Habitat 8-8

Multiple-Purpose Power Projects Including Major Rehabilitation **Page**

19. J. Strom Thurmond Dam and Lake, GA & SC (Formerly Clark Hill Lake). 8-8
20. Hartwell Dam and Lake, GA & SC. 8-9
21. Richard B. Russell Dam and Lake, GA & SC (Formerly Trotters Shoals Lake, GA & SC). . . 8-9
22. Richard B. Russell Dam and Lake Wildlife Mitigation, GA and SC 8-10
23. Hartwell Lake/Clemson Upper/Lower Diversion Dams, GA & SC 8-10

General Investigations

24. Surveys. 8-11
25. Coordination With Other Agencies. 8-11
26. Collection and Study of Basic Data. 8-11
27. Pre-Construction Engineering and Design (PED) 8-11

Miscellaneous

28. Catastrophic Disaster Preparedness Program..... 8-11
29. Flood Control and Coastal Emergency. . . ….8-11
30. General Regulatory Functions. 8-12
31. Rivers and Harbors Contributed Funds. . . .…8-12

Tables

Table 8-A Cost and Financial Statement. 8-13
Table 8-B Authorizing Legislation. 8-16
Table 8-C Other Authorized Navigation Projects. 8-22
Table 8-D Other Authorized Flood Control Projects. 8-23
Table 8-E Savannah River Basin, GA & SC Dams and Lakes. 8-24

Navigation

1. ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY BETWEEN NORFOLK, VA, AND ST. JOHNS RIVER, FL (SAVANNAH DISTRICT)

Location. This 161-mile section of waterway connects Port Royal Sound, SC, with Cumberland Sound, GA and FL. (See NOAA charts 11489-11507, formerly Coast and Geodetic Survey Charts 838-841, inclusive.)

Previous Project. (Between Savannah, GA, and Fernandina, FL) For details see pages 1814, 1821-1823 of Annual Report for 1915 and page 585 of Annual Report for 1938.

Existing Project. The project provides for a waterway 12 feet deep at mean low water and not less than 90 feet wide between Port Royal Sound, SC, and Savannah, GA; 12 feet deep at mean low water, with widths of 90 feet in land cuts and narrow streams and 150 feet in open waters between Savannah, GA, and Cumberland Sound, GA and FL; and a suitable anchorage basin at Isle of Hope, GA. Mean tidal range between Port Royal, SC, and Cumberland Sound, GA and FL is from 6 to 8 feet with fluctuations from 1.5 to 2.5 feet due to winds and lunar phases. (See Table 8-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local Cooperation. Fully complied with.

Terminal Facilities. Exclusive or adequate terminal facilities at port of entry. This improvement serves numerous wharves, some of which are open to the public on equal terms. Facilities are considered ample for existing commerce.

Operations During Fiscal Year.
There were no Operation and Maintenance costs for the FY. (See Table 8-A for Cost and Financial Statement.)

Condition at End of Fiscal Year. The main channel of the existing project, completed in 1941, is not maintained at 12 feet. The former main channel, now an alternate route through the westerly end of the south channel and northerly end of Wilmington River, will be maintained to a depth of 7 feet mean low water for traffic points north and south of Savannah Harbor. Relocation of the main channel from the Frederica to Mackay Rivers near St. Simons Island, GA, was accomplished under Section 107 of the Continuing Authorities Program after construction of a new bridge for the Torras Causeway. The notice on the final Environmental Impact Statement appeared in the Federal Register October 29, 1976. The controlling depth in the district's portion of the project was 4 feet mlw throughout the year.

2. BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA

Location. The harbor entrance is 70 statute miles south of the entrance to Savannah Harbor, GA, and 25 statute miles north of the entrance to Fernandina Harbor, FL. (See NOAA Chart 11215, formerly Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 447.)

Previous Project. For details see page 1818 of Annual Report for 1915 and page 591 of Annual Report for 1938.

Existing Project. The project provides for a stone jetty 4,350 feet long at the entrance to East River and the following channels: 38 feet deep and 500 feet wide across the bar; 36 feet deep and 400 feet wide through St. Simons Sound; 36 feet deep and 400 feet wide through Brunswick River and East River to the foot of Second Avenue; 30 feet deep and 300 feet wide in Turtle River to the Allied Chemical Company wharf, formerly the Atlantic Refining Company; 36 feet deep and 400 feet wide in South Brunswick River; 36 feet deep and 400 feet wide in East River from Second Avenue to its confluence with Academy Creek; and a channel in Back River 20 feet deep and 150 feet wide from St. Simons Sound to the mouth of Mill Creek; and a channel in Terry Creek 10 feet deep and 80 feet wide from its mouth to a point immediately above the wharf of the former Glynn Canning Company; 1,100 feet long canal on East River Turning Basin. All depths refer to mean low water. Mean tidal range on the bar is 6.5 feet, at the City of Brunswick 7.3 and 7.6 feet at the upper end of the harbor. For further details see Annual Report for 1962 and 2003.

Local Cooperation. Complied with to date.

Terminal Facilities. Twenty-six wharves and piers, almost all privately or state (Georgia Ports Authority) owned, have a berthing space of 7,530 linear feet. The Port of Brunswick and the State of Georgia have a transit shed and modem docks with 1,640 feet of berthing space (three general cargo berths) on East River. For further details see Port Series No. 14, Corps of Engineers (revised 2000).

Operations During Fiscal Year.
Maintenance: The District used Southern Dredging Company during the fourth quarter in FY 05 to dredge the East River using the dredge "Cherokee". The dredge removed 345,891 cubic yards of material at a cost of $1,007,950. The District used Weeks Marine, Inc. during the second quarter in FY 05 to dredge Entrance Channel using the dredges "R.N. Weeks" and "B.E. Lindholm". The dredges removed 576,820 cubic yards of maintenance material at a cost of $1,638,866.

Operation and Maintenance costs for the FY amounted to $3,471,049, which included such items as water

quality monitoring, project condition surveying, real estate monitoring, environmental and cultural resources monitoring. (See Table 8-A for Cost and Financial Statement.)

Condition at End of Fiscal Year. The existing project was completed in December 1960. General condition of the harbor works is satisfactory. Maintenance dredging continues. The notice on the Final Environmental Impact Statement appeared in the Federal Register October 3, 1975. Authorized depths were maintained throughout the FY.

Total cost for existing completed project to September 30, 2005, is $141,203,722. New work costs for the FY were $9,627,206. (See Table 8-A for Cost and Financial Statement.)

New Work Dredging. The Inner Harbor contract was awarded on July 30, 2004. The award followed the April 17, 2004 ruling by the Federal Court judge that the initial Government estimate was flawed. The Project Cooperation Agreement (PCA) was amended on the same date, clearing the way for award.

Great Lakes Dredge and Dock mobilized the dredge "Texas" to the site and began work on September 27, 2004. The "Texas" dredged approximately 100,000 cubic yards in FY 04 at a cost of $1,725,000. This included mobilization. The "Texas" dredged channel yardage of 2,023,061 cubic yards in FY 05 at a cost of $16,528,124. Berth dredging of 45,129 cubic yards was accomplished at a cost of $342,859. Mobilization for berth dredging cost the non-Federal sponsor $489,156.

3. LOWER SAVANNAH RIVER BASIN, GA & SC

Location. The project is located on the Savannah River between river mile 40.9 and river mile 42.0, approximately 20 river miles above the city of Savannah, GA. The project area itself is located within Effingham County, GA and Jasper County, SC. A portion of the project is within the Federal Savannah National Wildlife Refuge.

Existing Project. This environmental restoration project was authorized by a resolution passed on August 1, 1990, by the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Public Works and Transportation. The total project cost approved is $4,222,000. The recommended plan includes a large partial diversion structure at cut #3, a plug in bend #3 below the mouth of Bear Creek, a realignment and restoration of the mouths of Bear and Mill Creeks, which provides improved flows into both creeks.

The Project Cooperation Agreement (PCA) was executed and the construction phase officially began in FY 00. Construction was completed in FY 02.

Local Cooperation. The cost share is 75% Federal and 25% non-Federal.

New work costs for the FY were $2,213. (See Table 8-A for Cost and Financial Statement.)

4. SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA

Location. Harbor entrance is 75 statute miles south of Charleston, SC, and 70 miles north of Brunswick Harbor, GA. (See NOAA Chart 11512, formerly Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 440.)

Previous Project. For details see page 1810 of Annual Report for 1915 and page 578 of Annual Report for 1938.

Existing Project. The project provides for a channel 44 feet deep and 600 feet wide across the ocean bar about 7.0 miles long; 42 feet deep and 500 feet wide to the upper end of King Island Turning Basin and 36 feet deep and 400 feet wide to the upper end of Argyle Island Turning Basin about 22.6 miles; and 30 feet deep and 200 feet wide to a point 1,500 feet below the Houlihan Highway Bridge, about 1.5 miles, for a total length of 31.1 miles; Fig Island and Marsh Island Turning Basins 34 feet deep by 900 feet wide by 1,000 feet long. Kings Island Turning Basin 42 feet deep by 1,500 feet wide by 1,600 feet long in the vicinity of the Garden City Terminal of the Georgia Ports Authority; Argyle Island and Port Wentworth Turning Basins 30 feet deep by 600 feet long and at the extreme upper limit of the project and a 1,200 foot long by 1,050 foot wide by 40 foot deep Oyster Bed Island Turning Basin in the vicinity of Georgia Ports Authority Lash Facility. The project also provides for sediment control works consisting of an inactivated tide gate structure across Back River; a sediment basin 40 feet deep, 600 feet wide; about 2 miles long, with an entrance channel 38 to 40 feet deep and 300 feet wide; a drainage canal across Argyle Island 15 feet deep and 300 feet wide; control works and canals for supplying fresh water to the Savannah National Wildlife Refuge; and facilities to mitigate damages to presently improved areas other than refuge lands. Mean range of tide is 7.9 feet at the upper end of the harbor and 6.9 feet at the lower end. Extreme ranges are about 11.1 and 10.7 feet, respectively.

The tide gate structure across Back River was taken out of operation as of March 1991 to decrease salinity levels in the wildlife refuge. The drainage canal across Argyle Island, which was part of the original tide gate project, was closed as of April 1992 by the New Cut closure contract done by a Section 1135 program. The cost of this contract was $1,531,847.

Local Cooperation. Local interests must provide suitable disposal areas and retaining dikes for construction and future maintenance of the project. The Georgia Department of Transportation became the local sponsor in December 1999 and has met all requirements to date.

Terminal Facilities. Sixty-one piers and wharves adequately serve existing waterborne commerce of the port. These facilities, with use of dolphins, have a combined berthing space of 46,930 linear feet at mean low water. Included in the berthing space are seven container berths with 271 acres of handling area. All have railway and highway connections. Lash Facilities are located at the entrance to the harbor and have depth ranging up to 38 feet mean low water. The berthing space of Lash facilities is included in the above combined berthing space. For further details, see Port Series No. 14, Corps of Engineers (revised 1982) and Annual Report for 1990.

Savannah Harbor Deepening. The Savannah Harbor Deepening project was authorized by WRDA 92 on October 31, 1992. The Local Cooperation Agreement (LCA) was signed with the local sponsor and the Georgia Ports Authority on March 2, 1993. Because Federal appropriations would be no earlier than FY 95, the LCA was written and negotiated to allow the local sponsor to up-front the construction funds upon project authorization and the signing of the LCA.

The first phase contracts for the Savannah Harbor Deepening project were awarded in March 1993 for the outer bar channel from Station 0+000 to -60+000 and the lower inner harbor channel from Station 0+000 to 70+000 for $7,298,876 and $8,748,883, respectively. The second phase, that required significant real estate acquisition, was awarded in July 1993 from Station 70+000 to 103+000, for $4,675,376. The total project scope entails the deepening of the harbor by 4 feet, from -38 ft mlw to -42 ft mlw in the inner harbor and from -40 ft mlw to -44 ft mlw in the bar channel, for a total of 31 miles of harbor improvement.

Construction was initiated with the Inner Harbor contract (0+000 to 70+000) on May 1, 1993, and was completed on April 21, 1994. The authorized cost for the Savannah Harbor Deepening project is $50,050,000. The current estimated cost for the project is $28,107,635.

In the FY 95 appropriations bill, Congress provided $11,585,000 as reimbursement to the local sponsor for the Federal share of the NED plan. The appropriations bill also provided the $2,083,000 of those funds be provided for the cost shared Savannah Riverwalk Extension. The PCA for the Savannah Riverwalk Extension Project was executed on July 21, 1995. The final cost estimate for the project is $3,532,499, of which the Federal share was fixed at $2,083,000 and the City of Savannah's share was $1,449,499. Work was completed as scheduled on May 24, 1996 and a dedication ceremony took place on June 10, 1996. In November 1996, the Georgia Ports Authority received

$7,500,000 towards their reimbursement of the Federal share of the project and the balance of the Federal share of $1,500,000 has been forwarded now that all the contracts have been closed out and the final audits completed.

Savannah Harbor Expansion. The Georgia Ports Authority completed the Feasibility Study and Tier I Environment Impact Statement (EIS) for the Savannah Harbor Expansion project in August 1998, under the authority of Section 203 of WRDA 86. Based on this study, WRDA 1999 gave a conditional authorization for construction. The conditions are the completion of a Chief's Report and the completion of a Tier II EIS and General Reevaluation Report (GRR). In addition, this Tier II EIS and GRR must obtain the approvals from the Secretary of the Army, the Director of the Environmental Protection Agency, and the Secretary of Commerce and Interior.

The Savannah District is currently performing the modeling of impact to the environment and the proposed mitigation of these impacts for each depth alternative as required by authorization. These studies are scheduled for completion in July 2006. The Georgia Ports Authority and the Department of the Army signed a Memorandum of Understanding in July 2001 regarding the preparation of the Tier II EIS. The authorization calls for the Savannah Harbor to be deepened as much as 6 feet, from the present –42 feet mlw to as deep as –48 feet at a first cost (October 1997 price levels) of $229,527,000.

Operations During Fiscal Year.
Maintenance. The District dredged the Inner Harbor from Station 0+000 to 112+500 and the Sediment Basin with contracts to Southern Dredging Company using the dredge "Arlington". The "Arlington" dredged 4,389,804 cubic yards and 22,845 ft of station dredging from Station 0+000 to 112+500 and the Sediment Basin at a cost of $8,021,820. The dredge "Bayport" owned by Great Lakes Dredging and Dock Corp. dredged 800,859 cubic yards from the Entrance Channel, and four contingency days, at a cost of $1,824,645.

Operation and Maintenance costs for the FY amounted to $12,511,968, which included such items as water quality monitoring, project condition surveying, and cultural resources monitoring. (See Table 8-A for Cost and Financial Statement.)

Condition at End of Fiscal Year. Training walls, jetties, and other structures are in fair condition. Authorized channels are maintained by a planned dredging program with work at critical areas, when necessary.

New work costs for the FY were $94,508. (See Table 8-A for Cost and Financial Statement.)
The notice on the Final Environmental Impact Statement appeared in the Federal Register June 25, 1976. Notices of availability on two Final Supplements to the Environmental Impact Statement appeared in the Federal Register September 25, 1978, and January 8, 1980.

5. SAVANNAH RIVER BELOW AUGUSTA, GA

Location. Savannah River is formed by the confluence of the Tugaloo and Seneca Rivers on the boundary line between Georgia and South Carolina. It flows southeast 314 miles, forming the boundary line between two states, and empties into the Atlantic Ocean 16.6 miles below Savannah, GA. (See NOAA Charts 11514 and 11515, formerly Geological Survey maps of Georgia and South Carolina.)

Previous Projects. For details see page 1813 of Annual Report for 1915 and page 581 of Annual Report for 1938.

Existing Project. The authorized project provides for a channel 9 feet deep and 90 feet wide (at ordinary summer flow of 5,800 second-feet at Augusta, GA) from the upper end of the Savannah Harbor to the head of navigation at Augusta, above the 13th Street Bridge (R.M. 202.6), a total distance of about 181 miles. A lock and dam is located approximately 15 miles below the upper limit of the project at New Savannah Bluff. Improvement is to be obtained by construction of contraction works, closure of cutoffs, bank protection, dredging, removal of snags, over hanging trees and wrecks, and open-river regulation. Mean tidal variation at the mouth of the river is 7 feet. Freshet variation above the normal pool level (elevation 114.5 mean sea level) of New Savannah Bluff Lock and Dam at mile 187 is ordinarily about 13 feet with an extreme of 34 feet. Due to lack of commercial use, the river has not been dredged since FY 79.

Local Cooperation. Complied with to date.

Terminal Facilities. The only water terminals served by this improvement are at Augusta, Sylvania, and at or near Savannah. Augusta provided a municipal dock valued at $50,000 and Georgia Ports Authority constructed a state port at Augusta, GA, costing about $418,000. These facilities were expanded in 1965 at an additional cost of about $250,000. These are supplemented by natural landings along the river and extensive facilities at Savannah.

Operations During Fiscal Year. In general, open-channel works are in good condition. The notice on the Final Environmental Impact Statement appeared in the Federal Register February 18, 1977. There were no dredging projects during this fiscal year.

Operation and Maintenance costs for the FY were $123,927. (See Table 8-A for Cost and Financial Statement.)

6. RECONNAISSANCE AND CONDITION SURVEYS

Project Condition Surveys were conducted in FY 05 on the following projects: Operation and Maintenance costs for the FY were $64,101.

AIWW
Brunswick Harbor
Savannah Harbor

7. OTHER AUTHORIZED NAVIGATION PROJECTS

(See Table 8-C.)

8. DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL FACILITIES PROGRAM

Expenditures in FY 05 were incurred for Savannah Harbor Disposal Area, GA and SC: $1,806,572.

9. NAVIGATION WORK UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

Navigation activities pursuant to Section 107, Public Law 86-645 (preauthorization). During FY 05 costs incurred as follows: Container Berth 8: $4,926.

Mitigation of Shore Damages activities pursuant to Section 111, Public Law 90-483 (preauthorization). During FY 05 costs incurred as follows: Old Fort Jackson: $10,192.

Beach Erosion Control

10. TYBEE ISLAND, GA

Location. Tybee Island is located directly south of the Savannah River entrance, about 17 miles east of the City

of Savannah, GA. (See NOAA 11512, 11513, and 11509, formerly U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey Charts Numbers 440, 1240, and 1241, and on maps included in HD 92-105, 92nd Cong.) The only portion of the island that has developed is bounded on the north by the south channel of the Savannah River, on the east by the Atlantic Ocean, and on the south and west by Tybee Creek and other small tidal streams. The city of Tybee Island, GA, occupies this area, hereinafter referred to as "Tybee Island." The ocean face of this area has a wide sandy beach. Tybee Island is about 3.5 miles long from its northerly tip to the mouth of Tybee Creek with an average width of about 0.5 miles. Behind the beach lies a line of sand dunes, a number of which have been removed during the years to make room for improvements and for various other reasons. Those that remain are from 10 to 20 feet high. The ground elevation west of the dunes is from 10 to 18 feet and slopes westward to the salt marsh.

Existing Project. The Water Resources Development Act of 1976 authorized a Project Plan of Improvement for an 800-foot rock groin at the north end of the island, with a 225-foot tie-in to high ground. An additional 1,200-foot extension of this groin is deferred, as are two additional intermediate groins (480 feet long); these would be added at a later date, if needed. The plan also provided for the initial restoration of approximately 13,300 feet of beach, from the vicinity of 18th Street to the terminal groin located at the northern end of the island. Periodic nourishment is authorized to maintain suitable beach dimensions. Section 201 of the Flood Control Act of 1965, U.S. Senate Committee Resolution of June 22, 1971 approved the project as contained in House Document No. 92-105. The main features included a north terminal groin that was completed in June 1975; initial nourishment in March 1976; construction of the south terminal groin in February 1987; the first periodic renourishment in April 1987, and the second renourishment in July of 2000. The Federal Project included 2.6 miles of oceanfront beach between the north and south terminal rock groins.

Local Cooperation. Local interests must: (a) contribute in cash the required percentage of the first cost (including costs for construction, engineering and design, and administration; and excluding the cost of lands, easements, rights-of-way and relocation) of all items of work to be provided by the Corps of Engineers. The local contribution is presently estimated at 39.9 percent to be paid in a lump sum prior to start of construction or in installments prior to the start of pertinent work items in accordance with construction schedules as required by the Chief of Engineers, the final apportionment of cost to be made after the actual costs have been determined; (b) provide maintenance and repair of the groins, and provide (after the first 10 years of project life) periodic nourishment of the restored beach as may be required to serve the intended purpose during the life of the project; (c) provide without cost to the United States all lands, easements, rights-of-way, and relocations required for construction and subsequent nourishment of the project; (e) control water pollution to the extent necessary to safeguard the health of bathers; and (f) provide, without cost to the United States, access and facilities necessary for realization of the public benefits upon which Federal participation is based, and maintain continued public use of the beach and administer it for public use during the life of the project, and provide further the construction on the project shall not be started until local interests furnish lands for beach access and parking, satisfactory to the Chief of Engineers, for the entire project limits.

Section 934 of 1986 WRDA and Corps policy guidance required a reevaluation of renourishment projects. The purpose was to determine if future renourishments met current policy and further Federal participation was justified. The analysis, completed in October 1994, recommended extending the project life for the remaining 28 years of the 50-year project life. Section 506 of 1996 WRDA, approved in June 1995, was the formal Secretary of the Army authorization to continue periodic renourishment until 2024. The analysis further indicated the National Economic Development Plan (NED) might be different than the authorized project and lead to a more detailed analysis in the Special Report on South Tip Beach/Back River.

The Asst. Secretary of the Army (Civil Works) approved the Special Report on South Tip Beach/Back River on August 24, 1998. The report determined the South Tip and Back River segments should be added to the authorized project. It resulted in passage of Section 301 of 1996 WRDA that modified the authorized project to include the portion of Tybee Island located south of the existing south terminal groin between 18th and 19th Streets, including the east bank of Tybee Creek up to Horse Pen Creek as shown below. The project now extends from the north terminal groin southward for 3.5 miles to Horse Pen Creek.

Section 301 of Water Resources Development Act of 1996 modified the authorized project as follows:

> *SECTION 301(b) PROJECTS SUBJECT TO REPORTS.--The following projects are modified as follows, except that no funds may be obligated to carry out work under such modifications until completion of a report by the Corps of Engineers finding that such work is technically sound, environmentally acceptable, and economically justified.*
>
> *(4) TYBEE ISLAND, GEORGIA.--The project for beach erosion control, Tybee Island, Georgia, authorized pursuant to section 201 of the Flood Control Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5; 79 Stat. 1073-1074) is modified to include as an integral part of the project the portion of Tybee Island located south of the*

existing south terminal groin between 18th and 19th Streets, including the east bank of Tybee Creek up to Horse Pen Creek.

The Department of the Army and the City of Tybee Island, Georgia, signed a Project Cooperative Agreement (PCA) that allowed renourishment of oceanfront, nourishment of a State placed groin field on South Tip, and construction of several rock groins and initial nourishment in Back River. (Civil Works) City of Tybee Island is the non-Federal sponsor for the project. The agreement is included in Appendix B. The project, now constructed, includes:

- Renourishing 13,200 feet of oceanfront beach between north and south Federal terminal groins to provide minimum 124-foot wide beach at high tide.
- Constructing rock groin field along 1,800 feet of Back River Beach.
- Restoring the beach along Back River Beach.

In September 1999, construction of the Back River Beach groins began and the associated beach renourishment was completed in July 2000.

The District continued the long term monitoring in FY 2002, performing two full beach surveys in March and August at a contract cost of $27,857. The surveys showed only slight erosion occurring along the Ocean Front beach with marked erosion along the Back River segment. Per the Operations and Maintenance Manual, the South Tip groins were notched once the total erosion between the three Back River cells exceeded 40 percent of the baseline volume. The notching occurred on October 16, 2002 with six of the Campbell units removed from the oceanward end of the three groins. Pre-notching topographic survey information was gathered on October 13, 2002. Agreement was reached that requires three of the units to be replaced if the total sand loss in any cell reaches 30 percent or three feet on average along the seawall in any groin cell or if five feet of the seawall is exposed at any given location within the groin fields.

In FY 2004, the District continued to monitor the beach profiles with particular emphasis on the South tip and Back River. Two monitoring surveys of the Back River and South Tip were taken on 13 October 2002 and 15 January 2003. There was 100 cubic yards of material gained on the Back River while 3000 cubic yards of material were gained on the South Tip and between the groins. The criteria to replace the Campbell Units was as follows:

Review Monitoring surveys after 6 months.
1) If 50% of material lost off South Tip is not accreted on the Back River Beach, replace the modules.
2) If the Sea wall is exposed for 25 feet or less or an average 3 feet maximum of 5 feet is exposed at any given location between the groins the modules must be replaced.
3) Terminate if more than 30% in any groins cell on the South Tip is lost or if 25% or less of the material quantity eroded from the South Tip is accreted on Back River Beach, or the dune system is threatened.
4) Terminate if documented hazards exist to bathers.
A full monitoring survey of the entire beach was completed on 13 June 2003. The beach face was shown to be eroding and the local sponsor requested an analysis, as it appeared the groin notching was exacerbating the erosion. The analysis was inconclusive and the experiment was continued. By October 2003, the beach face along the southern end had eroded but the South Tip and Back River had accreted dramatically with a huge sand bar forming along Pelican spit.

The studies planned for FY 04/05 were an analysis of the possibility of including the North Beach into the federal project, a Limited Reevaluation Report of the existing project and a study to determine the impacts to the beach caused by the Savannah Harbor Federal navigation channel. Of the $225,000 requested in FY04, Congress under the CG Program allocated only $150,000 of federal funds. Only $84,000 of that was available due to Saving and Slippage.

The 905(b) Reconnaissance report was completed with the recommendation to proceed into the feasibility phase of the storm damage reduction and harbor mitigation.

Operations During Fiscal Year. The Section 905(b) Report for inclusion of the North Beach was prepared and approved on November 17, 2004 at a cost of $32,000.

Condition at End of Fiscal Year. New work costs for the FY were $154,634. (See Table 8-A for Cost and Financial Statement.)

Flood Control

11. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS

Expenditures for the FY amounted to $26,351. (See Table 8-A&D.)

12. OTHER AUTHORIZED FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS

(See Table 8-D)

13. FLOOD CONTROL WORK UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

These Flood Damage Reduction activities are accomplished under the authority of Section 205, Flood Control Act of 1948 (Public Law 858, 80th Congress) as amended. During FY 05 a total of $7,807 was spent on preauthorization flood damage reduction studies. Study efforts during FY 05 were as follows: Harmon Canal: $-9,270; Unnamed Tributaries Ben Hill County: $8,593 and Byrum Creek: $8,484.

14. EMERGENCY STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE PROTECTION UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

There were no costs incurred for the FY.

15. SNAGGING AND CLEARING

In FY 05, costs were incurred for Section 208, Stevenson Road: $-82.

Environmental

16. PROJECT MODIFICATION TO IMPROVE ENVIRONMENT UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

These projects are accomplished under the authority of Section 1135, Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-662) as amended. During FY 05 costs were incurred as follows: Back River Restoration: $11.

17. AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

These projects are accomplished under the authority of Section 206, Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration, Water Resources Development Act of 1996. During FY 05 costs were incurred as follows: Quacco Canal: $-596.

18. WETLAND/OTHER AQUATIC HABITAT

These projects are accomplished under the authority of Section 204, Public Law 102-560. During FY 05 costs were incurred as follows: Section 204, Coordination Account: $4,755.

Multiple-Purpose Power Projects Including Major Rehabilitation

19. J. STROM THURMOND DAM AND LAKE, GA AND SC (Formerly Clark Hill Lake)

Location. J. Strom Thurmond Dam and Lake is located at mile 237.7 on the Savannah River about 22 miles upstream from Augusta, GA. (See Geological Survey maps of GA and SC.)

Existing Project. The authorized project provides for construction of J. Strom Thurmond Dam and Reservoir, the final cost of which was $79,156,300. The dam has a concrete section 2,282 feet long with a maximum height of 200 feet and a controlled spillway 1,096 feet long. The concrete section is flanked on the west side by a rolled-earth embankment of 2,069 feet and on the east side by a similar embankment of 1,329 feet.

The total length of the dam is 5,680 feet. The lake covers 71,100 acres at maximum power pool elevation 330 msl. It provides a total storage capacity of 2,900,000 acre-feet allocated as follows: flood control 390,000 acre-feet; hydropower 1,045,000 acre-feet; dead storage 1,465,000 acre-feet. At the end of the FY there were 6 units at 52,000 kilowatts, 1 unit unavailable for rehab for a capacity of 308,000 kilowatts with an average annual output of 700 million kilowatt-hours of electrical energy.

Local Cooperation. None required.

Operations During Fiscal Year. Net generations of electrical energy for the period was 629,740 megawatt-hours, all of which was marketed by the Southeastern Power Administration. Cumulative flood damage prevented through FY 05 was $97,288,400 for the period 1955 thru 2005. Maintenance: Operation and Maintenance costs for the FY amounted to $10,848,675. (See Table 8-A for Cost and Financial Statement.) The notice of availability on the Final Environmental Impact Statement on J. Strom Thurmond Dam and Reservoir Operation and Maintenance appeared in the December 18, 1981 Federal Register. In FY 05, approximately 5,818,211 persons visited the lake.

Condition at End of Fiscal Year. Construction is complete except for providing additional recreational development.

Major Rehabilitation. The J. Strom Thurmond Powerplant was included as a project in the Major Rehabilitation Program in 1996. An Evaluation Report was approved in July 1994. Appropriations were provided in the FY 96 Energy and Water Bill in November 1996. Current estimate is $69,700,000. All eight contracts have been awarded. Current project cost estimate remains at $69,700,000. Project completion date is Sep 2008. Currently seven of the eight contracts have been completed in FY 05 with one more scheduled for completion in 2006. Major Rehabilitation costs for the FY amounted to $4,458,228. (See Table 8-A for Cost and Financial Statement.)

20. HARTWELL DAM AND LAKE, GA AND SC

Location. Hartwell Dam and Lake is on the Savannah River 305 miles above its mouth and 89 miles upstream from Augusta, GA (See Geological Survey maps of GA and SC.)

Existing Project. The dam is a concrete gravity-type structure 1,900 feet long with a maximum height of 204 feet and a controlled spillway 480 feet in length. The concrete section is flanked on the east and west abutments by earth embankments totaling 13,362 feet in length and by a saddle dike 2,590 feet long also on the west side. Total length of the dam is 17,852 feet. At maximum conservation pool elevation of 660 feet the lake covers 55,950 acres. Total capacity of the lake is 2,843,000 acre-feet of storage allocated as follows: flood control - 293,000 acre-feet; hydropower - 1,416,000 acre-feet; dead storage - 1,134,000 acre-feet. Four 66,000 kilowatt generators having a generating capacity of 264,000 kilowatts were installed initially with provisions for a fifth unit. Unit 5 went into operation in 1983 with a nameplate rating of 80,000 kilowatts. Rehabilitation Phase I is complete for Units 1-4 and has increased their nameplate rating to 85,500 kilowatts for a total plant nameplate capacity of 422,000 kilowatts.

Local Cooperation. None required.

Operations During Fiscal Year. Net generations of electrical energy for the period amounted to 676,381 megawatt-hours, all of which was marketed by the Southeastern Power Administration. Cumulative flood damage prevented through FY 05 is $58,372,700 for the period 1962 thru 2005. Maintenance: Operation and Maintenance costs for the FY amounted to $13,084,897. (See Table 8-A for Cost and Financial Statement.) The notice on the Final Environmental Impact Statement on the operation and maintenance of Hartwell Dam and Lake appeared in the Federal Register on August 21, 1978. In FY 05, approximately 10,361,802 persons visited the lake. Hartwell was ranked most visited in FY 05.

Major Rehabilitation. The Hartwell Powerplant Major Rehabilitation project was approved by HQUSACE, and was included in the FY 96 budget. The project scope includes the rewinding of the first four generators, the replacement of the transformers, the refurbishment of the turbine water passageways, and the replacement of key electrical/mechanical peripheral equipment and the replacement/refurbishment of the four older headgates. The fully funded cost for the recommended plan is $26,000,000. All five contracts have been awarded and Rehabilitation Phase I was completed in September 2000. Rehabilitation Phase II is underway with plans and specifications being completed in FY 03. Phase II will include replacing the exciters and voltage regulators, governor upgrades, replacing the 230kV switchyard breakers, and upgraded the switchyard equipment and current capacity. Funding in the amount of $10 million has been authorized for Phase II Rehabilitation Program. Rehab Phase II is scheduled for completion in FY 06. Major Rehabilitation costs for the FY amounted to $1,109,908. (See Table 8-A for Cost and Financial Statement.)

21. RICHARD B. RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA AND SC (Formerly Trotters Shoals Lake, GA and SC)

Location. Richard B. Russell Dam is located on the Savannah River 275.1 miles above its mouth, 29.9 miles below Hartwell Dam, and about 37.4 miles above J. Strom Thurmond Dam (formerly Clarks Hill Dam). (See NOAA Survey maps of GA and SC.)

Existing Project. The authorized project provides for construction of Richard B. Russell Dam and Lake substantially in accordance with the recommendations. The latest approved (FY 03) cost estimate for the project is $626,000,000 of which $466,969,000 is for construction; $28,857,000 for lands and damages; $4,880,000 for cultural resources; and $124,174,000 for engineering/design, supervision/administration, and all project studies, including environmental. Approval was received in January 1977 to include minimum provisions for pumped storage.

A Feasibility Report and Final Environmental Impact Statement to address the installation and operation of four 75 MW reversible pump-turbines were prepared in 1979 with the Record of Decision signed in August 1980. The Richard B. Russell Fish and Wildlife Mitigation Plan was completed in 1981, approved by the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works) in September 1982, and the provisions are being implemented.

Since 1986, the District has conducted comprehensive fishery studies in the Russell tailrace and J. Strom Thurmond Lake (formerly Clarks Hill Lake) downstream. In addition, the District conducted water quality studies, hydraulic modeling, and an evaluation of various fish protection measures associated with hydroelectric projects. The results of these study efforts have been used to evaluate the need to develop fish protection at the Richard B. Russell Project associated with pumped storage operations. This evaluation is presented in a supplement to the final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on pumped storage. The Record-of-Decision (ROD) was signed September 1991.

Installation of pumped storage is complete; final Phase III environmental testing was completed in October 1996.

The Phase III Environmental Report and its Interagency Review was completed in August 1997. The District completed the NEPA Documentation Phase and also completed discussions with the resource agencies in attempting to resolve issues. SAS reached an agreement with SCDNR on operational measures and general mitigation package. The remaining issue was that SC insisted on a consent order for commercial operations. COE could not accept this condition and attempted to resolve this with a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) in addition to the NEPA Documentation. SCDNR did not accept the MOA. DOJ/USACE request for summary judgement and oral arguments were presented in the Charleston, SC U.S. District Court on October 17, 2000, requesting release from the injunction to commercially operate this 320 mw addition. The court ruled in the Corps' favor on May 3, 2002 and the units were placed into commercial production on September 1, 2002. Installation of the pumped storage static start system and eight new circuit breakers as well as construction of the JST 02 system has been delayed due to lack of funding in FY 04 and 05. All cost shared recreation is complete except a wilderness park that was planned in the McCalla Peninsula.

Local Cooperation. Federal Water Project Recreation Act. Public Law 89-72; 79 stat. 213C (for Legislative History of Act see page 1864).

Operations During Fiscal Year. Net generations of electrical energy for the period were 620,000 megawatt-hours. Cumulative flood damage prevented through FY 05 is $19,195,000 for the period 1983 thru 2005.
Maintenance: Operation and Maintenance costs for the FY amounted to $7,637,939. These funds were for management of lake and power activities. In FY 05 approximately 968,420 persons visited the lake.
New work: Total cost of project to September 30, 2005, is $749,422,539. New work costs for the FY amounted to $1,081,850. (See Table 8-A for Cost and Financial Statement.)

Condition at End of Fiscal Year. The project is 98.5 percent complete.

22. RICHARD B. RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE WILDLIFE MITIGATION, GA AND SC

Location. The 10,165 acres of land involved with this conveyance are located on four tracts of land located in Jasper, Colleton, and Hampton counties, SC.

Existing Project. The District completed NEPA and Real Estate closure and conveyed the land to SC in FY 2005.

Local Cooperation. None required.

Condition at End of Fiscal Year. Total cost of project to September 30, 2005, is $4,850,000. There were no new work costs for the FY. (See Table 8-A for Cost and Financial Statement.)

23. HARTWELL LAKE/CLEMSON UPPER & LOWER DIVERSION DAMS, GA AND SC

Location. Both Diversion Dams are located on Government property located between Clemson University and the Savannah River Basin on the South Carolina side of Hartwell Lake, approximately 20 miles upstream of Hartwell Dam.

Existing Project. The Remedial Measures to Increase Post Earthquake Stability for both Upper and Lower Clemson Diversion Dams in accordance with the Dam Safety Assurance Program was authorized in Senate and House Committee resolutions pursuant to the Water Resources Development Act of 2000, as presented to 106th Congress dated January 24, 2000.

Both upper and lower earthen dams were constructed in 1960 and 1961 prior to the impoundment of Hartwell Reservoir to protect Clemson University lands and existing facilities. The upper dam is 2,100 feet and the lower dam is 3,000 feet long, both have a maximum height of 75 feet. Seismic evaluation indicates that the downstream slopes of both dams (the Clemson University side) will fail from seismic events that could occur with a probability of once in every 475 years. Earthquake triggering events of as low as .07 to .10 g forces could cause liquefaction and subsequent failure. In this scenario, 390 acres of Clemson University will flood in about 5 hours. Economic damage is estimated at $1.1 billion and there is a high probability that human life will be lost in such event.

The total project for both upper and lower diversion dams includes the following construction components:

a. **Excavation at Upper & Lower Dams**

- Temporarily excavate existing material from the downstream side over the entire 2,100 and 3,000 foot lengths of both upper and lower dams, respectively. The excavation will take place between approximate elevations of 645 to 640' msl. This section traverses 50 feet perpendicular to the dams and involves removing material to an average depth of about 4 feet.

b. **Deep Soil Mixing at Upper and Lower Dams**

- Deep soil mixing elements are being installed to a depth of two feet below the existing loose alluvium layer. The deep soil mixing elements are being installed into 3 foot diameter auger holes driven to a varying depths of 40 to 45 feet. All holes will be overlapped into each other to create 51 foot continuous under ground

shear walls oriented perpendicular to the axis of each dam and spaced every 11.5 feet. A longitudinal wall paralleling the dam axis connects the upstream ends of the transverse walls and will run the entire length of both dams.

c. **Restore Downstream Side of the Dams to Original Template**

- Original excavation material will be reused.

Excess excavated material will be placed in the lower berm.

Local Cooperation. None required.

Condition at End of Fiscal Year. The project is funded with construction general funds. The total project cost estimate is $8,741,000 and this figure includes all engineering and design as well as supervision and administration during construction and a 25 percent contingency on the construction cost estimate. The project design was completed in June 2004 and it was awarded for construction in September 2004. The construction period is 18 months and remains on schedule for completion in May 2005. New work costs for the FY amounted to $3,873,528. (See Table 8-A for Cost and Financial Statement.)

General Investigations

24. SURVEYS

During FY 05, costs of $488,631 were incurred as follows: Flood Damage Prevention Studies: $73,252; Special Studies: $135,815; Watershed/Comprehensive Studies: $148,524; and Miscellaneous Activities: $44,029.

25. COORDINATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES

Planning Assistance to States activities are accomplished under the authority of Section 22, Water Resources Development Act of 1974, as amended. During FY 05, a total of $87,012 was expended.

26. COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA

During FY 05, under the Flood Plain Management Services Program, flood hazard related information and assistance were provided to state and local governments on a nonreimbursable basis and to other Federal agencies and private persons on a cost recovery basis. Expenditures were as follows: Flood Plain Management Services: $111,285; and Hydrologic Studies: $4,981.

27. PRE-CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING AND DESIGN (PED)

Total PED expenditures in FY 05

Projects Not Fully Authorized: $879,323

Savannah Harbor Expansion, GA & SC: $879,323. Congress added funds for development of the tier II EIS, General Reevaluation Report and the Federal Oversight of the project that was formulated by a non-Federal interest (The Georgia Ports Authority) (GPA) under Section 203 of WRDA 86. The project was conditionally authorized for construction by Congress in the Water Resources Development Act of 1999. The GPA is conducting numerous studies and data gathering under Federal oversight for the required Tier II Environment Impact Statement.

Miscellaneous

28. CATASTROPHIC DISASTER PREPAREDNESS PROGRAM

Continuity of Operations	$ 5,221
Emergency Operations Center Support	5,000
TOTAL:	$ 10,221

29. FLOOD CONTROL AND COASTAL EMERGENCIES

Disaster Preparedness Program (Code 100)	$383,371
Emergency Operations (Code 200)	230,756
TOTAL:	$614,127

30. GENERAL REGULATORY FUNCTIONS

Permit Evaluation	$2,872,150
Enforcement	649,978
Compliance-Authorized Activities and Mitigation	522,323
TOTAL:	$4,044,451

31. RIVERS AND HARBORS CONTRIBUTED FUNDS

Contributed funds expended in FY 05 for authorized Federal studies included:

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

Savannah River Below Augusta	$ 72,917
Savannah Harbor	15,056,700
Augusta-Richmond, GA	72,035
Savannah River Basin Comprehensive Study	76,260
PAS-GA-SWCC Dam Safety	999
PAS-GA-Anderson County	72,139
PAS-GA-Effingham County Stormwater	27,274
PAS-GA-Effingham County SWMP	15,826
PAS-GA-Port Wentworth SWMP	4,445
PAS-EPD Comp Water Mgt	467
PAS-GA-Chatham County	47
CONSTRUCTION	
Brunswick Harbor, GA	6,447,006
Savannah Harbor Disposal Area	626,796
FLOOD CONTROL MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES	
Tybee Island, GA	$ 56,435
Savannah Harbor Expansion	1,605,296
FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS	
Harmon Canal Downtown	$ 8,790
Ben Hill County, GA	1,837
TOTAL:	$24,145,269

TABLE 8-A **COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT**

See Sec. in Text	Project	Funding	FY-02	FY-03	FY-04	FY-05	Total to Sep 30, 2005
1.	Atlantic Intra-coastal Waterway between Norfolk, VA, and the St. Johns River, FL	New Work:					
		Approp.	--	--	--	--	958,096(1)
		Cost	--	--	--	--	958,096(1)
		Maint:					
		Approp.	2,786,400	213,922	164,000	--	40,891,475(2)
		Cost	2,828,850	324,936	179,061	--	40,891,325(2)
2.	Brunswick Harbor, GA	New Work:					
		Approp.	1,311,000	8,903,000	5,773,600	9,657,000	32,386,208(3)
		Cost	1,300,474	8,952,434	5,781,949	9,627,206	33,137,854(3)
		Maint:					
		Approp.	2,310,600	2,565,081	3,828,994	3,472,000	122,317,327(4)
		Cost	2,371,140	2,536,600	3,833,340	3,471,049	121,164,123(4)
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	1,370,650	2,400,000	1,800,000	6,500,000	
		Cost	16,089	3,387,697	972,751	6,447,006	
3.	Lower Savannah River Basin, GA & SC	New Work:					
		Approp.	2,351,000	26,000	2,000	--	2,704,000
		Cost	2,438,534	26,377	--	2,213	2,701,802
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	900,000	-45,000	--	--	
		Cost	1,052,318	14,667	3,216	--	
4.	Savannah Harbor, GA	New Work:					
		Approp.	540,000	648,000	483,010	--	69,475,731(5)
		Cost	510,662	699,641	355,884	94,508	68,824,607(5)
		Maint:					
		Approp.	7,124,103	13,105,549	10,739,000	12,529,000	319,958,127(6)
		Cost	7,267,055	13,199,555	10,743,725	12,511,968	320,207,721(6)
		New Work:					
		Contrib.				2,272,104	
		Cost				1,605,296	

TABLE 8-A **COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT**

See Sec. in Text	Project	Funding	FY-02	FY-03	FY-04	FY-05	Total to Sep 30, 2005
5.	Savannah River Below Augusta, GA	New Work:					
		Approp.	--	--	--	--	6,790,031(7)
		Cost	--	--	--	--	6,790,031(7)
		Maint:					
		Approp.	218,907	183,761	135,300	125,000	25,743,341
		Cost	203,072	203,818	140,953	123,927	25,686,986
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.				--	
		Cost		15,500	14,053	72,917	
10.	Tybee Island, GA	New Work:					
		Approp.	30,000	--	--	90,000	10,505,249(8)
		Cost	12,985	52,139	38,872	154,634	10,267,525(8)
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	--	--	43,000	57,855	
		Cost	54,177	13,928	29,387	56,435	
11.	Inspection of Completed Flood Control Projects	Maint:					
		Approp.	24,897	30,144	33,006	39,000	1,035,751
		Cost	26,958	30,142	32,655	26,351	1,024,745
19.	J. Strom Thurmond Dam and Lake, GA and SC (Formerly Clark Hill Lake)	New Work:					
		Approp.	--	--	--	--	84,880,940(9)
		Cost	--	--	--	--	84,876,004(9)
		Maint:					
		Approp.	8,745,961	9,238,323	11,387,794	10,935,000	240,009,161(10)
		Cost	11,172,854	8,932,418	12,121,293	10,848,675	239,865,113(10)
		Major Rehab:					
		Approp.	7,981,000	9,037,000	5,278,000	4,456,000	57,582,000
		Cost	8,579,369	9,096,499	5,260,066	4,458,228	57,561,082
20.	Hartwell Dam and Lake, GA and SC	New Work:					
		Approp.	--	--	--	--	115,874,985(11,12)
		Cost	--	--	--	--	115,876,925(11,12)
		Maint:					
		Approp.	12,917,881	14,531,146	12,539,100	13,164,000	248,549,718(13)
		Cost	14,266,316	14,653,419	12,525,571	13,084,897	249,010,503(13)
		Major Rehab:					
		Approp.	2,558,000	528,000	2,530,072	1,080,800	38,570,822
		Cost	2,436,595	768,136	2,514,536	1,109,908	36,103,955
21.	Richard B. Russell Dam and Lake, GA and SC (Formerly Trotters Shoals)	New Work:					
		Approp.	2,789,000	1,316,455	1,640,000	972,000	637,391,455
		Cost	2,135,692	2,834,081	1,523,988	1,081,850	636,369,460
		Maint:					
		Approp.	8,561,334	6,729,434	8,184,917	7,650,000	112,674,365
		Cost	8,470,540	6,693,069	8,206,528	7,637,939	121,772,868

TABLE 8-A **COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT**

See Sec. in Text	Project	Funding	FY-02	FY-03	FY-04	FY-05	Total to Sep 30, 2005
22.	Richard B. Russell Dam and Lake Wildlife Mitigation, GA and SC	New Work:					
		Approp.		1,000	4,852,000	-3,000	4,850,000
		Cost		--	4,850,000	--	4,850,000
23.	Hartwell Lake/ Clemson Upper & Lower Diversion Dams, GA and SC	Major Rehab:					
		Approp.		396,000	4,570,000	3,869,000	9,150,000
		Cost		382,570	4,599,910	3,873,528	9,493,507

1. Includes $194,497 for previous projects.
2. Includes $134,789 for previous projects. Does not include $35,000 appropriated but unexpended of contributed funds in FY's 86 and 87.
3. Includes $643,456 for previous projects and $97,521 expended from Public Works Funds for existing project. Does not include $10,000 contributed funds.
4. Includes $54,414 for previous projects, $4,995 expended from Public Works Funds for existing project, and $2,150,000 under 1983 Job Bill Act. Does not include contributed funds by Brunswick and Georgia Port Authority.
5. Includes $7,260,384 for previous projects. Does not include $46,847 for removal of sunken vessels or contributed funds.
6. Includes $298,894 for previous projects and $62,727 contributed funds.
7. Includes $93,480 for previous projects and $1,634,562 from Public Works Fund.
8. Does not include $61,856 contributed funds.
9. Does not include $395,634 accelerated Public Works Funds. Includes $4,448,613 appropriated under Code 710. Also includes $1,000,000 expended under the 1983 Job Bill Act.
10. Includes $576,665 under special recreation use fees and $736,000 under the 1983 Job Bill Act.
11. Includes $17,515,000 appropriated for construction of 5th Unit of which $17,469,002 has been expended.
12. Does not include $276,200 accelerated Public Works Funds. Includes $4,861,000 appropriated under Code 710 of which $4,851,306 has been expended and $545,000 expended under the 1983 Job Bill Act.
13. Includes $797,558 expended for special recreation use fees.

TABLE 8-B **AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION**

See Section	Date of Authorizing Act	Project and Work Authorized	Documents
1.		ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY BETWEEN NORFOLK, VA, AND ST. JOHNS RIVER, FL (SAVANNAH DISTRICT)	
	Jun 3, 1896	Section from Beaufort, SC, to Savannah, GA Route No. 2 adopted.	HD295, 53d Cong., 3d sess.
	Mar 3, 1899	Route No. 1 adopted.	HD295, 53d, Cong., 3d sess.
	Jul 13, 1892	Section from Savannah, GA, to Fernandina, FL. Original 7-foot channel.	HD41, 52d Cong., 1st sess.
	Mar 3, 1905	Provided for Skidaway Narrows.	HD450, 58th Cong., 2d sess.
	Jul 25, 1912	Incorporated alternative routes previously improved as separate projects and the auxiliary channels.	HD1236, 60th Cong., 2d sess.
	Aug 8, 1917	Section from Cumberland Sound, GA and FL to St. Johns River, FL. Consolidation of the 3 sections shown above, into "Waterway between Beaufort SC, and St. Johns River, FL."	
	Mar 2, 1919	Section from Beaufort, SC to Cumberland Sound GA and FL. Removing logs and snags from Generals Cut.	HD581, 63d Cong., 2d sess.
	Mar 2, 1919	Improving Back River to provide a channel 7 feet deep and 150 wide.	HD1391, 62d Cong., 3d sess.
	Mar 3, 1925	Channel 75 feet wide between Beaufort, SC, and Savannah, GA.	SD178, 68th Cong., 2d sess.
	Jul 3, 1930	Channel from Baileys Cut to Dover Creek.	SD43, 71st Cong., 2d sess.
	Aug 26, 1937	A 7-foot protected channel around St. Andrews Sound.	Senate Committee Print, 74th Cong., 1st sess.
	Aug 26, 1937	A 12-foot channel between Beaufort, SC, and Savannah, GA, via Beaufort River and Port Royal Sound.	Rivers and Harbors Committee, Doc 6, 75th Cong., 3d sess.
	Jun 20, 1938	A 12-foot channel between Savannah, GA, and Fernandina, FL, various cutoffs; and anchorage basin at Thunderbolt, GA.	HD618, 75th Cong., 3d sess.
	Mar 2, 1945	An alternate route 9 feet deep and 150 feet wide in that part of Frederica River, GA, not now traversed by the main route, at no additional cost to the United States.	HD114, 77th Cong., 1st sess.
	Oct 15, 1981	Main channel relocated from Frederica River to Mackay River in the vicinity of Torras Causeway. Navigation Project.	Project authorized by Chief of Engineers under the Small Navigation Project Authority, Sec. 107, PL 86-645, as amended.
2.		BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA	
	Mar 3, 1879	Construction of East River jetty.	Annual Report, 1980, p.959.
	Mar 2, 1907	Channels in the inner and outer harbors of 30-foot depth at mean high water, with widths varying from 150 feet in Academy Creek to 400 feet across the outer bar, extension of training wall in East River and construction of two spur dikes.	HD407, 59th Cong., 1st sess.
	Mar 2, 1919	Channels 27 feet deep at mean low water over the bar and at Brunswick point; and 24 feet deep at mean low water in the inner harbor and provides for a cut from Academy Creek to Turtle River, if deemed advisable.	HD393, 64th Cong., 1st sess.
	Jul 3, 1930	A channel in Back River 230 feet deep and 150 feet wide.	SD57, 71st Cong., 2d sess.
	Jul 3, 1930	Increased Channel dimensions of the bar, Brunswick Point, East River, and Turtle River, as given in the then existing project.	SD132, 71st Cong., 2d sess.
	Jun 20, 1938	A 10-foot channel in Terry Creek.	HD690, 75th Cong., 3d sess.
	May 17, 1950	Increased channel dimensions of the bar, St. Simons Sound, Brunswick River, East River, and Turtle	HD110, 81st Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 8-B **AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION**

See Section	Date of Authorizing Act	Project and Work Authorized	Documents
		River, as given in the existing project.	
	Oct 22, 1976	Provides for Phase I AE&D studies for deepening portions of existing harbor (East River and Entrance Channel) and for provision of a navigation channel to Colonels Island.	Report of Chief of Engineers dated Aug. 18, 1976.
	Jul 14, 1981	Enlargement of the maneuvering area of the entrance to East River and dredging Brunswick and Turtle Rivers to obtain depths authorized by the Rivers and Harbors Act of May 17, 1950.	HD177, 97th Cong., 1st sess.
	Jul 13, 1983	Enlargement of the East River Turning Basin to a length of 1,000 feet and a width of 750 feet.	PL 98-360
	Oct 17, 1986	Incorporated Georgia Port Authority's 30 foot deep by 300 foot wide by 8000 foot channel in South Brunswick River serving Colonel's Island into Brunswick Harbor Navigation Project.	HR6, 99th Cong., 2d sess., Section 846
	Aug 17, 1999	A six foot deepening in the inner harbor from 30 to 36 feet and the bar channel from 32 to 38 feet, construct a new turning basin in Upper East River, and widen inner harbor to 400 feet. Construct a 10 acre migratory bird nesting island as a beneficial use of dredged material and a 1300 foot bend widener for safe ship handling.	PL 108-07 WRDA 99
3.		LOWER SAVANNAH RIVER BASIN, GA & SC	
	PL-104-303 Water Resources Development Act of 1996, Oct 12, 1996	Project for the environmental restoration of the Lower Savannah River Basin; modification to cut off Bend No. 3 and improve the mouths of Bear Creek and Mill Creek.	HD105-173, 105th Congress, 2nd Session, Jan 27, 1998
4.		SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA	
	Mar 2, 1907	Tentative provisions for a 26-foot channel from the Quarantine Station to the Seaboard Air Line Railway Bridge.	HD181, 59th Cong., 1st sess.
	Jun 25, 1910	Definite provision for the 26-foot channel.	
	Jul 25, 1912	A 21-foot channel from the Seaboard Air Line Railway Bridge to the foot of Kings Island.	HD563, 62d, Cong., 2d sess.
	Aug 8, 1917	A 30-foot depth from the sea to the Quarantine Station.	HD1471, 64th Cong., 2d sess.
	Jan 21, 1927	A 21-foot channel above Kings Island.	HD261,69th Cong., 1st sess.
	Jan 21, 1927	Channel 30 feet deep, with general width 50 feet, from the ocean to the Quarantine Station, thence 26 feet deep, general width 400 feet, to the Seaboard Air Line Rho. Bridge, thence 21 feet deep and 300 feet wide to Kings Island. Widening at West Broad and Barnard Streets; anchorage basin; mooring dolphins; regulating dam across South Channel; relocation of the Inland Waterway; dredging Drakes Cut to 13 feet; widening to 525 ft. at Kings Island; extension of training walls, revetments, and jetties. Consolidation of projects relating to	HD262,69th Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 8-B **AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION**

See Section	Date of Authorizing Act	Project and Work Authorized	Documents
		Savannah Harbor.	
	Jul 3, 1930	Channel 26 feet deep and 300 feet wide from the Seaboard Air Line Rho. Bridge to the foot of Kings Island.	SD39, 71st Cong., 1st sess.
	Aug 30, 1935	Authorized the 30-foot project and eliminated from the project (a) the relating dam across South Channel; (b) the relocation of the Inland waterway; and (c) the further extension of training walls, revetments, and jetties.	HD276, 73d Cong., 2d sess.
	Mar 2, 1945	Deepening the channel and turning basin above the Seaboard Air Line Rho. Bridge from 26 to 30 feet and widening the channel opposite the Atlantic Coast Line Terminals to a maximum of 550 feet for a length of 5,000 feet.	HD283, 76th Cong., 1st sess.
	Nov 7, 1945	Deepening the channels to 36 feet deep and 500 feet wide across the ocean bar; 34 feet deep and generally 400 feet wide increased to 550 feet opposite the Atlantic Coast Line Terminals, with a turning basin 34 feet deep at the Mexican Petroleum Corp. Refinery; and with such modifications thereof as the Secretary of War and the Chief of Engineers may consider desirable.	HD227, 79th Cong., 1st sess.
	Jul 24, 1946	Extending channel 30 feet deep, 200 feet wide upstream from Atlantic Creosoting Terminal to a point 1,500 feet below the Atlantic Coastal Highway Bridge, with turning basin 30 feet deep at upper end.	HD678, 79th Cong., 2d sess.
	Sep 3, 1954	Deepening the channel to 34 feet and widening to 400 feet from the upper end of the presently authorized 34-foot channel in the vicinity of the American Oil Company Refinery wharf, to the Savannah Sugar Refinery Corp. with a turning basin at the upper end of the proposed improvement made by widening the channel to 600 feet for a length of 700 feet and providing approaches.	HD110, 83d Cong., 1st sess.
	Oct 23, 1962	Enlargement of turning basin near Kings Island to a width of 900 feet and a length of 1,000 feet, with suitable approaches, at a depth of 34 feet.	SD115, 87th Cong., 1st sess.
	Oct 27, 1965	Deepening the bar channel from 36 feet to 40 feet, the channel between the bar channel and Garden City Terminal from 34 feet to 38 feet, and the channel from the Garden City Terminal to the vicinity of the Savannah Sugar Refining Corp., from 30 feet to 36 feet; widening the bar channel from 500 feet to 600 feet, the channel between Fort Pulaski and the Atlantic Coast Line Terminal from 400 feet to 500 feet, and the channel between Garden City Terminal and the Savannah Sugar Refinery Corp., from 200 feet to 400 feet; providing necessary wideners of the bends; constructing a new turning basin 900 feet wide by 1,000 feet long by 34 feet deep opposite the Atlantic Coast Line Terminals; and enlargement of existing turning basin at the American Oil Company Terminal from 600 feet wide by 600 feet	HD226, 89th Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 8-B **AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION**

See Section	Date of Authorizing Act	Project and Work Authorized	Documents
		long to 900 feet wide by 1,000 feet long.	
	Oct 27, 1965	Providing sediment control works consisting of tide gate structure across Back River; sediment basin 40 feet deep, 600 feet wide about 2 miles long, with entrance channel 38 to 40 feet deep and 300 feet wide; control works and canals for supplying fresh water to Savannah National Wildlife Refuge; and facilities to mitigate damages to presently improved areas other than refuge lands.	HD223, 89th Cong., 1st sess.
	SPWC Resolution Jun 15, 1976 and HPWC, Jun 9, 1976 under authority of Sec. 201, Flood Control Act of 1965	Provided for modification of the existing project to include (1) incorporation of the LASH Turning Basin as an element of the existing Federal navigation project for maintenance purposes, (2) enlargement of Kings Island Turning Basin to 1,500 feet by 38 feet.	HD94-520, 94th Cong. dated June 8, 1976.
	Jul 16, 1984	Construction of three new work curve wideners in the inner harbor channel. Curve Widener #1 is between mile 11.1 and 11.9. Curve widener #2 is between mile 13.2 and 13.8 and curve widener #3 is between mile 14.0 and 14.8. The Wideners are located on the north side of the channel.	PL 98-360
	Oct 17, 1986	Savannah Harbor Widening as described in Report of Chief of Engineers date Dec. 19, 1978. Widen channel from 400 feet to 500 feet between Kings Island turning Basin and Fig Island Turning Basin. Allows planning, engineering and design to remove drift and debris as part of operations and maintenance.	HD6, 99th Cong., 2d sess. Dated Oct. 17, 1986, Section 201 Section 867
	Oct 31, 1992	Savannah Harbor Deepening deepened harbor from -38 feet to -42 feet mlw in Inner Harbor and from -40 feet to -44 feet mlw in the Bar Channel for a total of 31 miles of harbor improvements.	WRDA 1992
	Aug 17,1999	Savannah Harbor Deepening conditional approval for –42 feet to –48 feet mlw in the Inner Harbor	WRDA 1999
5.		SAVANNAH RIVER BELOW AUGUSTA, GA	
	Sep 13, 1891	For a 5-foot channel	HD255, 51st Cong., 2d sess.
	Jun 25, 1910	Special improvement by bank protection work of 20 to 25 miles of the river immediately below Augusta.	HD962, 60th Cong., 1st sess.
	Jul 3, 1930	The present 6-foot channel project and Lock and Dam, GA.	HD101, 70th Cong., 1st sess.
	Aug 30, 1935	Provision made for locating the lock and dam at New Savannah Bluff.	Senate Committee Print, 73d
	Aug 26, 1937	Conditions of local cooperation modified.	Cong., 2d sess.
	May 17, 1950	Provides for a 9-foot channel.	Rivers and Harbors Com., Doc. 39, 75th Cong., 1st sess. SD6, 81st Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 8-B AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

See Section	Date of Authorizing Act	Project and Work Authorized	Documents
10.		TYBEE ISLAND, GA	
	SPWC Resolution Apr 29, 1963 and HPWC Jun 19, 1963.	Project will provide for beach erosion control, consisting of beach restoration, groin nourishment.	HD105, 92d Cong.
	SPWC Resolution Jun 22, 1971 and HPWC Jun 23, 1972 under authority of Sec. 201, Flood Con trol Act of 1965 Oct 17, 1986	Extends authority for renourishment with Federal participation from 15 to 50 years.	HR6, 99th Cong., 2d sess. Dated Oct. 17, 1986, Section 867
	PL-104-303 Water Resources Development Act of 1996, Oct 12, 1996	Sect 301(b)(4) provided for inclusion of that portion of Tybee Island located south of the existing terminal groin, including the East Bank of Tybee Creek up to Horse Pen Creek.	WRDA 1996
		Sect 506(a)(4) extended periodic nourishment for a period of 50 years beginning on the date of initiation of construction.	WRDA 1996
19.		J. STROM THURMOND DAM AND LAKE, GA AND SC	
	Flood Control Act of Dec 22, 1944	Approved the general plan for the comprehensive development of the Savannah River Basin and provided for construction of the Project.	HD657, 78th Cong., 2d sess.
	Oct 17, 1986 Jan 1988	Recreation and fish and wildlife added as name changed. (Formerly Clarks Hill Lake.)	HR6, 99th Cong., 2d sess. Section 864, HJR 376
20.		HARTWELL DAM AND LAKE, GA AND SC	
	Flood Control Act of May 17, 1950	Provided for construction of Hartwell Project.	HD657, 78th Cong., 2d sess. PL516, 82st Cong., 2d sess.
	Flood Control Act of Jul 3, 1958	Provided for the completion of the Hartwell Project.	PL85-500, 87th Cong., 2d sess.
	Water Resources Development Act of 1976	Provides for installation of 5th unit.	PL94-587, Sec. 182b., 85th Cong.
21.		RICHARD B. RUSSELL DAM AND LAKE, GA AND SC	
	Flood Control Act of Nov 7, 1966	Provided for construction of the Trotters Shoals Project.	SD52, 89th Cong., 1st sess.
	Water Resources Development Act of 1986	Authorized mitigation plan.	HR6, 99th Cong., 2d sess. dated Oct. 17, 1986, Section 601
23.		HARTWELL LAKE/CLEMSON UPPER AND LOWER DIVERSION DAMS, GA AND SC	
	Flood Control Acts of 1944, 1950, 1958, and Water Resources Development Act	Seismic remediation.	HD657, 78th Cong., 2d sess. PL516, 81st Cong., 2d sess. Sect 1203; WRDA 1986

TABLE 8-B **AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION**

See Section	Date of Authorizing Act	Project and Work Authorized	Documents
	of 1986		

TABLE 8-C **OTHER AUTHORIZED NAVIGATION PROJECTS**
(See Section 7 in text)

Project	Status	For Last Full Report See Annual Report For:	Cost to Sep 30, 2004 Construction	Cost to Sep 30, 2004 Operation and Maintenance
Bellville Point, GA	Completed	1986	599,379	----
Cedar Point, GA	Completed	1982	656,233	----
Darien Harbor, GA	Completed	1975	199,723	185,433

Fancy Bluff Creek, GA 1	**Completed**	**1935**	**8,000**	**7,200**
St. Mary's River, GA and FL, and North River, GA	**Completed**	**1951**	**15,688**	**69,936**
Sapelo Harbor, GA 2, 3	**Completed**	**1929**	**17,906**	**19,594**
Satilla River, GA 1, 5, 6	**Completed**	**1951**	**9,452**	**57,172**
Savannah River above Augusta, GA 2, 3, 4	**See Notes**	**1929**	**69,600**	**85,944**
Savannah River at Augusta, GA 2, 3, 5	**See Notes**	**1929**	**200,556**	**17,444**

1. **Channel adequate for commerce.**
2. **Project recommended for abandonment in HD 467, 69th Cong., 1st session.**
3. **No commerce reported.**
4. **About 84 percent completed. Owing to construction of two power dams which submerged much of the work under the present and former projects, this improvement cannot be completed as originally planned.**
5. **Excludes $185,000 contributed funds ($172,151 for construction and $12,849 for operation and maintenance).**
6. **Water Resources Development Act of 1986 authorized demonstration project on the Umbrella Creek - Dover Creek for the purpose of reducing shoaling. Monitor for 10 years, develop a hydrodynamic model.**

TABLE 8-D **OTHER AUTHORIZED FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS**
(See Section 12 in text)

Project	Status	For Last Full Report See Annual Report For:	Cost to Sep 30, 2004 Construction	Cost to Sep 30, 2004 Operation and Maintenance
Augusta, Savannah River, GA	Completed	1941	643,016	38,242
Curry Creek Dam and Lake, GA (1)	See Note	1974	----	----
Dunn Branch, Woodbine, Camden County, GA	Completed	1977	132,640	5,219
Macon, GA	Completed	1955	380,043	38,243
Oates Creek, GA (2)	Completed	1993	12,565,000	----
Peacock Creek, Liberty County, GA	Completed	1976	582,163	5,219

1. Feasibility report completed. Project not authorized for construction.
2. Authorized by HR 6, Water Resource Development Act of 1986 dated October 17, 1986. First Federal cost of $9,600,000 and non-federal cost of $4,100,000.

TABLE 8-E

SAVANNAH RIVER BASIN, GA AND SC
DAMS AND LAKES
(See Section 18, 19, 20 & 21 in text)

Name	River	Estimated Cost Federal	Non-Federal	Total
J. Strom Thurmond Dam & Lake, GA and SC	Savannah	69,700,000(3)		69,700,000(1,3)
Hartwell Dam & Lake, GA and SC	Savannah	32,700,000		32,700,000(2)
Hartwell Lake/Clemson Upper and Lower Diversion Dams, GA and SC	Savannah	8,741,000		8,741,000
Richard B. Russell Dam & Lake, GA and SC(4)(5)	Savannah	624,100,000	1,900,000	626,000,000(5)

1. Final Cost. (Excludes $127,000 for preauthorization study.)
2. Approved August 1963. (Excludes $73,000 for preauthorization study.)
3. Approved July 1954.
4. Excludes Code 710 funds.
5. Richard B. Russell Dam and Lake (formerly Trotters Shoals Lake) replaced Goat Island, GA and SC and Middleton Shoals, GA and SC.

JACKSONVILLE, FL DISTRICT*

With the exception of a small area in the north-eastern section of Florida, this district comprises a portion of south-central Georgia and all of peninsular Florida, embracing the watersheds tributary to the Atlantic Ocean and the Gulf of Mexico from, and including the harbor at Fernandina, Florida, to and including the Aucilla River. It also includes Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

IMPROVEMENTS

Navigation

1. Aquatic Plant Control (R&H Act of 1965) 3
2. Arecibo Harbor, PR 3
3. Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway between Norfolk, VA and St. Johns River, FL 3
4. Bakers Haulover Inlet, FL 4
5. Canaveral Harbor, FL 4
6. Channel from Naples to Big Marco Pass 5
7. Charlotte Harbor, FL 5
8. Eau Gallie Harbor, FL 5
9. Fernandina Harbor, FL 6
10. Fort Myers Beach, FL 6
11. Fort Pierce Harbor, FL 6
12. Intracoastal Waterway, Caloosahatchee River to Anclote River, FL 7
13. Intracoastal Waterway, Jacksonville to Miami, FL 7
14. Jacksonville Harbor, FL 8
15. Jacksonville Harbor (Mill Cove), FL 9
16. John's Pass, FL 10
17. Longboat Pass, FL 10
18. Manatee Harbor, FL 10
19. Mayaguez Harbor, PR 11
20. Melbourne Harbor, FL 11
21. Miami Harbor 11
22. New Pass, Sarasota, FL 12
23. Okeechobee Waterway, FL 12
24. Oklawaha River, FL 13
25. Palm Beach Harbor, FL 13
26. Palm Valley Bridge, FL 14
27. Ponce de Leon Inlet , FL 14
28. Ponce Harbor, PR 15
29. Port Everglades Harbor, FL 15
30. Removal of Aquatic Growth from Navigable Waters in the State of Florida 16
31. St. Augustine Harbor, FL 16
32. St. Johns River, Jacksonville to Lake Harney, FL 17
33. St. Lucie Inlet, FL 17
34. San Juan Harbor, PR 18
35. Tampa Harbor, FL 19
36. Navigation Projects on which Reconnaissance and Condition Surveys only were Conducted during Period 20

Navigation (cont.)

37. Other Authorized Navigation Projects 20
38. Navigation Work under Special Authorization 20

Beach Erosion Control

39. Brevard County, FL 20
40. Broward County, FL Beach Erosion Control and Hillsboro Inlet, FL Navigation Project 21
41. Duval County, FL 22
42. Ft. Pierce Beach, FL 22
43. Indian River County, FL 23
44. Lee County, FL 23
45. Manatee County, FL. 24
46. Martin County, FL. 25
47. Nassau County, FL 25
48. Palm Beach County Lake Worth Inlet FL..... 26
49. Palm Beach Island, FL 26
50. Pinellas County, FL 27
51. St. Johns County, FL. 28
52. Sarasota County, FL 28
53. Other Authorized Beach Erosion Control Projects 29
54. Beach Erosion Control Activities under Special Authorization 29

Flood Control

55. Cedar Hammock (Wares Creek), FL 29
56. Dade County, FL 30
57. Dade County, N. of Haulover Beach, FL 30
58. Four River Basins, FL 31
59. Portugues and Bucana Rivers, PR 31
60. Rio De La Plata, PR 32
61. Rio Grande De Arecibo, PR 33
62. Rio Grande De Loiza, PR 33
63. Rio Manati, Barceloneta, PR 34
64. Rio Puerto Nuevo, PR 34
65. Inspection of Completed Flood Control Projects 35
66. Other Authorized Flood Control Projects 35
67. Flood Control Work under Special Authorization 35

General Investigations

68. Surveys 35
69. Collection and Study of Basic Data 35
70. Continuation of Planning and Engineering..... 35
71. Advance Engineering and Design..... 35

General Regulatory

72. Permit Evaluation 35
73. Enforcement 36
74. Studies 36

Environmental Improvement Projects

75. Alligator Creek, FL……...36
76. Central and Southern Florida, Including
Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan……37
77. Everglades South Florida Ecosystem
Restoration……....39
78. Florida Keys Water Quality Improvements... 40
79. Kissimmee River Restoration 40
80. Restoration Work under Special
Authorization ……41
81. Wetland and Other Aquatic Habitat
Creation under Special Authorization.....…. 41
82. Other Programs and Activities……...41

*All cost and financial statements for projects are listed
at the end of this chapter. All other tables are referenced in text and also appear at the end of the chapter.

Navigation

1. AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL (R&H ACT OF 1965)

Location. Navigable waters, tributary streams, connecting channels, and other allied waters in Florida.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for control and progressive eradication of water hyacinth, alligator weed, Eurasian water-milfoil, and other noxious aquatic plant growths from navigable waters, tributary streams, connecting channels, and other allied waters of the United States, in combined interest of navigation, flood control, drainage, agriculture, fish and wildlife conservation, public health, and related purposes, including continued research for development of most effective and economical control measures in cooperation with other Federal and State agencies in accordance with report of Chief of Engineers, H 251/89/1. The Water Resource Development Act of 1986 amended the River and Harbor Act of 1965 requiring the local sponsor to share 50 percent of planning costs and 50 percent of research costs that are local in nature. The cost of research that is regional or national in scope shall be borne fully by the United States.

Local cooperation. Florida Department of Natural Resources holds the United States free from damages that may occur from operations performed in connection with this project and contributes 50 percent of cost of operations. Compliance with requirements of local cooperation is on schedule.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: New work: $21,767. ERDC funded the annual Alligatorweed flea beetle collection and dispersal program for biological control of Alligatorweed in the Southeastern U.S. HQUSACE funded district staff to initiate the revision of the APC Program regulation.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Cost share operation by the Corps and the State of Florida are on hold due to lack of funding. Water hyacinths within Florida are under maintenance control. Hydrilla is continuing to spread throughout the state and is causing major problems in some areas.

2. ARECIBO HARBOR, PR

Location. The harbor is located on the north shore of Puerto Rico about 40 miles west of San Juan Harbor. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 25668.)

Previous project. For details see page 504 of 1956 Annual Report.
Existing project. The project provides for a channel 25 feet deep by 400 feet wide, with flare at entrance and widening at inner end to form a maneuvering area and a stone breakwater 1,200 feet long. Plane of reference is mean low water. Mean tidal range is 1.1 feet. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date.

Terminal facilities. A bulkhead wharf 688 by 220 feet providing deep water berthing space of approximately 500 feet and a 300 by 100-foot transit shed. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year: None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Project was completed in 1944. Breakwater was repaired in 1952. Wave action has since caused damage.

3. ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY BETWEEN NORFOLK, VA AND ST. JOHNS RIVER, FL (JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT)

Location. That part of Intracoastal Waterway between southerly limit of Fernandina Harbor, FL, at junction of Lanceford Creek and Amelia River, and St. Johns River, FL. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11489.)

Previous project. For details see page 605 of 1938 Annual Report.

Existing project. Channel 12, 90 to 150 feet wide from Fernandina Harbor to St. Johns River, about 22 miles long. Plane of reference is mean low water. Mean tidal range is 6 feet at Fernandina and 3.8 feet at St. Johns River. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Existing project was authorized by River and Harbor Acts of 1913 (H 898/62/2) and 1938 (H 618/75/3).

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date.

Terminal facilities. There is a commercial marina located at Sisters Creek. No other facilities exist along

this section of the waterway and none are currently required.

Operations and results during fiscal year: None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Project was completed in 1941. Minor shoals exist throughout the project.

4. BAKERS HAULOVER INLET, FL

Location. The inlet connects the Intracoastal Waterway and the Atlantic Ocean and is located 2 miles north of Miami Beach in Dade County, Florida.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for an entrance channel 11 by 200 feet, thence 8 by 100 feet to the Intracoastal Waterway and a marina basin 8 by 200 feet. The length of the project is 1.02 miles. Plane of reference is mean low water.

Operations and results during fiscal year. None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The initial construction of project was completed in December 1964. Critical shoaling at this time is restricting project depth. Maintenance dredging is necessary.

5. CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL

Location. The harbor is located on the east coast of Florida in Canaveral Bight, about 146 miles south of the entrance to Jacksonville Harbor and 69 miles north of the entrance to Fort Pierce Harbor. (See NOAA Nautical Chart Nos. 11478 and 11484.)

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a 37 foot deep entrance channel and maintenance of the 44 foot deep Navy channel in the 37 foot channel reach; and 35 foot depth turning basin; construction and operation of a sand transfer plant; relocation of the perimeter dike about 4,000 feet westward and extension of the harbor westward; south entrance jetty 1,100 feet long and the north entrance jetty 1,150 feet long; a lock; a channel and turning basin 31 feet deep near the relocated dike; and a barge canal 12 by 125 feet from the turning basin to the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway. Plane of reference is mean low water (Banana River) for barge canal. The project is about 11.5 miles long. Mean tidal range is 3.5 feet at the entrance and practically non-tidal in Banana and Indian Rivers. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated project cost for Canaveral Harbor Sand Transfer System is $40,958,000 Federal and $4,900,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. Local interests must; provide all lands, rights-of-way, spoil-disposal areas, retaining dikes, and embankments; hold United States free from damages; provide and maintain four-lane bridge and roadway subject to Federal contribution of 65.3 percent of cost of constructing bridge and 51.2 percent of constructing roadway; provide public terminal and transfer facilities; and make alterations as required in berthing facilities. For further details see Senate Document 140, 87th Congress, 2nd session. Non-Federal contribution for new work is $2,635,845.

Terminal facilities. Canaveral Harbor has 27 commercial waterfront facilities. The General Cargo Facilities consist of 1,900 feet of usable berthing space capacity of 168,000 square feet. The Oil Handling Facilities operate with 3,760 feet of usable berthing space and 1,413,000 barrels of tank storage. Available warehouse storage includes 28,000 square feet of dry storage and 2,500,000 cubic feet of cold storage. Open storage is 189 acres.

Three-cruise ship berths totaling 1,400 feet long by 34-foot depth and three 8,800 square foot cruise terminals are also located on the south side. The western cruise ship berth is equipped with a roll on/off ramp and is adjacent to 20 acres of trailer storage area.

Hoisting facilities consist of one 45-ton floating crane and crawler and mobile cranes, with capacities from 70 to 200 tons, available from local crane rental services. There are 2 waterfront marine repair facilities with the nearest dry-dock facilities located at Port Everglades and Jacksonville. Two tugs with ratings of 1,600 and 2,250 horsepower are also available. There is no rail service available at the port. The nearest rail service is the Florida East Coast Railway located 9 miles away. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce. (See Port Series No. 16, Rev. 1982.)

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Maintenance: contract dredging cost was $12,265,816; Operations for navigation $21,685; Maintenance and operation of locks and dams amounted to $2,254,178; Engineering and design $34,876; Construction management $132,848.

Condition at end of fiscal year. A new study to investigate a permanent sand tightening of the north jetty was completed on 7 Nov 03. And a construction contract was awarded on October 2004, to be

completed by Dec 2005. Also, Plans and Specifications for the 3rd Sand Bypass has been completed and the construction contract is on schedule to be awarded by beginning of FY 2007.

6. CHANNEL FROM NAPLES TO BIG MARCO PASS, FL

Location. Naples Bay is on the southwestern side of the Florida peninsula about 35 miles south of the mouth of the Caloosahatchee River and approximately 1-mile inland and parallel to the Gulf of Mexico coastline. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11430.)

Existing project. The authorized project provides for an interior channel 6 by 70 feet from Naples to Big Marco Pass; a channel 12 by 150 feet from the Gulf of Mexico to Gordon Pass, thence 10 by 100-70 feet to a 10 foot depth turning basin in the upper Naples Bay; and an 8 foot depth turning basin at the municipal yacht basin. Plane of reference is mean low water. Mean tidal range is 2.1 feet. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)
For further details see Annual Report of 1962.

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date.

Terminal facilities. Four seafood-packing houses; 4 marine repair yards; a municipal pier and basin for use by recreational boats; and numerous private piers and slips for both commercial and recreational craft are available. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year: None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project is at authorized depth.

7. CHARLOTTE HARBOR, FL

Location. The Harbor is located on the west coast of Florida about 68 miles south of the entrance to Tampa Bay and 150 miles north of Key West. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11429.)

Previous projects. For details, see page 457 of 1959 Annual Report.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a channel 32 by 300 feet, increased to 700 feet at the bend, from the Gulf of Mexico to Port Boca Grande to and including a turning basin at 200 feet square at the municipal terminal at Punta Gorda. Plane of reference is mean low water. The project is about 29.5 miles long.

Mean tidal range is one foot at Port Boca Grande and 1.4 feet at Punta Gorda. Extreme range is about 3 feet at Port Boca Grande and 3.8 feet at Punta Gorda. Strong southwesterly winds raise water levels about 1.5 feet; strong northerly and easterly winds lower water levels about one foot. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Local cooperation is fully complied with to date.

Terminal facilities. Existing facilities consist of a phosphate wharf at Port Boca Grande and a municipal earth fill pier about 850 feet long at Punta Gorda, both open to the public. Railway connections are available at Port Boca Grande, and highway and railway connections are available at Punta Gorda.

Operations and results during fiscal year. None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project was completed in 1959, and was dredged for maintenance in June 1998. The Florida Power and Light Company no longer has a requirement for bunker fuel oil to be delivered into Charlotte Harbor. Therefore, there is no future maintenance scheduled for the project.

8. EAU GALLIE HARBOR, FL

Location. The Harbor is located on Indian River about midway of the State of Florida, 176 miles south of Jacksonville Harbor and 174 miles north of Miami Harbor.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a channel 8 by 100 feet from Indian River to and including a 300 by 600-foot turning basin in Eau Gallie. The project is about 2,700 feet long. Plane of reference is mean low water. The harbor is almost non-tidal.

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date.

Terminal facilities. A privately owned boatyard in Eau Gallie Harbor provides more than 600 feet of docking space used chiefly by pleasure boats. There are also 2 marine railways and repair and storage facilities available. The facilities are considered adequate for the present needs of navigation.

Operations and results during fiscal year. None. No future maintenance is scheduled for this project.
Condition at end of fiscal year. The project was completed in 1939. In December 1982, the controlling depth of the channel was 5 feet.

9. FERNANDINA HARBOR, FL

Location. Entrance to the harbor is located on the northeast coast of Florida about 95 miles south of the entrance to Savannah Harbor, Georgia, and 22 miles north of the entrance to Jacksonville Harbor, Florida. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11503.)

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a 32 foot depth channel (maximum channel in active status: 28 feet) generally 300-400 feet wide from deep water in the ocean to the junction of Lanceford Creek with Amelia River; an 800 foot wide turning basin at the first bend below Lanceford Creek; and 2 jetties, 19,150 and 11,200 feet long. The project is 7 miles long. Plane of reference is mean low water. Mean tidal range is 5.8 feet on the bar and 6 feet in the inner harbor. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date. Non-Federal contribution for new work was $935,000.

Terminal facilities. There are 2 large wharves operated by industrial plants; 12 wharves serving fishing boats, recreational craft, and other vessels; 3 oil handling wharves with pipelines; and a Municipal Marina and Sportsman's Wharf with public facilities for small craft. Total berthing space is approximately 4,065 feet. Most terminals are served by rail, and all have highway access. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce. (See Port Series No. 16 (Part 2), 1964.)

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Maintenance contract dredging, entrance channel $1,384,510; Engineering and design and construction management costs were $85,545 and $43,947 respectively.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The active portion of the existing project is complete. Jetties are in poor condition and are badly in need of repair. Remaining work is to deepen the inner harbor channel and turning basin to 32 feet when and if the presently inactive 1950 authorization is reactivated. The entrance channel has been deepened to 46 feet for the Kings Bay project. Authorized depths were restored as of March 1991.

10. FORT MYERS BEACH, FL

Location. Fort Myers Beach is on Estero Island near the mouth of the Caloosahatchee River, about 20 miles below Fort Myers and 110 miles south of Tampa, Florida. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11427.)

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a channel 12 by 150 feet from that depth in San Carlos Bay into Matanzas Pass, thence 11 by 125 feet in Matanzas Pass to and including a turning basin 2,000 feet upstream from the upper shrimp terminals. Plane of reference is mean low water. Project is 2.5 miles long.

Mean tidal range is 1.7 feet. Spring range is about 2.3 feet. Strong northerly winds lower the water surface 1 to 2 feet; strong southerly winds have an opposite effect. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date.

Terminal facilities. There are 3 shrimp-packing houses and several fish-packing houses, 2 marine railways, a fuel terminal and an ice manufacturing plant in the area. There are several commercial facilities for servicing shrimp boats. Recreational craft facilities include 9 marinas, a boat motor testing laboratory which is closed, and numerous privately owned piers and wharves. All terminals have highway access. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Engineering and design $35,999.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Hurricane season of 2005 caused shoaling in the channel. Future operation and maintenance dredging pending receipt of funds.

11. FORT PIERCE HARBOR, FL

Location. On east coast of Florida, about 218 miles south of entrance to St. Johns River and about 124 miles north of entrance to Miami Harbor. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11475.)

Existing project. A channel 350 feet wide at the 27-foot contour in the ocean, tapering to a width of 200 feet at Station 100+00, thence 200 feet wide to Sta. 30+00, and thence flaring to a turning basin 900 feet wide. Entrance channel is 27 feet deep to Sta. 130+00 and thence 25 feet deep to and including the turning basin. Project includes the maintenance of two jetties

and shore revetments at the inlet. Length of project is about 3.5 miles.
Plan of improvement consists of enlarging the existing entrance channel to 400 feet wide and 30 feet deep, the interior channel to 250 feet wide and 28 feet deep, the existing turning basin to 1,100 feet square and 28 feet deep, and providing an access channel 1,250 feet long, 250 feet wide and 28 feet deep north of the main turning basin.

Mean tidal range is 2.6 feet at the entrance and 0.7 feet at terminals. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.) For further details see 1961 Annual Report.

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date. Non-Federal contribution for new work was $2,503,387. A 25% contribution and an additional 10% reimbursement over 30 years from locals are required.

Terminal facilities. Two earth-filled piers forming a slip 200 by 300 feet, with bulkhead wharf at inner end, affording berthing space of about 1,653 feet. The south pier and bulkhead wharf are municipally owned. Facilities also include a cooling plant, a warehouse and a fruit-packing house. Railway and both piers serve the north pier by highway connections. North of the turning basin local interests have provided deep-draft berthing and pier facilities. Also available is a bulkhead wharf with a depth of 25 feet with ample room for open storage and with tank storage for petroleum in the rear. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance dredging $279,993; construction management $43,953.

Condition at end of fiscal year. No further work is scheduled.

12. INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, CALOOSAHATCHEE RIVER TO ANCLOTE RIVER, FL

Location. The Waterway extends from the mouth of the Caloosahatchee River at Punta Rassa, Florida, to the mouth of Anclote River, Florida, following in general an almost continuous series of protected inside waterways along the gulf coast of Florida. (See NOAA Nautical Chart Nos. 11411, 11425 and 11427.)

Previous projects. For details see page 767 of 1945 Annual Report.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a channel 9 by 100 feet from Caloosahatchee River to Anclote River; deepening the existing channel at Casey's Pass to 9 feet; a channel 6 by 80 feet (Cats Point Channel) along the southeastern side of Boca Ciega Bay past Frenchman Creek and Gulfport; maintenance of bulkheads, revetments, and two jetties built at Casey's Pass under previous project; and improvement and maintenance of Sunshine Skyway Channel. Plane of reference is mean low water. The project includes about 160 miles of channels.

Mean ranges of tide are 1.7 feet at Punta Rassa, 1 foot at Port Boca Grande, 1.4 feet in Tampa Bay at Anna Maria, and 2 feet at entrance to Anclote River. Extreme ranges are about 4.5 feet at Punta Rassa, about 3 to 4 feet between Port Boca Grande and Corey Causeway over Boca Ciega Bay, and about 5.5 feet at entrance to Anclote River. Southerly winds over the area generally raise water levels by 1 to 1.5 feet; northerly winds lower water levels by 1 to 2 feet. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date.

Terminal facilities. Existing facilities include one municipal concrete pier, one railway pier, one freight wharf, one marginal wharf 500 feet long on Terminal Island, and 11 privately owned landings, all at Sarasota. Municipal pier and freight wharf are open to the public. All piers have highway connections. There are 6 wharves along Boca Ciega Bay and a number of small privately owned piers and wharves at various points along waterway for use by commercial fishing boats and recreational craft. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: Engineering and design $391,898.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Existing project is complete. Shoaling exists in northern Pinellas County, around Longboat Pass and Venice Inlet and just south of the Sunshine Skyway Bridge. Spot shoals exist in Lee County. Engineering and design is underway for maintenance dredging in 2006 and 2007.

13. INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, JACKSONVILLE TO MIAMI, FL

Location. The Waterway extends from Jacksonville to Miami, Florida, following the St. Johns River to the mouth of Pablo Creek and thence following in general

an almost continuous series of protected inside waterways along the Atlantic coast of Florida to Miami. (See NOAA Nautical Chart Nos. 11489, 11485, 11472 and 11467.)

Previous projects. For details, see pages 618-619 of 1938 Annual Report.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a channel 12 by 125 feet from Jacksonville to Miami, modified by Chief of Engineer's report of July 22, 1960; side channels at Sebastian and Daytona Beach and turning basins at Sebastian and Vero Beach, all to an 8 foot depth, and operation and maintenance of Palm Valley highway bridge. Project is 370 miles long, including 21 miles in Jacksonville Harbor. Mean range of tide is 3.8 feet at St. Johns River, 0.7 foot in Indian River at Fort Pierce, 1.8 feet in Lake Worth at Port of Palm Beach terminals, 2.3 feet at the Port Everglades terminals, and 2 feet in Biscayne Bay. The extreme range is about 7 feet at St. Johns River, 1.5 feet at Fort Pierce, and 3 feet in Biscayne Bay. Plane of reference is mean low water. Tidal effect is imperceptible at points along waterway distant from inlets. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date.

Terminal facilities. There are a number of privately owned piers, wharves, and landings at various points along waterway. Terminals with railway connections are available at Jacksonville, Fort Pierce, West Palm Beach, Port Everglades, and Miami. Municipal piers or wharves have been constructed at Titusville, Cocoa, Melbourne, and Vero Beach for handling general freight and at St. Augustine, Daytona Beach, New Smyrna Beach, Eau Gallie, West Palm Beach, Delray Beach, Fort Lauderdale, and Miami for use of recreational craft. Yacht basins, open to the public, have been provided at Jacksonville Beach, Daytona Beach, Titusville, Eau Gallie, Vero Beach, Fort Pierce, West Palm Beach, Fort Lauderdale, Hollywood, and Miami. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce. (For further details on facilities at Jacksonville, see Port Series No. 15, 1969, and at Palm Beach, Port Everglades, and Miami, see Port Series No. 16, Revised 1972.)

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: Real estate management $4,480; Contract dredging, various segments, $4,613,268; bridge operations and maintenance $3,005; Engineering and design, and contract management costs were $233,896 and $177,007, respectively.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The existing project as modified by the Chief of Engineers is complete. (Construction of the channel and turning basin at Sebastian, Florida, was deauthorized by WRDA of 1988, P.L. 100-676.) (See Table 9-A for total project costs.) Maintenance dredging of IWW in the vicinity of Nassau Sound, FL: Palm Valley, Fl.; and Bakers Haulover Inlet, FL is to be completed in 2006.

14. JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL

Location. The authorized project comprises the lower 24.9 miles of St. Johns River, which empties into the Atlantic Ocean near the northeasterly corner of the Florida peninsula. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11491.)

Previous projects. For details, see page 607 of Annual Report, 1938.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a channel 40 feet deep by 400-1,200 feet wide from ocean to mile 14.7 via Dame Point-Fulton Cutoff, a channel 38 feet deep from ocean mile 14.7 to ocean mile 20.0, thence 34 feet to Commodore Point, and thence 30 feet deep to the FEC railway bridge at Jacksonville, including a 30 foot channel in Arlington cut in the old Dames Point-Fulton channel; maintenance of the existing 42 and 40 foot depth entrance channel; widening of channel by 100 feet near mile 5 and by 200 feet near mile 7; maintenance of jetties at channel entrance; construction of training walls and revetments; a navigation and floodway channel 26 by 200 feet along south side of Commodore Point; on approach and mooring basin 20 feet deep, 1,300 feet long at 20 foot depth contour and 600 feet long at pier head line near Naval Reserve Armory in south Jacksonville; a depth of 24 feet between that depth contour and the pier head line from Hogan Creek to the foot of Laura Street; and a depth of 28 feet to within 60 feet of pier head line between foot of Laura Street and St. Elmo W. Acosta (formerly Upper State) bridge. Length of project is about 26.8 miles. In addition the Navy has provided funds for a deeper Jacksonville Harbor entrance channel 42 feet deep and 800 feet long, intersecting with the Navy's Mayport entrance channel to the Mayport turning basin; also an extension of the existing project to provide 38 foot depth for the Navy fuel depot, at Drummond Creek.

Mean tidal range is 5.3 feet on the bar, 4.9 feet at Mayport, 2.6 feet at Dame Point, and 1.1 feet at Jacksonville. The extreme range varies from about 9 feet on the bar to about 1.5 feet at Jacksonville. Strong

northeasterly winds raise the water level about 2 feet at Mayport and Jacksonville. Strong southwesterly winds lower the water about 1.5 feet at Mayport and one foot at Jacksonville. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated cost of new work $12,200,000 Federal and $9,100,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. Fully complied to date. A 25 percent contribution and an additional 10 percent reimbursement over 30 years from local sponsor is required for general navigation features, a 100 percent contribution is required for an additional one foot deepening, non-Federal contribution for new work was $18,834,000.

Terminal facilities. Jacksonville Harbor has 84 waterfront facilities. Available at the General Cargo Facilities are 11,140 feet of usable berthing space and 12 transit sheds with a total storage space of 1,009,800 square feet. The Oil Handling Facilities consist of 7,843 feet of usable berthing space and 179 storage tanks providing a total of 8,478,900 barrels of tank storage. Warehouse storage at the port includes 3,266,900 square feet of dry storage and 4,071,100 cubic feet of cold storage. Available open storage is 233 acres.

Four fixed cranes with capacities from 40 to 100 tons are located at the port. Available locally are crawler and truck cranes with capacities up to 100 tons. Various phases of marine repair work are accomplished by 7 waterfront repair facilities and numerous other companies located off water. Dry-dock facilities consist of 6 floating dry-docks with capacities from 800 to 33,000 tons. Floating equipment includes 25 tugs with up to 3,300 horsepower and 16 tank barges with capacities up to 20,700 barrels. Three major railroads furnish rail service from port docks to all points outside of Jacksonville. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce (See Port Series No. 15, Rev. 1978.)

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Navigation construction $1,221,793; Engineering and Design $373,386,. Maintenance: Contract dredging cost $3,898,444.; Contract management $119,027.

Condition at end of fiscal year: A GRR to extend the 40 foot river mile 14.7 to river mile 20.0 is complete and is pending authorization. A second GRR is underway to evaluate additional project features.

15. JACKSONVILLE HARBOR (MILL COVE), FL

Location. The authorized Mill Cove project comprises a 6 square mile body of shallow water on the St. Johns River approximately 10 miles from the Atlantic Ocean near the northeasterly corner of the Florida peninsula. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11491.)

Previous project. None.

Existing project. The authorized project provides improved flow and circulation through Mill Cove to eliminate further shoaling. This large shallow area averages about 2 to 4 feet deep, is about 5.5 miles long, and varies from 0.5 to 2.0 miles wide. It is situated along the St. Johns River near the Dame Point-Fulton Cutoff portion of the Jacksonville Harbor navigation project. The Mill Cove project includes dredging a 650 foot by 3,600 foot flow channel at the west end to -12 feet MSL; enlarging the weir opening at the eastern end to 1,300 feet wide and -12 feet MSL; and installing flow diversion features at the west and east ends of the cove. Mean tidal range is 4.9 feet at entrance, 4.5 feet at Mayport, 3.0 feet at Dame Point, and 1.2 feet at Jacksonville. Strong northeasterly winds raise the water level about 2 feet at Mayport and Jacksonville. Strong southwesterly winds lower the water about 1.5 feet at Mayport and 1 foot at Jacksonville (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. In conformance with Section 221, Public Law 91-611, and prior to commencement of construction, the Jacksonville Port Authority, as local sponsor, must provide written agreement to the following local cooperation requirements: provide without cost to the United States all lands, easements, and rights-of-way required for construction and subsequent maintenance of the project; hold and save the United States free from damages that result due to construction and maintenance other than damages due to the fault or negligence of the United States or its contractors; accomplish without cost to the United States such utility and other relocations or alterations as necessary for construction.

Terminal facilities. None.

Operations and results during fiscal year. None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Project completed November 2002.

16. JOHNS PASS, FL

Location. A natural inlet on the west coast of Florida connecting Boca Ciega Bay with Gulf of Mexico. The pass is located about 8 miles northwest of lower Tampa Bay directly across Boca Ciega Bay from St. Petersburg, Florida. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11411.)

Existing project. Channel 10 by 150 feet in the Gulf, thence 8 by 100 feet inside pass, and 6 by 100 feet to the Intracoastal Waterway, and suitable protective measures over a frontage of approximately 1,000 linear feet of shore along the north end of Treasure Island. Plane of reference is mean low water. Mean range of tide is 1.5 feet. Project is about 2.6 miles long.
Project was authorized December 2, 1964 by the Chief of Engineers under Section 107 of the 1960 River and Harbor Act and by Section 110 of the 1966 River and Harbor Act.

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date.

Terminal facilities. Only small marinas and repair yards for recreational craft are in the general vicinity. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year. None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Project was completed in 1968. Authorized project depths were restored as of June 2002.

17. LONGBOAT PASS, FL

Location. Longboat Pass is located on the west coast of Florida about 11 miles northwest of Sarasota and 23 miles south of St. Petersburg. Located in Manatee County, it is one of several natural inlets connecting Sarasota Bay, a tidal estuary, with the Gulf of Mexico. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11425.)

Existing project. The authorized project provides for an entrance channel 12 by 150 feet from the Gulf to Longboat Pass Bridge, thence a channel 10 by 100 feet from Longboat Pass Bridge along a north to northeasterly alignment to the Intracoastal Waterway to Cortez Bridge. The mean tidal range is 2.2 feet and the maximum tidal range is about 5 feet. Currents are predominantly tidal. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date. See 1978 Annual Report for detailed local cooperation requirements.

Terminal facilities. From Sarasota north to Tampa Bay there are about 28 small craft facilities including marinas, boat repair yards, and boat basins along the Intracoastal Waterway. Nine of them are located within 2 miles of Longboat Pass. Numerous private mooring piers and wharves also exist in the area. The marina and repair facilities appear adequate for the general boating needs of the area.

Operations and results during fiscal year. None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction of the project is complete. Authorized project depths were restored as of May 2003. The channel depths are adequate for navigation.

18. MANATEE HARBOR, FL

Location. The project is located in Manatee County on the east side of Tampa Bay 10 miles from the Gulf of Mexico. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11414.)

Existing project. The authorized project provides for maintenance of the existing 40-foot deep draft navigation channel and turning basin, which extends from Tampa Bay Channel to berthing facilities at Port Manatee. Also provide initial construction for a widener at the northwest end of the Manatee Harbor Channel and a repositioned 900 by 1300 foot turning basin adjacent to the northern berthing area to provide a larger turning basin. All material from the project was placed on upland sites west and northeast of the port slip.

Estimated cost of new work $26,800,000 Federal and $13,300,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date. A 25 percent contribution and an additional 10 percent reimbursement over 30 years from locals are required.
Non-Federal contribution for new work was $2,606,943.

Terminal facilities. Existing facilities at Port Manatee consist of 675 acres of port operational lands, a ship basin 1,500 feet long by 788 feet wide; and an approximately 3 mile access channel, with a design width of 400 feet, which connects with the Federally authorized Tampa Bay Channel. The Manatee project was constructed between August 1968 and February 1970. The required design depth was 40 feet, and the

dredging contractor was allowed a pay over depth of 2 feet. Port Manatee also operates its own terminal railroad, which is licensed under the Interstate Commerce Commission, and publishes a switching tariff as a Class III railroad. It also maintains and operates 2 switch engines and about 30,000 feet of track, which connects with the CSX Railroad.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Navigation costs were $10,822,718; Engineering and design costs were $533,157; construction management costs were $615,332. Maintenance: Engineering and design costs were -$602.

Condition at end of fiscal year.. Phase II will entail removal of approximately 2,676000 cubic yards for the new wideners at the entrance of the harbor and the turning basin enlargement. Phase II construction contract was awarded in September 2002 and will be completed by January 2006. A General Reevaluation Report is being completed under current policies, and guidelines to add a channel extension 1590 feet long by 400 feet wide to provide additional berthing areas.

19. MAYAGUEZ HARBOR, PR

Location. The Project is on the west coast of Puerto Rico, about 110 miles by water from San Juan Harbor. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 25673.)

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a 30 by 1,000-foot approach channel to the deep-water terminal, decreasing to a 500-foot width opposite the westerly end of terminal, thence the same width to the easterly end of terminal. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date.

Terminal facilities. A modern deep water terminal is located in the northeast section of the harbor consisting of a bulkhead wharf about 1,270 feet long with a storage transit shed 800 by 60 feet immediately shoreward. The Puerto Rico Industrial Development Company, an agency of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, owns a bulkhead wharf of about 200 feet in line with the existing deep-water terminal plant located within the industrial harbor area. In addition, Bumble Bee Packing Company, Inc., owns and operates a dock of about 200 feet for docking tuna fish boats. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year. None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Project was completed in 1934.

20. MELBOURNE HARBOR, FL

Location. The project is on Indian River about midway of the State of Florida, 179 miles south of Jacksonville Harbor and 171 miles north of Miami Harbor.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a channel 8 by 100 feet from Indian River to a 400 by 800 foot turning basin in Crane Creek. Project is about 3,150 feet long. Plane of reference is mean low water. The harbor is almost non-tidal.

Terminal facilities. The Municipal Marina on the north end of the turning basin has a 350 foot steel bulkhead with 11 finger piers, each about 40 feet long. There are also 2 privately owned storage and repair facilities and several privately owned boathouses and docks. The facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year. None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project was completed in 1938. As of September 1984 channel depths as authorized were available throughout the project. Project determined to have inadequate economic benefits to justify further use of operation and maintenance funds. Therefore, no future maintenance is planned for this project.

21. MIAMI HARBOR, FL

Location. Miami is near the northern end of Biscayne Bay, about 71 miles south of the entrance to Palm Beach Harbor. Miami River has its source in the Everglades and flows southeasterly to enter Biscayne Bay at Miami. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11468.)

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a 38 by 500 foot channel from the ocean to the outer end of the north jetty, thence 36 by 400 feet through the entrance and across Biscayne Bay to and including a turning basin at the municipal terminals; a 36 foot depth turning basin at Fisher Island; 2 rubble stone jetties at the entrance; and a channel 15 feet deep in Miami River varying in width from 250 feet at mouth to 90 feet 5.5 miles inland. Plane of reference is mean low water, except for 15-foot channel in Miami River where depths are based on flood conditions. Total length of the project is about 13 miles.

Mean tidal variation is 2.5 feet at entrance and 2 feet in the bay. Extreme variation is about 4.5 feet at entrance and 3 feet in Biscayne Bay. Strong easterly winds raise the water level about 1.5 feet at entrance and 1 foot in the bay. Strong westerly winds lower water level about 1 foot at entrance and about 0.5 foot in the bay. Estimated cost for Miami Harbor Channel is $57,100,000 Federal cost and $36,200,000 non-Federal. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date. See 1978 Annual Report for detailed local cooperation requirements. Non-Federal contribution for new work was $2,300,710. Assurances of local cooperation for the 1968 modification to the project were accepted December 18, 1968.

Terminal facilities. There are 91 commercial waterfront facilities serving the port. The General Cargo Facilities include 21,373 feet of usable berthing space, 13 transit sheds with a total capacity of 474,300 square feet, and 9 freight stations with a total storage capacity of 270,400 square feet. Oil Handling Facilities consist of 2,714 feet of usable berthing space and 36 storage tanks with a total storage capacity of 744,475 barrels. Available share house storage includes dry storage of 1,450,500 square feet and cold storage of 11,204,000 cubic feet. There are 117.1 acres of open storage at the port.

Hoisting Facilities located at the port include two 40 ton cranes and cranes with capacities up to 200 tons available through local rental. Twelve waterfront repair yards and 2 off water yards serve the port. Dry-dock facilities available include 7 marine railways and 4 boat lifts with haul out capacities ranging from 40 to 1,000 tons and lifting capacities ranging from 79 to 500 tons. Nine tugs, with ratings up to 3,000 horsepower, and 13 tank barges, with capacities up to 35,000 barrels, are also available. The CSX and the Florida East Coast Railway serve rail Facilities at the port.

Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce. (See Port Series No. 16, Rev. 1982.)

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Navigation costs: $13,789,945; Real Estate costs $6,406; Maintenance: Engineering and design cost $10,009. Engineering and design costs, $378,989; Construction management costs $964,611.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The remainder of Phase II is being taken over by the Corps of Engineers and a new Project Cooperation Agreement was executed in 2004. The contract was awarded in Sept 2004 and is scheduled for completion in Dec 2005. A GRR for deepening is slated for WRDA 2005.

22. NEW PASS, SARASOTA, FL

Location. Sarasota Bay is a tidal lagoon along the west coast of Florida immediately south of Tampa Bay. New Pass is a gulf inlet across the bay from Sarasota, Florida. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11425.)

Existing project. The authorized project provides for an entrance channel 10 feet deep and 150 feet wide in the Gulf of Mexico diminishing to 8 by 100 feet through New Pass and extending across Sarasota Bay to the Intracoastal Waterway with side channels to, and turning basins at, Payne Terminal and city pier. Plane of reference is mean low water. Mean range of tide is 1.3 feet in Sarasota Bay; mean spring range is 1.7 feet. Project is about 4 miles long. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date.

Terminal facilities. Consist of Payne Terminal, a slip 200 by 650 feet, and city pier, 400 feet long with 2 finger piers equipped with adequate facilities at each location.

Operations and results during fiscal year. None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Project was completed in 1964. Authorized project depths were restored as of May 2003. Limit of federal participation in maintenance dredging has been met.

23. OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY, FL

Location. The waterway traverses the southern part of the Florida peninsula via the Caloosahatchee River, Lake Okeechobee, and St. Lucie Canal, connecting coastal waterways along the Gulf and Atlantic Shores. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11428.)

Previous project. For details, see page 785 of 1949 Annual Report.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for replacing the old locks on the St. Lucie Canal by a single new lock; a channel 10 by 100 feet from Ft. Myers for about 5 miles upstream, thence 8 by 80-100 feet to the Intracoastal Waterway, Jacksonville to Miami, near Stuart; a side channel at Ft. Myers; operation and care of St. Lucie Lock; and maintenance of features completed under previous projects as

follows: a 12 by 200 foot channel from the Gulf of Mexico to Punta Rassa, thence 10 by 100 feet to Ft. Myers with a 10 foot depth basin at Fort Myers; a 6 by 80 foot channel along the south shore of Lake Okeechobee from Clewiston to St. Lucie Canal; a 6 by 60 foot channel in Taylor Creek from the town of Okeechobee to the Lake; and operation and care of Moore Haven and Ortona Locks. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

For further details see 1962 Annual Report.

Local cooperation. Fully complied with for completed portion of project. Non-Federal contribution amounted to $503,700.

Terminal facilities. There are 3 freight piers, one municipal recreation pier, 4 privately owned piers, and a municipal yacht basin on the Caloosahatchee River near Fort Myers. The Corps has provided a boat basin, launching ramp, and a 120-foot wharf on the Caloosahatchee River about one-quarter mile below Ortona Lock. There are tie-up dolphins above and below all 5 locks. Commercial yacht basins are provided on the south side of the Caloosahatchee River about halfway between Ortona and Moore Haven Locks and about 3 miles east of LaBelle. A commercial/municipal yacht basin is provided on the north side of the St. Lucie Canal at Indiantown.

There are numerous small wooden-pile landings along the Caloosahatchee River, St. Lucie Canal, and on the St. Lucie River, including one railroad terminal pier, municipal pier, and a pier for handling petroleum products at Stuart. Also, 550 feet of wharves have been provided on the west side of Taylor Creek immediately landward of Hurricane Gate No. 6 and 150 feet on the east side of the creek. A yacht basin has been provided on the west side of the creek immediately landward of the hurricane gate. The installations on Taylor Creek are privately owned, but are open to the public. A breakwater-protected harbor is available at Pahokee. There is a 440 foot marginal wharf on the Industrial Canal at Clewiston; a 125 foot wharf at LaBelle; a 150 foot wharf at Belle Glade; a 125 foot wharf at Moore Haven; a 50 foot wharf at Alva; and a 30 foot wharf on Taylor Creek at the town of Okeechobee. A docking facility for loading raw sugar was constructed in 1967 on Herbert Hoover Dike near Belle Glade. All have highway and/or railway connections. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year. (See Table 9-H for work accomplished.)

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project is complete. (The 8 foot depth basin at Stuart was deauthorized by WRDA of 1988, P.L. 100-676.)

24. OKLAWAHA RIVER, FL

Location. The river has its source in a system of large lakes in the central part of the Florida peninsula and flows generally northerly, then easterly, and emptying into St. Johns River 22 miles upstream from Palatka. The extreme head of the system is considered to be Lake Apopka, 120 miles above the river's mouth.

Previous projects. For details, see page 613 of 1938 Annual Report.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for clearing a channel to Lake Griffin; maintaining dikes to obtain a navigable depth of about 4 feet to Leesburg and construction of a lock and dam at Moss Bluff. The project length is about 85.7 miles. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date.

Terminal facilities. Several private and public landings and boat-launching ramps are located along the river. Public recreation craft basins and boat launching ramps are near Silver Springs and State Roads 40 and 316 bridges. There is a municipal wharf on Lake Griffin at Leesburg. A dam has created Lake Ocklawaha (13,000 acres) with egress to the St. Johns River through Buckman Lock. Along the lake are 4 launching ramps; Payne's Landing, Orange Springs, Kenwood Landing, and Rodman Recreation Area. Access below the dam is at the Ocklawaha Boat launch ramp and at State Road 19. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year. None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project is complete. (A 6-foot depth channel from the mouth of the river to the head of Silver Springs Run was deauthorized.) The Moss Bluff lock and dam structure was replaced under the Four River Basins, Florida flood control project.

25. PALM BEACH HARBOR, FL

Location. The authorized project is located on the east coast of Florida about 71 miles north of the entrance to Miami Harbor and about 264 miles southeasterly from the entrance to Jacksonville Harbor. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11472.)

Existing project. The authorized project provides for an entrance channel 35 by 400 feet merging with an inner channel 33 by 300 feet to and including a turning basin; tank revetment; and restoring jetties. Plane of reference is mean lower water. The project is about 1.6 miles long.

Mean range of tide in the ocean at the entrance is 2.8 feet and at the turning basin, 2.2 feet. Extreme range of tide is about 4.5 feet at the inlet and 3 feet at the terminals. Seven-foot tidal ranges have occurred during storms. For details, see 1962 Annual Report. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date. For requirements, see 1961 Annual Report.

Terminal facilities. The port has 13 commercial waterfront facilities. The General Cargo Facilities include 5,156 feet of usable berthing space and 6 transit sheds with a total of 118,030 square feet of storage capacity. The Oil Handling Facilities consist of 10 storage tanks with a total capacity of 2,029,600 barrels. Usable berthing space is not available at the Oil Handling Facilities. Dry storage is available at 150,500 square feet, cold storage at 19,200 cubic feet and open storage at 27.1 acres. Seven cranes with capacities from 15 to 230 tons are located at the port. There are no floating cranes, repair facilities or dry-dock facilities located at the port. A 100-ton vertical boatlift is located on the Intracoastal Waterway south of the port for heavy lifts. Two tugs with ratings of 900 and 1,000 horsepower are available. The Palm Beach Belt Line, which connects, with the Florida East Coast Railway serves the port.

Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce. (See Port Series No.16, Rev. 1982.)

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: Contract dredging, $3,481,826; engineering and design, $44,797; contract management, $471,733; jetty maintenance $105,259.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project was completed in 1967. Jetties and revetment are in need of repair. A maintenance dredging contract was advertised to initiate work in January 2006. Future maintenance dredging will occur yearly as necessary depending on shoaling conditions.

26. PALM VALLEY BRIDGE, FL

Location. Palm Valley Bridge is located over the Intracoastal Waterway on State Road 210 in St. John's County, Florida.

Existing project. The project replaced the existing Palm Valley Bridge with a new high-level bridge that is fixed for navigation. Additional roadway construction was required because of the new bridge alignment. The old bridge was removed and the Intracoastal Waterway in the vicinity of the old bridge was dredged to its authorized dimensions in FY 2005. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation).

Local cooperation. Operations and maintenance at an estimated $75,000 per year.

Terminal facilities. None in the immediate area.

Operations and results during the fiscal year. New Work: Bridge construction cost was $47,239; Engineering and design cost was $12,242.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Local sponsor has funded expansion from 2 to 4 lanes. PCA executed in December 1999. Construction contract awarded September 2000. The County Commissioners have approved a betterment to a 4-lane bridge. The new bridge was completed in July 2002.

27. PONCE DE LEON INLET, FL

Location. Ponce de Leon Inlet is on the Atlantic coast of Florida about 65 miles south of St. Augustine Harbor and 57 miles north of Canaveral Harbor. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11485.)

Existing project. The authorized project provides for an entrance channel 15 by 200 feet across the ocean bar, thence 12 by 200 feet and 12 by 100 feet through the inlet; thence southward in Indian River North, 12 by 100 feet, and northward in Halifax River, 7 by 100 feet, each leg continuing to the Intracoastal Waterway; ocean jetties on the north and south of the inlet 4,200 and 2,700 feet long respectively, and weir in the north jetty with an impoundment basin inside the jetty. Plane of reference is mean low water. Mean range of tide is 4.1 feet in the ocean and 2.3 feet inside the inlet. The project is about 5 miles long.

Estimated cost for new work is $3,500,000 Federal and $2,900,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date. Non-Federal contribution for new work was $2,452,600.

Terminal facilities. None in the immediate area.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Engineering and Design $15,138: Maintenance dredging contract $1,663,329.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The south jetty extension is awaiting sponsor approval to proceed.

28. PONCE HARBOR, PR

Location. Ponce Harbor is an open bay about midway on the south coast of Puerto Rico. From the center of the city of Ponce, the harbor is about 3 miles south. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 25677.)

Previous project. For details, see page 12 of Annual Report for 1975.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a seawall 362 feet long extending northwesterly across the rock reef from near the landward end of the municipal pier, 30 feet deep and containing about 18 acres; a breakwater 2,400 feet long extending southwesterly from Punta Carenero; a channel 36 feet deep by 600 feet wide extending from the Caribbean Sea approximately 2.8 miles up to the port, thence a channel 400 feet wide by 36 feet deep into the harbor, and a 36 foot deep, irregularly shaped turning basin with a diameter of 959 feet. Plane of reference is mean low water. Mean tidal range is 0.6 foot, extreme varies between about 1 foot below and 2 feet above mean low water. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Should provide all lands, easements and rights-of-way; provide and maintain at local expense depths in berthing areas and local access channels; hold United States free from any damages resulting from construction and maintenance of the project; relocate without cost to the United States all cables, sewer mains, water supply, drainage and other utility installations as required; provide adequate public terminal and transfer facilities open to all on equal terms. A letter has been received from mayor of Ponce, assuring compliance with local requirements and to cost share the project. Non-Federal contribution for new work was $717,304. A 25 percent contribution is required and an additional 10 percent reimbursement over 30 years from locals.

Terminal facilities. The municipality of Ponce owns and operates the only deep-draft terminal facilities in Ponce Harbor, which consist of a municipal pier 515 feet long and 108 feet wide, and a bulkhead wharf 3,811 feet long. Both are equipped for transfer and storage of freight. A 40-ton container lift shore crane is available for the handling of loaded containers. The municipal pier has a steel transit shed 386 by 85 feet. The bulkhead wharf has 4 steel transit sheds totaling over 103,000 square feet. Three concrete and steel warehouses are available providing 800,000 square feet for general storage. Open storage areas for structural steel, lumber, and other bulk and package commodities are also provided. Bulk cement is handled and loaded into cement cargo ships by the use of a private pipeline loading facility. A tuna fish processing and canning factory is located on the premises, with direct access to the bulkhead wharf. Existing facilities are open to the public. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction of the project is complete. South and north jetties are in fair condition.

29. PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL

Location. The harbor is on the east coast of Florida about 23 miles north of Miami and about 48 miles south of Palm Beach Harbor, Florida. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11470.)

Existing project. The authorized project provides for an ocean entrance channel 45 by 500 feet through an ocean bar tapering to 42 by 450 feet between rubble stone entrance jetties, and continuing at that depth to an irregularly flared entrance and turning basin of same depth; enlarging Pier 7 channel to 36 by 400 feet for distance of about 1,600 feet; maintenance of the locally dredged channel opposite Berth 18 to 36 feet deep over a length of 700 feet with varying widths of 200 to 150 feet; construction of a south jetty fishing walkway; and, maintenance of the jetties. Plane of reference is mean low water. The project is about 1.9 miles long. Mean range of tide is 2.5 feet at the entrance and 2.3 feet at the terminals; extreme range is about 4.5 feet with storm tides of about 6.5 feet.
Estimated cost of new work is $80,000,000 Federal and $190,000,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. Fully complied with for work completed to date. For work under H 144/93/1, local interests must: provide all lands, easements and rights-of-way; save United States free from damages; accomplish utility and other relocations or alterations;

provide depths in berthing areas and local access channels serving the terminals commensurate with depths provided in the related project areas; establish regulations prohibiting discharge of pollutants into waters of the channel by users thereof; prohibit erection of any structure within 100 feet of project channel as authorized at time of construction; provide and maintain public terminal and transfer facilities; contribute 50 percent of total first costs of recreational jetty fishing facility; and operate and maintain jetty fishing facility. The local sponsor has furnished assurances of local cooperation for the 1974 authorization.

Terminal facilities. There are 22 commercial waterfront facilities serving the port. The General Cargo Facilities include 13,807 feet of usable berthing space, 7 transit sheds with a total capacity of 393,870 square feet, and 6 container yards with space for 3,965 containers. Oil Handling Facilities consist of 232 storage tanks with a total storage capacity of 9,564,800 barrels. There is no usable berthing space located at the Oil Handling Facilities. Dry storage is available at 225,000 cubic feet and open storage at 100.3 acres.

Hoisting facilities available from a local firm include 8 cranes with capacities from 20 to 155 tons. No floating cranes or derricks for heavy lifts are available at the port. There are 2 marine repair yards. Dry-dock facilities include 2 dry-docks with capacities of 2,200 and 3,200 tons and a 4,270 ton vertical boatlift. Three tugs with ratings up to 4,290 horsepower serve the port. The Port Everglades Belt Line connects with the CSX railroad to serve the port.

Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce. (See Port Series No. 16, Rev. 1982.)

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: Maintenance dredging contract $1,016,891; Engineering and design costs were $11,548.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Feasibility study to expand and deepen the port is scheduled to be completed in October 2008. PED is scheduled to start in May 2008.

30. REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH FROM NAVIGABLE WATERS IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA.

Location. Water hyacinth, hydrilla and water lettuce are found in Federal navigation projects in the Jacksonville District.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for destruction or removal of aquatic growth in Federal navigation projects in Jacksonville District, which threaten or negatively impact navigation. This project is 100% federally funded. No estimate of the final cost of work has been made. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)
For further details, see 1962 Annual Report.

Local cooperation. None required.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: Operations continued during the year: $5,286,033; (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation and Table 9-I for spraying operations.)

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project is for maintenance of federal navigation projects. During the year approximately 12,000 acres of floating vegetation (water hyacinth and/or water lettuce) and 1,000 acres of hydrilla were controlled.

31. ST. AUGUSTINE HARBOR, FL

Location. The harbor is on the east coast of Florida, about 35 miles south of the entrance to St. Johns River and about 180 miles north of Fort Pierce Harbor. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11485.)

Previous project. For details see page 412 of Annual Report for 1958.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a channel 16 by 200 feet along the best natural new inlet bar, thence 12 feet deep to the Intracoastal Waterway; a sand trap groin on the north side of the inlet extending seaward from the shore of Vilano Beach, and a sand-tight jetty on the south side of the channel extending seaward from the shore of Conch Island parallel to and coextensive with the groin; future landward extension of the groin and jetty; and a channel 10 by 100 feet in San Sebastian River from the Intracoastal Waterway to King Street Bridge, with a turning basin near the upper end. Length of the inlet channel is about 1.5 miles and length of the San Sebastian River channel is about 2.6 miles. Plane of reference is mean low water. The mean tidal range is 4.5 feet in the ocean at St. Augustine Inlet and 4.2 feet

at the city waterfront. Strong northerly winds, mostly in the winter, lower the water surface about 1 foot. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date.

Terminal facilities. There are several timber docks on the Matanzas waterfront of St. Augustine. In this area of the harbor is a concrete dock, which serves as a municipal yacht pier. It has fuel facilities, 19 slips and accommodates boats up to 60 feet in length. A large public boat ramp is also available. The principal terminals are the numerous shrimp docks in the San Sebastian River, which flows southward through the city into the Matanzas River south of the bridge. These consist of timber wharves, with frame and corrugated iron warehouses thereon, and 9 marine railways for small boats. There is also a marine supply facility and several boat yards. The present terminals have highway connections and several of the shrimp docks have rail connections. They are considered adequate for existing commerce and recreational craft.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Maintenance: None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Project is complete except for the North Jetty, which is in a deferred status. The groin is in good condition except for the 300 feet, which has subsided to about elevation 3.0 feet, mean low water. The jetty is in good condition, but is submerged at high tide. Maintenance dredging at the entrance channel was completed December 2002.

32. ST. JOHNS RIVER, FL, JACKSONVILLE TO LAKE HARNEY

Location. Rises in marshes of Brevard County, Florida, near east coast, and flows northwesterly to Jacksonville, thence easterly into the Atlantic Ocean, 122 miles south of Savannah River. River is about 285 miles long, of which 161.5 miles are included in project. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11492.)
Previous projects. Adopted by River and Harbor Acts of June 14, 1880 and July 5, 1884. For further details see Annual Reports for 1915 and 1938.

Existing project. Channel is 13 by 200 feet from Florida East Coast Railway Bridge at Jacksonville to Palatka, thence 12 by 100 feet to Sanford, and thence 5 by 100 feet to Lake Harney, with side channel to Enterprise and maintenance of two jetties.

Existing project was authorized by River and Harbor Acts of March 2, 1945 (H 445/78/2) and July 24, 1946 (SD 208/79/2). For further details see Annual Report for 1962.

Terminal facilities. There are 36 piers and wharves along project, including municipal piers and wharves at Green Cove Springs and Palatka, 12 Navy piers at Green Cove Springs, a municipal recreational pier at Sanford, and 19 privately owned piers, 5 of which have nearby tank storage facilities for petroleum projects. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year. None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Active portion is complete. Condition of project, as a whole is adequate for present needs of navigation. (For more detailed information refer to 1963 Annual Report.)

33. ST. LUCIE INLET, FL

Location. The inlet is on the east coast of Florida about 19 miles south of the entrance to Fort Pierce Harbor, Florida, and 100 miles north of the entrance to Miami Harbor, Florida. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11472.)

Previous project. For details see page 764 of Annual Report for 1949.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for extending the north jetty about 500 feet and modifying existing jetty to provide a sand bypass weir section about 500 feet long; excavation of a sand impoundment basin adjacent to the bypass weir in the north jetty; construction of a south jetty consisting of a rubble mound structure about 2,400 feet long with a walkway for recreational fishing; channel between existing bar cut and the Intracoastal Waterway 10 by 500 feet through the bar cut, tapering to 150 feet through the inlet, and 7 by 100 feet to the Intracoastal Waterway; and transfer of 380,000 cubic yards of material to the south beach during each two year maintenance period. Total project length is about 1.9 miles. Plane of reference is mean low water. Mean tidal range is 2.6 feet on the ocean side and about one foot on the landside of the inlet. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated cost of new work $16,800,000 Federal and $4,400,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. Local interests must: contribute 19.9 percent of construction cost allocated to navigation in the combined project, 23.1 percent allocated to beach erosion, and 50 percent of construction cost of jetty fishing walkway; provide 39.8 percent of the annual maintenance cost allocated to navigation and 23.2 percent of the annual costs for maintenance dredging allocated to beach erosion control for periodic beach nourishment and 100 percent of the annual jetty maintenance costs allocated to beach erosion control; maintain jetty fishing walkway; agree that each 5 years the amount of local cost sharing for maintenance is to be adjusted; provide all lands, easements, and rights-of-way; hold United States free from damages; provide marina with mooring facilities and utilities; provide and maintain depths in berthing area and local access and feeder channels commensurate with the depths provided in the project; accomplish such alterations as required to sewer, water supply, drainage, and other utility facilities, and take action to place in effect statutes and/or regulations which will protect water quality for the authorized uses of the project. The local sponsor signed assurances of local cooperation for the 1974 modification on August 24, 1978. Non-Federal contribution for new work was $3,851,383.

Terminal facilities. A municipal pier provides facilities for docking and servicing charter fishing and small recreational craft. At Stuart there is a dock for handling bulk petroleum products and several marinas, which provide facilities for mooring, servicing and minor repair of small craft. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Maintenance: Engineering and design, $290,729.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Work required to complete the project is construction authorized in HD 294/93/1. Improvements to the inlet were authorized in 1974. Construction of impoundment basin was completed August 2002. Construction of remaining jetty elements scheduled for summer 2006. Authorized project depths were restored as of August 2002. Maintenance dredging of the impoundment basin and channel is scheduled to begin November 2006.

34. SAN JUAN HARBOR, PR

Location. San Juan Harbor is on the north coast of Puerto Rico and about 35 miles from the east end of the island and 1,100 miles southeast of Miami, Florida. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 25670.)
Previous projects. For details see Annual Reports for 1915, 1916, and 1938.

Existing project. The authorized project to provide the deepening of the Bar Channel to 48 feet and shifting its alignment 350 feet west; deepening Anegado and Army Terminal to 40 feet; deepening Graving Dock Channel, the Cruise Ship Basin, Puerto Nuevo Channel, and San Antonio Channel to 36 feet; and deepening Anchorage Area E to 38 feet while reducing its size and constructing 6 mooring dolphins within its limits. The Sabana approach channel deepened to 32 feet. Estimated cost of new work $45,300,000 Federal and $16,400,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. Should provide all lands, easements and rights-of-way; hold the United States free from any damages; provide and maintain depths in berthing areas and local access and feeder channels; provide alterations as required to sewer, water supply, and other utility facilities. It is further recommended that local interests be reimbursed for work performed by them on the project subsequent to project authorization. A 25 percent contribution and an additional 10 percent reimbursement over 30 years from locals are required. Non-Federal contribution for new work was $16,128,708.

Terminal facilities. There are 28 piers and bulkhead wharves in the harbor capable of docking deep-draft vessels, which have an aggregate berthing length of about 23,700 feet. Eleven piers and bulkhead wharves are on the north shore, 2 piers and a three-level ramp facility for roll-on/roll-off operations at Front Graving Dock turning basin and channel, 9 at the eastern side and 3 at the western side of the Army Terminal basin and channel, and 3 on the south shore of San Antonio Channel. One pier and bulkhead wharf are privately owned, 7 are U.S. Government property, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico owns 24. Twenty piers and wharves are equipped with mechanical crane transfer facilities. Five wharves are equipped with a special crane for handling loaded containers. Twenty-four are open to the general public. Pier No. 6 was repaired and improved in 1985.

There is an aggregate length of about 1,339 feet of berthing space at Catano Point used principally by small vessels within the 18-foot draft range. This space is also open to the public. In addition, there are 10 piers and bulkhead wharves with approximately 6,910

feet of berthing space owned and operated by different agencies of the Federal Government. This space is not open to the public.

Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Engineering and design, $50,061. Maintenance: engineering and design $481,930.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The PED phase was completed in September 1995. Revisions to the plans and specifications were accomplished in FY 97. Execution of PCA was completed June 1998. Authorized in WRDA 1996. Contract II (navigation improvements for the Bar, Anegado, and Army Terminal Channel) has been completed. Plans and specifications for Mitigation contract are ongoing and contract is scheduled for award in FY 05.

Existing project includes the entrance channel and turning basin to Army Terminal, which cost $1,543,712 (this expenditure was made from military appropriations and is not included in Table 9-A costs).

35. TAMPA HARBOR, FL

Location. Tampa Harbor is in a large natural indentation of the Gulf of Mexico about midway of the west coast of Florida. The entrance is about 220 miles north of Key West and about 330 miles southeast of Pensacola. (See NOAA Nautical Chart Nos. 11413 and 11414.)

Previous projects. For details see page 665 of 1938 Annual Report.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a channel from the Gulf of Mexico to Port Sutton and Tampa; 46 by 700 feet from the Gulf of Mexico to Mullet Key; 44 by 600 feet in Mullet Key Cut Channel; 44 by 500 feet in Tampa Bay from Mullet Key Cut to Hillsborough Bay and Port Tampa Channels; 44 by 500 feet in Hillsborough Bay from junction with Tampa Bay and Port Tampa Channels to the junction with Port Sutton entrance channel, thence 42 by 400 feet to the junction with Seddon and Garrison Channels; 44 by 400 feet in Port Sutton entrance channel; 42 by 400 feet in Sparkman Channel; 40 by 300 feet in Ybor Channel; 42 by 400 feet in Port Tampa Channel; 44 by 400-500 feet in East Bay entrance channel; 44 by 300 feet in East Bay approach channel; 12 by 200 feet in Seddon and Garrison Channels; 32 by 200 feet in Alafia River; 9 by 100 feet in Hillsborough River to a point 2,000 feet above Columbus River bridge; a breakwater; a 42 by 290 foot Port Sutton Terminal Channel 3,700 feet long; turning basins at Ybor Channel, Port Tampa, East Bay, mouth of Hillsborough River, and in Alafia River; and maintenance of a channel 12 by 200 feet in Hillsborough River, and 34 by 300 feet in East Bay Channel. (The 46-foot and 44 foot depth portions of the project include a 5-foot under keel clearance. Special studies on the project concluded that 4 feet under keel is sufficient. Therefore, a one-foot over depth has been placed in an inactive status, resulting in active project depths of 45 and 43 feet respectively.) Plane of reference is mean low water. The project is about 67 miles long, including 10 miles in Hillsborough River and 3.6 miles in Alafia River. Mean range of tide is 1.3 feet at the lower end of the bay, 1.6 feet at Port Tampa, and 1.8 feet at Tampa. Extreme range is about 3.8 feet at the lower end of the bay and 4.8 feet at Tampa. Strong southwesterly winds raise the water level about 1.5 feet. Strong northerly winds, which usually occur in the winter, lower the water level about 2 feet. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated cost for Big Bend Channel $9,100,000 Federal and $6,400,000 non-Federal. Estimated cost for Alafia River is $39,000,000 Federal and $15,000,000 non-Federal. Estimated cost for Port Sutton is $7,000,000 Federal and $4,800,000 for non-Federal.

For further details, see 1962 Annual Report.

Local cooperation. Local cooperation has been fully complied with for work completed to date. See 1978 Annual Report for requirements for work authorized by the River and Harbor Act of 1970, H 401/91/2 and H 150/91/1. The Secretary of the Army approved assurances of local cooperation for the 1970 authorization on January 10, 1973. The LCA for branch channels was signed June 20, 1986. An amendment to the LCA reflecting cost sharing requirements of WRDA of 1986 for section 6 was signed August 31, 1987. Non-Federal contribution for new work was $4,971,144. A 25 percent contribution and an additional 10 percent reimbursement over 30 years are required from locals.

Terminal facilities. There are 102 commercial waterfront facilities serving Tampa Harbor. The General Cargo Facilities consist of 7,226 feet of usable berthing space and 15 transit sheds with a total of 585,200 square feet of storage space. The Oil Handling

Facilities include 16,440 feet of usable berthing space and 316 storage tanks for a total capacity of 11,610,350 barrels. Dry storage is available at 1,904,750 square feet, cold storage at 14,309,000 cubic feet, and open storage at 59.4 acres. There are 2 wharves available for coal storage at 750,000 tons total storage and grain elevators with a 3,400,000-bushel total capacity. One elevator on Ybor Channel has a 1,000,000-bushel capacity.

Hoisting Facilities include 13 cranes, fixed and mobile, with capacities from 45 to 150 tons and other crawler and mobile cranes available locally. Marine repair yards include 9 waterfront repair facilities and numerous other off water companies engaged in various phases of marine repair. There are 4 floating and 4 graving docks available at the port with capacities ranging from 548 to 5,400 long tons. Floating equipment includes 24 tugs with up to 3,350 horsepower and 4 companies with tank barges of capacities up to 14,000 barrels. The CSX Railroad serves the port.

Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce. (See Port Series No. 17, Rev. 1979.)

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Port Sutton: Engineering and design cost was $181,729; Big Bend: Engineering and design $288,331; Alafia River Engineering and design $383,011; Tampa Harbor GRR Engineering and design $196,032. Maintenance: Dredging for cut C and Alafia River $11,901,826; engineering and design $90,849; and construction management $303,153; operations $104,995.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Big Bend Channel Chief's report signed in October 1998. PED agreement executed February 1998. PED currently underway. Feasibility report for Alafia River completed October 2000. Final Feasibility report was approved by HQUSACE in January 2004. PED underway. Port Sutton is on hold awaiting completion of bulkhead/stabilization work by sponsor. Plans and specifications will begin after sponsor work nears completion. Shoaling located in the entrance channel. Engineering and design underway for maintenance dredging event.

36. NAVIGATION PROJECTS ON WHICH RECONNAISSANCE AND CONDITION SURVEYS ONLY WERE CONDUCTED DURING PERIOD

Total cost was $759,002. (See Table 9-G.)

37. OTHER AUTHORIZED NAVIGATION PROJECTS

(See Table 9-C.)

38. NAVIGATION WORK UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

Navigation Activities Pursuant to Section 107, Public Law 86-645 (Preauthorization)

Fiscal year costs for Palm Beach Harbor, FL $38,302

Snagging and clearing for navigation (Section 3 of 1945 River and Harbor Act, Public Law 14, 79th Congress.)

No costs incurred.

Mitigation of shore damages attributed to navigation projects (Sec 111).

Virginia Beach Key, FL $1,595,626.

Beach Erosion Control

39. BREVARD COUNTY, FL

Location. The project is on the east coast of Florida at approximately the midpoint of the peninsula. (See NOAA Nautical Chart Nos. 11484 and 11476.)

Existing project. The authorization provides for a protective and recreational beach with a berm 50 feet wide at elevation 10 feet above mean low water and a natural seaward slope as would be shaped by wave action, along 9.4 miles from the Canaveral harbor south jetty to Patrick Air Force base, (North Reach) and 3.4 miles of beach at Indialantic and Melbourne beach, and for periodic nourishment of the restored beach at Indialantic and Melbourne beach limited initially to a period of 6 years. Nourishment of the restored beach at the city of Cape Canaveral would be provided by the authorized sand-transfer plant for construction at Canaveral Harbor. The project also provides for improvement of the Federally owned shores for beach erosion control or hurricane protection to be accomplished by the Federal agencies

involved, subject to their own determination of economic justification. Mean tidal range in the area is 3.5 feet. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.) Estimated cost for new work $142,600,000 Federal and $98,600,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. Local interests must contribute 50 percent of all first costs of the work and 50 percent of the nourishment cost at Indialantic and Melbourne beach for the first 10 years of the project life; provide lands and rights-of-way; provide, after the first 10 years of project life, periodic nourishment of the restored beach at Indialantic and Melbourne beach during project life; maintain continued public ownership of the shore upon which the amount of Federal participation is based; control water pollution; and hold the United States free from damages. The Secretary of the Army approved assurances of local cooperation on July 9, 1973. Non-Federal contribution for new work was $15,411,026.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Beach renourishment contract: $2,560,888; Real estate: $6,563; Engineering and design cost was $880,650; and Construction management cost was $294,260.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Feasibility report was completed in September 1996, approved in December 1996, and authorized by Section 101(b) of WRDA 1996. PED completed in September 1999. PCA was signed April 2000 and North Reach contract was awarded September 2000. A continued construction contract was awarded for South Reach in December 2001 and completed in April 2003. A GRR was initiated in FY04 for the mid reach, which consists of 7.6 miles south of Patrick Air Force base. The GRR is scheduled to be completed by September 2007.

40. BROWARD COUNTY, FL BEACH EROSION CONTROL AND HILLSBORO INLET, FL NAVIGATION PROJECT

Location. Broward County is on the lower east coast of Florida, 300 miles south of Jacksonville and about 30 miles north of Miami. Hillsboro Inlet is in the northern part of Broward County. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11466.)

Existing project. Broward County, - North County Line to Hillsboro Inlet (Segment I), Hillsboro Inlet to Port Everglades (Segment II) and, Port Everglades to the south county line (Segment III), Florida. The authorization provides for Federal participation in cost sharing of a shore restoration and protection project and a project to maintain a channel adequate for small craft navigation. The authorized plan provides for restoration of a shoreline protection and recreational beach at 4 locations generally 100 feet wide with berm elevation of 10 feet above mean low water; a navigation channel 8 by 100 feet from the Intracoastal Waterway to a point 1,500 feet ocean ward in Hillsboro Inlet, thence 10 by 150 feet in the ocean; jetties on north and south sides of ocean entrance; a permanently based floating dredge; and on a deferred basis, a trestle-mounted sand-transfer plant, if needed. Navigation portion of the project is in an inactive status. Mean range of tide in areas is 2.5 feet. Plane of reference is mean low water. Initial authorization provided for construction by the local sponsor with reimbursement of the Federal share of eligible costs. The city of Deerfield Beach, which occupies the northern portion of the 4.4 miles of Segment I, recently indicated a desire to implement the project along Segment I. Broward County, the project sponsor initially constructed and renourished Segments II and III, a renourishment contract for Segment III will be completed in February 2006. A renourishment contract for Segment II is scheduled to be awarded in Fall 2007 by Broward County.

Cost estimate for Broward County is $112,200,000 Federal and $100,800,000 non-Federal contribution. For Hillsboro Inlet, $3,400,000 and $1,100,000 non-Federal. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Local interests must contribute 90.5 percent of the first cost beach restoration in the reach between north county line and Hillsboro Inlet, Seg. I, 90 percent of first cost allocated to beach restoration, and 50 percent of first cost allocated to navigation for reach between Hillsboro Inlet and Port Everglades Seg. II and 72.4 percent of beach restoration in reach between Port Everglades and south county line; provide all lands and rights-of-way; obtain approval of Chief of Engineers of plans and specifications if local interests construct beach erosion features; and furnish assurances that they will hold the United States free from damages; provide and maintain adequate public landing or wharf at Hillsboro Inlet; establish a public body to cooperate financially and to provide and operate local facilities for navigation, control water pollution, maintain ownership of publicly owned shores, and maintain all project works except the jetties (maintenance of the channel to revert to the United States if sand-transfer plant is constructed). Assurances of local cooperation were accepted November 22, 1968. Non-Federal contribution for new work was $3,460,990.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Broward County BEC: Hillsboro Inlet: Engineering and design $94,027. Construction management $10,910.

Condition at end of fiscal year. As a result of the hurricane impacts to the project (Segments II & III) in 2004, the FY 05 Supplemental Appropriations are being utilized for replacement of the erosion losses attributed to the hurricanes at 100% Federal cost subject to approval of Project Information Reports and execution of cost sharing agreements. The sponsor's overall renourishment contract to replace the erosion losses is scheduled to be completed in February 2006. The federal contract to replace 2004 hurricane losses along Segment III is to be completed by February 2006. Another renourishment contract is scheduled to be awarded by the sponsor in 2007 for Segment II (due to awaiting the state Water Quality Certification). The Federal contract, to replace 2004 hurricane erosion losses along Segment II at 100% Federal cost, is anticipated to be awarded at that time.

41. DUVAL COUNTY, FL

Location. On upper east coast of Florida, within 20 miles of Florida-Georgia line. Ocean shoreline is about 16 miles long. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11488.)

Existing project. Authorization provides for a beach 60 feet wide at elevation 11 feet above mean low water with a natural slope seaward. Project also provides for periodic re-nourishment as may justified. .Mean tidal range at south jetty in St. Johns River is 4.9 feet.

Estimated cost of new work $117,200,000 Federal and $76,700,000 non-Federal.

Project was authorized by River and Harbor Act of 1965 (H 273/89/1).

Local cooperation. Local interests must contribute 50 percent of first cost of constructing non-Federal publicly owned shores; contribute 44.5 percent of periodic nourishment costs for first 10 years of project life; provide all lands, rights-of-way, and relocations; hold the United states free from damages; control water pollution; and furnish assurances that they will maintain continued public ownership of the shore upon which the amount of federal participation is based during economic life of project. Assurances of local cooperation were accepted on November 29, 1973. Non-Federal contribution for new work was $19,595,567.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Beach renourishment contract, $2,247,928; Real estate $14,742; Engineering and design cost was, $281,544; contract management $59,578.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Due to severe impacts of the 2004 hurricane season, rehabilitation efforts were conducted and completed.

42. FORT PIERCE BEACH, FL

Location. Fort Pierce Beach Erosion Control Project extends 1.3 miles south of Fort Pierce Inlet, on the east coast of Florida about 120 miles north of Miami.

Existing project. The project fill was initially completed by local interests in 1971, using offshore borrow material. Prior to the nourishment, severe shorefront recession had destroyed a private residence and threatened other residences and a state road. Local interests were reimbursed the federal share of the initial project construction cost. Federal participation in re-nourishment was authorized for an initial 10-year period and subsequently extended five years under the discretionary authority of the Chief of Engineers until 1985. The project was re-nourished in 1980, 1999, 2003 and 2004.

A Section 111 project authorized in 1982 provides that 60 percent of the cost of material required to nourish 1.3 miles south of Fort Pierce Inlet should be reallocated to the navigation project. A section 934 reevaluation report authorized the extension of federal participation in cost sharing to 50 years from date of initial construction to 2020. A GRR is under preparation to modify cost sharing to reflect inlet impacts and address a critically eroded area of the project. Estimated cost is $36,100,000 Federal and $15,100,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. Non-Federal contribution is 53%. Sponsor is fully complying with local requirements.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Emergency beach replenishment contract cost was $1,325,910. Engineering and design and construction management cost was $274,242 and $243,762 respectively.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Emergency re-nourishment in 2005 to address the impacts of the 2004 hurricane season, was completed 1 June 2006. The next renourishment of the project is scheduled for spring 2007.

43. INDIAN RIVER COUNTY, FL

Location. Indian River County is on the east coast of Florida, midway between Jacksonville and Miami. The authorized project comprises 2.65 miles of beach along the ocean shore of Vero Beach and 1.7 miles along the Sebastian Inlet State Park. (See NOAA Nautical Chart Nos. 11474 and 11476.)

Existing project. The authorized project provides nourishment for 8,870 feet (1.68) miles) of the State Park, south of Sebastian inlet. The initial beach fill would consist of 202,000 cubic yards of nourishment material. An estimated 202,000 cubic yards of periodic nourishment at 5-year intervals would be required. The Federal share of the first cost was estimated to be 65 percent of this segment.

The plan also provided for nourishment of 9,180 feet (1.74 miles) of Vero Beach. The initial beach fill consisted of 572,000 cubic yards of material, including advance nourishment. The restored beach would have a 20-foot wide level berm at an elevation of 15 feet above mean low water. The beach fill as designed would provide protection against a 10-year return interval storm. An estimated 120,000 cubic yards of periodic nourishment would be required at 5-year intervals. In addition to the beach fill a "Sabecon" reef breakwater was recommended. The structure would be placed 500 feet offshore of the new beach and would be 400 feet in length, with a zero mean low water crest elevation. The Federal share of the first cost was estimated to be 43.7 percent for this segment.
The project was authorized on November 17, 1986 (Public Law 99-662) by the 1986 Water Resource Development Act.

Local cooperation. The authorization of a beach erosion control project for Indian River County, Florida was made with the provision that the State and local interests will, in addition to the general requirements, agree to comply with the following requirements: provide all necessary lands, easements and rights-of way; including borrow areas and disposal areas for excavated material, and relocations; hold and save the United States free from claims for damages; assure continued conditions of public ownership and public use of the shore; assure maintenance and repair during the economic life of the project; provide and maintain as necessary access roads, parking areas and other public use facilities; provide a cash contribution for periodic nourishment's for the life of the project; provide an additional cash contribution for the Sebastian Inlet State Park Beach. The project, as authorized, provides that the work may be accomplished in separate units or features and that the written agreement with non-Federal interests be obtained. The Indian River County Board of Commissioners, by letters dated December 21, 1984 and January 15, 1987, affirmed their support for the project and their willingness and ability to share in project costs.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. No work is currently scheduled.

44. LEE COUNTY, FL

Location. Lee County is on the lower Gulf coast of Florida, about 90 miles south of the entrance to Tampa Bay and 130 miles north of Key West.

Existing project. The project provides for the Federal participation in restoration and protection of Lee County, Florida, as follows: On Gasparilla Island, restore beach along 2.7 miles of shore and provide revetment along 2,400 feet of shore and a 500-foot terminal groin; on Captiva Island, restore beach along 4.7 miles of shore; and on Estero Island, restore beach along 4.6 and provide a 5-year advance supply of beach nourishment material and periodic nourishment of the restored beaches, as needed, with Federal aid for nourishment limited to the first 10 years of project life after completion of the initial fill placement on each island. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated cost is $189,100,000 Federal cost and $138,200,000 non-Federal cost.

Local Cooperation. Local interest must: contribute in cash) including contract price, engineering and design, and supervision and administration) 65.8 percent of first cost at Gasparilla Island, 91.3 percent of first cost at Captiva Island, and 87.5 percent of first coast at Estero Island; contribute toward beach nourishment for the first 10 years of project life, 95.5 percent for Gasparilla Island; 91.3 percent for Captiva Island, and 96.9 percent for Estero Island; and contribute 50.9 percent of the annual maintenance costs of the terminal groin on Estero Island; provide after 10 years of project life periodic nourishment of the restored beaches; provide lands, easements, rights-of-way, and relocations; assure continued public ownership for public use of the shore upon which the amount of

Federal participation is based; control water pollution; save the United States free from damages; and provide an adequate width of beach with acceptable access and other facilities necessary for public use.

Operations during the year. New work: Real Estate, $3,837; Beach renourishment contract, $6,060,674; Engineering and design cost was $267,246, Construction management cost was $58,048.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Based on the impact of four hurricanes on the state of Florida in September 2004, FY05 Emergency Supplemental funding was provided for rehabilitation of Captiva Island to it's pre-hurricane condition. Construction is scheduled for completion in Jan 2006. Estero and Gasparilla were not eligible for funds because the storms were prior to initial nourishment. The GRR for Estero and Gasparilla Islands has been approved and the County intends to award the contract for initial construction of both islands in summer 2006 under the authority of Section 206 of WRDA 92.

45. MANATEE COUNTY, FL

Location. Manatee County is on the west coast of Florida, just south of the entrance to Tampa Bay. The county's 14-mile gulf shoreline consists of 2 barrier islands, Anna Maria Key and the northern half of Longboat Key, separated from the mainland by Tampa and Sarasota Bays and from each other by Longboat Pass. Project consists of about 7.5 miles of gulf shoreline.

Existing project. Provides for Federal participation in the shore protection project for Manatee County, which includes the entire 7.5-mile, gulf shoreline of Anna Maria Key. The project consists of restoration of 3.2 miles of gulf shore beach to an elevation 6 feet above mean low water with a level berm 50 feet wide and a natural slope seaward as would be shaped by wave action. The project also provides for periodic nourishment of the restored beach and such adjacent shoreline as may be and justified for the project life. Mean tidal range is 2.3 feet. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)
Estimated cost is $53,800,000 Federal and $45,200,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. The authorization of a shore protection project for Manatee County, Florida was made with the provision that Federal cost sharing would be in accordance with policy established by existing law, and the percentages based on conditions of shore ownership and use existing at the time of construction: Provided that, prior to construction, local interests furnish assurances satisfactory to the Secretary of the Army that they will: (a) Provide without cost to the United States all lands, easements, and rights-of-way, including borrow areas, and relocations necessary for construction of the improvements; (b) Provide a cash contribution equal to 47 percent of the first cost of construction, subject to any credit for eligible construction costs incurred by local interests, and exclusive of costs for lands, easements, rights-of-way, relocations, and alterations, and exclusive of the cost of fill placed behind the Corps construction line, the final percentage to be based on shore ownership and use existing at the time of construction; (c) Provide all costs of construction for nourishment landward of the Corps construction line; (d) Provide a cash contribution for periodic nourishment equal to 41 percent of the cost of each nourishment, such contribution to be made prior to each nourishment operation, and the final percentage to be based on shore ownership and use existing at the time of construction; (e) Hold and save the United States free from damage due to the construction works, except for damages due to the fault or negligence of the United States or its contractors; (f) Assure continued public ownership and administration of the shore upon which the amount of Federal participation is based; (g) Provide without cost to the United States appropriate access and facilities, including parking and sanitation, necessary for realization of the public benefits upon which Federal participation is based; (h) Adopt appropriate ordinances, or provide other means, to insure the intended use of the beach fill areas; (i) Control water pollution to the extent necessary to safeguard the health of bathers; and (j) Agree to pay 100 percent of the operation, maintenance, and replacement and rehabilitation's costs of the project, or functional element thereof. Non-Federal contribution for new work is $3,337,348.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The County completed the first periodic renourishment of the project in 2002. Partial reimbursement of the Federal share of the costs was accomplished in 2004. As a result of the hurricane impacts to the project in 2004, FY 05 Supplemental Appropriations are being utilized for replacement of erosion losses attributed to the hurricanes at 100% Federal cost based on approval of a Project Information Report (PIR) and execution of cost sharing agreements. The Federal re-nourishment contract was awarded in June 2005 and is expected to be completed in spring 2006.

46. MARTIN COUNTY, FL

Location. Martin County is located on the east coast of Florida about 300 miles south of Jacksonville and 70 miles north of Miami. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Existing project. The recommended plan of improvement for Martin County provides for restoration of a protective beach along 3.75 miles of shoreline. The plan includes restoration of the primary dune as needed and a 35-foot wide protective berm. The recommended plan was designed to reduce environmental impacts. Of primary importance is the impact of project construction on sea turtle nesting. In order to avoid these impacts, project construction has to occur between November 1st and April 15th. Only one island segment is authorized for this project, which is located on Hutchinson Island in Martin County. The project begins at the St. Lucie/Martin County line and proceeds south 4 miles. The actual project to be constructed is 3.75 miles. The project was shortened 0.25 miles to avoid impacting sensitive hard-grounds. The borrow area is approximately 3,000 feet offshore of the southern end of the project area. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.) Initial nourishment was completed April 1996. Southern half of project was re-nourished in spring 2001and 2002.

Estimated cost of new work is $37,800,000 Federal and $43,300,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. Martin County Board of Commissioners is the local sponsor.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Real estate, $10,570; Beach replenishment cost was $4,767,200; Engineering and design cost was $421,779; Construction management cost was $262,531.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Emergency re-nourishment in scheduled for 2005 to address 2004 hurricane impacts was completed 30 April 2005.

47. NASSAU COUNTY, FL

Location. Nassau County is on the east coast of Florida, north of Jacksonville and adjoins the state of Georgia. The authorized project comprises 4.3 miles of beach along the ocean shore of northern Amelia Island, and tightening 1,500 feet of the shoreward end of the existing south jetty at the entrance to Fernandina Harbor.

Existing project. The authorized project would provide initial restoration of 3.6 miles of eroded beach, starting at a point about .7 mile south of the Fernandina Harbor south jetty and extending south to Sadler Road; sand tightening about 1,500 feet of the shoreward end of the south jetty; and periodic nourishment of 4.3 miles of shore between the south jetty and Sadler Road. The restored beach would have a 20-foot wide level berm at an elevation of 13 feet above mean low water. The initial beach fill would consist of an estimated 1,100,000 cubic yards of nourishment material. An estimated 240,000 cubic yards of nourishment at 2-year intervals would be required. Sand tightening would require about 16,700 tons of stone. The Federal share of the first cost was estimated to be 77%. (Sand tightening, accomplished as part of the Navy's effort to deepen and widen the navigation channel was deleted from the plan.)

Estimated cost of new work $13,000,000 Federal and $3,500,000 non-Federal contributed funds.

Local cooperation. The authorization of a shore protection project for Nassau County, Florida was made with the provision that the State and local interests will, in addition to the general requirements, agree to comply with the following requirements: provide without cost to the United States all necessary lands, easements, and rights-of-way, including borrow areas and disposal areas for excavated material and relocations required for construction of the project, including that required for periodic nourishment; hold and save the United States free from claims for damages which may result from construction and subsequent maintenance, operation and public use of the project, except damages due to the fault or negligence of the United States or its contractors; assure continued conditions of public ownership and public use of the shore upon which the amount of Federal participation is based during the useful life of the project; assure maintenance and repair during the useful life of the project as required to serve the project's intended purpose; provide and maintain clearly marked beach access, nearby parking areas, and other public use facilities, open to all on equal terms, and as required to realize the benefits upon which Federal participation is based; provide a cash contribution for beach erosion control equal to the appropriate percentage of the final construction cost allocated to this function, exclusive of lands, easements, rights-of-way, alterations, and relocations, the percentage to be in accordance with existing law and based on shore ownership at the time of implementation; provide a cash contribution for

periodic nourishment during the useful life of the project, such contribution to be made prior to each nourishment, with the actual amount to be based on existing law and conditions of ownership at the time of each nourishment; and at least annually inform affected interests of the limitations of the protection afforded by the project.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Engineering and design cost: $77,219.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Currently addressing higher authority on GRR.

48. PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL

Location. Palm Beach County is on the east coast of Florida about 300 miles south of Jacksonville and 70 miles north of Miami. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11466.)

Existing project. Project authorization, the River and Harbor Act of 1962, provides for Federal participation toward the cost of local shore project for restoration of beaches to a general width of 100 feet with a berm elevation of 10 feet above mean low water, and periodic nourishment for 10 years from the year of initial nourishment, as follows: 62.1 percent of the cost for Martin County line-Jupiter Inlet segment; 55.8 percent of cost for Jupiter Inlet-Lake Worth Inlet segment; and 50 percent for south Lake Worth Inlet-Delray Beach; and 53 percent of cost for Delray Beach-Boca Raton inlet segment. Mean range of tide is 2.8 feet in the Atlantic Ocean at Palm Beach and 2.3 feet at Boca Raton Inlet. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated cost for Palm Beach County is $66,700,000 Federal and $140,600,000 non-Federal cash contributions.

Local cooperation. Federal participation is subject to the conditions that responsible local authorities will: (a) obtain approval by the Chief of Engineers, prior to commencement of work on the project, of detailed plans and specifications and arrangements for prosecution of the work on the project; (b) provide at their own expense all necessary lands, easements, and rights-of-way; (c) furnish assurances satisfactory to the Secretary of the Army that they will: (1) assure maintenance of the protective measures during their economic life as may be required to serve their intended purpose, and periodic nourishment of the protective beach at suitable intervals; (2) control water pollution to the extent necessary to safeguard the health of bathers; and (3) maintain continued public ownership of the publicly owned shores upon which a part of the recommended Federal participation is based and their administration for public use during the economic life of the project.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Real estate cost was $3,806; engineering and design cost was $360,258. Lake Worth Inlet STP: Engineering and design cost was $87,257.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The County completed the first periodic nourishment for the Jupiter/Carlin segment in Spring 2002. Partial reimbursement of the Federal share of the costs was accomplished in 2004. Completion of the reimbursement is contingent upon the appropriation of additional funds. Coordination with the sponsors for their preparation of the LRR for north Boca Raton and Ocean Ridge segments was conducted during 2004. As a result of the hurricane impacts to the project (the Delray Beach and Ocean Ridge segments) in 2004, 2005, and will be accomplished in 2006. FY 05 Supplemental Appropriations were utilized for replacement of erosion losses attributed to the hurricanes at 100% Federal cost based upon approval of Project Information Reports (PIR) and execution of cost sharing agreements. The Delray Beach and ocean ridge segments are scheduled to be renourished in summer 2006. Delray Beach was renourished to replace only the 2004 hurricane losses at 100% federal cost. The ocean ridge segment was completely renourished to replace erosion losses since initial construction in 1998. The renourishment cost was shared with the project sponsor except for the cost to replace the 2004 hurricane losses which were 100% federal.

49. PALM BEACH ISLAND, FL

Location. Palm Beach Island is on the east coast of Florida about 300 miles south of Jacksonville and 70 miles north of Miami. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11466).

Existing project. The River and Harbor Act of 1958 authorization provides for Federal participation in the costs of a plan for protection of the shore of the Palm Beach Island, comprising restoration of a protective beach with berm elevation of 10 feet above mean high water from Lake Worth Inlet to a point about 1,000 feet south of Southern Boulevard extended, thence with a general width of 100 feet to South Lake Worth Inlet, construction and operation of a sand-transfer plant at Lake Worth Inlet, and additional periodic

nourishment from Lake Worth or other suitable source, substantially in accordance with the plan developed by the district engineer, with such modifications thereof as may be considered advisable by the Chief of Engineers. Federal assistance would entail contribution of funds in the amount of 4.7 percent of the initial construction cost of the beach restoration and appurtenant drainage work, and of the expenditures for periodic nourishment from Lake Worth for a period of 10 years from the year of the initial placement, plus 19.3 percent of the expenditures for construction, and for operation, maintenance, and current replacements of parts of the sand-transfer plant for the same period. Mean tidal range is 2.8 feet in the Atlantic Ocean at Palm Beach and 2.3 feet at Boca Raton Inlet. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Federal participation is subject to the conditions that responsible local authorities will: (a) obtain approval of the Chief of Engineers, prior to commencement of work on the project (except the sand-transfer plant already under contract), of detailed plans and specifications and arrangements for prosecution of the work on the project; (b) make appropriate modification of the location of the end of the discharge line of the sand-transfer plant to accomplish satisfactory dispersion of bypassed material; (c) provide at their own expense all necessary lands, easements, and rights-of-way; (d) furnish assurances satisfactory to the Secretary of the Army that they will: (1) assure maintenance of the protective measures during their economic as may be required to serve their intended purpose, and periodic nourishment of the protective beach at suitable intervals, including operation of the sand-transfer plant; (2) control water pollution to the extent necessary to safeguard the health of bathers; and (3) maintain continued public ownership of the publicly owned shores upon which a part of the recommended Federal participation is based and their administration for public use during the economic life of the project.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The Town of Palm Beach completed initial construction of the Midtown project including construction of an offshore reef and groins in 1996 at non-Federal cost. The town is currently planning to renourish the Midtown project. PL 84-99 assistance would not apply to the Town of Palm Beach (Midtown) since a Federal project has not been constructed there prior to the 2004 hurricane impacts. Rehabilitation assistance under PL 84-99 can only be utilized to replace the erosion losses that have occurred along a constructed Federal project.

50. PINELLAS COUNTY, FL

Location. Pinellas County is on the Gulf coast of Florida, about midway of the peninsula. It extends northerly about 39 miles from the main entrance to Tampa Bay to the vicinity of the mouth of Anclote River. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11411.)

Previous project. For details see page 429 of Annual Report for 1965.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for Federal participation in preserving and protecting the shores of Pinellas County, Florida, by: restoration of 5,000 feet of beach at Clearwater Beach Island; restoration of 49,000 feet of beach at Sand Key; restoration of 9,200 feet of beach at Treasure Island; construction of 600 feet of revetment at Long Key; and advance nourishment of Long Key and periodic nourishment of each island. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated cost is $169,300,000 Federal and $113,100,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. Local interests must (a) contribute in cash the required percentages of the first costs of work provided by the Corps of Engineers, the percentages varying with the type of beach ownership; 94.1 to 50 percent of the beach restoration at Clearwater Beach; 98.1 to 50 percent of the improvement at Sand Key; 94.3 to 50 percent of the improvement at Treasure Island; and 50 percent of the first cost of the revetment at Long Key; (b) contribute in cash an amount computed in accordance with the cost sharing provision contained in P.L. 826, 84th Congress as amended by P.L. 87-874, for beach nourishment cost for the first 10 years of the project life; (c) provide lands, easements, and rights-of-way; (d) assure maintenance and repair of the stone revetment of Long Key; (e) assure periodic nourishment of the restored beaches; (f) assure public ownership of beaches; (g) assure against water pollution; (h) hold the United States free from damages; and (i) provide beach for public use. Assurances of local cooperation were accepted March 22, 1967. Non-Federal contribution for new work is $33,729,772.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Lands and damages $899; Beach replenishment cost was $4,234,447, engineering and design and construction management cost $1,860,032.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The Long Key Treasure Island, and Pass-a-Grill segments were re-nourished in FY 04. The Sand Key segment is currently under construction under P.L. 84-99 from the 2004 hurricane season.

51. ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FL

Location. St. John's County is located about 100 miles south of the Florida/Georgia border.

Existing project. The project consists of restoration of 2.5 miles of shoreline, beginning approximately 2.7 miles south of St. Augustine Inlet, and including the City of St. Augustine Beach. The authorized project provides for initial restoration of the beach to a width of 60 feet +12 feet elevation relative to mean low water. The initial fill consisted of placement of 3,580,000 cubic yards of beach quality sand, includes six years of advanced nourishment. The project would replace sand lost due to frequent northeasters and provide storm protection to upland development. The borrow area is located at the ebb tidal shoal south of St. Augustine. The project was authorized with a 50-year project life from the start of construction. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated cost is $195,800,000 Federal and $47,400,000 Non-Federal.

Local cooperation. The sponsor of this project is the St. John's County Board of Commissioners. Cost sharing for this project is 80 percent Federal and 20 percent Non-Federal. The cost sharing reflects the higher Federal percentage required to mitigate for erosion caused by the Federal navigation project at St. Augustine Harbor. Non-federal contribution for new work is $1,800,000

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Beach re-nourishment contract $10,056,766; Engineering and design cost was $354,796; Construction management cost was $96,506.
Condition at end of fiscal year. Due to severe impacts of the 2004 hurricane season, rehabilitation efforts are underway.

52. SARASOTA COUNTY, FL

Location. Sarasota County is on the Gulf coast of Florida about 30 miles south of Tampa Bay. The northern most portion of the project adjoins the Manatee County Beach Erosion Control Project on Longboat Key. The total project consists of about 5.7 miles of gulf shoreline on Longboat Key and Venice Beach.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for Federal participation in the construction of a protective beach 12,600 feet long at Longboat Key and a protective beach 29,400 feet long at Manasota Key at the City of Venice, Florida. Two borrow areas will be required. The first is located within 2 shoal areas located between 1 to 2 miles offshore of Manasota Key south of the project area. This borrow area will be supplemented by material located within the ebb tidal shoal of Big Sarasota Pass which is about 13 nautical miles north of the project beach at Venice. The project also provides periodic nourishment of the restored beach and such adjacent shoreline as may be needed and justified for life of the project. The mean tidal range is 2.1 feet. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated cost is $55,200,000 Federal and $28,500,000 non-Federal. The Federal share of each periodic nourishment is 72.55 percent for Venice, and 15.4 percent for Longboat, of applicable nourishment costs.

Local cooperation. Federal participation is subject to the conditions that responsible local authorities will: (a) provide without cost to the United States all lands, easements, and rights-of-way, including borrow areas, and relocations necessary for construction of the improvements; (b) provide a cash contribution equal to 29.3 percent of the first cost of construction, subject to any credit for eligible construction costs incurred by local interests, and exclusive of costs for lands, easements, and rights-of-way, relocations, and alterations, and exclusive of the cost of fill placed behind the Erosion Control Line (ECL), the final percentage to be based on shore ownership and use existing at the time of construction; (c) provide all costs of construction for nourishment of private lands and share in the costs of construction for public lands landward of the Erosion Control Line (ECL); (d) provide a cash contribution for periodic nourishment equal to 29.3 percent of the cost of each nourishment, such contribution to be made prior to each nourishment operation, and the final percentage to be based on shore ownership and use existing at the time of construction; (e) hold and save the United States free from damage due to the construction works, except for damages due to the fault or negligence of the United States or its contractors;(f) assure continued public ownership and administration of the shore upon which the amount of Federal participation is based; (g)

provide without cost to the United States appropriate access and facilities, including parking and sanitation, necessary for realization of the public benefits upon which Federal participation is based; (h) adopt appropriate ordinances, or provide other means, to insure the intended use of the beach fill areas; (i) control water pollution to the extent necessary to safeguard the health of bathers; and (j) agrees to pay 100 percent of the operation, maintenance, and replacement and rehabilitation costs of the project, or functional element thereof. Non-Federal contribution for new work is $5,020,605.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Beach replenishment contract: $7,453,551; Engineering and design cost was $326,577; construction management cost was $356,048.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The City of Venice qualifies for supplemental regional appropriations for flood control and coastal emergencies following the hurricane season of 2004. Re-nourishment was completed in the summer of 2005.

53. OTHER AUTHORIZED BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECTS

Key West, FL; Lido Key, FL; Mullet Key, FL; Virginia Key and Key Biscayne, FL were deauthorized January 1, 1990 by the WRDA of 1988, P.L. 100-676. (See Table 9-D.)

54. BEACH EROSION CONTROL ACTIVITIES UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

Beach erosion control activities pursuant to section 103, Public Law 87-874 (Preauthorization) Reports incurring costs during the fiscal year were Fort San Geronimo, PR $27,371; Hwy 187 Pinones, PR $23,872; Tarpon Springs, FL $7,087; Veteran's Drive Shoreline, St. Thomas, VI $335; Total cost $58,665.

Beach erosion control activities pursuant to section III, Public Law 90-433, Mitigation of Shore Damages Attributable to Navigation Projects.

No costs were incurred under the above authorization.

Shoreline Erosion Control Development and Demo Program pursuant to Section 227, Public Law104-303.

Cost this fiscal year: $53,555.

Beach erosion control activities pursuant to Shoreline Erosion Control Act of 1074, Public Law 93-251.

No costs were incurred under the above authorization.

Flood Control

55. CEDAR HAMMOCK (WARES CREEK), FL

Location. The project area is located in Bradenton and unincorporated Manatee County on the southwest side of Peninsular Florida.

Existing project. The project provides for clearing and snagging from approximately 500 feet upstream of Manatee Avenue bridge and extending 17th Avenue West; trapezoidal grass-lined channel, 1V:2H side slopes, 26-foot-bottom width from 17th Avenue West to 21st Avenue West; Vertical Sheet Pile Wall channel from just upstream of 21st Avenue West to 14th Street West (B.R. 41) with a 40-foot-bottom; and trapezoidal grass-lined channel, 1V:2H side slopes, 26-foot-bottom width from upstream of the 14th Street West (B.R. 41) and extending to just downstream of 44th Avenue West (Cortez Road) bridge. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)
Estimated cost is $12,600,000 Federal and $6,700,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. In accordance with the cost sharing and financing concepts reflected in WRDA 1986, the sponsor must provide lands, easements, rights of way, and borrow and excavated or dredged material disposal sites; modify or relocate utilities, roads, bridges, and other facilities where necessary for the construction of the project; and pay 10.06 percent of the costs allocated to flood damage reduction during construction. Non-Federal contribution for new work is $227,901.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Engineering and design cost was -$4,988.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The sponsor has decided to proceed with the real estate surveys prior to signing PCA.

56. DADE COUNTY, FL

Location. Dade County is on the southeast coast of Florida. Project area consists of that part of the Atlantic

shoreline of the county from Government Cut north to Bakers Haulover Inlet and at Haulover Beach Park. (See NOAA Nautical Chart No. 11466.)

Existing project. Project provides for a protective and recreational beach having a dune at elevation 11.5 feet and a level berm 50 feet wide at elevation 9 feet, mean low water, for beach erosion control and hurricane protection between Government Cut and Bakers Haulover Inlet; a protective and recreational beach with a berm elevation of 9 feet for beach erosion control at Haulover Beach Park; and Federal participation in the initial construction and in periodic nourishment of both the above reaches for the first 10 years of project life. Plane of reference is mean low water. Mean range of tide in the area is 2.5 feet. Project was authorized by Flood Control Act of 1968 (H 335/90/2).

Estimated cost of the project is $173,400,000 Federal cost and $157,700 non-Federal cost.

Local cooperation. Local interests must (a) contribute for the first cost of the work: between Government Cut and Bakers Haulover Inlet amounts ranging from 60.2 percent of the cost of the fill within the project limit with existing shorefront ownership, and 100 percent of the cost of fill required landward of the project limit; and for the work at Haulover Beach Park, contribute 21.3 percent of the entire first cost excluding costs for lands, easements, rights-of-way, relocations, and pre-project work, but including credit for pre-project work; (b) contribute in cash for the first 10 years of project life, amounts ranging from 88.9 percent of the nourishment cost for the beach, with existing ownership, to 60.7 percent with public ownership, and the entire maintenance cost for dune, all between Government Cut and Bakers Haulover Inlet; (c) provide all lands and rights-of-way; (d) hold United States free from damages; (e) assure continued public ownership and use of the shore upon which the amount of Federal participation is based; (f) assure maintenance of the groin, and after 10 years of project life, periodic nourishment of the protective beach and maintenance of the dune during the economic life of the project; (g) assure that water pollution will not be permitted; (h) prevent removal or relocation by man of fill from the beach berm and dune; (i) prevent the erection of barriers to the littoral movement of material that would interfere with the nourishment of the beach; (j) maintain at the parks qualifying for 70 percent Federal participation a zone that excludes permanent human habitation; (k) at least annually inform interests affected that the project will not provide complete protection from a hurricane tide level equal to or higher in elevation than that of the hurricane of September 1926; and (1) establish in public ownership for public use the beaches within project limits as a requirement for Federal participation in the allocated beach erosion control costs of improvement of shores presently in private ownership. Assurances of local cooperation were accepted January 16, 1973. A supplemental agreement for Bal Harbour portion was approved June 30, 1976. Non-Federal contribution for new work was $52,552,842.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Engineering and design and construction management cost $240,271 and $60, respectively.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The contract for construction of the Sunny Isles Modification was awarded in September 2000 and completed in spring 2003 at a cost of $19,224,000. The contract consisted of construction of two offshore breakwaters, a transitional beach fill along 1,500 feet of Golden Beach, and re-nourishment of about 2.5 miles at Sunny Isles. An option for that contract was awarded for North Miami Beach in January 2001. Engineering and design is continuing for preparation of plans and specifications for award of a renourishment contract for North Miami Beach (Test Beach) in fall 2007. A request for proposals for this contract will allow bids for use of an offshore domestic source of beach fill to provide bids.

57. DADE COUNTY, NORTH OF HAULOVER BEACH, FL

Location. On the southeast coast of Florida. Project area consists of that part of the Atlantic shoreline extending 2.5 miles north of Haulover Beach Park. (See NOAA Nautical chart No. 11466.)

Existing project. The existing shore protection project for Dade County provides for Federal participation in the cost of construction of a beach fill for the purpose of erosion control and hurricane protection along 9.3 miles of shore between Government Cut and Bakers Haulover Inlet and for the construction of a beach fill for the purpose of erosion control along the 1.2 miles of shore fronting Haulover Beach Park and provides for protection and nourishment of 2.5 miles of beach shore north of Haulover Beach Park and for extension of the period of Federal participation from 10 years to the life of the project. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Local cooperation. Consistent with the cost-sharing and financing concepts agreed to by the administration and Senate Majority Leadership, local interests will be required to: provide lands, easements, and rights-of-way and relocations; pay 50 percent of the separable and joint costs allocated to recreation; pay 35 percent of the cost allocated to storm damage prevention; hold the United States free from damages; control water pollution; and furnish assurances that they will maintain continued public ownership of the shore upon which the amount of Federal participation is based during economic life of project. Assurances of local cooperation have been requested from local sponsors. Non-federal contribution for new work was $8,082,927.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. No new work scheduled. Last renourishment was completed at Sunny Isles in 2003 along with construction of two offshore breakwaters.

58. FOUR RIVER BASINS, FL

Location. The Four River Basins area covers about 6,000 square miles within 14 counties in central and southwest peninsular Florida. Project includes all or part of the four mainstream basins -- the Hillsborough, Oklawaha, Withlacoochee, and Peace Rivers -- and all of three smaller coastal basins north of Tampa, Florida, drained by the Pithlachascotee and Anclote Rivers and Lake Tarpon.

Existing project. The authorized project provided for improvements for control of floods and drainage, and for conservation through construction of necessary canals, levees, reservoirs, and control structures. More specifically, the project provided for: *Green Swamp Area* -- a conservation area and 3 storage reservoirs with necessary canals and control structures; *Hillsborough River* -- 4 flood-storage reservoirs, with necessary channels, control structures and levees; *Oklawaha River* --a levee on the north shore of Lake Apopka, improvement of parts of the river channel and a west bank levee below Moss Bluff lock and dam, and replacement of the lock and dam; *Withlacoochee River* -- one flood-storage reservoir with outlet canals and control structures; *Peace River* -- Peace Creek canal and control structures and improvements to the existing water control and drainage features; *Gulf Coastal Areas*-Lake Tarpon; outlet canal and control structure; Pithlachascotee River: reservoir with outlet canals and control structures. (See Table 9-O on Moss Bluff Lock.) (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated cost for new work is $192,500,000 Federal and $169,800,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. Local interests must furnish all lands, and rights-of-way; provide all alterations or replacements of public and private utilities, roads, bridges (except railroad bridges), etc.; hold the United States free from damages; operate and maintain all project works after completion; construct and maintain such associated works as are necessary to realize benefits made available by the project works; and contribute in cash 17 percent of the first cost of construction and 50 percent of recreation costs. Non-Federal contribution for new work is $14,095,058.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction of the project commenced April 18, 1966 and the scheduled work is 98 percent complete. Flatwoods Phase II has not been funded.

59. PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS, PR

(This project authorized as Lago de Cerrillos, Lago de Portugues, and Channel Improvement at Ponce.)

Location. Portugues and Bucana Rivers originate on the southern slopes of Cordillera Central divide of Puerto Rico and flow from this central ridge of the island to the Caribbean Sea. Their drainage areas are 22.6 and 31.4 square miles, respectively. Ponce, the second largest city in Puerto Rico, is located in the lower coastal area along Portugues River and is the only urban community in the two basins.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for 2 multiple-purpose reservoirs for flood control, water supply, general recreation, and fish and wildlife enhancement -- one on Portugues River and the other on Cerrillos River, a tributary to the Bucana River; enlargement of about 5.7 miles of the Bucana River, with an additional 0.2 mile long tieback levee at the upstream terminus of the improvement; enlargement of 2.1 miles of the Portugues River, with an additional 0.5 mile of tieback levee at the upstream terminus of the improvement; and a 1.3 mile diversion channel connecting Portugues River to lower Bucana River. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated cost of the project is $434,000,000 Federal and $145,500,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. Local interests must provide all lands, easements, and rights-of-way; hold the United States free from damages; operate and maintain all project works after completion; repay construction cost allocated to water supply in accordance with Water Supply Act of 1958; pay one-half of the separable cost allocated to recreation and fish and wildlife enhancement; prohibit discharge of inadequately treated sewage and other pollutants into the reservoir; and prevent encroachment on downstream channels. Assurances of local cooperation have not been completed, except for Cerrillos Reservoir, which was signed March 15, 1982. Non-Federal contribution for new work was $10,293,201.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Relocations $-11,581; Real estate cost was $29,473. Recreation cost was $570,673. Engineering and design and construction management cost $2,281,919 and $140,966, respectively.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Cerrillos Dam Lake Recreation contract was completed in July 2005. Remaining Lake Recreation was awarded in September 2005 with a schedule completion of October 2007. Portugues Schoal Removal Phase II has been postponed pending completion of Portugues Dam. New Portugues Dam contract schedule for re-advertisement in July 2006 with an award date in September 2006. Post authorization change report was approved in May 2005. Cost allocation report for Cerrillos dam was completed in August 2005 and is schedule for approval in May 2006.

60. RIO DE LA PLATA, PR

Location. The Rio de La Plata basin is located about 11 miles west of the San Juan metropolitan area along the north coast of Puerto Rico. The Rio de la Plata basin drains an area of 240 square miles through several towns and villages into the Atlantic Ocean.

Existing project. The Water Resources Development Act of 1990 authorized the project. It would provide 100-year protection upstream of PR Highway 2 and SPF protection down stream and calls for construction of 7.6 miles of levees. The plan includes the replacement of 3 bridges, recreation facilities, and mitigation for the loss of environmental habitats. The benefit-cost ratio is 1.6. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated cost is $69,300,000 Federal and $41,200,000 Non-Federal.

Local cooperation. The project cooperation agreement was executed on 7 June 1995. The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Department of Natural and Environmental Resources, is the body authorized to represent the local interest and is responsible for complying with the following requirements: (1) provide a cash contribution equal to five percent of total project costs; (2) provide all lands, easements, rights-of-way, relocations, and dredged material disposal areas; (3) provide an additional cash payment when the sum of both items (1) and (2) are less than 25 percent of total project costs; (4) operate and maintain the project after completion, including accomplishment of any needed repairs or rehabilitation's of any of its components; (5) hold and save the United States free from damages due to the construction or subsequent maintenance of the project, expect due to damages due to the fault or negligence of the United States or its contractors; (6) prevent future encroachments which might interfere with proper functioning of the project; (7) participate in and comply with applicable Federal flood plain management and flood insurance programs; and (8) (a) Provide guidance and leadership to prevent unwise future development in the flood plain; and (b) recreation local cooperation requirements: (1) provide one-half of the separable first cost of post authorization planning and construction of recreation facilities and provide all land required for recreation; and (2) all costs and full responsibility for the operation, maintenance, replacement, and management of recreation lands and facilities. Non-Federal contribution for new work was $834,088.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Engineering and design cost was $59,023.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Land acquisition process was initiated following execution of the Project Cooperation Agreement (PCA) in June 1995. Plans and Specifications for Contract 1A, the lower reach of La Plata channel improvements and levees, were completed in Fiscal Year 2004. Contract award is pending completion of the land acquisition by the Sponsor and allocation of Federal funds.

61. RIO GRANDE DE ARECIBO, PR

Location. The city of Arecibo is located on the northern coast of Puerto Rico, approximately 40 miles

west of San Juan. The Rio Arecibo Basin covers a 272 square mile area and includes towns of Utuado, Jayuya, and Adjuntas.

Existing Project. The authorized project for flood control includes channel improvements, a floodwall, and a levee along the Arecibo River; a levee along the Tanama River; and a plug, channel improvements, and a diversion channel along the Santiago River. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated cost of the project is $16,700,000 Federal and $12,200,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. Local interests must provide lands, easements, rights of way, and dredged material disposal areas; modify or relocate buildings, utilities, roads, bridges (except railroad bridges), and other facilities, where necessary in the construction of the project; pay one-half of the separable costs allocated to recreation and bear all costs of operation and maintenance, and replacement of recreation facilities; pay 8.52 percent of the first costs allocated to flood control, and bear all cost of operation, maintenance, and replacement of flood control structures; and has also agreed to make all required payments concurrently with project construction. Non-Federal contribution for new work is $0.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Lands and damages $17,658; Engineering and design cost was $746,870; Channel and canals $1,000; Flood control contract $706,468; Construction management cost $41,680.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The first construction contract, the Arecibo and Tanama Levees and the Rio Santiago Diversion Channel, was awarded in September 2004 for a total of $12.9M. Notice to proceed was be provided to Contractor in May 2005. Construction of this contract is expected to be completed in 36 months based on current funding stream.

62. RIO GRANDE DE LOIZA, PR

Location. The Rio Grande De Loiza basin, located in the eastern central part of Puerto Rico, is the island's largest basin. It comprises the coastal plain of Carolina and the metropolitan area of Caguas in the interior valley. The project area consists of 530 square kilometers draining into Lake Loiza. It includes the city of Caguas and the town of Gurabo where over 4,100 families and numerous public buildings and commercial facilities are affected by flooding.

Existing project. The authorized project would provide channels, levees, and floodwalls for flood protection for the highly urbanized areas of the city of Caguas and the town of Gurabo. It consists of 1.8 kilometers of gabion-lined channel, 1.9 kilometers of concrete channels, and a debris basin for Rio Caguitas; 1.3 kilometers of concrete channels, 1.0 kilometers of earth channel, 0.6 kilometers of gabion-lined channel, 1.0 kilometers of levees, and a debris basin for Rio Bairoa; 2.8 kilometers of levees and floodwalls for Rio Grande De Loiza; and 0.7 kilometers of pilot channel and 1.8 kilometers of levees for Rio Gurabo. It also provides for recreation bikeway/pedestrian trails at Rio Grande De Loiza and Rio Gurabo levees. The average level of protection at Rio Caguitas and Rio Bairoa is estimated at 70 years and 220 years, respectively. The average level of protection for the remaining reaches is estimated at 100 years. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated cost is $157,600,000 Federal and $55,900,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. In accordance with the cost sharing and finance concepts reflected in the Flood Control Act of 1970 and the WRDA 1986, the sponsor must provide lands, easements, and rights-of-way; modify or relocate buildings, utilities, roads, bridges, and other facilities, where necessary in the construction of the project; pay 6.32 percent of the costs allocated to flood control to bring the total non-Federal share of flood control costs to 25 percent and bear all costs of operations, maintenance, and replacement of flood control facilities; and pay one-half of the separable costs allocated to recreation and bear all costs of operation, maintenance, and replacement of recreation facilities.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Engineering and design cost was $19,649.

Condition at the end of fiscal year. Completed the LRR for entire project and initiated plans and specifications for the first construction contract, the lower reach of the Caguitas segment. PCA package is also being developed.

63. RIO MANATI, BARCELONETA, PR

Location. The project area consists of the Rio Grande De Manati basin, which is located in the north-central

coastal region of Puerto Rico at the town of Barceloneta.

Existing project. The recommended plan consists of providing a 5,300-meter long ring levee, two pilot channels totaling 1,620 meters in length, and minimum interior drainage facilities. Project implementation requires acquisition of seven residential structures, relocation of one boat ramp, three highway ramps, and one agricultural road ramp, and relocation of existing utilities impacted by the levee at four locations. The project is designed to protect against the 100-year flood and would reduce 92 percent of the total annual flood damages for the flood prone areas of the town of Barceloneta. The recommended plan maximizes the net national economic development benefits. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated cost is $10,700,000 Federal and $5,600,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. In accordance with the cost sharing and financing concepts reflected in the Chief of Engineers Reported dated 22 January 1999 and WRDA '99, the non-Federal sponsor must provide lands, easements, rights-of-way, and dredged material disposal areas; modify or relocate buildings, utilities, roads, bridges (except railroad bridges), and other facilities, where necessary in the construction of the project; and pay 15.95 percent of the first costs allocated to flood control, and bear all cost of operation, maintenance, and replacement of flood control structures. The non-Federal sponsor has agreed to make all required payments concurrently with project construction.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Channels and canals -$56,138; Levees and floodwalls $875,350; Engineering and design cost was $328,584; Construction Management cost was $22,052.

Condition at the end of fiscal year. Construction contract was awarded September 2001 and is scheduled for completion June 2006.

64. RIO PUERTO NUEVO, PR

Location. The Rio Puerto Nuevo drainage basin is located within the San Juan Metropolitan Area along the northern coast of Puerto Rico. The basin joins the southeast side of San Juan Harbor and extends south and up into the foothills of the central mountains of Puerto Rico. The Rio Piedras, Rio Puerto Nuevo, Quebrada Margarita, Quebrada Josefina, Quebrada Dona Ana, Quebrada Vista, and Quebrada Guaracanal traverse the basin.

Existing project. The authorized project for flood control includes improvements to 11.2 miles of the existing channel of Rio Puerto Nuevo and Rio Piedras and five tributaries of the Rio Puerto Nuevo drainage basin. The 25 square mile drainage basin drains into San Juan Harbor. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated cost of the project is $338,300,000 Federal and $118,500,000 non-Federal.

Local cooperation. Local interests must provide cash contribution equal to five percent of the total project costs; provide LERRD (except railroad bridge alterations); provide an additional cash payment when the sum of cash and LERRD are less than 25 percent of the total project costs; operate and maintain project works after completion; hold and save the United States free from damages; prevent future encroachments; participate and comply with Federal flood plain management and flood insurance programs; provide guidance and leadership to prevent unwise future development in the flood plain; provide one-half of the separable first cost of post authorization planning and construction of recreation facilities; and all costs and full responsibility for operations, maintenance, replacement, and management of the recreation lands and facilities. Non-Federal contribution for new work was $4,019,000.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Roads, Railroads, and Bridge costs were $3,531,753. Channels and canals cost was $12,334,624. Engineering and design cost was $634,678. Construction management cost was $1,731,502.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Contract No.1 (first 1.7 miles of channel) was completed in January 2004. Contract #2A (Margarita Earthen Channel, next 1.3 miles of channel) was terminated and will be re-advertised as resumption of 2A in March 2007 with award in May 2007. Contract 1A was awarded in April 2002 and is scheduled for completion in October 2005. Contract 2AA (Margarita Levee and Bechara Drainage Works) was awarded in FY03 and work is underway. Funding limitation will impact completion date by two years. Contract 2D1 was awarded in FY03 and is underway

65. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS

Features transferred to the Central and Southern Florida Flood Control District and Southwest Florida Water Management District were inspected quarterly during the fiscal year at a total cost of $284,969.

66. OTHER AUTHORIZED FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS

(See Table 9-E.)

67. FLOOD CONTROL WORK UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

Flood control activities pursuant to section 205, Public Law 685, 84th Congress, as amended (Preauthorization).

(See Table 9-Q.)

Emergency flood control activities -- repair, flood fighting, and rescue work (Public Law 99, 84th Congress, and antecedent legislation).

Federal costs for the fiscal year were $275,483 for the Disaster Preparedness Program. Rehabilitation cost was $34,812,165. Emergency response $621,869; eligibility inspections $1,545,088. Total Cost was: $37,254,605.

Emergency stream bank and shoreline protection activities pursuant to Section 14, Public Law 526, 79th Congress as amended (Preauthorization).
Total cost for the fiscal year was Los Carolinas Bridge, Caguas, PR $3,477; Police Station and Sewer line, Trujillo Alto, PR $1,051,512; Rio Matilde, PR $26,188. Total cost $1,081,177.

General Investigations

68. SURVEYS

Costs during the fiscal year were: navigation studies $676,249; flood damage prevention studies $650,339; shoreline protection studies $536,695; miscellaneous activities $36,444 and coordination with other agencies and non-Federal interests $312,584 for a total cost of $2,212,311.

69. COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA

The requirement for preparation of regular flood plain information studies has been rescinded. FPI studies that deal with land use changes will continue to be prepared. Flood Plain Management Services $50,000; Technical Services $65,960; Quick Responses $5,000; SS – MMT Caribbean Management Support $730; for a total cost of $157,074.

70. CONTINUATION OF PLANNING AND ENGINEERING

Navigation costs were Cano Martin Pena, PR -$224. Total cost for Continuation of Planning and Engineering was -$224.

71. ADVANCE ENGINEERING AND DESIGN

Navigation cost was, Little Talbot Island, FL $19,970; Lido Sarasota Springs $10,113; St. Petersburg Harbor, FL $159,515. Flood control cost was Guanajibo River, PR $21,700 and Cedar Hammock (Wares Creek), Fl $31. Total cost for Advance Engineering and Design was $212,866.

General Regulatory

72. PERMIT EVALUATION (R&H ACT of 1899; CWA of 1977; MPRSA of 1972)

Location .Geographic coverage includes navigable waters of the United States (including tributary systems, headwaters and isolated waters) and ocean waters to the limits of the territorial seas in Florida, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

Existing Program. The program evaluates permit applications for work (dredging, filling, and other structures) and the transportation of dredged material to the oceans for ocean disposal. Decision making criteria consist of the public interest review, Section 404(b)(1) Guidelines, and ocean dumping criteria. We have a full array of Regional General Permits and are working on 11 more. We issued 6,276 permits and verifications. This number does not include 1,877 permit verifications issued by the Florida under the State Programmatic General Permit. This number also does not include jurisidictional determinations and other services to the public. We are aggressively working to streamline our review and processing timeframes and are looking at the program on a watershed basis.

Local Cooperation. The joint application arrangements with Florida, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands continue in place. The Florida State Programmatic General Permit was maintained. This was the initial year implementing the transportation decision streamlining process with FDOT and FHWA. We entered into a formal agreement with South Florida Water Management District to provide for accelerated permits undera a coordinated process to support Florida's Accel8 program of critical projects that are supporting the Everglades restoration program. We have developed a Strategic Plan with specific targets and timeframes to accomplish actions to include our local and regional partners and accomplish the goals of protecting the environment and supporting economic development.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Permit evaluation cost was $10,481,268.

73. ENFORCEMENT (R&H ACT of 1899; CWA of 1977; MPRSA of 1972)

Existing program. The program takes appropriate action on findings of noncompliance with issued permits as well as unauthorized work (performed without a permit).

Focus shift: Inspections of issued permits have significantly increased to support the new *performance measures and we continue to take appropriate actions on unauthorized work. This is shifting resources formally allocated to unauthorized activities and promotes compliance with issued permits.*

Operations. Operation of the program is continuing to make increased use of alternative dispute resolution processes to remediate violations. Close coordination with and cooperation of Department of Justice continues, with development of consent orders and fines as appropriate. To improve efficiency and better served the public, we have moved three of the seven project manager positions from the Jacksonville District to field offices (Miami, Tampa, and Panama City).

Operations and results during fiscal year. Cost incurred this fiscal year for enforcement was $601,661

74. STUDIES (R&H Act of 1899)

Location. Navigable waters of the United States in Florida, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

Existing program. This program conducts studies to determine geographic extent of navigable waters of the United States, and establishment of danger or restricted zones in these waters.

Operations and results during fiscal year: Studies cost was $14,799; Environmental inspection statement cost was $1,962; Compliance-authorized and mitigation costs were $854,353.

Environmental Improvement Projects

75. ALLIGATOR CREEK ADDITION RESTORATION PROJECT, FL

Location. Alligator Creek Addition Restoration Project is on the South West Florida Coast in Charlotte County, Florida.

Existing Project. The primary goal of this project is to restore the historic saltern that once comprised the majority of the west central portions of the Alligator Creek Addition parcel. The area has been severely impacted by the construction of mosquito ditches, which functioned to divert water flow and subsequently alter the hydro period of the saltern. Restoration will involved backfilling approximately 35,000 linear feet of mosquito ditches. Backfilling will allow a more diffuse sheet flow of fresh water from upland areas adn will allow extreme high tide events to flood the salterns and slowly sheet flow out through the mangrove forest fringe to the west. This project is anticipated to restore the natural hydro period, raise the interstitial salinities and restore the natural sill in the saltern resulting in approximately 350 acres of saltern restoration and enhancement.

Estimated cost for new work is $1,368,702. The Estuary Habitat Restoration Council set an absolute limit on the Estuary Act funds that can go to this project at $4,000,000. These are cost share projects and normally the Federal share is limited to 65% of the cost

Local cooperation. Fully complied with to date.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: Engineering and Design $22,046.

Condition at the end of fiscal year. State and federal permits have been obtained. The South West Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD) has prepared a draft bid package. The COE has developed and coordinated a draft outline for the documentation report, and is preparing the report for submission with the documentation received from the SWFWMD.

76. CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, INCLUDING COMPREHENSIVE EVERGLADES RESTORATION PLAN

Location. The C&SF project is generally located within the southeastern 18 counties of Florida covering an area of about 18,000 square miles. It is comprised of the Upper St. Johns River basin in the northeastern section of project, Kissimmee River basin in central section north of the Lake Okeechobee-Everglades area in the central and southwestern section, and the east coast Everglades area in southeastern section. The CERP area consists of the lands and waters within the boundary of the South Florida Water Management District, including the Everglades, the Florida Keys, and the contiguous near-shore coastal waters of South Florida.

Previous projects. Completed works for control of Lake Okeechobee were included in and constructed under the navigation project for Okeechobee Waterway, FL (formerly Caloosahatchee River and Lake Okeechobee drainage area, FL) and under provisions of River and Harbor Acts of July 3, 1930 and August 30, 1935. For further information, see Annual Reports for 1948 and 1949.

Existing projects. The authorized project is for flood relief and water conservation and provides principally for: an east coast protective levee extending from the Homestead area north to the eastern shore of Lake Okeechobee near the St. Lucie Canal; three conservation areas for water impoundment in the Everglades area west of the east coast protective levee with control structures to effect transfer of water as necessary; local protective works along the lower east coast; encirclement of the Lake Okeechobee agricultural area by levees and canals; enlargement of portions of Miami, North New River, Hillsboro, and West Palm Beach Canals; enlargement of the existing Lake Okeechobee levees and construction of new levees on the northeast and northwest shores of the lake; increased outlet capacity for improved control of Lake Okeechobee; floodway channels in the Kissimmee River basin, with suitable control structures to prevent over-drainage; an interrelated system of canals, levees, pumping stations, and structures in southwest Dade County to control water levels; and facilities for regulating floods in Upper St. Johns River basin; a system of canals and control structures for gravity drainage of Martin County and distribution of available water supplies to portions of Martin and St. Lucie Counties; and works to improve the supply, distribution, and conservation of water resources in central and southern Florida, including the Lake Okeechobee agricultural area, Everglades National Park, and other related areas. The project will provide water control and protection from recurrence of the devastating floodwaters from the Everglades and local sources, for the highly developed urban area along the lower east coast of Florida and for the productive agricultural areas around Lake Okeechobee (including towns around the lake), in the Upper St. Johns and Kissimmee River basins, and in South Dade County. The project includes a total of 990 miles of levees, 978 miles of canals, 30 pumping plants, 212-floodway control and diversion structures, 56 railroad bridge relocations, and 2 highway bridge relocations. The project also provides that upon completion, local interests assume operation and maintenance of all completed works except levees, channels, locks, and control works for regulation of Lake Okeechobee and the main control structures of conservation areas, which will be operated and maintained by the United States. The principal features of the hurricane gates, constructed under previous projects for Okeechobee Waterway and maintained under existing project since July 1, 1950, are set forth in Table 9-L. Also, see Table 9-N for principal features of locks and dams. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated cost for new work is $2,409,100,000 Federal and $1,742,500,000 Non-Federal, exclusive of river and harbor funds expended on previous projects.

The Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan is the ecosystem restoration component of the Central and Southern Florida Project. The authorized project shall develop a comprehensive plan for the purpose of restoring, preserving, and protecting the South Florida Ecosystem. The comprehensive plan shall provide for the protection of water quality in, and the reduction of the loss of fresh water from the Everglades. The comprehensive plan shall include such features as are necessary to provide for the water-related needs of the region, including flood control, the enhancement of water supplies, and other objectives served by the Central and Southern Florida Project. The comprehensive plan shall be developed in consultation with the Task Force.

Projects identified for design and construction of any Central and Southern Florida Project that are authorized may use funds that are available, provided that they will accelerate the restoration, preservation, and protection of the South Florida ecosystem; will be generally consistent with the conceptual framework specified in the report entitled "Conceptual Plan for the Central and Southern Florida Project Restudy"; and be compatible with the overall authorized purposes of the Central and Southern Florida Project.

Local Cooperation. (See Table 9-M for local cash contribution.) Local interests must also: provide lands, rights-of-way, and spoil disposal areas; hold the United States free from damages; bear the cost of maintenance and operation of all works except those having to do with regulation of Lake Okeechobee and the main control structures of conservation areas; construct and maintain lateral drainage facilities; prohibit encroachment on flood-carrying capacity of the improved channels; and assume cost of all new highway bridges, relocations of existing bridges and alterations to utilities incident to construction of the project. In addition, for small boat navigation channels, local interests must provide, maintain, and operate adequate public landings, sanitary and access facilities, and establish regulations prohibiting discharge of pollutants into the waters of the locks and channels by users thereof. Assurances of local cooperation have been accepted by the District Engineer for all items of work authorized to date.

The Comprehensive Plan shall be developed in cooperation with the non-Federal sponsor and in consultation with the Task Force. The non-Federal cost share is 50%, except for water quality, which is 100% with the exclusion of that needed for Everglades restoration, for which the share is 50%. The value of lands or interests in land acquired by non-Federal interests will be included in the total cost of the activity and credited against the non-Federal share of the cost of the activity.

Operations and results during fiscal year. (See Table 9-N for work accomplished.)

Operations and care.

a. Features completed under previous navigation project for Okeechobee Waterway and being maintained under this project are: a levee about 70 miles long following in general the south shore of Lake Okeechobee and a north shore levee 15.8 miles long; spillways at Ortona and St. Lucie Locks; 5 hurricane gates; and 16 spillways along St. Lucie Canal.

b. Features completed under existing project that are to be maintained with operation and maintenance funds are: (1) Levees 47, 48, 49 and 50 -- total length 63 miles and enlargement of existing levees L-D1, L-D2, L-D3, L-D4, and L-D9, (2) spillway structures S-10, S-11, S-12, S-18C, S-77, and S-78 (3) C-43, Section 4 (Caloosahatchee River), and (4) W.P. Franklin Lock and Dam.

In addition to the actual facilities listed above it is necessary under operation and maintenance to continue meteorological studies, water level records, stream gauging stations, etc., for proper regulation of the level of Lake Okeechobee and storage of water in Conservation Areas 1, 2 and 3.

Corps of Engineers - The Corps of Engineers operates and maintains the major outlets to Lake Okeechobee and Water Conservation Area Nos. 1, 2A and 3A in central and southern Florida.

South Florida Water Management District - SFWMD is responsible for operation and maintenance of the project facilities, including major pumping stations, spillways, locks (except on Okeechobee Waterway), levees and culverts.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Extensive planning and design efforts are underway on many of the 45 projects included in CERP. Much of the effort is with the South Florida Water Management District where design is proceeding according the Design Agreement executed in May 2000 on some 37 of these projects. Design Agreements have been executed with Palm Beach County for the Winsberg Farm Wetland Restoration project and Lee County for Lakes Park Restoration project. Other agreements with the State, Miami-Dade County, and the Miccosukee Indian Tribe are pending. Feasibility Cost Share Agreement (FCSA) has been initiated for the Comprehensive Water Quality Feasibility Study. Currently, 15 project implementation reports (PIR) are ongoing & 2 have been completed: Indian River Lagoon & Picayune Strand. In FY 06, 4 PIR studies will be initiated. Design of the 6 pilot projects is underway. Three pilot project design drafts have been completed on the aquifer storage & recovery projects: Lake Okeechobee, Hillsboro & Caloosahatchee. The Corps is continuing with the design and construction of the portions of the Upper St. Johns Basin, West Palm Beach Canal (STA-1E/C-51), South Dade County (C-111), Manatee Pass Gates projects. The CERP

RECOVER efforts are underway. The Performance Measures (PM) reviews for North Palm Beach Co, Biscayne Bay Coastal Wetlands, C-43 Basin Storage Reservoir, and Lake Okeechobee Watershed, Everglades Agriculture Area, Acme Basin B Discharge, Florida Bay/Florida Keys Feasibility Study, C-111 Spreader Canal & Combined Structural & Operational Plan (1) & (2) have been accomplished. Conducted evaluation for the ICU 2050 complete the Initial CERP (ICU) Report in FY 2005. RECOVER's Adaptive Management Strategy was developed in FY 05 and will be implemented this FY. The monitoring and assessment plan (MAP) monitoring components implementation, assessment protocols, assessment guidance report, MAP Part 2, system status report criteria and processes, and the ASR contingency plan will be developed this FY. The draft Initial System Operating Manual will be posted for review in FY 06. The finals of the Pre-CERP baseline, six Guidance Memoranda, Master Implementation Sequencing Plan (MISP) and Interim Goals/Interim Targets were done in the last FY.

77. EVERGLADES & SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION

Location. The area consisting of the lands and waters within the boundary of the South Florida Water Management District, including the Everglades, the Florida Keys, and the contiguous near-shore coastal waters of South Florida.

Existing Project. This project is called the Critical Restoration Projects Program. Nine quick-start projects designed to restore the South Florida ecosystem are in progress or complete across the lower part of the state. They are spreading early restoration as partnering agencies prepare to construct the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan.

If the Secretary of the Army determines, in cooperation with the non-Federal sponsor and the Task Force, that a restoration project for the South Florida ecosystem will produce independent, immediate, and substantial restoration, preservation, and protection benefits, and will be generally consistent with the conceptual framework specified in the "Conceptual Plan for the Central and Southern Florida Project Study" published by the Governor's Commission for a Sustainable South Florida, the Secretary shall proceed expeditiously with the implementation of the restoration project. (See Table 9-B for authorizing legislation.)

Estimated cost of the project $75,000,000 Federal and $143,000,000 non-Federal.

Local Cooperation. The non-Federal share of the cost of work performed under this program is 50%. Congress has authorized $75 million to be appropriated to the Department of the Army to pay the Federal share of the cost. The Federal share of the cost of carrying out any 1 project is limited to $25 million. The cost of features to improve water quality essential to Everglades restoration will be cost shared as above; the cost to improve water quality for other purposes will be solely the responsibility of the local sponsor. Credit may be provided to a non-Federal sponsor for the reasonable costs of any work that has been performed or will be performed in connection with a study or activity if the non-Federal sponsor's work is necessary, will substantially expedite completion of a critical restoration project, and is granted pursuant to a project-specific agreement that prescribes the terms and conditions of the credit or reimbursement.

Regardless of the date of acquisition, the value of lands or interests in land acquired by non-Federal interests shall be included in the total cost of the activity and credited against the non-Federal share of the cost of the activity, given that the lands proposed for credit are compatible with a specific project in this program. The operation and maintenance of projects will be a non-Federal responsibility with the exception of the Seminole Big Cypress Water Conservation Plan, for which the operations and maintenance costs will be split 50-50 between the Seminole Tribe and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Non-Federal contributions amount to $4,285,110.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Real Estate $758; Reservoirs $24,522,259; channels and canal cost was $5,592,848. Floodway Controls $472,532; Engineering and design cost was $1,243,908. Construction management cost was -$1,592,721.

Condition at end of fiscal year. This program consists of the following nine projects:

- East Cost Canal Structures: Complete
- Tamiami Trail Culverts: Design is complete. Western features will be built under the CERP Picayune strand project. Construction of the eastern features await availability of funds.
- Western C-11 Water Quality Treatment: Complete.
- Florida Keys Carrying Capacity Study: Complete.

- Seminole Big Cypress Water Conservation Plan: The Phase 1 Canal System construction is complete. The Corps plans to award the Phase 2 construction contract in 2006.
- Southern CREW: Under construction.
- Lake Okeechobee Water Retention Areas: Under construction. Will be complete in 2006.
- Ten Mile Creek: Under construction. Will be completed in 2006.
- Lake Trafford: Under construction. Will be completed in 2006.

78. FLORIDA KEYS WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS

Water Quality Improvements pursuant to Section 109, Public Law 106-554.

Location. The Florida Keys Water Quality Improvements Program study area lies within the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary, which includes 2,800 square nautical miles of near shore waters beginning just south of Miami, Florida and extending to the Dry Tortugas. The Sanctuary is part of a complex ecosystem that includes the Everglades, Florida Bay and adjacent areas. The Keys themselves are a chain of more than 800 islands that extend approximately 220 miles southwest from the southern tip of the Florida peninsula and through the sanctuary. The Florida Keys Water Quality Improvements Program is targeting the portion of the Keys from Key Largo to Key West, approximately 110 miles.

Existing Project. Under the authority of Public Law 106-554, date December 21, 2000, the Corps of Engineers is authorized to provide technical and financial assistance to carry out projects for planning, design, and construction of treatment works to improve water quality in the Florida Keys As a result of concerns regarding the water quality in the Florida Keys, the Monroe county Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan mandated that nutrient loading be reduced in the Keys marine ecosystem by the year 2010. In 1998, The Governor issued Executive Order 98-309, which directed both local and state agencies to coordinate with Monroe County to implement the Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan. This includes the elimination of cesspits, failing septic systems and other substandard on-site sewer systems.

Estimated cost: The total cost of the program is in excess of $600M. However, the Federal Government has been authorized to spend up to $100M. The non-Federal share will be $53.8M.

Local Cooperation. The South Florida Water Management District, an agency of the State of Florida, is the project sponsor and cost-sharing partner, and has expressed its intent to be the project sponsor. However it should be noted that they will not be directly funding the non-Federal portion of the project. They will be coordinating with the various municipalities in Monroe County who will be paying for the non-Federal share.

Operations and results during fiscal year:
Engineering and design: $578,797.

Condition at the end of the fiscal year. Currently, environmental documentation for five of the six municipalities involved in the Florida Keys Water Quality Improvements Program have been completed. Decision documents outlining recommendations for implementation have also been completed for five of municipalities as well as the Program Cooperation Agreements. Program Cooperation Agreements will be forwarded to the Corps of Engineers' South Atlantic Division and Headquarters for review during first quarter 2006 for five of the six municipalities. Once approved, the Corps of Engineers will be able to begin reimbursements for construction of wastewater and storm water facilities.

79. KISSIMMEE RIVER, FLORIDA

Location. The Kissimmee River Basin comprises 3,013 square miles, and extends from Orlando southward to Lake Okeechobee, the second largest freshwater lake in the United States. The area is bounded on the north by the lakes of the Orlando area, on the west by the Peace River Basin, on the south by Lake Okeechobee, and in the east by the Upper St. John's and the Taylor Creek-Nuddin Slough Basins. The watershed is about 105 miles long and has a maximum width of 35 miles.

Existing Project. The purpose of this project is to implement the Level II Back-filling plan, as developed by the South Florida Water Management District, for restoration of the Kissimmee River and flood plain ecosystem. It is expected that this restoration project will restore the ecological integrity of the river system and provide for environmental improvements through modification of operations for Lake Kissimmee, Cypress, and Hatchineha. The project will include canal and/or structure improvements and real estate acquisition. Construction will include the backfilling of approximately 22 miles of canal C-38. This will

result in the restoration of almost 29,000 acres of wetlands in the floodplain. Two structures will be removed and two bridges and associated utilities will be relocated. Real estate interests will be acquired for affected portions of the floodplain. (See Table 9-B for Authorizing Legislation.)

Estimated cost of the project for Kissimmee River (Upper and Lower Basins) $287,700,000 Federal and $287,700,000 non-Federal.

Local Cooperation. The South Florida Water Management District, an agency of the State of Florida, is the project sponsor and cost-sharing partner, and has expressed its intent to be the project sponsor. Local cost for the Headwater Revitalization is to be credited towards the total project cost. The authorization calls for the restoration to be cost-shared 50%-50% and that the lands be credited toward the total cost of the Kissimmee River Restoration. A draft Project Cooperation Agreement (PCA) was executed on 22 March 1994.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: Lands, $258,645; Channels and canal cost was $2,101,000. Floodway control structure cost was $2,387,961. Engineering and design cost was $4,052,975. Construction management cost was $632,697. Buildings, grounds, and utilities were $14,061.

Condition at the end of the fiscal year. Currently developing/finalizing plans and specifications for contracts to be awarded in FY 2005. Those contracts include: Istokpoga canal improvements; backfilling of canal C-38 at reach 4; S-65 B radio tower; and S-68 spillway addition.

80. RESTORATION WORK UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

Restoration Activities Pursuant to Section 1135, Public Law 99-662.

Fiscal year costs were: C102/103 Restoration, Dade Cty, FL $44,102; C-7, Miami Dade, FL $8,125; C-8, Miami Dade, FL $1,832; C-9, Miami Dade, FL $3,664; Dinner Key, FL $4,418; Johns Island, FL $28,079; La Experanza, PR $28,619; Peanut Island, FL $3,651,286; Ponce De Leon Inlet, FL $240 Virginia Beach Key, FL $48,383; Wetland Restoration, Oklawaha River $52,764; Total FY 2005 Cost $3,871,511.

Restoration Activities Pursuant to Sec 206, Public Law 104-303.

Fiscal year costs were: Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration, Rose Bay, FL $220,505; Boqueron Refuge, PR $161; C-1 Rediversion/Lagoon Restoration, FL $220,229; Coordination Account (206) $4,888; Davis Lake Restoration, FL $5,381; Hogan's Creek, FL $14,795; Lake Hell N Blazes $51,482; Lake Sawgrass, FL $62,938; Sawgrass Lake Hell N Blazes, FL $10,941; Stevenson Creek Estuary, FL $235,072; Tsala Apopka Litoral Shelf Restoration, FL $6,896; Total FY 05 Cost $833,288.

81. WETLAND AND OTHER AQUATIC HABITAT CREATION UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

Wetland Activities Pursuant to Sec 204 Public Law 102-560.

Fiscal year costs were: Condado Lagoon, PR $27; Sec 204 Coordination Accounts -$339; total fiscal year cost

82. OTHER PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES

Fiscal year costs were: Nationwide civil works activities -$25,115; Anti-terrorism/force protection -$22,400.

TABLE 9-A COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Sect. PROJECT	FUNDING	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	Total Cost To Sep. 30, 2005
1.Aquatic Plant	New Work:					
Control (R&H	Approp.	1,600	-	-	27,000	39,441,100
Act of 1965	Cost	3,894	2,146	-	21,767	39,426,808
2.Arecibo Harbor,	New Work:					
PR	Approp.	-	-	-	-	1,128,075 [1]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	1,128,075 [1]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	(19,400)	55,000	-	-	7,528,431
	Cost:	(19,225)	55,493	-	-	7,528,431
3.Atlantic	New Work:					
Intracoastal	Approp.	-	-	-	-	361,225 [2]
Waterway	Cost	-	-	-	-	361,225 [2]
between	Maint:					
Norfolk, VA and	Approp.	-	-	-	-	12,422,472
St. Johns River, FL	Cost:	365	45	-	-	12,422,472
4.Bakers Haulover	New Work:					
Inlet, FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	243,235 [3]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	243,235 [3]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	185,688
	Cost:	-	-	-	-	185,688
5.Canaveral	New Work:					
Harbor, FL	Approp.	1,217,600	735,695	671,600	4,069,000	49,403,205 [4]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	1,257,438	738,833	666,437	4,068,480	49,395,945
	Maint:					
	Approp.	4,429,947	5,819,000	4,944,100	14,772,000	122,725,016
	Cost:	4,327,947	5,731,116	5,067,848	14,709,404	122,564,024
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	2,635,845
	Cost	-	-	-	-	2,635,845
6.Channel from	New Work:					
Naples to Big	Approp.	-	-	-	-	305,290
Marco Pass, FL	Cost	-	-	-	-	305,290
(Federal Funds)	Maint:					
	Approp.	66,390	1,085,100	-	-	3,404,862
	Cost	66,126	1,086,360	-	-	3,404,862
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	159,975
	Cost	-	-	-	-	159,975

TABLE 9-A (Cont.) COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Sect. PROJECT	FUNDING	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	Total Cost To Sep. 30, 2005
7.Charlotte	New Work:					
Harbor, FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	533,169[5]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	533,169[5]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	-	-	(20,630)	-	22,815,014
	Cost:	-	(21,324)	808	-	22,815,014
8.Eau Gallie	New Work:					
Harbor, FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	9,627
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	9,627
	Maint:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	2,137
	Cost:	-	-	-	-	2,137
9.Fernandina	New Work:					
Harbor, FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	4,639,040[6]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	4,639,040[6]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	1,678,000	1,878,200	1,838,130	1,516,000	52,117,223
	Cost:	1,681,706	1,883,763	1,838,306	1,514,002	52,114,817
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	935,000
	Cost	-	-	-	-	935,000
10.Fort Myers Beach	New Work:					
Channel, FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	158,140[7]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	158,140[7]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	(71,500)	-	-	51,100	3,422,231
	Cost	(57,744)	178	-	35,999	3,407,130
11.Fort Pierce	New Work:					
Harbor, FL	Approp.	-	-	(6,600)	-	5,417,900
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	5,417,853
	Maint:					
	Approp.	998,000	284,400	-	345,000	11,908,278
	Cost:	995,178	287,759	(656)	323,946	11,886,496
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	2,503,387
	Cost	-	-	-	-	2,498,659
12.Gulf Intracoastal	New Work:					
Waterway	Approp.	-	-	-	-	8,112,557[8]
Caloosahatchee	Cost	-	-	-	-	8,112,557[8]
River to Anclote	Maint:					
River, FL	Approp.	10,000	5,000	-	467,000	8,349,543[9]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	11,607	5,063	-	391,898	8,274,441[9]

TABLE 9-A (Cont.) COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Sect. PROJECT	FUNDING	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	Total Cost To Sep. 30, 2005
13.Intracoastal	New Work:					
Waterway	Approp.	-	-	-	-	19,251,598[10]
Jacksonville to	Cost	-	-	-	-	19,251,598[10]
Miami, FL	Maint:					
(Federal Funds)	Approp.	3,162,000	3,692,100	3,866,100	5,571,000	80,853,905[11]
	Cost:	3,172,736	3,704,012	3,842,025	5,031,657	80,289,584[11]
(Contrib. Funds)	Maint:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	61,000
	Cost	-	-	-	-	61,000
14. Jacksonville	New Work:					
Harbor, FL	Approp.	8,260,023	9,251,396	3,152,250	1,954,000	73,735,240[12]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	8,559,828	9,240,942	3,162,692	1,595,178	73,374,492[12]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	5,386,000	5,653,500	2,344,400	4,016,000	128,232,022[13]
	Cost:	5,406,409	5,666,088	2,341,334	4,017,471	128,229,962[13]
	Rehab:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	102,813
	Cost	-	-	-	-	102,813
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	(654)	-	-	1,135,015[14]
	Cost	3,271	(220)	-	-	1,135,015[14]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	25,000
	Cost	-	-	-	-	25,000
15.Jacksonville Hbr.	New Work:					
(Mill Cove), FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	4,104,000
(Federal Funds)	Cost	1,597	2,871	-	-	4,104,000
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	285,400	(162,751)	-	-	2,122,649
	Cost	1,873,750	5,587	-	-	2,122,649
16.Johns Pass, FL	New Work:					
(Federal Funds)	Approp.	-	-	-	-	82,098[15]
	Cost	-	-	-	-	82,098[15]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	2,466,912
	Cost	-	237	-	-	2,466,912
17.Long Boat	New Work:					
Pass, FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	1,020,233
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	1,020,233
	Maint:					
	Approp.	(1,390)	-	-	-	3,841,796
	Cost:	7,475	1	-	-	3,841,796
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	172,324
	Cost	-	-	-	-	172,324

TABLE 9-A (Cont.) COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Sect. PROJECT	FUNDING	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	Total Cost To Sep. 30, 2005
18.Manatee	New Work:					
Harbor, FL	Approp.	607,000	2,206,053	10,471,158	7,566,959	28,213,270
(Federal Funds)	Cost	901,758	2,206,269	10,102,086	7,814,326	28,083,956
	Maint:					
	Approp.	19,000	55,400	4,342,140	-	9,790,917
	Cost:	18,704	55,861	4,342,133	(602)	9,790,308
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	20,000	2,683,189	4,148,500	2,310,000	11,748,632
	Cost	-	439,135	4,565,674	4,156,880	11,748,632
19.Mayaguez	New Work:					
Harbor, PR	Approp.	-	-	-	-	168,187
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	168,187
	Maint:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	1,061,561
	Cost	-	-	-	-	1,061,561
20.Melbourne	New Work:					
Harbor, FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	17,696
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	17,696
	Maint:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	634,864
	Cost:	-	-	-	-	634,864
21.Miami	New Work:					
Harbor, FL	Approp.	1,115,377	1,075,141	761,200	10,667,000	69,227,343[16]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	1,274,519	1,079,821	716,905	10,507,542	69,020,586[16]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	212,000	74,100	130,490	10,000	7,526,462
	Cost	211,109	74,352	132,471	10,008	7,526,462
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	6,819,834	9,120,544[17]
	Cost	-	-	-	4,632,410	6,933,120[17]
22.New Pass	New Work:					
Sarasota, FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	45,811[18]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	45,811[18]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	142,000	1,304,900	-	-	8,277,826
	Cost:	137,134	1,310,847	-	-	8,277,826
23.Okeechobee	New Work:					
Waterway, FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	21,756,418[19]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	21,756,418[19]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	3,529,000	3,178,023	3,856,143	3,357,000	102,717,591[20]
	Cost:	3,290,275	3,248,357	4,024,261	3,356,612	102,713,608[20]

TABLE 9-A (Cont.) COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Sect. PROJECT	FUNDING	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	Total Cost To Sep. 30, 2005
24.Oklawaha	New Work:					
River, FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	315,264[21]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	315,264[21]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	-	26,000	-	-	2,923,976[22]
	Cost:	-	25,981	62	-	2,923,976[22]
25.Palm Beach	New Work:					
Harbor, FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	6,924,021[23]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	6,924,021[23]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	3,315,350	2,958,700	3,904,000	4,144,000	45,617,554[24]
	Cost	3,313,946	2,987,649	3,904,844	4,103,614	45,575,263[24]
26.Palm Valley	New Work:					
Bridge, Fl	Approp.	6,126,500	38,917	-	-	20,659,517
(Federal Funds)	Cost	6,198,873	154,399	95	-	20,659,471
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	1,750,000	265,403	-	(549,511)	1,465,892
	Cost	324,438	737,219	344,755	59,481	1,346,931
27.Ponce de Leon	New Work:					
Inlet, FL	Approp.	25,000	64,367	54,000	14,100	2,280,217
(Federal Funds)	Cost	26,726	54,939	62,408	15,138	2,280,126
	Maint:					
	Approp.	1,932,000	-	-	1,665,000	34,897,721
	Cost	1,950,816	725	-	1,663,329	34,896,050
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	2,452,600
	Cost	6,450	741	-	-	2,452,600
	Maint:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	1,379,000
	Cost	-	265,220	-	-	1,377,883
28.Ponce Harbor,	New Work:					
PR	Approp.	-	-	-	-	2,227,260[25]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	2,227,260[25]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	1,779,270
	Cost:	-	-	-	-	1,779,270
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	717,304
	Cost	-	-	-	-	717,304

TABLE 9-A (Cont.) COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Sect. PROJECT	FUNDING	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	Total Cost To Sep. 30, 2004
29.Port Everglades	New Work:					
Harbor, FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	54,429,666[26]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	54,429,666[26]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	38,000	143,800	353,050	1,077,000	4,225,728
	Cost:	55,395	143,878	352,671	1,028,440	4,176,748
30.Removal of	Maint:					
Aquatic Growth	Approp.	3,385,740	3,961,600	3,286,860	5,282,000	97,594,516
(Federal Funds)	Cost	3,453,294	3,957,194	3,299,765	5,286,033	97,583,521
31.St Augustine	New Work:					
Harbor, FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	1,476,434[27]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	1,476,434[27]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	-	7	-	-	9,717,107
	Cost:	917	7	-	-	9,717,107
32.St. Johns	New Work:					
River, FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	1,171,243[28]
Jacksonville to	Cost	-	-	-	-	1,171,243[28]
Lake Harney	Maint:					
(Federal Funds)	Approp.	-	-	-	-	1,300,299[29]
	Cost	-	-	-	-	1,300,299[29]
33.St. Lucie	New Work:					
Inlet, FL	Approp.	6,693,900	299,022	(1,480)	-	16,060,970[30]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	6,708,267	309,078	-	-	16,060,970[30]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	3,572,000	50,000	-	291,000	17,240,456
	Cost:	3,572,523	45,417	5,490	290,729	17,240,185
(Contrib. Funds)	Maint:					
	Approp.	-	3,100,000	-	-	6,951,383
	Cost	-	3,095,939	3,761	-	6,950,983
34.San Juan	New Work:					
Harbor, PR	Approp.	417,700	177,660	49,350	14,600	54,441,424[31]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	1,544,382	181,650	59,145	14,527	54,441,333[31]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	442,000	94,000	31,000	510,000	25,477,929[32]
	Cost:	461,934	98,485	31,579	481,930	25,449,574[32]
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	4,028,708	-	-	-	16,128,708
	Cost	3,048,930	26,258	26,946	35,534	14,071,277

TABLE 9-A (Cont.) COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Sect. PROJECT	FUNDING	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	Total Cost To Sep. 30, 2005
35.Tampa Harbor, FL	New Work:					
(Main Channel)	Approp.	-	-	-	-	173,767,440[33]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	173,767,440[33]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	6,391,103	6,184,200	8,953,000	12,201,000	101,558,970[34]
	Cost	6,404,892	6,197,850	8,753,974	12,400,823	101,554,221[34]
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	1,038,711
	Cost	-	-	-	-	1,038,711
35.Tampa Harbor, FL (East Bay-Branch Channels)	New Work:					
(Federal Funds)	Approp.	436,000	(4,693)	-	-	11,080,120
	Cost	2,998,142	(4,571)	-	-	11,080,120
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	558,597	4,917,597
	Cost	223,727	-	-	-	3,976,477
35.Tampa Harbor, FL	New Work:					
(Port Sutton)	Approp.	-	12,922	164,100	181,848	1,038,870
(Federal Funds)	Cost	1,357	12,849	164,182	181,729	1,038,715
35.Tampa Harbor	New Work:					
(Big Bend)	Approp.	(125,000)	38,788	397,088	277,651	923,946
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	42,942	391,625	287,694	923,946
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	48,333
	Cost:	284	33,421	(5,618)	637	41,516
(Alafia River)	New Work:					
(Federal Funds)	Approp.	-	41,553	816,000	400,000	1,257,553
	Cost	-	40,387	816,816	382,200	1,239,403
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	125,000	-	125,000
	Cost	-	-	11,684	811	12,495
35.Tampa Harbor, FL	New Work:					
(GRR)	Approp.	572,700	431,798	1,885,400	71,000	3,045,898
(Federal funds)	Cost	577,341	436,747	1,886,600	36,858	3,010,417
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	1,000,000	1,000,000
	Cost	-	-	-	159,174	159,174

TABLE 9-A (Cont.) COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Sect. PROJECT	FUNDING	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	Total Cost To Sep. 30, 2005
39.Brevard County,	New Work:					
FL	Approp.	6,377,500	1,844,455	173,900	2,841,500	30,512,228
(Federal Funds)	Cost	7,005,165	1,862,424	190,023	2,653,799	30,324,481
	Maint:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	29,001
	Cost	-	-	-	-	29,001
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	4,979,000	800,000	156,000	3,314,043	19,681,069
	Cost	5,760,188	1,035,177	91,891	1,088,565	17,353,132
40.Broward County,	New Work:					
FL Beach Erosion	Approp.	61,700	1,661,471	224,475	810,000	22,725,095
Control & Hillsboro	Cost	142,946	1,665,333	220,810	104,937	22,016,367
Inlet, FL	Maint:					
Navigation Proj.	Approp.	-	-	-	-	26,884
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	26,884
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	3,460,990[35]
	Cost	-	-	-	-	3,460,990[35]
40.Hillsboro Inlet,	New Work:					
FL	Approp.	23,100	(71)	-	-	706,717
(Federal Funds)	Cost	38,172	-	-	-	706,717
41.Duval County,	New Work:					
FL	Approp.	(21,300)	1,993,460	363,100	1,830,000	25,144,160
(Federal Funds)	Cost	97,941	2,007,949	367,428	1,807,104	25,121,013
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	(2,500,000)	-	-	1,450,000	21,045,567
	Cost	-	1,460,982	515,911	796,688	19,669,148
42.Ft. Pierce Beach,	New Work:					
FL	Approp.	535,900	1,670,946	2,560,721	1,776,000	14,427,970
(Federal Funds)	Cost	627,647	1,677,392	2,561,570	1,328,261	13,977,790
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	2,070,000	1,821,124	1,452,000	10,397,304
	Cost:	221	1,420,811	2,258,084	515,653	8,994,294
43.Indian River	New Work:					
County, FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	523,677
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	523,677
44.Lee County, FL	New Work:					
(Federal Funds)	Approp.	(6,900)	67,998	111,800	2,824,000	6,616,898
	Cost	60,602	68,695	111,879	2,816,550	6,608,902
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	14,947,300	14,947,300
	Cost	-	-	-	3,573,255	3,573,255

TABLE 9-A (Cont.) COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Sect. PROJECT	FUNDING	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	Total Cost To Sep. 30, 2005
45.Manatee County,	New Work:					
FL	Approp.	658,700	1,516,388	3,000	-	8,611,688
(Federal Funds)	Cost	867,619	1,516,586	2,210	-	8,610,898
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	3,337,348
	Cost:	-	-	-	-	3,337,320
46.Martin County,	New Work:					
FL	Approp.	800,000	29,778	81,907	3,158,100	11,302,385
(Federal Funds)	Cost	1,057,327	30,900	85,362	3,087,429	11,231,500
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	1,000,000	-	-	2,749,835	10,189,835
	Cost	364,331	593,125	342,400	2,374,651	9,529,783
47.Nassau County,	New Work:					
FL	Approp.	-	195,307	186,150	77,200	2,317,551
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	191,197	196,274	77,219	2,317,544
48.Palm Beach	New Work:					
County, FL	Approp.	815,300	1,689,000	920,000	2,645,000	23,426,847
(Federal Funds)	Cost	1,250,247	1,688,306	927,024	364,065	21,144,929
	Maint:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	13,621
	Cost	-	-	-	-	13,621
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	1,744,000	1,744,000
	Cost	-	-	-	-	-
48.Lake Worth	New Work:					
Transfer Plant, FL	Approp.	37,900	28,969	39,900	87,600	627,077
(Federal Funds)	Cost	47,803	28,106	40,675	87,257	626,556
				-		
49.Palm Beach	New Work:					
Island, FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	1,793,000
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	1,793,000
50.Pinellas County,	New Work:					
FL	Approp.	1,365,700	601,587	2,096,700	19,790,000	74,652,684
(Federal Funds)	Cost	1,719,645	601,913	2,089,858	4,348,841	59,200,870
	Maint:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	5,625
	Cost	-	-	-	-	5,625
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	2,285,599	183,077	4,566,191	12,015,100	50,494,140
	Cost	-	2,406,221	3,334,367	1,746,537	38,930,922

TABLE 9-A (Cont.) COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Sect. PROJECT	FUNDING	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	Total Cost To Sep. 30, 2005
51.St. Johns County,	New Work:					
FL	Approp.	6,194,600	3,778,701	234,350	9,124,000	24,128,937
(Federal Funds)	Cost	7,895,028	3,821,619	234,309	8,574,858	23,579,754
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	544,521	3,100,500	-	1,825,0000	6,141.700
	Cost:	1,160,834	2,567,640	-112,196	1,933,209	5,549,487
52.Sarasota County,	New Work:					
FL	Approp.	481,200	347,534	237,879	7,369,000	23,024,950
(Federal Funds)	Cost	807,228	348,492	239,289	6,744,898	22,400,725
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	2,909,500	7,930,105
	Cost	-	24,854	-	1,391,278	6,411,633
55.Cedar Hammock	New Work:					
(Wares Creek), FL	Approp.	(87,800)	377,856	186,500	10,000	1,514,556
(Federal Funds)	Cost	133,923	519,446	201,853	(13,138)	1,491,390
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	227,901
	Cost	111,008	10,949	3,664	8,150	221,130
56.Dade County,	New Work:					
FL	Approp.	3,837,800	1,207,284	304,250	104,000	72,197,886[37]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	4,356,707	1,247,945	303,943	88,223	72,180,094[37]
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	3,906,075	8,254,391	-	(2,259,430)	58,547,803[38]
	Cost	2,419,059	1,388,757	285,616	152,111	52,033,358[38]
57.Dade County,	New Work:					
N. of Haulover	Approp.	-	-	-	-	6,801,611
Beach, FL	Cost	-	-	-	-	6,801,611
(Federal Funds)	New Work:					
(Contrib. Funds)	Approp.	-	-	-	-	8,082,927
	Cost	-	-	-	-	8,082,927
58.Four River	New Work:					
Basins, FL	Approp.	-	(156,000)	(5,700)	-	75,993,395[39]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	-	-	-	-	75,993,302[39]
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	-	-	14,095,058
	Cost	-	-	-	-	14,095,058
59.Portugues and	New Work:					
Bucana Rivers, PR	Approp.	4,814,000	5,227,057	2,374,400	2,619,441	403,921,866
(Federal Funds)	Cost	5,167,219	5,322,632	2,349,886	2,536,968	403,804,268
(Contrib. Funds)						
	New Work:					
	Approp.	(290,000)	660,000	4,839,948	-	15,793,149
	Cost	878,330	647,493	2,853,974	474,482	13,432,962

TABLE 9-A (Cont.) COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Sect. PROJECT	FUNDING	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	Total Cost To Sep. 30, 2005
60.Rio de la	New Work:					
Plata, PR	Approp.	110,300	280,600	532,700	21,000	7,916,598
(Federal Funds)	Cost	272,194	281,215	532,695	20,294	7,915,878
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	153,000	-	-	160,000	994,088
	Cost	-	248,110	153,157	38,729	539,814
61.Rio Grande de	New Work:					
Arecibo, PR	Approp.	382,000	1,089,826	523,000	1,009,000	6,333,041
(Federal Funds)	Cost	504,521	1,087,272	523,571	969,358	6,290,655
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:	500,000				
	Approp.	64,593	-	1,000,000	1,300,000	2,800,000
	Cost		215,613	470,914	544,318	1,295,438
62.Rio Grande de	New Work:					
Loiza, PR	Approp.	283,000	198,484	14,000	20,000	3,867,625
(Federal Funds)	Cost	401,901	222,894	13,798	19,649	3,867,071
63.Rio Manati,	New Work:					
Barceloneta, PR	Approp.	3,713,100	4,105,652	1,842,100	1,500,000	12,160,852
(Federal Funds)	Cost	4,261,963	4,087,522	1,879,727	1,024,865	11,685,644
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	1,784,275	1,379,369	950,000	-	4,113,644
	Cost	1,079,936	1,537,545	598,031	144,983	3,360,495
64.Rio Puerto	New Work:					
Nuevo, PR	Approp.	5,201,400	14,135,263	22,717,300	15,450,000	135,117,059
(Federal Funds)	Cost	5,416,018	14,167,828	22,714,387	15,374,433	135,033,566
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	4,400,000	-	4,019,960	4,165,184	34,526,540
	Cost	2,557,903	3,953,651	4,085,369	2,858,124	32,064,494
74.General	New Work:					
Regulatory	Approp.	9,208,600	9,560,000	11,022,000	11,823,400	129,156,637[40]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	9,483,591	10,739,005	11,261,930	11,954,043	129,029,309[40]
75. Alligator Creek	New Work:					
	Approp.	-	-	400,000	-	400,000
	Cost	-	-	1,427	22,046	23,473
76.Central and	New Work:					
Southern Florida	Approp.	86,749,000	89,912,035	84,278,155	73,529,000	883,719,357[36]
(Federal Funds)	Cost	90,248,587	93,116,144	83,876,566	69,683,690	879,395,495[36]
	Maint:					
	Approp.	16,945,335	16,219,577	13,817,950	20,940,900	253,160,086
	Cost	17,330,406	16,286,643	13,820,286	20,547,027	252,728,075
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	5,991,578	5,348,098	215,352	-	86,148,360
	Cost	4,447,884	4,244,240	2,832,236	96,403	84,356,791

TABLE 9-A (Cont.) COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Sect. PROJECT	FUNDING	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	FY 05	Total Cost To Sep. 30, 2005
G76.Herbert Hoover	New Work:					
Dike, FL	Approp.	1,350,000	150,000	708,000	1,384,000	4,932,000
(Federal Funds)	Cost	1,479,010	434,295	1,043,128	739,724	4,286,819
77.Everglades South	New Work:					
Florida Ecosystem	Approp.	6,939,000	555,234	7,764,000	16,808,000	50,520,934
Restoration, FL	Cost	9,341,975	946,639	7,711,138	16,175,822	49,828,106
(Federal Funds)						
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	5,537,723	7,201,406	6,022,038	8,757,851	31,804,128
	Cost	3,448,904	1,660,525	7,504,859	14,063,762	28,821,994
78.Florida Keys	New Work:					
Water Quality	Approp.	120,000	271,000	209,000	1,417,900	2,017,900
	Cost	72,680	260,726	262,931	578,797	1,175,134
79.Kissimmee River,	New Work:					
FL	Approp.	4,300,000	5,608,512	7,183,001	11,041,000	108,110,513
(Federal Funds)	Cost	5,775,585	6,047,364	7,164,368	9,445,936	106,496,805
(Contrib. Funds)	New Work:					
	Approp.	4,539,000	1,537,881	662,060	-	8,825,941
	Cost	400,834	4,110,614	2,868,051	1,402	8,749,407

[1]Excludes $288,000 for contributed funds for new work.
[2]Includes $97,566 for previous project.
[3]Excludes $243,235 contributed funds for new work.
[4]Excludes $700,000 contributed by NASA in connection with construction of lock.
[5]Includes $113,000 for new work on previous project; excludes $15,563 expended for new work on existing project in Boca Grande entrance channel from contributed funds.
[6]Includes $905,221 expended for recreation facilities.
[7]Includes $10,128 cost for reconnaissance and detailed project report prepared under Section 107 modification to the project. Excludes $1,095 contributed funds for new work.
[8]Includes $515,479 for new work for previous projects: $8,472 for new work on Sunshine Skyway Channel; and $9,707 for new work for Cats Point Channel. Excludes $14,473 contributed funds for new work for Sunshine Skyway and Boca Ciega Bay channels.
[9]Includes $450,749 for maintenance for previous project.
[10]Includes $94,776 for work for previous projects. Excludes $2,199,842 expended from public works funds for new work.
[11]Includes $213,222 for maintenance on previous projects and $3,179 operating and care under provisions of permanent indefinite appropriation.
[12]Includes $3,520,137 new work on previous project and $290,013 for new work expended from public works funds.
[13]Includes $543,399 maintenance on previous projects.
[14]Includes unused contributed funds of $64,136 returned to local interests.
[15]Project authorized December 2, 1964, by Chief of Engineers under Section 107 of 1960 R&H Act and by Section 110 of the 1966 R&H Act. Cost of new work excludes $53,732 contributed funds.
[16]Includes $5,502,126 expended from public work funds for new work. Includes costs of $6,777,906 for recreation facilities.
[17]Includes $381,479 work-in-kind and $172,314 in costs for north jetty recreation facility.
[18]Excludes $45,811 contributed funds for new work.
[19]Includes $21,101,919 for new work under previous project, $626,925 for recreational facilities (Code 710), and $27,574 for reconnaissance and detailed project report prepared under Section 107 modification to the project.
[20]Includes $7,581,150 for maintenance for previous projects. Excludes $1,000 for maintenance.
[21] Includes $3,912 for new work for previous projects.
[22]Includes $11,414 for operating and care under provisions for permanent indefinite appropriation.
[23]Includes $80,000 new work from public works funds. Excludes $509,506 contributed funds.
[24]Includes $30,000 for maintenance from public works funds.
[25]Includes $11,588 expended for restudy, but excludes $21,960 expended for new work from contributed funds.
[26]Excludes $1,033,069 contributed funds.
[27]Includes $71,303 for new work on previous project. Excludes $137,500 contributed funds.
[28]Baresford cutoff and completion of cutoffs and easing of bends in Putnam Lake and Volusia Counties in inactive status were deauthorized by Public Law 93-251 section 12. Includes $29,566 for new work under previous project.
[29]Includes $25,838 under previous project.
[30]Includes $26,689 for new work under previous project.
[31]Includes $747,684 for new work under previous project. Excludes $100,000 expended for new work from contributed funds.
[32]Includes $44,730 for maintenance from public works funds under previous project.
[33]Includes $853,050 for new work from public works funds under previous project and $1,463,000 from emergency relief funds. Excludes $270,466 for new work for Hillsborough River and $13,939 for new work from contributed funds.
[34]Includes $17,107 for maintenance under previous project.
[35]Includes work-in-kind.
[36]Excludes $15,543 for property received without reimbursement and R&H Funds, expended on previous projects (see cost and financial statement for Okeechobee Waterway, FL, project for these costs). Excludes $100,000 advanced by local interests toward federal costs. Includes $175,000 appropriation and $175,000 cost for new work at C&SF St. Johns Water Management District.
[37]Includes $2,294,134 for reimbursement costs to Bal Harbor.
[38]Excludes unused contributed funds of $17,969 returned to local interests.
[39]Includes costs of $89,691 for recreation facilities at Moss Bluff and $2,638,272 at Lake Tarpon.
[40]Funds appropriated under General Regulatory Functions 96X3126. Include #73 permit evaluation, #74 enforcement and #75 studies.

TABLE 9-B AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL (See Section 1 of Text)	
Oct. 27, 1965	Control and progressive eradication of obnoxious aquatic plants, and continued research to develop best method of control.	H.Doc. 251, 89th Cong., 1st sess.
	LOWER ST. JOHNS RIVER BASIN, FL	
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	May apply the computer model developed under the feasibility study to assist non-Federal interests in developing strategies for improving water quality at 50 percent cost share.	P.L. 106-53, Aug. 17, 1999 106th Cong., 1st sess.
	TAMPA, FL	
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	May enter into a cooperative agreement under section 229 with the Museum of Science and Industry, Tampa, Fl to provide technical, planning, and design assistance to demonstrate the water quality functions found in wetlands, at an estimated total Federal cost of $500,000.	P.L. 104-303, Oct. 12, 1996 104th Cong.
	WEST DADE, FL	
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	Conduct a reconnaissance study to determine the Federal interest in using the West Dade, FL, reuse facility to improve water quality in, and increase the supply of surface water to, the Everglades in order to enhance fish and wildlife habitat.	P.L. 104-303, Oct. 12,1996 104th Cong.
NAVIGATION PROJECTS	**ARECIBO HARBOR, PR (See Section 2 of Text)**	
Aug. 26, 1937	Construction of breakwater 1,200 feet long and dredging channel 25 feet deep and 400 feet wide with flare at entrance and widening at inner end to form a maneuvering area.	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 43, Cong., 75th 1st sess.
	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FL	
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	Project for navigation. Operation, maintenance, repair, replacement and rehabilitation shall be a non-Federal responsibility, and the non-Federal interest shall assume ownership for the bridge.	P.L.104-303, Oct. 12, 1996 104th Cong.
	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY BETWEEN NORFOLK, VA AND THE ST. JOHNS RIVER, FL (See Section 3 of Text)	
Mar. 4, 1913	Channel 7 x 100 feet.	H.Doc. 898, 62nd Cong., 2d sess.
Jun. 20, 1939	Channel 12 feet deep and 90-150 feet wide with cutoffs.	H.Doc. 618, 75th Cong., 3d sess.
	BAKERS HAULOVER, FL (See Section 4 of Text)	
Jul. 14, 1960	Channel 11 x 200 feet in ocean entrance, thence 8 x 100 feet to Intracoastal Waterway; Marina basin 8 x 200 feet; reconstruction of jetties and protection of inlet shores.	H.Doc. 189, 86th Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	CANAVERAL HARBOR, FL (See Section 5 of Text)	
Mar. 2, 1945	Entrance channel jetties, a turning basin enclosed by a dike, and a barge canal with a lock.	H.Doc. 367, 77th Cong., 1st sess.
Oct. 23, 1962	Maintain improved channel, turning basin, enlarge barge channel and lock, relocate dike, provide channel and turning basin west of 35-foot turning basin, construct and operate sand-transfer plant.	S.Doc. 140, 87th Cong., 2d sess.
Report of the Chief of Engineers Oct. 1985	Mitigation of fish and wildlife losses at Port Canaveral West Turning Basin Project.	P.L. 99-662, Nov. 17, 1986 99th Cong., 2d sess
Report of the Chief of Engineers Jul. 24, 1991	Project for navigation, Canaveral Harbor, FL, as modified by the letter of the Secretary dated Oct. 10, 1991	P.L. 102-580, Oct. 13, 1992 102nd Cong., 2d sess.
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	Modification of navigation project to reclassify the removal and replacement of stone protection on both sides of the channel as general navigation features.	P.L. 104-303, Oct. 12, 1996 104th Cong.
	CHANNEL FROM NAPLES TO BIG MARCO PASS, FL (See Section 6 of Text)	
Jun. 20, 1938	Interior channel 6 x 70 feet.	H.Doc. 596, 75th Cong., 3d sess.
Jul. 14, 1960	Channel 12 x 150 feet from the Gulf to Gordon Pass, thence 10 x 100 feet to a point 400 feet south of U.S. Highway 41 Bridge, thence 10 x 70 feet to bridge and two turning basins.	H.Doc. 183, 86th Cong., 1st sess.
	CHARLOTTE HARBOR, FL (See Section 7 of Text)	
Jul. 25, 1912	Channel 24 x 300 feet through Gulf through Boca Grande entrance.	H.Doc. 699, 62nd Cong., 2d sess.
Mar. 3, 1925	Depth of 27 feet at entrance and width of 500 feet at bend.	H.Doc. 113, 66th Cong., 1st sess.
Jul. 3, 1930	Channel 10 x 100 feet to Punta Gorda and a turning basin.	Rivers and Harbors Committee, Doc. 1, 70th Cong., 1st sess.
Aug. 26, 1937	Depth of 30 feet at entrance and width of 700 feet at bend.	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc.95, 74thCong., 2d sess.
May 17, 1950	Depth of 32 feet in entrance channel.	H.Doc. 186, 81st Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	EAU GALLIE HARBOR, FL (See Section 8 of Text)	
Jun. 20, 1938	Channel 8 x 100 feet and a turning basin.	H.Doc. 497, 75th Cong., 3 sess.
	FERNANDINA HARBOR, FL (See Section 9 of Text)	
Jun. 14, 1880	Entrance jetties.	Annual Report 1879
Jul. 13, 1892	Raising and extending jetties to provide a 19-foot depth.	Annual Report 1891 & 1896
Mar. 2, 1907	Improvement of inner harbor to obtain 20 to 24-foot depth and 400 to 600-foot channel width.	H.Doc. 388, 59th Cong., 1st sess.
Jun. 25, 1910	Combining improvement of Fernandina Harbor and Cumberland Sound under the general heading of Fernandina Harbor.	
Mar. 3, 1925	Provided for a 26-foot channel.	H.Doc. 227, 68th Cong., 1st sess.
Jun. 20, 1938	Provided for the 28-foot channel and turning basin.	H.Doc. 548, 75th Cong., 3d sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	Provided for reducing the maximum width of turning basin from 1,000 to 800 feet, for shifting channel line in this vicinity vicinity 50 feet northwesterly and for including in authorized project small area dredged by Rayonier, Inc.	H.Doc. 284, 87th Cong., 1st sess.
May 17, 1950	Provided for 32-foot channel and turning basin.	H.Doc. 662, 80th Cong., 2d sess.
WRDA Nov. 28, 1990	Re-designated location of turning basin until Section 107 (R&H Act of 1960) study is completed and the resulting. project constructed	P.L. 101-640, Nov. 28, 1990 101st Cong.? sess.
WRDA Jan. 24, 2000	Realign the access channel in the vicinity of the Fernandina Beach Municipal Marina 100 feet to the west and cost shall be a non-Federal expense.	P.L. 106-541, Jan. 24, 2000 106th Cong., 2nd sess.
	FORT MYERS BEACH, FL (See Section 10 of Text)	
Jul. 14, 1960	12 x 150 foot channel in San Carlos Bay, thence 11 x 125 feet in Matanzas Pass to upper shrimp terminals.	H.Doc. 183, 86th Cong., 1st sess.
Auth. by Chief of Engineers Dec. 6, 1968 under Sec. 107 of 1960 R&H Act	Extension of 11 x 125 foot channel easterly about 2,000 feet to and including a turning basin adjacent to natural deep water in Matanzas Pass.	

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	FORT PIERCE HARBOR, FL (See Section 11 of Text)	
Def. Act of Mar. 4, 1931	Expenditure of $20,000 for dredging channel, maintenance by local interests.	Specified in Act
War Dept. Approp. Act, Mar. 4, 1933	Expenditure of up to $30,000 for dredging channel.	Specified in Act
Aug. 30, 1935	Maintaining channels, jetties, and revetments, and enlarging channels and turning basin to existing project dimensions.	H.Doc. 252, 72nd Cong., 1st sess. and Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 21, 74th Cong., 1st sess.
Report of the Chief of Engineers Dec. 14, 1987	Deepening and enlarging channels and turning basin. Total cost of $6,742,000.	P.L. 100-676, Nov. 17, 1988 100th Cong., 2d sess.
	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, CALOOSAHATCHEE RIVER TO ANCLOTE RIVER, FL (See Section 13 of Text)	
Mar. 2, 1945	9 x 100 foot channel; deepening channel at Casey's Pass to 9 feet and construction of Highway Bridge at Venice.	H.Doc. 371, 76th Cong., 1st sess.
Jun. 30, 1948	Original route may be modified at no excess cost to U.S.	Specified in Act
May 17, 1950	Any route in Venice-Lemon Bay area may be used.	Specified in Act
Sep. 3, 1954	Use of alternate Route C-1 in Venice-Lemon Bay area.	Specified in Act
May 10-16, 1957	Local interests to bear costs of Venice Avenue highway bridge and any other necessary crossing over Route C-1.	H.Doc. 109, 85th Cong., 1st sess.
Auth. Mar. 1, 1962 by Senate and House Public. Works Comm	Sunshine Skyway Channel to be improved and maintained to. 9 x 100 feet	
Auth. by Chief of Engineers, Mar.1, 1963, under Sec 107 of 1960 R&H Act	6 x 80 foot channel in Boca Ciega Bay.	

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, JACKSONVILLE TO MIAMI, FL (See Section 13 of Text)	
Jan. 21, 1927	Channel 8 x 75 feet from Jacksonville to Miami.	H.Doc. 586, 69th Cong., 2d sess.
Jul. 3, 1930	Channel width of 100 feet.	S.Doc. 71, 71st Cong., 2d sess.
PARA Jun. 26, 1934	Operation and care of Palm Valley Bridge.	Specified in Act
Aug. 26, 1937	Turning basin at Jacksonville Beach.	H.Doc. 180, 75th Cong., 1st sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	Channel 12 x 125 feet.	H.Doc. 740, 79th Cong., 2d sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	Side channel and turning basin at Sebastian (deauthorized).	H.Doc. 336, 76th Cong., 1st sess.
Mar.2, 1945	Turning basin at Vero Beach.	H.Doc. 261, 76th Cong., 1st sess.
Jul. 3, 1958	Maintenance of side channel at Daytona Beach.	H.Doc. 222, 85th Cong., 1st sess.
Chief of Engineers Report of Jul. 22, 1960,Mod. 12-foot Channel	Channel 10 x 125 feet from Ft. Pierce to Miami.	
	JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL (See Section 14 of Text) ST. JOHNS RIVER, FL OPPOSITE THE CITY OF JACKSONVILLE	
Mar. 2, 1907	The 24-foot area from Hogan Creek to Florida East Coast Railroad Bridge.	H.Doc 663, 59th Cong., 1st sess.
Nov. 17, 1986	Deauthorized the 24-foot area from Hogan Creek to Florida East Coast Railroad bridge.	P.L. 99-662, Nov. 28, 1986 99th Cong., 2d sess.
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Project for navigation.	P.L. 106-53, Aug. 17, 1999 106th Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	JACKSONVILLE HARBOR (MILL COVE), FL (See Section 15 of Text)	
Report of the Chief of Engineers Feb. 12, 1982	Enlarge weir structure and west opening controlling flow into Mill Cove, to reduce shoaling and improve navigation as well as circulation.	P.L. 99-662, Nov. 17, 1986 99th Cong., 2d sess
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	Modification to carry out a project for mitigation consisting of measures for flow and circulation improvement within. Mill Cove.	P.L. 104-303, Oct. 12, 1996 104th Cong.
	JOHN'S PASS, FL (See Section 16 of Text)	
Auth. by Chief of Engineers Dec. 2, 1964 under Sec. 107 of 1960 R&H Act and Sec. 110 of 1966 R&H Act	Channel 10 x 100 feet in gulf, 8 x 100 feet inside pass, 6 x 100 feet to IWW, 2.6 miles long.	
	LAKE WORTH INLET, FL	
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	Project for navigation and shoreline protection subject to final report to be completed not later than December 31, 1996.	P.L. 104-303, Oct. 12, 1996 104th Cong.
	LONGBOAT PASS, FL (See Section 17 of Text)	
Auth. by Chief of Engineers, Apr. 20, 1976 under Sec. 107 of 1960 R&H Act, as amended	12 x 150 foot entrance channel from Gulf of Mexico to Longboat Bridge; 10 x 100 foot channel from Longboat Bridge northeasterly to IWW; channel from north channel to Cortez Bridge.	
	MANATEE HARBOR, FL (See Section 18 of Text)	
Report of the Chief of Engineers May 12, 1980	Provide for maintenance of the existing 40-foot deep draft navigation channel and turning basin from Tampa Bay to Port Manatee. Initial construction of a widener at Manatee Harbor and deepen area adjacent to berthing area.	P.L. 99-662, Nov. 17, 1986 99th Cong., 2d sess.
WRDA Nov. 28, 1990	Modified the project for navigation authorized by the WRDA of 1986 to construct substantially in accordance with the post authorization change report dated April 1990.	P.L.101-640, Nov. 28, 1990, 101st Cong.
	MAYAGUEZ HARBOR, PR (See Section 19 of Text)	
Aug. 30, 1935	Approach channels 30 feet deep to and along deep-water terminal.	H.Doc. 215, 72nd Cong., 1st sess. & River and Harbor Committee Doc. 1,73rd Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	MELBOURNE HARBOR, FL (See Section 20 of Text)	
Aug. 26, 1937	Channel 8 x 100 feet and a turning basin.	H.Doc. 390, 74th Cong., 2d sess.
	MIAMI HARBOR, FL (See Section 21 of Text)	
	MIAMI RIVER	
Jul. 3, 1930	The 15-foot channel in Miami River, 150 feet wide at the mouth.	Specified in Act
PWA Program Sep. 6, 1933	The channel at Dinner Key Airport.	S.Doc. 95, 72nd Cong., 1st sess. and Specified in Act
Jun. 13, 1902	An 18-foot channel in a land cut across the peninsula and construction of the north jetty.	H.Doc. 622, 56th Cong., 1st sess. (Annual Report 1900, p. 1987)
Mar. 2, 1907	Construction of the south jetty and increase of channel width to 100 feet.	Specified in Act
Mar. 4, 1913	Modification of local cooperation requirements imposed by the Act of July 25, 1912.	Specified in Act
Mar. 3, 1925	A channel 25 feet deep with present widths from the ocean to Biscayne Bay, thence 200 feet wide across the bay to but not including the municipal turning basin, and extension of both jetties.	H.Doc. 516, 67th Cong., 4th sess.
Jul. 3, 1930	A channel width of 300 feet across the bay and enlarging the municipal turning basin, with expenditures thereon limited. to $200,000	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 15, 71st Cong., 2d sess.
Aug. 30, 1935	A depth of 30 feet from the ocean to and in the turning basin, with the existing authorized project widths, including the 300-foot width in the channel across the bay.	Sen. Comm. Print. 73rd Cong., 2d sess.
Aug. 26, 1937	Extending the turning basin 200 feet to the southward.	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 86, 74th Cong., 2d sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	The Virginia Key improvement.	S.Doc. 251, 79th Cong., 2d sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	For incorporation of the project for Miami River in that for Miami Harbor, the widening at the mouth of Miami River to existing project widths; the channels from the mouth of Miami River to the turning basin and to Government Cut; and the channel from Miami River to the harbor of refuge, provided that local interests contribute one-third of the cost.	H.Doc. 91, 79th Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
Jul. 14, 1960	Deletion of Virginia Key development and the Dinner Key, approach channel widening the existing ship channel by easing the ocean bend and increasing the width of the 300-foot wide section to 500 feet, enlarging the existing turning basin 300 feet along both the south and northeasterly sides, and dredging a turning basin along the north side of Fisher Island about 39 acres in extent and 30 feet in depth.	S.Doc. 71, 85th Cong., 2d sess.
Aug. 13, 1968	Enlarging existing entrance channel to 38 x 500 feet, deepening existing 400-foot wide channel across Biscayne Bay to 36 feet; deepening existing turning basins at Biscayne Boulevard terminal and Fisher Island to 36 feet.	S.Doc. 93, 90th Cong., 2d sess.
WRDA Nov. 17, 1986	Deauthorized the widening at the mouth of Miami River to existing project widths; and the channels from the mouth of Miami River to the turning basin, to Government Cut, and to a harbor of refuge in Palmer Lake.	P.L. 99-662, Nov. 17, 1986, 99th Cong., 2d sess
Report of the Chief of Engineers Sep. 25, 1989	The project for navigation, Miami Harbor Channel.	P.L. 101-640, Nov. 28, 1990, 101st Cong
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	The project for navigation, Miami Harbor Channel subject to a final report to be completed no later than 31 December 1996.	P.L. 104-303, Oct. 12, 1996 104th, Cong
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Miami Harbor Channel project modified to include construction of artificial reefs and related environmental mitigation.	P.L. 106-53, Aug. 17, 1999, 106th Cong., 1st sess.
	NEW PASS, SARASOTA, FL (See Section 22 of Text)	
Auth. by Chief of Engineers Apr. 20, 1964 under Sec. 107 of 1960 R&H Act	10 x 150 foot entrance channel; 8 x 100 foot inner channels; and 8-foot deep turning basins at Payne Terminal and City Pier.	
	OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY, FL (See Section 23 of Text)	
PARA Jun. 26, 1934	Operation and care of locks and dams provided for with funds from R&H appropriations.	Specified in Act
Aug. 26, 1937	New lock and weir structure in St. Lucie Canal.	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 28, 75th Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
Mar. 2, 1945	Deepening to 8 feet from Ft. Myers to Jacksonville-Miami waterway near Stuart via channel across lake from Clewiston.	H.Doc. 696, 76th Cong., 3d sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	Channel to yacht basin at Ft. Myers and a basin at Stuart.	H.Doc. 736, 79th Cong., 2d sess.
WRDA Nov. 17, 1986	Deauthorized basin at Stuart.	PL 99-662, Nov. 17, 1986 99th Cong., 2d sess.
Auth. by Chief of Engineers Nov. 5, 1968, under Sec. 107 of 1960 R&H Act	Enlarge existing 8 x 90 foot section of Okeechobee Waterway for about 5 miles upstream from Ft. Myers to 10 x 100 feet.	
	OKLAWAHA RIVER, FL (See Section 24 of Text)	
Sep. 19, 1890	Clearing obstructions for channel 4 feet deep from mouth to Leesburg.	Annual Report 1889, p.1360
Mar. 2, 1907	Channel 6 feet deep from mouth to head of Silver Springs Run.	H.Doc. 782, 59th Cong., 1st sess.
Jun. 25, 1910 Jul. 25, 1912	Maintenance of levels in the lakes at head of river.	Specified in Acts
Jul. 27, 1916	Acceptance of certain artificial waterways in lieu of portions of natural riverbed.	Specified in Act
PARA Jun. 26, 1934	Operation and care of lock and dam provided for with funds from appropriations for rivers and harbors.	Specified in Act
WRDA Nov. 17, 1986	Deauthorized channel 6 feet deep from mouth to head of Silver Springs Run	P.L. 99-662, Nov. 17, 1986 99th Cong., 2d sess.
	PALM BEACH HARBOR, FL (See Section 25 of Text)	
Mar. 13, 1934	Maintenance of improvement previously constructed by local interests.	H.Doc. 185, 73rd Cong., 2d sess.
Dec. 10, 1934	Deepening the channels and turning basin, as constructed by local of interests, to 20 feet.	Recommended by Chief Engineers to Public Works Administration Oct. 17, 1934

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
Aug. 30, 1935	Authorized the work previously approved by Public Works Administration, and widening the channels to existing project dimensions, enlarging the 700-foot square turning basin eastward removing the obstructive point on south side of the and inlet, revetting the banks of the inlet restoring existing jetties.	H.Doc. 185, 73rd Cong., 2d sess. and Rivers and Harbors Comm. H. Doc. 42, 74th Cong., 1st sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	Deepening the channels and turning basin to 25 feet.	H.Doc. 530, 78th Cong., Cong., 2d sess.
May 17, 1950	Extending the turning basin 550 feet southward over a 900-foot width, with a flare to the east; provided that local interests may be reimbursed not to exceed $305,000 for work done by them on this modification subsequent to July 1, 1949.	H.Doc. 704, 80th Cong., 2d sess.
Jul. 14, 1960	An entrance channel 35 feet deep, 400 feet wide, and 0.8 mile long merging with an inner channel 33 feet deep, 300 feet wide and 0.3 mile long, thence flaring into a turning basin, 1,400 feet north-south by a minimum of 1,200 feet east-west.	H.Doc. 283, 86th Cong., 1st sess. (contains latest published map)
Report of the Chief of Engineers Dec. 10, 1985	Assume maintenance of locally expanded turning basin to a depth of 25 feet on north side of existing basin.	P.L. 99-662, Nov.17, 1986, 99th Cong., 2d sess.
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	**PALM VALLEY BRIDGE, FL (See Section 26 of Text)** Replacement of a two lane bridge.	P.L.104-303 Oct. 12, 1996 104th Cong.
	PONCE DE LEON INLET, FL (See Section 27 of Text)	
Oct. 27, 1965	Widening and deepening channels; jetties on north and south sides of inlet; a weir in the north jetty and an impoundment. basin inside the weir	H.Doc. 74, 89th Cong., 1st sess.
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Project for navigation and related purposes.	P.L. 106-53, Aug. 17, 1999, 106th Cong., 1st sess.
	PONCE HARBOR, PR (See Section 28 of Text)	
Mar. 3, 1925	Dredging 3 continuous areas, aggregating 153 acres, to depths of 30, 18, and 9 feet, and construction of a seawall, costs to be shared by U.S. and local interests.	H.Doc. 532, 67th Cong., 4th sess.
Aug. 30, 1935	Modified conditions of local cooperation to provide that U.S. undertake all dredging at Federal expense and return local funds previously contributed for dredging, all other portions of the improvement hereafter to be at the expense of local interests.	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 18, 72nd Cong., 1st sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	Eliminate previously authorized 9-foot dredging area, and provided for dredging 30-foot depth area and 18 acres off the municipal pier and for construction of the breakwater off Punta Carenero.	H.Doc. 745, 79th Cong., 2d sess.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
H.R. Sep. 23, 1976, S.R. Oct. 1, 1976	Eliminate previously authorized 18-foot and a portion of the 30-foot project outside the proposed 36-foot and a portion adjacent to the municipal bulkhead. Channel 36 x 600 feet from Caribbean Sea to harbor; channel 36 x 400 feet into harbor; and a 36-foot turning basin.	H.Doc. 532, 94th Cong., Cong., 2d sess.
	PORT EVERGLADES HARBOR, FL (See Section 29 of Text)	
Jul. 3, 1930	Maintenance of harbor constructed by local interests.	Cong., 2d sess.
Aug. 30, 1935	Enlarge entrance channel and complete turning basin to 1,200 feet square.	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 25, 74th Cong., 1st sess.
Jun. 20, 1938	Widen turning basin 350 feet on north side.	H.Doc. 545, 75th Cong., 3d sess.
Jul. 24, 1946	Widen turning basin 200 feet on north side, 500 feet on south side and enlarge flare at entrance channel.	H.Doc. 768, 78th Cong., 2d sess.
Jul. 3, 1958	Deepen and widen entrance channel on a new alignment and increase turning basin in size and depth.	H.Doc. 346, 85th Cong., 2d sess.
H.R. May 9, 1974; S.R. May 31, 1974	Deepen and widen entrance channel, enlarge turning basin, widen Pier 7 channel, maintenance of Berth 18 channel, and planning for a south jetty fishing walkway with construction contingent upon need as developed by detailed post authorization studies.	H.Doc. 144, 93rd Cong., 1st sess.
WRDA Jan. 24, 2000	Reimbursement of the non-Federal interest for the project for navigation, $15,003,000 for the Federal share of costs incurred by the non-Federal interest in carrying out the project and determined by the Secretary to be eligible for reimbursement under the limited reevaluation report dated April 1998.	P.L. 106-541, Jan. 24, 2000 106th Cong., 2d sess.
Report of the Chief of Engineers Sep. 23, 1991	Project for navigation.	P.L. 102-580, Oct.31, 1992 102nd Cong., 2d sess.
	REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH FROM NAVIGABLE WATERS IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA (See Section 30 of Text)	
Mar. 3, 1899	Construction and operation of a suitable vessel and use of log booms for removal of water hyacinths in the navigable waters of the State.	Annual Report 1899
Jun. 13, 1902	Extermination and removal of water hyacinths by any mechanical, chemical or other means.	Specified in Act
Mar. 3, 1905	Prohibits use of any chemical process injurious to cattle.	Specified in Act

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	ST. AUGUSTINE HARBOR, FL (See Section 31 of Text)	
Jun. 20, 1938	Channel 27 x 200 feet protected by a groin.	H.Doc. 555, 75th Cong., 3d sess.
May 17, 1950	Channel 16 x 200 feet across bar, and thence 12 feet deep to Intracoastal Waterway; jetty on south side of inlet; future landward extension of groin and jetty; and channel 10 x 100 feet in San Sebastian River.	H.Doc. 133, 81st Cong., 1st sess.
	ST. JOHNS RIVER, FL, JACKSONVILLE TO LAKE HARNEY (See Section 32 of Text)	
Mar. 3, 1899	A channel 13 feet deep and 200 feet wide from Jacksonville to Palatka.	H.Doc. 523, 55th Cong., 2d sess. and Annual Report 1899 p. 1343
Mar. 2, 1919	The improvement of Deep Creek.	H.Doc. 699, 63rd Cong., 2d sess.
Jun. 14, 1880	Two jetties at Volusia Bar (Maintenance only; new work completed under previous project).	Annual Report for 1879, pp. 795-798
Jun. 25, 1910	A channel 100 feet wide, 8 feet deep from Palatka to Sanford with a side channel to Enterprise, and thence 5 feet deep to Lake Harney.	H.Doc. 1111, 60th Cong., 2d sess.
Jul. 3, 1930	Cutoffs at Butcher Bend, Shake Creek, and Starks Landing, and easing bends at other points.	H.Doc. 691, 69th Cong., 2d sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	A channel 10 feet deep and 100 feet wide from Palatka to Sanford, with a side channel to Enterprise and with cutoffs and easing of bends. (Cutoffs deauthorized)	H.Doc. 603, 76th Cong., 3d sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	Combining the two projects above into a single project for St. Johns River, FL, Jacksonville to Lake Harney, and for a cutoff 5 feet deep and 75 feet wide between Lake Monroe and the vicinity of Osteen Bridge (Woodruff Creek Cutoff).	H.Doc. 445, 78th Cong., 2d sess.
Jul. 24, 1946	A channel 12 feet deep and 100 feet wide from Palatka to Sanford, and in the branch to Enterprise.	S.Doc. 208, 79th Cong., 2d sess.
	ST. LUCIE INLET, FL (See Section 33 of Text)	
Mar. 2, 1945	Channel 10 x 200 feet.	H.Doc. 391, 77th Cong., 1st sess.
Nov. 7, 1966	Modification to maintain existing channel 6 x 100 feet.	H.Doc. 508, 89th Cong., 2d sess.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
H.R. May 9, 1974 S.R. May 31, 1974	Extending north jetty and modifying existing jetty to provide a sand bypass weir section; excavation of sand impoundment basin; construction of south jetty with a walkway for recreational fishing; channel 10 x 500 feet through bar cut, tapering to 150 feet through the inlet, and 100 feet and 7 feet deep to the Intracoastal Waterway; and transfer of 380,000 cubic yards of material to the south beach during each two-year maintenance period.	H.Doc. 294, 93rd Cong., 1st sess.
	SAN JUAN HARBOR, PR (See Section 34 of Text)	
Aug. 8, 1917	Anchorage (inner harbor) area of 206 acres and San Antonio Channel to 30-foot depth.	H.Doc. 865, 63rd Cong., 2d sess.
Sep. 22, 1922	Substitution of a 68-acre area 30 feet deep along southeasterly side of anchorage area, for one 25 acres in extent and of same depth extending easterly from eastern end of the San Antonio project channel.	Specified in Act
Jul. 3, 1930	Modified condition of local cooperation.	H.Doc. 45, 71st Cong., 2d sess.
Aug. 30, 1935	Entrance channel across outer bar 38 feet deep and 800 feet wide, and thence across bay to anchorage area (Anegado Reach Channel) 30 feet deep and 700 feet wide and increasing anchorage area to 239 acres to 30-foot depth.	R&H Comm. Doc. 38, 74th Cong., 1st sess.
Aug. 26, 1937	Widening Anegado Reach Channel and increasing anchorage. area to 329 acres	R&H Comm. Doc. 42, 75th Cong., 1st sess.
Oct. 17, 1940	Removal to 8-foot depth of Anegado, Largo, and Capitanejo Shoals, and dredging to 30-foot depth the entrance channel and turning basin to the Graving Dock.	H.Doc. 364, 76th Cong., 1st sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	Maintenance of the 30-foot depth entrance channel and turning basin to the Army Terminal.	Specified in Act
Jul. 3, 1958	Deepening portions of entrance and approach channels and basins to Army Terminal and San Antonio Pier areas to 35-45 feet; new 32-foot depth Puerto Nuevo Channel; new 36-foot depth anchorage.	H.Doc. 38, 85th Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
Aug. 4, 1976	A bar channel 48 x 800 feet, shifting the centerline 350 feet west; deepening Anegado Channel in steps from 46 to 40 feet while reducing width to 800 feet; deepening Army Terminal Channel and turning basin to 40 feet while widening the channel to 450 feet; deepening Puerto Nuevo Channel to 40 feet and widening it to 400 feet; deepening Graving Dock Channel to 40 feet at existing 400-foot width; deepening San Antonio Channel to 38 feet at varying widths, minimum of 500 feet; deepening cruise ship basin at 30 x 250 feet; provide a 38-foot depth in Anchorage Area "E" with irregular width; six mooring dolphins for vessels using the area.	H.Doc. 574, 94th Cong., 2d sess.
Report of the Chief of Engineers Dec. 23, 1982	Modification of the authorized project to provide the deepening of the Bar Channel to 48 feet and shifting its alignment 350 feet to the west; deepening Anegado, and Army Terminal to 40 feet; deepening Graving Dock Channel, and Cruise Ship Basin, Puerto Nuevo Channel, and San Antonio Channel to 36 feet; and deepening Anchorage Area "E" to 38 feet while reducing its size and constructing six mooring dolphins within its limits. A 1,500-foot long extension to San Antonio Channel would be added to the Federal project and Sabana approach deepened to 32 feet.	P.L. 99-662 Nov. 17, 1986 99th Cong., 2d sess
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	Modification of the project to deepen the bar channel to depths varying from 49 feet to 56 feet below mean low water with other modifications to authorized interior channels as described in the General Reevaluation Report and Environmental Assessment dated March 1994.	P.L. 104-303, Oct.12, 1996 104th Cong.
	TAMPA HARBOR, FL (See Section 35 of Text)	
	TAMPA BAY	
Mar. 3, 1899	For a channel 27 feet deep from the Gulf of Mexico to Port Tampa, 500 feet wide across the bar and 300 feet wide in the bay.	H.Doc. 52, 55th Cong., 3d sess. (Also printed in Annual Report 1899, p. 1640) Channel dimensions specified in Act
Mar. 3, 1905	For a channel depth of 26 feet with sufficient width from the Gulf of Mexico to Port Tampa.	Specified in Act
	TAMPA AND HILLSBOROUGH BAYS	
Jun. 25, 1910	For a depth of 24 feet in Hillsborough Bay.	H.Doc. 634, 61st Cong., 2d sess.
Aug. 8, 1917	For a 27-foot depth from Gulf of Mexico up to and in the several channels of Hillsborough Bay, the widths to be 500 feet on the bar, 300 feet in Tampa Bay, Ybor, and Garrison Channels, and 200 feet in Hillsborough Bay, Sparkman, and Seddon Channels, with turning basins at the mouth of Hillsborough and at Ybor Estuary.	H.Doc. 634, 61st Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	HILLSBOROUGH RIVER	
Mar. 3, 1899	For a 12 x 200 foot channel to within 100 feet of the Lafayette St. highway bridge (maintenance only; new work completed under a previous project under title Improving Hillsborough Bay, FL).	H.Doc. 545, 55th Cong., 2d sess. and Annual Report 1998, pp 1357-1360
	TAMPA HARBOR, FL	
Sep. 22, 1922	Consolidation of the above projects to form a single project for Tampa Harbor.	Specified in Act
Jul. 3, 1930	Increasing the depth of Egmont Channel to 29 feet and the width of Sparkman Channel to 300 feet.	H.Doc. 100, 70th Cong., 1st sess.
Aug. 30, 1935	For a depth of 32 feet and a width of 600 feet on Egmont Bar, a depth of 30 feet and a width of 400 feet in Mullet Key Cut, and a depth of 30 feet and a width of 300 feet in all other project channels in Tampa Harbor (except in Hillsborough River and in the channel leading to Alafia River); and for a turning basin 2,000 feet long and 500 feet in maximum width at the entrance to the Port Tampa terminals.	S.Doc. 22, 72nd Cong., 1st sess.
Jun. 20, 1938	For widening the bend between Sparkman Channel and Cut D of Hillsborough Bay Channel by 250 feet; widening Ybor Channel to 400 feet and extending the turning basin at the west end of Garrison Channel (mouth of Hillsborough River) easterly for 300 feet.	S.Doc. 164, 75th Cong., 3d sess.
Jun. 20, 1938	For construction of a breakwater at Peter O. Knight Field, Davis Islands, its maintenance to be assumed by local interest.	Sen. Comm. Print. 76th Cong., 1st sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	For widening Sparkman Channel to 400 feet and Ybor Channel to 500 feet; widening the bend between Sparkman and Garrison Channels an additional 250 feet to extend the turning basin westerly and widening the bend between Seddon and Garrison Channels by 150 feet (in lieu of 300 feet previously authorized) to extend the turning basin easterly.	S.Doc. 183, 78th Cong., 2d sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	For a channel 9 feet deep and 100 feet wide in the Hillsborough River for about 2.4 miles above the upper end of the existing 12-foot channel, and for the removal of obstructions thence to the Florida Avenue Bridge.	H.Doc. 119, 77th Cong., 1st sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	For a channel 25 feet deep and 150 feet wide from the Hillsborough Bay channel to and including a turning basin in the Alafia River. (Revoked by Act of May 17, 1950).	S.Doc. 16, 77th, Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
May 17, 1950	For deepening Egmont Channel to 36 feet; enlarging Mullet Key Cut, Tampa Bay Channel, Hillsborough Bay Channel, Port Tampa Channel and Port Tampa turning basin to present project dimensions; deepening Sparkman Channel and Ybor turning basin to 34 feet; a channel 30 feet deep and 200 feet wide from Hillsborough Bay Channel to and including a turning basin in Alafia River, 700 feet wide and 1,200 feet long (in lieu of the improvement previously authorized); and substantial widening at entrance, bends, and turns.	H.Doc. 258, 81st Cong., 1st sess.
Sep. 3, 1954	Extended removal of obstructions in Hillsborough River to City Water Works Dam. Maintenance of cleared channel to be assumed by local interests.	H.Doc. 567, 81st Cong., 2d sess.
Oct. 23, 1962	Channel and turning basin at Port Sutton 30 feet deep, Ybor Channel 34 x 400 feet.	H.Doc. 529, 87th Cong., 2d sess.
Dec. 31, 1970	For federal maintenance of Port Sutton Channel, 280 feet wide and an irregularly shaped turning basin both to a depth of 34 feet.	H.Doc. 150, 91st Cong., 1st sess.
Do.	Enlarge entrance channel across Egmont Bar to 46 x 700 feet from the Gulf to Mullet Key.	H.Doc. 401, 91st Cong., 2d sess.
Do.	Enlarge Mullet Key Cut Channel to 44 x 600 feet.	Do.
Do.	Enlarge Tampa Bay Channel to 44 x 500 feet from Mullet Key Cut through Tampa Bay to the junction of Hillsborough Bay and Port Tampa Channels.	Do.
Do.	Enlarge Hillsborough Bay Channel to 44 x 500 feet from the junction with Tampa Bay and Port Tampa Channels to the junction with Port Sutton entrance channel, and thence deepening to a depth of 42 feet at the existing width of 400 feet to the junction with Seddon and Sparkman Channels.	Do.
Do.	Enlarge Port Sutton entrance channel to 44 x 400 feet.	Do.
Do.	Enlarge Port Sutton turning basin to a depth of 44 feet and a turning diameter of 1,200 feet.	Do.
Do.	Deepening Sparkman Channel to 42 x 400 feet.	Do.
Do.	Deepening Ybor Channel to 40 x 300 feet.	Do.
Do.	Enlarge turning basin at the entrance to Ybor Channel to a depth of 42 feet and an additional width of 200 feet on the southwest edge of the present basin.	Do.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
Do.	Deepening Port Tampa Channel to 42 feet at its existing width from the junction with Hillsborough and Tampa Bay Channels to the turning basin.	Do.
Do.	Deepening the Port Tampa turning basin to 42 feet over its existing length of 2,000 feet and width of 900 feet.	Do.
Do.	An entrance channel in East Bay 44 x 400 feet and 500 feet north from the Port Sutton turning basin for a distance of about 2,000 feet.	Do.
Do.	A turning basin in East Bay at a depth of 44 feet and with a turning diameter of 1,200 feet.	Do.
Do.	An approach channel in East Bay 44 x 300 feet north from the East Bay turning basin for a distance of about 2,500 feet.	Do.
Do.	Maintenance of Port Sutton Terminal channel to 44 x 200 feet for a distance of 4,000 feet.	Do.
Aug. 15, 1985	Maintenance of East Bay channel to 34 x 300 feet for a distance of 5,500 feet.	H.Doc. 236, 99th Cong., 1st sess.
Report of the Chief of Engineers Mar. 28, 1988	Port Sutton Channel deepening to 43 feet for a distance of 3,700 feet and a width of 200 feet.	P.L. 100-676, Nov. 17,1988, 100th Cong., 2d sess.
WRDA Nov. 28, 1990	Maintenance of Alafia Channel to a depth of 34 feet, if a Non-Federal Sponsor agrees to reimburse the Secretary	
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Project for navigation, Tampa Harbor-Big Bend Channel	P.L. 106-53, Aug. 17, 1999 106th Cong., 1st sess.
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Expedite completion of report for Alafia Channel and proceed to project preconstruction, engineering, and design if justified.	P.L. 106-53 Aug. 17, 1999 106th Cong., 1st sess.
WRDA Jan. 24, 2000	Project for navigation, Port Sutton, FL	P.L.106-541 Jan. 24,2000 106th Cong. 2nd sess.
	TAMPA HARBOR BRANCH CHANNELS, FL	
Report of the Chief of Engineers Jan. 25, 1979	Enlarging Hillsborough Bay Cut D, Sparkman Channel, Port Tampa Channel and existing turning basins at the entrance to Ybor Channel and at Port Tampa, all to a depth of 41 feet with varying lengths and widths and deepening Ybor Channel to a depth of 39 feet. This work will be associated with the Main Channel deepening.	P.L. 99-662, Nov. 17, 1986, 99th Cong., 2d sess

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	TAMPA HARBOR EAST BAY CHANNEL, FL	
WRDA Nov.17, 1986	Maintenance dredging at an average annual cost of $471,000.	P.L. 99-662, Nov.17, 1986 99th Cong., 2d sess.
	TAMPA HARBOR ALAFIA RIVER,FL	
Dec. 21, 2000	Deepen and widen the Alafia Channel	P.L. 106-554 Dec. 1,2000 106th Cong. Appendix D
BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECTS		
	BREVARD COUNTY, FL (See Section 39 of Text)	
Aug. 13, 1968	Federal participation in cost of shore protection project.	H.Doc. 352, 90th Cong., 2d sess.
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	Shoreline protection project for periodic nourishment over the 50-year life of the project subject to completion of report no later than Dec. 13, 1996.	P.L.104-303, Oct. 12, 1996 104th Cong.
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Use services of independent coastal expert, who shall consider all reverent studies and shall mitigate any damage to the shore protection project that is a result of Federal navigation project. Costs of the mitigation shall be allocated to the Federal navigation project as operation and maintenance costs	P.L. 106-53, Aug. 17, 1999 106th Cong., 1st sess.
WRDA Jan. 24, 2000	Prepare a general reevaluation report on the project of the 7.1 mile reach deleted from the Report of the Chief of Engineers.	P.L. 106-541, Jan. 24, 2000, 106th Cong., 2nd sess.
	BROWARD COUNTY, FL BEACH EROSION CONTROL AND HILLSBORO INLET, FL, NAVIGATION PROJECT (See Section 40 of Text)	
Oct. 27, 1965	Improvement for beach erosion control in Broward County, FL and a combined beach erosion and navigation improvement to Hillsboro Inlet and the shore south thereof to Port Everglades.	H.Doc. 91, 89th Cong., 1st sess.
WRDA Nov. 17, 1986	Deauthorized navigation improvement to Hillsboro Inlet.	P.L. 99-662, Nov. 17, 1986, 99th Cong., 2d sess.
WRDA Nov. 28, 1990	Continued authorization for Hillsboro Inlet dredging for 5 years.	P.L. 101-640, Nov. 28, 1990 101st Cong., 2d sess
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	Periodic beach nourishment for a period of 50 years beginning on the date of initiation of construction of segments II and III.	P.L. 104-303, Oct. 12, 1996

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Project for shore protection is modified to authorize the Secretary, on execution of a contract to construct the project, to reimburse the non-Federal interest for the Federal share of the cost of preconstruction planning and design for the project, if work is compatible with and integral to the project.	P.L. 106-53, Aug. 17, 1999, 106th Cong., 1st sess.
	CHARLOTTE COUNTY, FL	
Report of the Chief of Engineers 2 Apr. 1982	Shoreline protection.	P.L. 99-662, Nov. 12, 1986 99th Cong., 2d sess.
	DADE COUNTY, FL (See Section 57 of Text)	
Aug. 13, 1968	Federal participation in cost of shore protection and hurricane-flood control project.	H.Doc. 335, 90th Cong., Cong., 2d sess.
	DADE COUNTY-NORTH OF HAULOVER BEACH, FL (See Section 58 of Text)	
Aug. 15, 1985	Federal participation in cost of shore protection and hurricane-flood control project.	H.Doc. 236, 99th Cong., 1st sess.
Report of the Chief of Engineers Dec. 17, 1983	Extending of existing shore protection project for Dade County to provide for protective beach fill and subsequent nourishment along 2.5 miles of shore north of Haulover Beach Park.	P.L. 99-662 Nov. 17, 1986, 99th Cong., 2d sess
	DUVAL COUNTY, FL (See Section 41 of Text)	
Oct. 27, 1965	Federal participation in cost of local shore protection project.	H.Doc. 273, 89th Cong., 1st sess.
	FORT PIERCE BEACH, FL (See Section 42 of Text)	
River and Harbor Act of 1965	Project for beach erosion control.	
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	Periodic beach nourishment for a period of 50 years beginning on the date of initiation of construction.	P.L. 104-303, Oct.12, 1996 104th Cong.
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Modified to incorporate 1 additional mile into the project in accordance with a final approved general reevaluation report.	P.L. 106-53, Aug. 17, 1999 106th Cong., 1st sess.
	INDIAN RIVER COUNTY, FL (See Section 43 of Text)	
WRDA Nov.17, 1986	The plans provide for a 2.65 mile beach fill along the ocean shore of Vero Beach, a 1.7 mile beach fill along the ocean shore of Sebastian Inlet State Park and for periodic nourishment of the new beaches.	P.L. 99-662, Nov.17, 1986. 99th Cong., 2d sess
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Reauthorized if determined project is technically sound, environmentally acceptable, and economically justified.	P.L. 106-53, Aug. 17, 199, 106th Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	LEE COUNTY, FL (See Section 44 of Text)	
Dec. 31, 1970	Federal participation in cost of shore protection project.	H.Doc. 395, 91st Cong., 2nd sess.
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	Modification of the project for shoreline protection, Captiva Island, to reimburse the non-Federal interest for beach nourishment work carried out by such interest as if such work occurred after execution. of the agreement.	P.L. 104-303, Oct. 12, 1996 104th Cong.
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	Complete a review not later than 6 months after enactment of this Act to determine if periodic beach nourishment is necessary for Captiva Island for a period of 50 years beginning on the date of initiation of construction.	P.L. 104-303, Oct. 12, 1996 104th Cong.
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Project for shore protection, Captiva Island, is modified to direct the Secretary to enter into an agreement with the Non-Federal interest to carry out the project in accordance with section 206 of WRDA 1992. The design memorandum approved in 1996 shall be the decision document supporting continued Federal participation in cost sharing of the project.	P.L. 106-53, Aug. 17, 1999, 106th Cong., 1st sess.
WRDA Jan. 24, 2000	Project for shore protection, Gasparilla and Estero Island segments, is modified to authorize the Secretary to enter into an agreement with the non-Federal interest to carry out the project in accordance with section 206 of WRDA 1992 if the Secretary determines that the project is technically sound, environmentally acceptable, and economically justified.	P.L. 106-541, Jan. 24, 2000, 106th Cong., 2nd sess.
	LIDO KEY, SARASOTA COUNTY, FL	
R&H Act of 1970 Sec. 101	Project for shore protection	
WRDA Nov. 17, 1986	Deauthorized.	P.L. 99-662, Nov. 17, 1986 99th Cong., 2d sess.
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Reauthorized project for shore protection for a 50-year period.	P.L. 106-53, Aug. 17, 1999, 106th Cong., 1st sess.
	LITTLE TALBOT ISLAND, DUVAL COUNTY, FL	
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Project for hurricane and storm damage prevention and shore protection.	P.L. 106-53, Aug. 17, 1999 106th Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	MANATEE COUNTY, FL (See Section 45 of Text)	
S.R. May 31, 1974 and H.R. Nov. 20, 1975	The plan provides for a level berm 50 feet wide at an elevation 6 feet (MLW) along 3.2 miles of shore on Anna Maria Island and nourishment of entire Gulf shore of that island as needed throughout project life.	S.Doc. 37, 93rd Cong., 1st sess.
	MARTIN COUNTY, FL (See Section 46 of Text)	
WRDA Nov. 28, 1990	Periodic nourishment over the 50 year life of the project	P.L.101-640, Nov.28, 1990 101st Cong., 2d sess.
	MONROE COUNTY, FL	
Report of the Chief of Engineers Apr. 22, 1984	Modification of existing project from 6,200-foot long to 8,770-foot long beach fill stabilized with 2 groins and periodic nourishment.	P.L. 99-662, Nov.17, 1986 99th Cong., 2d sess
	NASSAU COUNTY, FL (See Section 47 of Text)	
Report of the Chief of Engineers May 19, 1986	Improvement for beach erosion control on Amelia Island	P.L. 100-676, Nov.17, 1988, 99th Cong., 2d sess
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Modified to construct the project for periodic nourishment over the 50-year life of the project	P.L. 106-53, Aug. 17, 1999, 106th Cong., 1st sess.
	PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL, FROM MARTIN COUNTY LINE TO LAKE WORTH INLET AND FROM SOUTH LAKE WORTH INLET TO BROWARD COUNTY LINE (See Section 48 of Text)	
Oct. 23, 1962	Federal participation in cost of local shore protection project and sand-transfer plant	H.Doc. 164, 87th Cong., 1st sess.
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	Complete a review not later than 6 months after enactment of this Act to determine if periodic beach nourishment is necessary for a period of 50 years beginning on the date of initiation of construction for Jupiter/Carlin, Ocean Ridge, and Boca Raton North Beach segments.	P.L. 104-303, Oct. 12,1996 104th Cong
	PALM BEACH COUNTY, FL, FROM LAKE WORTH INLET TO SOUTH LAKE WORTH INLET, FL (See Section 48 of Text)	
May 17, 1950	Federal participation in cost of local shore protection project on Palm Beach Island.	H.Doc. 772, 80th Cong., 2d sess.
Jul. 3, 1958	Federal participation in cost of local sand-transfer plant at Lake Worth Inlet and shore protection project.	H.Doc. 342, 85th Cong., 2d sess.
	PINELLAS COUNTY, FL (See Section 50 of Text)	
Nov. 7, 1966	Federal participation in cost of shore protection project.	H.Doc. 519, 89th Cong., 2d sess.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
Report of the Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors Apr. 23, 1985	Restoration of 5,000 feet of beach at Clearwater Beach Island, 49,000 feet of beach at Sand Key, 92,000 feet of beach at Treasure Island, and construction of 600 feet of revetment at Long Key. Also, re-nourishment of each island	P.L. 99-662, Nov.17, 1986. 99th Cong., 2d sess
	SARASOTA COUNTY, FL (See Section 52 of Text)	
Report of the Chief of Engineers Feb.28, 1986	Shoreline protection along 12,600 feet of shoreline on central Longboat and 21,100 feet on Manasota Key in the vicinity of Venice, Florida and periodic nourishment of these areas	P.L. 99-662 Nov.17, 1986, 99th Cong., 2d sess.
	ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FL (See Section 51 of Text)	
Report of the Chief of Engineers Feb. 26, 1980	Shoreline protection along 2.5 miles of problem area in the St. Augustine Beach and Coquina Gables area and periodic nourishment.	P.L. 99-662 Nov.17, 1986, 99th Cong., 2d sess
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Modified to include navigation mitigation.	P.L. 106-53, Aug. 17, 1999 106th Cong., 1st sess.
FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS	**CEDAR HAMMOCK (WARES CREEK), FL (See Section 55 of Text)**	
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	Project for flood control.	P.L. 104-303, Oct.12, 1996 104th Cong
	FOUR RIVER BASINS, FL (See Section 58 of Text)	
Oct. 23, 1962	Control of floods and improvement of drainage, and for water conservation through construction of necessary canals, levees, reservoirs and control structures.	H.Doc. 585, 87th Cong., 2d sess.
WRDA Nov. 17, 1986	Deauthorized Anclote River control structure and channel improvement.	P.L. 99-662, Nov. 17, 1986 99th Cong., 2d sess.
WRDA Jan. 24, 2000	Restudy flooding and water control issues in the upper Ocklawaha River basin, south of the Silver River, and the Apopka River and Palatlakaha River basins.	P.L. 106-541, Jan. 24, 2000, 106th Cong., 2nd sess.
	GUANAJIBO RIVER, PR	
WRDA Aug. 17,1999	Project for flood control.	P.L. 106-53 Aug. 17, 1999 106th Cong., 1st sess.
	PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS, PR (See Section 59 of Text)	
	LAGO de CERRILLOS, PR	
Dec. 31, 1970	Multiple purpose dam and lake for flood control, water supply and recreation.	H.Doc. 422, 91st Cong., 2d sess.
	LAGO de PORTUGUES, PR Multiple purpose dam and lake for flood control, water supply and recreation.	

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	PONCE, PR	
	Diversion and enlargement of existing channels through Ponce for flood control.	
	RIO DE LA PLATA, PR (See Section 60 of Text)	
Report of the Chief Engineers Jan. 1989	Project for flood control.	
	RIO GRANDE DE ARECIBO, PR (See Section 61 of Text)	
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	Project for flood control.	P.L. 104-303, Oct. 12, 1996 104th Cong.
	RIO GRANDE DE LOIZA, PR (See Section 62 of Text)	
Report of the Chief of Engineers Mar. 5, 1992	Project for flood control.	P.L. 102-580, Oct. 31, 1992 102nd Cong., 2d sess.
	RIO GRANDE DE MANATI, BARCELONETA, PR (See Section 63 of Text)	
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Project for flood control.	P.L. 106-53, Aug. 17, 1999 106th Cong., 1st sess.
	RIO NIGUA, SALINAS, PR	
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Project for flood control.	P.L. 106-53, Aug. 17, 1999 106th Cong., 1st sess.
	RIO PUERTO NUEVO, PR (See Section 64 of Text)	
Report of the Chief of Engineers 25 Apr. 1986	Project for flood control.	P.L. 99-662, Nov. 17, 1986 99th Cong., 2d sess.
	SAVAN GUT, ST. THOMAS, VI	
Sec. 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948	Project for flood control. Increased maximum allotment to $100,000.	P.L. 858, Jun. 30, 1948, 80th Cong, 2d sess.
WRDA Nov. 28, 1990	Set maximum amount allotted under Section 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 at $10,000,000.	P.L.101-640, Nov. 28, 1990, 101st Cong., 2d sess.
	TURPENTINE RUN, ST. THOMAS, VI	
WRDA Oct. 31, 1992	Project for flood control.	P.L. 102-580, Oct. 31, 1992 102nd Cong., 2d sess.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
RESTORATION PROJECTS		
	ALLIGATOR CREEK RESTORATION PROJECT, FL(See Section 75 of Text)	
Estuary Restoration Act	The Estuary Restoration Act promotes the restoration of estuary habitat by developing a national estuary habitat restoration Strategy for creating and maintaining effective estuary habitat Restoration partnerships among public agencies and private sectors.	P.L. 106-457 Nov 7, 2000
Estuary Habitat Restoration Council	Ranked Alligator Creek number one to restore 350 acres salterns - restore hydrology	Sep 25,2003
	CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL (See Section 76 of Text)	
Jun. 30, 1948	First phase of comprehensive plan for flood control and other purposes.	H.Doc. 643, 80th Cong., 2d sess. (Contains latest published map)
Sep. 3, 1954	Modification and expansion of authorization to include entire comprehensive plan of improvement.	H.Doc. 643, 80th Cong., 2d sess.
Jul. 14, 1960	Canals, levees, and water-control and drainage structures in the Nicodemus Slough area, Glades County.	S.Doc. 53, 86th Cong., 1st sess.
Oct. 23, 1962	Flood protection on Boggy Creek, near Orlando.	S.Doc.125, 87th Cong., 2d sess.
Do.	Flood protection in the Cutler Drain area, near Miami.	S.Doc. 123, 87th Cong., 2d sess.
Do.	Flood control and drainage works for South Dade County.	S.Doc 138, 87th Cong., 2d sess.
Do.	Project Shingle Creek between Clear Lake and Lake Tohopekaliga for flood control and major drainage, including development of. Reedy Creek Swamp	S.Doc. 139, 87th Cong., 2d sess.
Do.	Improvement of easterly section of West Palm Beach Canal for flood control and major drainage.	S.Doc. 146, 87th Cong., 2d sess.
Oct. 27, 1965	Primary works for flood control and major drainage in southwest Dade County.	S.Doc. 20, 89th Cong., 1st sess.
Do.	Primary works for flood control and major drainage in Hendry County.	H.Doc. 102, 88th Cong., 1st sess.
Aug. 13, 1968	Gravity drainage in Martin County and distribution of available water supplies to portions of Martin and St. Lucie Counties.	S.Doc. 101, 90th Cong., 2d sess.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
Do.	Improvement of supply, distribution and conservation of water resources in Central and Southern Florida, including Lake Okeechobee agricultural area, Everglades National Park and other related areas.	H.Doc. 369, 90th Cong., 2d sess.
Approved Dec. 17, 1970 under the provisions of Section 201 of the Flood Control Act of 1965	Navigation improvements suitable for recreational craft.	H.Doc. 394, 91st Cong., 2d sess.
WRDA Nov. 17, 1986	Deauthorized flood protection on Boggy Creek, near Orlando; primary works for flood control and major drainage in southwest Dade County; and navigation improvements suitable for recreational craft.	P.L. 99-662, Nov.17, 1986, 99th Cong., 2d sess
WRDA Nov. 17, 1988	Extended modified water delivery schedules to Everglades National Park.	P.L. 100-676, Nov. 17,1988,
Everglades National Park Protection and Expansion Act of 1989	Construct modifications to the Central and Southern Florida Project to improve water deliveries into the park and shall, to the extent practicable take steps to restore the natural hydrological conditions within the park.	P.L.101-229, Dec.13, 1989 101st Cong., 2d sess
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	Modification of project for flood protection of West Palm Beach (C-51) to provide for the construction of an enlarged storm water detention area, Storm Water Treatment Area 1 East.	P.L. 104-303, Oct.12, 1996 104th Cong.
WRDA Oct.12, 1996	Modification of the project to implement the recommended plan of improvement for South Dade County (C-111), including acquisition by non-Federal interests of such portions of the Frog Pond and Rocky Glades areas as are needed for the project. Project consists of a comprehensive plan for the purpose of restoring, preserving, and protecting the South Florida ecosystem. The comprehensive plan shall provide for the protection of water quality in, and the reduction of the loss of fresh water from, the Everglades. The comprehensive plan shall include such features as are necessary to provide for the water-related needs of the region, including flood control, the enhancement of water supplies, and other objectives served by the Central and Southern Florida project. Project consists of a comprehensive plan for the purpose of restoring, preserving, and protecting the South Florida ecosystem. The comprehensive plan shall provide for the protection of water quality in, and the reduction of the loss of fresh water from, the Everglades. The comprehensive plan shall include such features as are necessary to provide for the water-related needs of the region, including flood control, the enhancement of water supplies, and other objectives served by the Central and Southern Florida project	P.L. 104-303, Oct. 12,1996 104th Cong.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Amends WRDA 1999 S.528(e)(4) by predicating sponsor real estate credit on the applicability of sponsor-acquired real estate to specific restoration projects. Also expands authority to grant credit for sponsor-performed work done in furtherance of restoration projects.	P.L. 106-53 Aug. 17, 1999 106th Cong., 1st sess.
WRDA Jan. 24, 2000	Specific authorization for Pilot Projects and Initial Projects	P.L 106-541, Jan. 24,2000 106th Cong., 2nd sess.
	KISSIMMEE RIVER RESTORATION, FL (See Section 79 of Text)	
WRDA Nov. 28, 1990	Conduct a feasibility study for the purpose of determining modifications of the flood control project for central and southern Florida, authorized by section 203 of Flood Control Act of 1948 (62 Stat. 1176), which are necessary to provide a comprehensive plan for the environmental restoration.	P.L. 101-640, Nov.28, 1990 101st Cong., 2d sess
Report of the Chief of Engineers Mar. 17, 1992	Project for the ecosystem restoration, to construct the headwaters revitalization project, and any modifications for the environmental restoration of the Kissimmee River Basin, ensuring that implementation of the project to restore the Kissimmee River will maintain the same level of flood protection as is provided by the current flood control project.	P.L. 102-580, Oct.31, 1992 102nd Cong., 2d sess.
	EVERGLADES AND SOUTH FLORIDA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION (See Section 77 of Text)	
WRDA Oct. 12, 1996	Authorized the Critical Projects Restoration program. If the Secretary of the Army determines, in cooperation with the non-Federal sponsor and the Task Force, that a restoration project for the South Florida ecosystem independent, immediate, and substantial Restoration, preservation, and protection benefits, and will be Generally consistent with the conceptual framework specified in the "Conceptual Plan for the Central and Southern Florida Project Study" published by the Governor's Commission for a Sustainable South Florida, the Secretary shall proceed expeditiously with the Implementation of the restoration project.	P.L. 104-303, Oct. 12, 1996 104th Cong.,
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	Extension of program from 1999 until 2003 and amendment to credit and reimbursement of past and future activities to non-Federal sponsor.	P.L. 106-53 Aug. 17, 1999 106th Cong., 1st sess.
WRDA Jan. 24, 2000	Changes cost sharing of O&M activities on Seminole Big Cypress project from 100% sponsor responsibility to 50%	P.L. 106-541, Jan. 24, 2000, 106th Cong., 2nd sess.
	HILLSBORO AND OKEECHOBEE AQUIFER, FLORIDA	
WRDA Aug. 17, 1999	The project for aquifer storage and recovery described in the Central and Southern Florida Water Supply Study and House Document 369	P.L. 106-53 Aug. 17, 1999 106th Cong., 1st sess.

TABLE 9-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	FLORIDA KEYS WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS	P.L. 106-554 Dec. 21, 2000 106th Cong.

TABLE 9-C OTHER AUTHORIZED NAVIGATION PROJECTS
(See Section 37 of Text)

Project	For Last Full Report See Annual Report For	Cost to Sep. 30, 2005 Construction	Cost to Sep. 30, 2005 Operation and Maintenance
Anclote River, FL	1974	$ 267,427	$ 2,754,269
Aguadilla Harbor, PR	-	-	739,000
Aquatic Plant Control, FL (R&H Acts of 1958 & 1962)	1968	1,664,910 [1]	-
Atlantic Gulf Ship Canal, FL	1939	5,099,153	-
Bayport, FL[3]	1972	58,524	-
Cedar Island, Keaton Beach, FL	-	-	55,000
Cedar Keys Harbor, FL	1977	168,569 [2]	76,023
Christiansted Harbor, St. Croix, VI[6]	1964	303,317	61,595
Clearwater Pass, FL[21]	1980	46,349 [3]	2,438,551
Courtnay Channel, FL[1]	1940	22,846	26,779
Crystal River, FL[1]	1941	25,000	152,208
Everglades Harbor, FL[1]	1964	221,509 [4]	51,034
Fajardo Harbor, PR[3]	1945	-	-
Guayanes Harbor, PR[3]	1945	-	-
Gulf Intracoastal Waterway St. Marks to Tampa Bay, FL[3]	1974	38,850	-
Hillsboro Inlet, FL[24]	1965	-	-
Homosassa River, FL[1]	1937	3,999 [5]	26,454
Horseshoe Cove, FL		347,521	1,663,959
Hudson River, FL[3]	1974	9,889	-
Intracoastal Waterway, Miami to Key West, FL[3]	1963	243,079	28,046
Key West Harbor, FL[1]	1972	1,548,892 [6]	534,623
Kissimmee River, FL[1]	1931	23,479	112,954
Lake Crescent and Dunns Creek, FL[11]	1931	10,276	9,035
Largo Sound Channel, FL[12]	1966	117,443 [7]	51,149
Little Manatee River, FL[11]	1949	11,903 [8]	-
Manatee River, FL[15]	1970	123,350	194,516
Miami River, FL	-	-	30,905,632
New River, FL[1]	1956	36,518	31,296
Orange River, FL[1]	1962	2,000	24,918
Ozona, FL, channel and turning basin[1]	1963	105,527	15,089
Palm Beach, FL, side channel and basin[3]	1946	-	-
Pass-A-Grille Pass, FL[16]	1966	41,297 [9]	60,686
Pithlachascotee River, FL	1973	400,000[10]	261,401
Rice Creek, FL[1]	1957	85,208[11]	18,814
St. Petersburg Harbor, FL	1983	255,608[12]	10,916,170 [13]
St. Thomas Harbor, VI[3]	1949	1,989	-
Sediment Management Pilot Program	-	-	190,880
Steinhatchee River, FL[1]	1940	135,053	64,270
Suwannee River, FL[15]	1977	76,418[14]	1,243,377
Withlacoochee River, FL[15]	1969	614,912[15]	663,960

[1] Excludes $1,640,997 contributed funds.
[2] Includes $82,500 expended under previous project. Excludes $1,473 contributed funds.
[3] Excludes $42,783 contributed funds.
[4] Excludes $36,000 contributed funds.
[5] In addition, $1,000 expended from contributed funds.
[6] Includes $27,500 for new work under previous project. Excludes $35,371 contributed funds.
[7] Includes $25,008 for detailed project report but excludes $86,716 expended from contributed funds.
[8] Expended on restudy.
[9] Excludes $41,297 contributed funds.
[10]Excludes $215,728 contributed funds.
[11] Excludes $93,000 contributed funds.
[12] Includes $32,689 under previous project.
[13] Includes $20,532 under previous project.
[14] Includes $10,154 expended under previous project.
[15] Includes $30,000 expended under previous project.

TABLE 9-D OTHER AUTHORIZED BEACH EROSION PROJECTS
(See Section 53 of Text)

Project	For Last Full Report See Annual Report For	Cost to Sep 30, 2004 Construction	Cost to Sep 30, 2004 Operation and Maintenance
Cape Florida State Park, FL	1969	$34,862	$ -
El Tuque Beach, Ponce, PR	1985	1,396,730	-
Lee County, FL	1971	-	-
Punta Salinas, PR	1984	855,511	-
Palm Beach County, FL, from Lake Worth Inlet to South Lake Worth Inlet	1970	195,140	6,257
San Juan, PR	1965	-	-

TABLE 9-E OTHER AUTHORIZED FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS
(See Section 66 of Text)

Project	For Last Full Report See Annual Report For	Cost to Sep 30, 2004 Construction	Cost to Sep 30, 2004 Operation and Maintenance
Arch Creek, Dade County, FL	1960	$66,485 [1]	-
Biscayne Bay, FL, Hurricane Protection [2]	1966	-	-
Hillsborough Bay, FL [2]	1969	-	-
Phillippi Creek Basin, FL [2]	1966	-	-

[1] Excludes $11,734 cost of preauthorization studies. Project was authorized February 20, 1959, by Chief of Engineers under Section 205 of the 1948 Flood Control Act as modified by P.L. 685, 84th Congress.
[2] Deauthorized by Public Law 93-251, Section 12.

TABLE 9-F DEAUTHORIZED PROJECTS

Project	For Last Full Report See Annual Report For:	Date and Authority	Federal Funds Expended	Contributed Funds Expended
Central and Southern Florida (S.W. Dade Co. Area)	-	1 Jan 90 WRDA 86	-	-
Central and Southern Florida (Boggy Creek Basin)	-	do	-	-
Central and Southern Florida (Cutler Drainage Area)	-	9 Jul 95 PL 99-662	-	-
Central and Southern Florida (Hendry County)	-	do	-	-
Central and Southern Florida (Martin County Recreation)	-	do	-	-
Central and Southern Florida (Nicodemus Slough)	-	do	-	-
Central and Southern Florida (Reedy Creek Swamp)	-	do	-	-
Central and Southern Florida (Small Boat Harbor)	-	1 Jan 90 WRDA 86	-	-
Charlotte County, FL	-	18 Nov 91 PL 99-662	-	-
Cross Florida Barge Canal, FL	FY 2002	Jan 90 WRDA 90 PL 101-64	$66,097,128	-
Four River Basin (Anclote River C-532, S-552), FL	-	1 Jan 90 WRDA 86	-	-
GIWW St. Marks to Tampa Bay, FL	-	do	38,850	-
Key West, FL	-	do	104,140	-

TABLE 9-F DEAUTHORIZED PROJECTS

Project	For Last Full Report See Annual Report For:	Date and Authority	Federal Funds Expended	Contributed Funds Expended
Mullet Key, FL	-	do	436,201	187,133
Palm Beach to Lake Worth Inlet (1950 Act)	-	19 Jul 92 PL 99-662	195,140	781,188
San Juan and Vicinity, PR	-	1 Jan 90 WRDA 86	13,774,968	100,000
Virginia Key and Key Biscayne, FL	-	do	1,667,220	714,522

TABLE 9-G NAVIGATION PROJECTS ON WHICH RECONNAISSANCE AND CONDITION SURVEYS ONLY WERE CONDUCTED DURING FISCAL YEAR
(See Section 36 of Text)

Project	Cost Incurred During Period
AIWW, Jacksonville to Miami	205,121
AIWW, Norfolk to St. Johns	43,663
Bakers Haulover Inlet, FL	60,411
GIWW Tampa	27,078
Johns Pass, FL	0
Long Boat Pass, FL	60,456
Manatee Harbor, FL	54,156
New Pass, Sarasota, FL	0
Okeechobee Waterway, FL	0
Palm Beach, FL	19,470
Ponce de Leon Inlet, FL	0
Ponce Harbor, PR	0
Port Everglades Harbor, FL	47,078
San Juan Harbor, PR	155,774
St. Lucie Inlet, FL	40,669
Tampa Harbor, FL	45,126
Total	$ 759,002

TABLE 9-H OKEECHOBEE WATERWAY OPERATIONS AND RESULTS DURING FISCAL YEAR (See Section 23 of Text)

Work Performed	Cost
Operations and Maintenance:	
Operations:	
Operations of locks, dams, and reservoirs	$1,684,936
Operation of service utilities	0
Operation of flood control structures	0
Environmental studies and monitoring	33,841
Natural resource management	19,894
Recreation management	730,411
Water Control Management	0
Condition and operation studies and activities	0
Real estate management	38,105
National emergency preparedness program	0
Prevention of obstructive and injurious deposits	0
General regulatory functions	0
Construction Management	0
Contracting	0
Sub-Total	$2,507,187
Maintenance:	
Lands and damages	0
Maintenance of locks	443,438
Maintenance of non-recreational buildings and grounds	0
Maintenance of recreational facilities	137,863
Maintenance of permanent operating equipment non-recreational	0
Bank stabilization, maintenance of revetments and dikes	0
Channel and canal maintenance	0
Engineering and Design	175,014
Construction Management	6,310
Real Estate management	22,800
Natural Resource Management Envir	64,000
Security Upgrades	0
Sub-Total	$849,425
Total	3,356,612

TABLE 9-I REMOVAL OF AQUATIC GROWTH FROM NAVIGABLE WATERS IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA CONTROL OPERATIONS (See Section 30 of Text)

Locality	Cost
Operation of locks, dams, and reservoirs (channels and canals)	$ 1,662,181
Operation of service facilities	0
Operation of power plants	0
Natural resource management	0
Recreation management	0
Water control management	0
Condition and operation study	0
Project operations management	0
Maintenance of recreational facilities	0
Maintenance of non-recreational permanent operating equipment	0
Maintenance of locks, dams and reservoirs (channels and canals)	3,623,852
Maintenance of non-recreational buildings and grounds	0
Engineering and Design	0
Construction Management	0
Credit	0
Total	$ 5,286,033

TABLE 9-J CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA PROJECT CONSTRUCTION COMPLETED DURING FISCAL YEAR 2005 (See Section 76 of Text)

Feature	Construction Period	Date transferred to Flood Control District for Operation and Maintenance
Manatee Pass through gates lock	August 2003 – March 2004	Not transferred

TABLE 9-K CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA PROJECT STATUS OF WORK UNDER CONTRACT AT END OF FISCAL YEAR 2005 (See Section 76 of Text)

Feature	Construction Started	Approx. % Complete
Recreation:		
Locks:		
Manatee Pass Gates	March 2004	91
Pumps:		
C-51	May 2001	99
C-51	May 2000	99
C-111	March 2004	55
C-51	December 2000	99
C-51	April 2004	45
Spillway:		
C-51	June 2001	97
Levees:		
C51 Sta	September 2004	99
C51 Sta	September 2004	45
C51 Grading contract	August 2001	94

TABLE 9-L CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA PROJECT PRINCIPAL FEATURES OF HURRICANE GATES (See Section 76 of Text)

Gate[1] No.	Nearest Town Name	Distance (miles)	Depth Over Sills (feet)	Character of Founda-tion	Year Com-pleted	Actual Cost
2.	Clewiston, FL	0.5	10	Pile	1935	$309,748
3.	Lake Harbor, FL	0.5	10	Rock	1935	316,938
4.	Belle Glade, FL	4.0	10	Rock	1935	350,025
5.	Canal Point, FL	0.0	10	Rock	1935	262,465
6.	Okeechobee, FL	0.5	7	Pile	1936	373,273

[1]All are 50 feet wide with available length unlimited. They are constructed of concrete with steel sector Gate gates and have no lift. No. 6 has an auxiliary culvert spillway with automatic control. Moore Haven Lock serves as Hurricane Gate Structure 1.

TABLE 9-M CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA PROJECT LOCAL COOPERATION (See Section 76 of Text)

Flood Control Act	Work Authorized	Cash Contribution[1]
Jun. 30, 1948	First phase of comprehensive plan	15.0
Sep. 3, 1954	Second phase of comprehensive plan	20.0
Jul. 14, 1960	Nicodemus Slough area	31.5
Oct. 23, 1962	West Palm Beach Canal	66.3
	Boggy Creek Basin	29.7
	Shingle Creek Basin	25.9
	South Dade County area	19.2
	Reedy Creek Swamp	50.0
Oct. 27, 1965	Southwest Dade County	46.0
	Hendry County area	19.5
Aug. 13, 1968	Martin County	
	Flood Control Features	34.0
	Irrigation Features	49.0
	Backflow Features	20.0
P.L. 89-72	Recreation Features	50.0
	Water Resources - St. Lucie	20.0
	Recreation Features	50.0
Approved Dec. 17, 1970 under provisions of Section 201 of 1965 Flood Control Act (Deauthorized Jan. 1, 1990 by P.L. 99-662)	Navigation improvements suitable for recreational craft	50.0
P.L. 99-662	Upper St. Johns River Basin - non-structural flood protection	25.0
Flood Control Act of 1948, 1962 and 1968	West Palm Beach Canal	2,204.0
Flood Control Act of 1948, 1962 and 1968	C&SF Project Review	542.0
Flood Control Act of 1948, 1962 and 1968	Manatee Pass thru Gates	86.0

[1] Computed on total contract cost and supervision and administration except for Reedy Creek Swamp and recreation features which are computed on all costs, including engineering and design and land.

TABLE 9-N CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA PROJECT OPERATIONS AND RESULTS DURING FISCAL YEAR (See Section 76 of Text)

Work Performed	Cost
New Work:	
Channels and canal	$ 595,000
Lands and damage	346,000
Levees	4,977,000
Pumping plants	1,518,000
Reconnaissance Studies	0
Floodway control	498,000
Feasibility	1,588,000
Engineering and design	58,946,000
Construction management	932,000
Relocations	0
Locks	380,000
Subtotal	$69,780,000
Operations:	
Operation of locks, dams, reservoirs and performance system	1,818,872
Operation of service facilities	0
Operation of flood control structures	3,829,128
Environmental studies and monitoring	162,579
Natural resource management	336,692
Recreational management	327,804
Operation and maintenance of recreation facilities, service and maintenance of traffic counters, master planning	0
Condition and operation studies, periodic inspections, dam safety studies	85,043
Roads, railroads, and bridges	0
Water control management	1,298,472
National preparedness program	0
Prevention of obstructive and injurious deposits	0
General regulatory	0
Real estate management Contracting	0
Project operations management	2,936
Subtotal	$ 7,861,526
Maintenance:	
Lands and damages	0
Maintenance of dams	0
Maintenance of locks	744,478
Maintenance of flood control structures	9,363,014
Maintenance of levees and floodwalls	0
Security Upgrades	0

TABLE 9-N CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA PROJECT OPERATIONS AND RESULTS DURING FISCAL YEAR (See Section 76 of Text)

Work Performed	Cost
Maintenance of recreational facilities	467,750
Maintenance and purchase of non-recreational permanent operating equipment	55,434
Bank stabilization	0
Environmental	0
Channel and canal maintenance	0
Engineering and Design	0
Construction Management	1,968,224
Real Estate Management	86,694
Sub-Total	$ 12,685,594
Total	$90,327,120

TABLE 9-O FOUR RIVER BASINS, FLORIDA PROJECT CONDITION AT END OF FISCAL YEAR 2004 (See Section 58 of Text)

Feature	Construction Period	Date transferred to Southwest Florida For Operation and Maintenance
Bridges:		
B-194	Jul 1968 - Mar 1970	Not transferred
Alteration of Facilities B-195	May 1971 - Jan 1973	Do.
B-594	Jan 1973 - Jan 1974	Do.
Alteration of Facilities B-196	Oct 1972 - Jan 1975	Do.
SCL RR Bridge, B-196	Dec 1972 - Jul 1975	Jul 1975
Channels and Canals:		
C-135	May 1967 - Dec 1968	Dec 1968
C-135, Sec. 1A	Jul 1966 - Aug 1967	Oct 1967
C-135, Sec. 1B	May 1968 - Sep 1972	Not transferred
C-135, Sec. 1C & 2	Jan 1971 - Dec 1973	Not transferred
C-135, Sec. 3A	Dec 1972 - Apr 1975	Oct 1977
C-135, Sec. 3B	Feb 1975 - Aug 1977	Not transferred
C-135, Sec. 4A	Apr 1976 - Jan 1979	Not transferred
C-136	Aug 1975 - May 1977	Nov 1977
C-231, Phase I	Jul 1973 - Apr 1975	Dec 1975
C-231, Phase II	Jul 1973 - Jan 1974	Dec 1975
C-331	Jul 1967 - Sep 1968	Sep 1968
C-531	Apr 1966 - Jan 1969	Apr 1969
C-534	Jun 1979 - Jul 1971	Nov 1971
C-135	Mar 1977 - Oct 1980	
Structures:		
S-160	May 1967 - Dec 1968	Dec 1968
S-161	Aug 1975 - May 1977	Nov 1977
S-162	Apr 1975 - Jun 1977	Apr 1977
S-353	Jul 1967 - Sep 1968	Nov 1968
Moss Bluff Lock and Spillway	Apr 1967 - Mar 1969	Dec 1975
S-551	Jul 1970 - Feb 1972	Not transferred
Levees:		
L-212, Sec. 1	Jun 1968 - Jul 1970	Dec 1975
L-212, Sec. 2	Jul 1970 - Sep 1971	Dec 1975
L-112	Mar 1977 - Oct 1980	Oct 1980
L-112 & Floodway	May 1978 - Jul 1981	Jan 1983
S-155	May 1978 - Jul 1981	Jan 1983
S-163	May 1978 - Jul 1981	Jan 1983
S-159 Middle & Lower	Apr 1979 - Aug 1981	Oct 1981
S-159 Upper	Jun 1979 - Sep 1981	
Recreation:		

TABLE 9-O FOUR RIVER BASINS, FLORIDA PROJECT CONDITION AT END OF FISCAL YEAR 2004

(See Section 58 of Text)

Feature	Construction Period	Date transferred to Southwest Florida For Operation and Maintenance
Morris Bridge & Flint Creek Sites	Dec 1982 - Aug 1984	Jan 1985
Dead River Sites	Mar 1985 - Jul 1986	Dec 1986

TABLE 9-P FOUR RIVER BASINS, FLORIDA PROJECT MOSS BLUFF LOCK

(See Section 58 of Text)

Nearest town	20 miles
Name of nearest town	Ocala, FL
Distance above mouth of river	65.5 miles
Lock dimensions	30 x 125 feet
Normal lift	18.0 feet
Elevation of normal pool surface	58 feet
Depth of sills	12 feet
Character of foundation	Piles in sand
Kind of dam	None
Type of construction	Reinforced concrete
Percent complete	100
Estimated cost (including dam and spillway)	$1,990,138

TABLE 9-Q FLOOD CONTROL WORK UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 205, PUBLIC LAW 685, (PREAUTHORIZATION) (See Section 67 of Text)

Study Identification		Period Costs
Christenstead, VI		$ 48,709
Estate Mon Bijou, St. Croix, VI		5,258,851
Rio Anton Ruiz-Runta, Santiago, PR		44,234
Rio Descalabrado, Santa Isabel, PR		691
Rio El Ojo De Agua, PR		25,743
Rio Fajardo, PR		119,507
Rio Guamani, Guayama, PR		5,956
	TOTAL FY COST	$ 5,503,691

MOBILE, ALABAMA, DISTRICT

This district comprises a small portion of southeastern Tennessee, western George, western Florida, all of Alabama south of Tennessee River Basin, eastern Mississippi, and a small portion of southeastern Louisiana embraced in drainage basins tributary to the Gulf of Mexico, west of Aucilla River Basin, to and including the Pascagoula River Basin. The Pearl River Basin, Mississippi was included as part of the Mobile District until October 1, 1981, when responsibilities were transferred to Vicksburg District, Lower Mississippi Valley Division. A section of the Gulf Intracoastal Water from St. Marks, Florida, to Lake Borgne Light No. 29, Louisiana is also within Mobile District.

IMPROVEMENTS

Navigation

		Page
1.	Alabama-Coosa Rivers, AL and GA	10-3
1A.	Claiborne Lock and Dam, AL	10-3
1B.	Coosa River, Montgomery to Gadsden, AL	10-4
2.	Apalachicola Bay, FL	10-4
3.	Apalachicola, Chattahoochee, and Flint Rivers, AL, FL and GA	10-4
3A.	George W. Andrews Lock and Dam, AL and GA	10-5
4.	Aquatic Plant Control (R & H Act of 1965)	10-6
5.	Bayou Coden, AL	10-6
6.	Bayou La Batre, AL	10-6
7.	Biloxi Harbor, MS	10-7
8.	Black Warrior and Tombigbee Rivers, AL	10-7
9.	Bon Secour River, AL	10-8
10.	Carrabelle Harbor, FL	10-9
11.	Dauphin Island Bay, AL	10-9
12.	Dog and Fowl Rivers, AL	10-9
13.	East Pass Channel From Gulf of Mexico into Choctawhatchee Bay, FL	10-10
14.	Fly Creek, Fairhope, AL	10-10
15.	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway between Apalachee Bay, FL and Mexican Border	10-10
16.	Gulfport Harbor, MS	10-11
17.	Mobile Harbor, AL	10-11
18.	Panama City Harbor, FL	10-12
19.	Pascagoula Harbor, MS	10-13
20.	Pensacola Harbor, FL	10-13
21.	Perdido Pass Channel, AL	10-14
22.	Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway, AL and MS	10-14
22A.	Tennessee-Tombigbee Wildlife Mitigation, AL and MS	10-15
23.	Other Authorized Navigation Projects	10-15
24.	Other Authorized Beach Erosion Control Projects	10-15
25.	Other Authorized Flood Control Projects	10-15
26.	Deauthorized Projects	10-15
27.	Navigation Work Under Special Authorization	10-15
28.	Navigation Work Under Special Authorization	10-15
29.	Flood Control Work Under Special Authorization	10-15
30.	Reconnaissance and Condition Surveys	10-16

Flood Control

		Page
31.	Cedar Point Extension Bay St. Louis, MS	10-16
32.	Matubbee Creek, MS	10-16
33.	Weaver Creek, MS	10-16
34.	Okatibbee Lake, MS	10-16
35.	Tombigbee River (East Fork), MS and AL	10-17
36.	Tombigbee River and Tributaries, MS and AL	10-17
37.	Village Creek, Birmingham, AL	10-17
38.	Flood Control Work Under Special Authorization	10-17
39.	Inspection of Completed Flood Control Projects	10-18
40.	Other Authorized Flood Control Projects	10-18

Multiple Purpose Power Projects **Page**

41. Allatoona Dam Coosa River Basin, GA 10-18
42. Buford Dam, Lake Sidney Lanier, GA 10-19
43. Carters Dam and Reservoir, GA 10-19
44. Jim Woodruff Lock and Dam, FL and GA 10-19
45. Millers Ferry Lock and Dam, AL 10-20
46. Robert F. Henry Lock and Dam, AL 10-20
47. Walter F. George Lock and Dam, AL & GA 10-21
48. West Point Lake, Chattahoochee River Basin, AL and GA 10-21

Miscellaneous

49. Scheduling Flood Control Reservoir Operations 10-22
50. Flood Control and Coastal Emergencies (FC&CE) 10-22
51. National Emergency Preparedness Program (NEPP) 10-22
52. Regulatory Functions Program 10-22
53. Project Modification to Improve Environment 10-22
54. Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration (Sec. 206) 10-22
55. Other Aquatic Habitat (Sec. 204) 10-23

General Investigations

56. Surveys 10-23
57. Collection and Study of Basic Data 10-23
58. Preconstruction Engineering and Design 10-23
59. Rivers and Harbors Contributed Funds 10-23

Tables **Page**

Table 10-A Cost and Financial Statement 10-24
Table 10-B Authorizing Legislation 10-30
Table 10-C Other Authorized Navigation Projects 10-37
Table 10-D Other Authorized Beach Control Erosion Control Project 10-38
Table 10-E Other Authorized Flood Control Projects 10-38
Table 10-G Deauthorized Projects 10-39
Table 10-H Navigation Work Under Special Authorization 10-39
Table 10-J Flood Control Work Under Special Authorization 10-40
Table 10-K Reconnaissance and Condition Surveys 10-41
Table 10-L Alabama-Coosa Rivers, AL and GA Development Proposed Under Existing Project 10-41
Table 10-M Apalachicola, Chattahoochee, and Flint Rivers, AL, GA, and FL, Locks and Dams And Multiple-Purpose Development Included in Existing Projects 10-42
Table 10-N Black Warrior and Tombigbee Rivers, AL 10-43
Table 10-O Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway, AL and MS 10-44
Table 10-P Completed Flood Insurance Studies And Flood Hazard Information Reports For FY 1992 Through FY 2005 10-45

Navigation

1. ALABAMA-COOSA RIVERS, AL AND GA

Location. Alabama River is formed 18 miles above Montgomery, Alabama, by the junctions of the Coosa and Tallapoosa Rivers. It then flows southwesterly through Alabama 318 miles and unites with the Tombigbee River about 45 miles north of Mobile, in southwestern Alabama, to form the Mobile River. (See Geological Survey maps for central and southwest Alabama.) The Coosa River is formed at Rome, Georgia, in northwest Georgia, by the junction of the Oostanaula and Etowah Rivers, which have their sources in southeastern Tennessee and northern Georgia. From Rome the Coosa River flows southwesterly through Georgia and Alabama 286 miles and unites with the Tallapoosa River near Montgomery, Alabama, at about the center of the State, to form the Alabama River. (See Geological Survey maps for northeast Alabama, southeast Tennessee, and northwest Georgia.)

Previous project. Projects for Alabama River: for details see page 1837, Annual Report for 1915; page 725, Annual Report for 1938; and page 592, Annual Report for 1944. Projects for Coosa River: for details see page 1837, Annual Report for 1915; page 728, Annual Report for 1938; and page 594, Annual Report for 1944.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for full development of the Alabama-Coosa Rivers and tributaries for navigation, flood control, power, recreation, and other purposes, in accordance with plans under preparation by Chief of Engineers, subject to modifications thereof which may be advisable for increasing development of hydroelectric power. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.) Public Law 436, 83rd Congress, suspended authorization of the comprehensive plan, insofar as it provides for development of hydroelectric power for Coosa River, to permit non-Federal interests to develop the Coosa River by construction of a series of dams in accordance with conditions of a license issued pursuant to Federal Power Act and in accordance with certain other provisions and requirements of the aforementioned public law. The plan was further modified by the WRDA of 1986 to authorize planning, engineering and design for the project generally in accordance with the plans contained in Design Memorandum No. 1, General Design, dated May 1982. The present phase of improvement includes the construction of Robert F. Henry and Millers Ferry multiple-purpose improvements, Claiborne Lock and Dam, and supplemental channel work providing for a nine-foot deep navigation channel from the mouth of the Alabama River to Montgomery, Alabama, and construction of Carters Dam, a multiple-purpose improvement on Coosawattee River, Georgia.

Local cooperation. Requirements of local cooperation will be determined as formulation of plans for development of projects progress. No action in the matter of local cooperation has been initiated.

Terminal facilities. On the east bank of the river a natural landing connects with city streets at Montgomery. There are also various natural landings along the river. Facilities and natural landings on Alabama River are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Operation and maintenance for Claiborne Lock and Dam, navigation maintenance for the waterway, snagging and clearing of channels and general charges for water control management, condition surveys, engineering and design, supervision and administration amounted to $4,355,626.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction was initiated on Carters Dam in April 1962, on Millers Ferry Lock and Dam in April 1963, Claiborne Lock and Dam in May 1965, and Robert F. Henry Lock and Dam in June 1966. Carters Dam was completed in FY 1980. The authorized nine-foot navigation channel to Montgomery, Alabama was opened to traffic in January 1972. Overall construction is complete in Millers Ferry Lock and Dam. Construction of Robert F. Henry Lock and Dam is complete. Total Federal cost of the existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $139,043,720, including $3,245,400 for new work and $135,798,320 for maintenance. (See Table 10-L.)

1A. CLAIBORNE LOCK AND DAM, AL

Location. The site is in Monroe County at mile 72.5 on the Alabama River, 15 miles northwest of Monroeville and 5.7 miles upstream from the U.S. Highway 84 bridge.

Existing project. The existing project consists of a short earth dike on the right bank, a combination of a fixed-crest and gated spillway extending across the river channel and into the left bank, a navigation lock and mound on the left bank, and an earth dike extending across the left overbank to high ground. Normal upper pool is elevation 35 and the minimum pool will be elevation 32 to provide storage for reregulation of Millers Ferry powerplant releases. The 60-mile long reservoir has an area of 5,850 acres and a volume of 96,360 acre-feet. For other information see description of Alabama-Coosa projects.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Maintenance cost included under overall Alabama-Coosa Rivers, Alabama and Georgia.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction began in May 1965 and was completed in FY 1976 at a total cost of $27,997,450. Recreation attendance during FY 2005 totaled 195,150 visits.

1B. COOSA RIVER, MONTGOMERY TO GADSDEN, AL

Location. The Coosa River is one of the two major tributaries forming the Alabama River approximately 18 miles northeast of Montgomery, Alabama. From its source at the juncture of the Etowah and Ooostanaula Rivers in northwest Georgia, it flows southwesterly about 286 miles to join with the Tallapoosa River in forming the Alabama River.

Existing project. The improvement of the Alabama-Coosa River for navigation to Rome, Georgia was authorized by Congress in the River and Harbor Act of 1945. A report in House Document 320, transmitted to Congress on January 27, 1960, recommended that the navigation project for the Coosa River from Montgomery to Gadsden be accomplished after the waterway to Montgomery was assured. The plan of improvement identified in House Document 320 provided for a waterway 9 feet deep with widths of 200 feet to Montgomery, Alabama, and 150 feet to Rome, Georgia. The waterway to Montgomery is complete. The plan for the Coosa River segment of the waterway between Montgomery and Gadsden was further modified by the WRDA of 1986 to authorize planning, engineering and design for the project generally in accordance with the plans contained in Design Memorandum No. 1, General Design, dated May 1982. Total Federal cost of the existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $14,988,935 for new work.

2. APALACHICOLA BAY, FL

Location. The project is on the coast of northwest Florida 160 miles east of Pensacola Harbor. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 11401.)

Previous project. For details, see page 1833, Annual Report for 1915, and page 689, Annual Report for 1938.

Existing project. The existing project provides for: (a) A channel 10 feet deep and 100 feet wide from the 10-foot depth in Apalachicola Bay, across St. George Island, to within 300 feet of the gulf shore, thence increasing uniformly in width to 200 feet at the shore and continuing with that width to the 10-foot depth in the Gulf of Mexico, with twin jetties extending from the dune line to the outer end of the channel; (b) an inner bar channel, 10 feet deep and 100 feet wide, in Apalachicola Bay; (c) a boat basin 200 feet by 880 feet and 9 feet deep at Apalachicola, Florida, with a connecting channel 9 feet deep and 80 feet wide through Scipio Creek to Apalachicola River; (d) a channel known as Link Channel, 10 feet deep and 150 feet wide, in Apalachicola Bay; (e) a channel generally parallel to the shore at Eastpoint, Florida, 6 feet deep, 100 feet wide, and about 6,000 feet long, and a connecting channel 6 feet deep and 100 feet wide to water at the same depth in St. George Sound, with twin breakwaters on either side parallel to the shore and having a total length of 5,300 feet; (f) a channel 10 feet deep and 100 feet wide through Bulkhead Shoals, connecting Apalachicola Bay with St. George Sound; and (g) a 6-foot by 100-foot channel about one mile long, generally parallel to the shore at Two Mile, Florida, with a 6-foot by 100-foot connecting channel to water of the same depth in Apalachicola Bay. Mean range of tide throughout this harbor is 1.6 feet. Extreme range, except during storms, is about three feet. Plane of reference is mean low water. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Requirements have been fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. Facilities consist of pile-and-timber wharves which are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Dredging, condition surveys, supervision and administration and other miscellaneous costs amounted to $40,163.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The existing project, authorized by the 1954 River and Harbor Act, was completed in 1959, including reimbursement to local interests for approved work, as authorized by the 1958 River and Harbor Act. Improvements at Two Mile except for modifications authorized in 1975 were completed in September 1964. Construction of the breakwater and channel improvements authorized in 1975 at Two Mile was completed in September 1977. Construction of breakwaters at Eastpoint authorized in 1983 was completed in March 1984. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $2,033,461 for new work and $10,035,273 for maintenance, a total of $12,068,734.

3. APALACHICOLA, CHATTAHOOCHEE, AND FLINT RIVERS, AL, GA, AND FL

Location. The Apalachicola River is formed at the southwest corner of the State of Georgia by the junction of the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers and flows south 108 miles emptying into Apalachicola Bay. The Florida River enters the Apalachicola from the east at mile 45.4 and the River Styx also enters from the east at mile 36.7 and Chipola River enters from the west at mile 28.2. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 11401.) The Chattahoochee River, 418 miles long, rises in northeast Georgia and flows southwesterly to West Point, and thence southerly to join the Flint River at the southwest corner of Georgia, forming the Apalachicola River. (See Geological Survey maps for northwest Georgia.) The Flint River, 330 miles long, rises in west central Georgia, flows generally southeasterly to Albany, and thence southwest to the southwest corner of the State, where it joins the Chattahoochee River to form the Apalachicola River. (See Geological Survey maps for southwest Georgia.

Previous project. For details see page 484 of Annual Report for 1963.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for development of the Apalachicola, Chattahoochee, and Flint Rivers for navigation, flood control, hydropower, and recreation. Navigation features of the existing project consist of a continuous 9-foot by 100-foot channel in the Apalachicola River from the intersection of the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway to the confluence of the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, 104 miles, thence to Columbus, Georgia, on the Chattahoochee River, 164 miles, and to Bainbridge, Georgia, on the Flint River, 29 miles, and a 3-foot by 100-foot channel on the Flint River from Bainbridge to Albany, Georgia, 74 miles, thence a channel suitable for light draft vessels at moderate stage to Montezuma, Georgia, 79 miles to be accomplished by dredging, contract works, and construction of three locks and dams (Jim Woodruff, George W. Andrews, and Walter F. George) along the 9-foot depth channel, two multipurpose dams (West Point and Buford) on the Chattahoochee River. Three multipurpose dams (Lower Auchumpkee Creek, Lazer Creek, and Spewrell Bluff) on the Flint River were deauthorized in the Water Resources Development Act of 1986. The project also provides for minor improvements of certain streams tributary to the Apalachicola River, including a 9-foot by 100-foot side channel, approximately 2,000 feet long, from Apalachicola River to Apalachicola River Industrial Park at Blountstown, Fla. Plane side of reference is mean low water. Hydropower and flood control storage is provided at Sidney Lanier, Walter F. George, and West Point, and hydropower is provided at Jim Woodruff. For further details see Annual Report for 1962. The project was originally authorized in section 2 of the River and Harbor Act of 1945, was further modified by the WRDA of 1986 (P.L. 99-662). (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Mean range of tide at the mouth of Apalachicola River is 1.6 feet. At the point where the river is formed the variation between low and high water is about 37 feet. On the Chattahoochee River, variation between average low and high water is about 20 feet, and extreme fluctuation is 65.3 feet at Eufaula. On the Flint River the extreme fluctuation of stage due to flood is 40 feet, while average variation between low and high water is about 21.5 feet. A Comprehensive Basin Study has been completed on the Apalachicola, Chattahoochee, Flint (ACF), and Alabama-Coosa-Tallapoosa (ACT) River Systems.

Local cooperation. The six Florida Counties that originally served as local sponsors for the Florida portion of the waterway have all informed the District in writing that they no longer wish to serve as local sponsors. The State of Florida has also declined assumption of responsibilities of local sponsorship. At this time no items of local cooperation are being complied with. No local sponsors are required for the Alabama and Georgia portions of the waterway.

Terminal facilities. About 200 feet of public docks, in addition to private wharves, are available at Apalachicola, Florida. There are numerous constructed and natural landings along the entire system for launching small craft. For details of other terminal facilities, which are considered adequate for existing commerce, see individual project descriptions. See also Table 10-M on locks and dams and multiple-purpose development included in existing project.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Operation and maintenance of George W. Andrew Lock and Dam is included in overall project. All other cost for the project amounted to $1,630,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Improvement of Apalachicola River channel by dredging to provide project dimensions throughout is complete. All major construction on Lake Sidney Lanier Dam, George W. Andrews Lock and Dam, Jim Woodruff Lock and Dam, Walter F. George Lock and Dam and West Point are complete. Channel rectification in Apalachicola River was completed December 1970. More detailed information concerning condition at the end of fiscal year for individual locks and dams and multiple-purpose developments comprising the system is presented under their respective project titles elsewhere in this report. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $4,452,162 for new work and $158,085,150 for maintenance, a total of $162,537,312. (See Tables 10-A and 10-M for fiscal year costs and summaries of overall project.)

3A. GEORGE W. ANDREWS LOCK AND DAM, AL AND GA

Location. The project is on the Chattahoochee River about 46.5 miles above its mouth and about one mile below the town of Columbia, Alabama, near the head of Jim Woodruff Reservoir. (See Geological Survey maps for southeast Alabama). The pool extends up the navigation channel about 28 miles upstream to Walter F. George Lock and Dam.

Existing project. This single-purpose project provides for a concrete fixed-crest spillway 340 feet long extending into the right bank with a crest at elevation 102 feet national geodetic datum, a concrete gate spillway adjacent to the lock 280 feet long with crest at elevation 82 feet national geodetic datum, a single-lift lock with usable chamber dimensions of 82 feet by 450 feet, and a maximum lift of 25 feet. Depths are 13 feet over the lower sill and 19 over the upper sill at normal pool elevation. The underlying foundation is limestone. The project provides for maintenance and care. The House Committee on Public Works, by resolution adopted May 19, 1953, approved the plan as proposed by the Chief of Engineers for a high dam at Walter F. George site and a low dam at the Fort Benning site and a high dam at the upper Columbia site, construction of which was authorized by the 1946 River and Harbor Act as the initiation and partial accomplishment of the plan for full development of the Apalachicola, Chattahoochee, and Flint River system. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Local interests must operate all movable span bridges, provide suitable public terminals, and hold the

United States free from damages. These conditions are being complied with.

Terminal facilities. At Columbia, Alabama, there is a public wharf with concrete deck for handling general cargo and a bulk petroleum terminal with an unloading dock. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Maintenance cost included under overall Apalachicola, Chattahoochee and Flint project. Recreation attendance for FY 2005 totaled 269,801.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction of the lock and dam began in March 1959 and was completed in November 1963 at a total cost of $13,038,427. (See also Table 10-M).

4. AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL (RIVER AND HARBOR ACT OF 1965)

Location. Navigable water, tributary streams, connecting channels and other allied waters in Mobile District.

Existing project. The existing project provides for management and control of water hyacinth, alligatorweed, Eurasian watermilfoil, hydrilla, and other obnoxious aquatic plant growths from navigable water, tributary streams, connecting channels, and other allied waters of the United States, in the combined interest of navigation, flood control, drainage, agriculture, fish and wildlife conservation, public health, and related purposes, including continued research for development of the most effective and economical control measures to be administered by the Chief of Engineers, under the direction of the Secretary of the Army, in cooperation with other Federal and State agencies. Research and planning cost prior to construction shall be borne fully by the United States. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation).

Local cooperation. Local interests shall agree to hold and save the United States free from claims that may occur from control operations and to participate to the extent of 50 percent of such operations. Requirements are being met in the State of Alabama by the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Environmental studies amounted to $13,859.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Total Federal cost under the exiting project as of September 30, 2005 is $981,172. Contributed funds for maintenance amount to $21,225.

5. BAYOU CODEN, AL

Location. The project is located in a small tidal stream on the southern coast of Mobile County, Alabama, emptying into Mississippi Sound about 7.6 miles northwest of Cedar Point, the southern tip of western mainland shore of Mobile Bay. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 11376.)

Previous project. For details see Annual Report for 1945, page 843.

Existing project. The existing project provides for a channel 8 feet deep by 60 feet wide extending from La Belle Avenue bridge south for about 3,000 feet through the bayou to Portersville Bay, thence 8 feet deep by 100 feet wide extending about 2.3 miles westward across Portersville Bay to connect with the Bayou La Batre channel, and a turning basin 8 feet deep by 60 feet wide by 100 feet long on the west side of the bayou channel about 500 feet south of the La Belle Avenue bridge. Mean tidal range is 1.75 feet, and extreme, except during storms, is 3.5 feet. Plane of reference is mean low water. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Requirements have been fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. Small privately-owned timber dock piles used in connection with fishing industry in this locality are adequate for existing commerce. A small ship building facility is located near the upper limits of the channel. The marina which will accommodate about 12 small recreational craft, and a slip with facilities for loading oyster shells are located near the mouth of the bayou, and are maintained by the Alabama Department of Conservation.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Dredging, condition surveys, supervision and administration and miscellaneous cost amounted to $33,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. That portion of the project authorized prior to the 1969 modification was completed in 1956. Construction authorized in 1969 was initiated March 31, 1975 and completed March 26, 1976. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $330,701 for new work and $3,459,900 for maintenance, a total of $3,790,601. Contributed funds expended for new work amount to $100,000 and $131,912 for maintenance.

6. BAYOU LA BATRE, AL

Location. Bayou La Batre is a tidal stream about 10 miles long, emptying into Mississippi Sound on the southern coast of Mobile County, AL., about 10 miles northwest of Cedar Point, the southern tip of the western mainland shore of Mobile Bay. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 11373.)

Previous project. For details see Annual Report for 1945, page 844.

Existing project. The existing project provides for a 18-foot by 120-foot channel from Pascagoula Ship channel, connecting with the GIWW, along the GIWW alignment, connecting with an extension of the previous 12-foot channel alignment, through Mississippi Sound to the mouth of the bayou, a total distance of approximately 20 miles; then provides for a 12-foot by 100-foot

channel to a point about 2,800 feet south of the highway bridge, thence a channel 12 feet deep by 75 feet wide to the bridge, with the channel widened at a point 0.6 mile below the bridge to provide a turning basin 12 feet deep and about 2.6 acres in area. Authorized by the Water Resources Development Act of 1990, the plan of improvement includes deepening channel to 18-foot by 100-foot from the mouth through the turning basin, a distance of about 1.8 miles; deepen channel from turning basin to 0.29 miles above highway 188 bridge to 14-feet by 75-feet, a distance of about 0.89 miles; extend a 14-foot by 50-foot channel from turning basin into Snake Bayou for about 730 feet and a 12-foot by 50-foot channel within Snake Bayou about 790 feet. Mean tidal range is 1.75 feet, and extreme, except during storms, is 3.75 feet. Plane of reference is mean low water. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Requirements have been fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. Wooden wharves have been provided at seafood processing plants and public launching ramps are available. Several boatways for construction of small seagoing vessels are also available. Facilities are adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

New work: None.

Maintenance: Dredging, condition surveys, supervision and administration and miscellaneous cost $132,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The existing project was modified December 30, 1966 under the discretionary authority of the Chief of Engineers to include a turning basin. The project was completed in March 1967. The contract for deepening the Sound Channel was completed in May 1994. The construction of the Bayou Channel was completed in September 1997. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $5,755,195 for new work and $12,990,326 for maintenance, a total of $18,745,521. Contributed funds from local interests for new work amount to $678,618.

7. BILOXI HARBOR, MS

Location. The project is located on Mississippi Sound in southeastern Mississippi, 32 miles by water west of Pascagoula Harbor, Mississippi, and 14 miles east of Gulfport Harbor, Mississippi (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 11373.)

Previous project. For details see page 584, Annual Report for 1962.

Existing project. The existing project provides for a continuous channel 12 feet deep, 150 feet wide and 23 miles long from the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway through Mississippi Sound east of Deer Island, Biloxi Bay, Back Bay, Cranes Neck, and a land cut to Gulfport lake, including a 500-foot by 2,600-foot basin in the lake, thence a 12-foot deep by 100-foot wide channel for about two miles westward from the west end of the lake, terminating in a 300-foot by 500-foot basin; a 12-foot by 100-foot channel from the main channel in Big Lake to and up Bayou Bernard to the Air Force oil terminal at about mile 2.6; a 12-foot by 150-foot spur channel from the main channel in Biloxi Bay for about one mile, terminating in a 400-foot by 600-foot turning basin opposite Ott Bayou; continuation of maintenance of the 12-by 150-foot lateral channel westward about 2.2 miles to Biloxi's south waterfront; a 10-foot by 150-foot channel from Mississippi Sound, passing west of Deer Island to a point where it connects to the 12-foot by 150-foot lateral channel at Biloxi's south waterfront. Construction for the modifications lateral channel authorized by River and Harbor Act of November 7, 1966 was commenced in FY 1974 and completed in February 1975. Further modifications to the project were authorized by the Chief of Engineers on March 28, 1979, which provided for a channel 10 feet deep, 100 feet wide and 300 feet long extending northward from the Biloxi Lateral Channel, and into a rectangular basin, approximately 300 by 370 feet, for use by commercial small craft, and an East Harrison County Canal project which provides for a 12-foot project depth, 130-feet wide and about 2,100 feet long, and a 300 by 300 foot turning basin also to a 12-foot project depth. This work was completed in April 1980.

Cost of modification as authorized by the 1966 River and Harbor Act was $664,390. That portion of the project providing for an entrance channel 6 feet deep, 50 feet wide,

and about 1,800 feet long into Old Fort Bayou, as authorized by the 1945 River and Harbor Act, is inactive. Estimated cost (1954) of this portion was $6,000. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Requirements have been fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. A number of wooden piling-and-timber piers for small craft and fishing boats, a bulk gasoline terminal, several boat ways, and concrete products plant are available.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Dredging, supervision and administration and miscellaneous costs totaled $332,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The existing project, prior to the modification authorized in 1966, was commenced in 1931 and completed in 1962. The 1966 modification was completed in FY 1975. The 1979 modification was completed in 1980. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $1,431,919 for new work and $21,360,172 for maintenance, a total of $22,792,091. Contributed funds for new work amount to $102,600. Contributed funds for maintenance amount to $238,640.

8. BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL

Location. Black Warrior River rises in northern Alabama above Birmingham and flows generally southwesterly to unite

with the Tombigbee River at Demopolis, Alabama. Thence the Tombigbee flows south, uniting with the Alabama River to form the Mobile River 45 miles above the head of Mobile Bay. Distance by water from Mobile to vicinity of Birmingham is about 430 miles.

Previous project. For details see page 732, Annual Report for 1938.

Existing project. The existing project provides for a channel 9 feet deep and 200 feet wide from the mouth of the Tombigbee River, 45 miles above Mobile, to the vicinity of Birmingham, via the Tombigbee and Black Warrior Rivers, to mile 430.4 on Sipsey Fork, mile 429.6 on Mulberry Fork and mile 407.8 on Locust Fork, and for maintenance by snagging of Mobile River above the mouth of Chickasaw Creek, a total waterway distance of about 408 miles. The total lift of 255 feet is accomplished by six locks and dams. The original construction program, consisting of 17 dams and 18 lifts, was completed in 1915. Replacement of the original structures with new 110-by 600-foot locks and dams, under the modernization program is as follows: William Bacon Oliver replaced locks 10, 11, and 12; Armistead I. Selden replaced locks 8 and 9; Demopolis replaced locks 4, 5, 6, and 7; Coffeeville (Jackson) replaced locks 1, 2, and 3; and Holt replaced locks 13, 14, 15, and 16. Thus, 16 of the original locks have been replaced by five new locks. Bankhead Lock and Dam (Lock 17) rehabilitation to replace the original double lift lock with a single lift lock was completed in 1980. The Coffeeville Lock and Dam wildlife refuge, authorized in 1960, includes 4,250 acres within the reservoir area and along its boundaries. A replacement lock for the old Oliver Lock located 2,300 feet downstream has been completed. The replacement lock has dimensions of 110 feet by 600 feet. A fixed crest spillway extends 800 feet across the river. Minimum provision was provided to allow construction of a hydropower plant. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Tidal influence extends upstream from Mobile 101.6 miles to Coffeeville Lock and Dam, where tidal effect is apparent only at low stages of the river. At Mobile the mean and extreme tidal ranges are 1.5 and 3.6 feet, respectively. These are at times slightly increased by the effect of winds. The greatest fluctuation of river stages is at Demopolis, Ala., the maximum being 59.7 feet. Maximum fluctuations at other points are 40 feet at old lock 1, which is 100.6 miles from Mobile; 57.8 feet at Tuscaloosa, 346.1 miles from Mobile; 13 feet at Birmingport, 404.9 miles from Mobile; and 27 feet at Cordova, 424.3 miles from Mobile. Ordinary fluctuations at these points are at old lock 1, 20 feet; at Demopolis, 35 feet; at Tuscaloosa, 40 feet; at Birmingport, four feet; and at Cordova, seven feet. Works of improvement reduced the amount of fluctuations at different points by three to 10 feet.

Local cooperation. Requirements have been fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. Docks, storage facilities, and handling equipment have been provided as required at most loading and unloading points along the waterway. These include facilities for handling petroleum and petroleum products, coal, ores, sand and gravel, pulpwood, manufacturers, and various other commodities. While most terminal facilities are privately owned, many are available for use by the general public. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce. (See Table 10-N for existing locks and dams.)

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Contract dredging of the river system cost $3,075,335. Condition surveys, engineering and design cost supervision, administration and other general charges for the overall river project totaled $20,054,000. Recreation attendance for FY 2005 totaled 3,475,000 visits.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Work on the project, commenced in 1887, was essentially completed in 1915. Since then three of the original locks and dams (10, 11 and 12) were replaced by William Bacon Oliver (Tuscaloosa) Lock and Dam which was opened to navigation in August 1939; four original lock and dams (4, 5, 6 and 7) were replaced by Demopolis Lock and Dam which was opened to navigation in August 1954; two original locks and dams (8 and 9) were replaced by Warrior Lock and Dam which was opened to navigation in October 1957; three original locks and dams (1, 2 and 3) were replaced by Coffeeville Lock and Dam which was opened to navigation in August 1960; and four original locks and dams (13, 14, 15 and 16) were replaced by Holt Lock and Dam which was opened to navigation in June 1966. Rehabilitation of the spillway at John Hollis Bankhead Lock and Dam commenced in 1966, and physically completed February 6, 1970. Replacement of the double lift lock with a single lift lock at John Hollis Bankhead Lock and Dam commenced in April 1970 and was completed in 1980. The power plant at John Hollis Bankhead Lock and Dam and Holt Lock and Dam, was built and is operated by the Alabama Power Co. Construction of the new Oliver Lock and Dam is completed with the new lock open to traffic as of July 1991. Project construction began in November 1986 and is scheduled for completion in January 1996. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $88,461,935 for new work and $528,649,096 for maintenance, and $52,292,880 for major rehabilitation, a total of $669,403,911.

9. BON SECOUR RIVER, AL

Location. Rises 2 miles south of Foley, Ala., and flows southerly about 8 miles, emptying into Bon Secour Bay, an arm of Mobile Bay in southwest Alabama.

Existing project. A 10- by 80-foot channel from Gulf Intracoastal Waterway through Bon Secour Bay to mouth of Bon Secour River and extending up river to vicinity of Swifts Landing, thence 6 by 80 feet up river to a point about 600 feet above Oak Landing with two turning and maneuvering areas 150 feet wide and 1,100 to 1,200 feet long opposite Swifts Landing and ice loading dock. Also a 10 by 80 foot South Fork channel from the intersection with the Bon Secour channel, 1.14 miles to a 150 x 150 foot turning basin. Plane of reference is mean low water. Overall length of improvement is about 4.7 miles. Mean

tidal range is about 1.5 feet and extreme, except during storms, is 3.5 feet. Existing project was authorized by Chief of Engineers, May 16, 1963, under authority in Section 107, River and Harbor Act of 1960.

Local cooperation. Requirements have been fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. A number of pile-and-timber marginal wharves used by the seafood industry and a marine ways are located along the existing project. These, together with numerous privately owned piers, are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Contract dredging, condition surveys, supervision and administration and miscellaneous cost $8,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Project was commenced in July and completed in October 1964. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $150,615 for new work and $2,891,686 for maintenance, a total of $3,042,301. Contributed funds for new work amounted to $9,700.

10. CARRABELLE HARBOR, FLORIDA

Location. Carrabelle Bar and Harbor is located 50 miles south, southeast of Tallahassee, Florida, on St. George Sound and the Gulf of Mexico.

Existing Project. The existing project provides for a 27- by 200-foot channel from the Gulf of Mexico for 3 miles to a point west of Dog Island, thence a 25- by 150-foot channel for 5 miles through St. George Sound and Carrabelle River to a turning basin 500 feet square and 25 feet deep at the town of Carrabelle, a 10- by 100-foot channel from turning basin for 0.6 mile to U.S. 98 bridge, thence a 10- by 80-foot channel for 3 miles to the confluence of New and Crooked Rivers. Plane of reference is mean low water. Channels above the turning basin were authorized May 17, 1965 by Chief of Engineers under authority in Section 107 of the River and Harbor Act of July 14, 1960. Other features of existing project were authorized by River and Harbor Act of September 3, 1954. The mean tidal range is 2.2 feet, and extreme is 3.0 feet, exclusive of storms.

Local Cooperation. Items of local cooperation have been furnished by the Board of County Commissioners, Franklin County, Florida.

Terminal Facilities. Existing terminal facilities are adequate for the current needs of the project.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Miscellaneous cost $15,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. All new work for this project was completed in 1965. Total Federal cost of the existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $481,627 for new work and $1,067,101 for maintenance, a total of $1,548,728.

11. DAUPHIN ISLAND BAY, AL

Location. The project is located between Dauphin and Little Dauphin Island on the west side of the entrance to Mobile Bay, about 30 miles south of Mobile, Alabama and 55 miles west of Pensacola, Florida. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 11376.)

Existing project. The existing project provides for: (a) A channel 7 feet deep and 150 feet wide from Mobile Bay to an anchorage basin of the same depth, and about 7 acres in area, in the marsh just north of Fort Gaines on Dauphin Island; a channel 6 feet deep and 40 feet wide from the anchorage basin to Dauphin Island Bay; and a jetty and revetment to protect the entrance channel; and (b) an anchorage basin 7 feet deep and 500 feet square at Dauphin Island Village, with an entrance channel of like depth, 100 feet wide and about 8,300 feet long, extending to the 7-foot hydrographic contour in Mississippi Sound. Mean tidal range is 1.1 feet, and extreme, except during storms is about 4 feet. Plane of reference is mean low water. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Requirements have been fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. Several privately-owned wharves for handling seafood, a public dock and mooring slip, and a pier for recreational craft are located on the village basin. A marina, public launching ramp, and a number of private piers are located on the bay. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Contract dredging cost $108,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project was completed in July 1959. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $292,864 for new work and $5,834,564 for maintenance, a total of $6,127,428.

12. DOG AND FOWL RIVERS, AL

Location. Dog and Fowl Rivers are primarily tidal streams emptying into the west side of Mobile Bay, 8.5 and 17 miles, respectively, south of central Mobile (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 11376.)

Existing project. The Dog River project provides for a 7 by 100-foot channel with a total length of 4.5 miles to provide access to the Mobile ship channel.

The Fowl River project provides for a channel 8 feet deep and 100 feet wide from Mobile Bay into and up Fowl River to deep water about 6,700 feet above its mouth. Total length of the channel is about 2.6 miles. Plane of reference is mean low water. Mean range of tide is about 1.5 feet in Dog River. Extreme range during storms is about 3.6 feet. This segment of the project was completed in November 1973. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Requirements have been fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. Existing facilities consist of a boatyard for fabricating steel vessel hulls, nine marinas, four marine ways, a yacht basin on Dog River; two marinas on Fowl River, and numerous timber piers and docks on both rivers. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Condition surveys and other miscellaneous cost $35,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The existing Fowl River portion of the project was commenced in August 1973 and completed in November 1973. Work on the Dog River channel realignment was initiated and completed during FY 1986. Total Federal cost on the existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $8,870,439; $391,354 for new work and $8,479,085 for maintenance. Contributed funds for new work amounted to $195,626.

13. EAST PASS CHANNEL FROM GULF OF MEXICO INTO CHOCTAWHATCHEE BAY, FL

Location. East Pass Channel is located in the entrance from the Gulf into Choctawhatchee Bay at eastern end of Santa Rosa Island, 48 miles east of the entrance into Pensacola Bay and 49 miles west of the new entrance to St. Andrews Bay. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 11388.)

Previous project. For details see page 672 of Annual Report for 1937.

Existing project. The existing project provides for a 12-foot by 180-foot channel from the Gulf of Mexico into Choctawhatchee Bay, and a 6-foot by 10-foot channel from East Pass Channel into Old Pass Lagoon. The project consists also of two converging jetties spaced 1,000 feet apart at the seaward end. Mean range of tide is 1.3 feet; extreme range, except during storms, is 2.5 feet. Plane of reference is mean low water. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Requirements have been fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. Small privately-owned pile-and-timber piers used in connection with the fishing industry in this locality are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Condition surveys, supervision and administration and miscellaneous cost $125,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction of the 1965 modification was commenced October 1967 and completed January 1969. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $916,715 for new work and $16,360,601 for maintenance, a total of $17,277,316. Contributed funds for new work from local interests amount to $398,000.

14. FLY CREEK, AL

Location. Fly Creek (Volanta Bayou) is a small stream about 4.5 miles long rising in Baldwin County, Alabama, 3 miles east of town of Fairhope, from whence it flows northerly, thence westerly and southerly, to form an estuary on eastern shore of Mobile Bay just north of Fairhope and about 13 miles southeast of Mobile, Alabama. (See U.S. Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart No. 11376.)

Existing project. Provides for a channel 6 feet deep, 80 feet wide, and about 1,650 feet long from 6-foot depth in Mobile Bay to a turning basin of same depth, 100 feet wide and 350 feet long, in Fly Creek. Mean tidal range in vicinity of project is about 1.3 feet, and extreme, except during storms, is 3.5 feet.

Existing project was authorized by the River and Harbor Act of May 17, 1950, (H. Doc. 194, 81st Cong., 1st Sess.). The project document contains the latest published map.

Local cooperation. Requirements have been fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. Fairhope Yacht Club has facilities for small recreational craft on the south bank near mouth of creek, consisting of a pile-and-timber service wharves, several sheet metal boat sheds, boat slips, and other mooring facilities. There is also a commercial marina on north bank of creek.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Channel condition survey cost $1,500.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Project was commenced in August and completed in October, 1957. Total project costs as of September 30, 2005 amounted to $1,148,835 of which $29,000 was for new work and $1,119,835 for maintenance.

15. GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY BETWEEN APALACHEE BAY, FL AND MEXICAN BORDER (MOBILE DISTRICT)

Location. The project extends westward from Apalachee Bay, Florida, along the Gulf coast to the Rigolets, Louisiana, via a series of coastal lakes, bays, sounds, and land custs. (For further details see Annual Report for 1962.)

Previous project. For details see page 906, Annual Report for 1930.

Existing project. The existing project provides for a waterway 12 feet deep and 125 feet wide at mean low water from Apalachee Bay, Florida, to Mobile Bay, Alabama, and 12 feet deep and 150 feet wide from Mobile Bay, Alabama to Rigolets,

Louisiana (Lake Borgne Light No. 29), and for a tributary channel (Gulf County Canal), 12 feet deep, 125 feet wide and about six miles long connecting Intracoastal Waterway at White City, Florida, with St. Joseph Bay. The waterway between the 12-foot depth contours in Apalachee Bay and Lake Borgne Light No. 29 at the Rigolets is 379 miles long. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.

Local cooperation. None.

Terminal facilities. Facilities are available for public use at Carrabelle, Apalachicola, Panama City, and Pensacola, Florida; Mobile and Bayou La Batre, Alabama; and Pascagoula, Biloxi, Gulfport, Pass Christian, and Bay St. Louis, Mississippi. Facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Dredging navigation channel maintenance, condition surveys, and supervision and administration cost totaled $4,033,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The existing project is completed except for the portion between Apalachicola Bay and St. Marks, Florida, which has been deauthorized.
Modification of the Gulf County Canal to provide a 12-foot by 125-foot channel was commenced July 1968 and completed June 1969. Total cost of the existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $132,765,950, of which $6,480,299 was for new work and $126,285,651 for maintenance.

16. GULFPORT HARBOR, MS

Location. The project is located on Mississippi Sound in southeastern Mississippi, about 35 miles west of Pascagoula, Mississippi, and 60 miles east of New Orleans. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 11373.)

Previous project. For details see page 747. Annual Report for 1938, and page 995, Annual Report for 1948.

Existing project. The existing project provides for: (a) A channel 32 feet deep, 300 feet wide, and about eight miles long across Ship Island Bar, a channel 30 feet deep, 220 feet wide, and about 11 miles long through Mississippi Sound, and an anchorage basin at Gulfport 30 feet deep, 1,320 feet wide, and 2,640 feet long; and (b) maintenance of the existing commercial smallboat harbor about 26 acres in area, and a straight-approach channel, 100 feet wide and about 4,300 feet long, from deep water in Mississippi Sound to a smallboat basin, all at a depth of 8 feet. Under ordinary conditions mean tidal range is about 1.75 feet, and extreme range, except during storms, is about 3.5 feet. Plane of reference is mean low water. The project is authorized in the Water Resources Development Act (WRDA) 1986, and further amended by WRDA 1988 to modify the existing ship channel to 36 by 300 feet in Mississippi Sound, and 38 by 400 feet across the bar, with changes in the channel alignment and the turning basin for safe and unrestricted navigation. The FY 91 construction appropriation provided for constructing an increment of the authorized project and provide a 36 by 220 feet channel in Mississippi Sound and 38 by 300 feet across the bar. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Requirements have been fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. Existing modern rail-connected terminal facilities at this port are considered adequate for existing commerce. (See Port Series 19, revised in 1979.)

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Contract dredging, condition surveys, supervision and administration and miscellaneous costs $2,509,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The main harbor was commenced 1932 and completed April 1950. Maintenance for small boat harbor and approach channel, constructed by local interests in 1950, was authorized by 1958 River and Harbor Act. Awarded thin-layer monitoring contract in June 1991 under the authority of WRDA 1986 and 1988. The channel contract was awarded in April 1992, and completed in September 1996. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $26,779,418 for new work and $74,924,461 for maintenance, a total of $101,703,879. Contributed funds for new work amounted to $9,207,795.

17. MOBILE HARBOR, AL

Location. The project is located along the lower 5 miles of Mobile River, and channel extends thru Mobile Bay and into Gulf of Mexico, in southwestern Alabama, 91 miles by water west of Pensacola Harbor, Florida, 90 miles east of Gulfport Harbor, Mississippi, and 144 miles by water northeast of mouth of Mississippi River. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 11376.)

Previous project. For details see page 503, Annual Report for 1963.

Existing project. The existing project provides for: (a) A 47-foot by 600-foot channel about 1.5 miles long across Mobile Bar; (b) a 45-foot by 400-foot channel in Mobile Bay to mouth of Mobile River; (c) a 40-foot channel in Mobile River to highway bridge, varying from 500 to 775 feet wide; (d) a 25-foot channel from highway bridge to and up Chickasaw Creek to a point 400 feet south of mouth of Shell Bayou, widths being 500 feet in Mobile River and 250 feet in Chickasaw Creek; (e) a turning basin 40 feet deep, 2,500 feet long, and 800 to 1,000 feet wide, opposite Alabama State Docks; (f) a turning basin 40 feet deep, 1,000 feet wide, and 1,600 feet long opposite Magazine Point; (g) a 27-foot by 150-foot channel from Mobile Bay Channel along Arlington pier to a turning basin 800 feet long and 600 feet wide opposite Brookley Complex ocean terminal, and continuing thence a turning basin 250 feet wide and 800 feet long in

Garrows Bend, thence a 22-foot by 150-foot channel to the causeway linking McDuffie Island to the mainland; (h) a channel serving the Theodore Industrial Park 40 feet deep and 400 feet wide from the main ship channel in Mobile Bay and extending northwesterly for about 5.3 miles to the shore of Mobile Bay, including an anchorage basin near the shoreline, thence a land cut 40 feet deep, 300 feet wide and 1.9 miles long to and including a 42 acre trapezoid turning basin 40 feet deep, and a barge channel 12-by 100-feet, extending 6,500 feet and terminating in a 300- by 300-foot turning basin; and (i) maintenance of Three Mile Creek by snagging, from its intersection with Industrial Canal to Mobile River. The project provides also for an anchorage area 32 feet deep, 100 feet wide, and 200 feet long opposite site formerly occupied by the U.S. Quarantine Station at McDuffie (Sand) Island. Prior to widening the Mobile Bay Channel as authorized in 1954, the Quarantine Station anchorage area was maintained to a project width of 200 feet. Construction by local interests of a solid-fill causeway across Garrows Bend Channel between McDuffie Island and the mainland is also provided for under existing project. Total length of the bay and river channel is about 41.7 miles. Plane of reference is mean low water. Under ordinary conditions mean tidal range at the lower end of the improvement is 1.2 feet and at the upper end 1.5 feet. Extreme tidal range is 3.4 feet at the lower end and 3.6 feet at the upper end.

Further authorization provides for future development to deepen and widen entrance channel over the bar to 57 feet by 700 feet about 7.4 miles long, deepen and widen bay channel to 55 feet by 550 feet about 27.0 miles long, deepen and widen an additional 3.6 miles of bay channel to 55 feet by 650 feet and provide 55 foot deep anchorage area and turning basin in vicinity of Little Sand Island. All dredged material will be placed in an approved disposal area in the Gulf of Mexico. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. A local cooperation agreement was signed by the local sponsor to construct the project for the first increment of work.

Terminal facilities. Modern rail-connected terminal facilities at this port are considered adequate for existing commerce. (See Port Series No. 18, revised in 1979.)

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Contract dredging, condition surveys, engineering and design and supervision and administration cost $5,167,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Phase I of the project modification was completed in June 1990. Current estimated Federal cost is $218,548,000, and non-Federal cost is $178,452,000. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $97,942,966 for new work and $430,120,189 for maintenance, a total of $528,063,155. Contributed funds expended amounted to $19,124,309 for maintenance. New work contributed funds amounted to $202,040.

18. PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL

Location. The project is located on the northwest coast of Florida, 102 miles east of entrance to Pensacola Harbor. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 11389.)

Previous project. For details see page 710, Annual Report for 1938.

Existing project. The existing project provides for a channel about 3.5 miles long, extending from deep water in St. Andrew bay through barrier peninsula, known as Lands End, to the Gulf of Mexico, 300 feet wide and 32 feet deep in the bay through Lands End; and 450 feet wide and 34 feet deep in the gulf, protected by east, west jetties, extending 2,075 feet and 2,896 feet respectively; a channel 100 feet wide and 8 feet deep in Grand Lagoon to a point about 2,400 feet east of State Highway 392 Bridge, with branches to serve terminal facilities; and the maintenance of a channel in Watson Bayou, an arm of St. Andrew Bay, 100 feet wide and 10 feet deep from that depth in bay to highway bridge.

Authorized modifications includes branch channels 38 feet deep and 300 feet wide, leading from the inner end of the main entrance channel westward to the Port Authority terminal at Dyers Point and eastward to the Bay Harbor terminal, about 3.4 and 3.6 miles in length, respectively; turning and maneuvering areas comprising about 55 acres opposite Dyers Point, and 42 acres opposite Bay Harbor, both at a depth of 38 feet; and an anchoring and loading basin for LASH type intermodal carriers, 40 feet deep and containing about 177 acres in St. Andrew Bay near the inner end of the main entrance channel. Mean tidal range is about normally 1.3 feet and 3.0 feet extreme. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Requirements have been fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. Available terminal facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce. (See Port Series No. 19.)

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Condition surveys and maintenance: Miscellaneous costs $1,055,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The existing project (prior to modifications) was completed in November 1949. Repairs to jetties were commenced in June 1961 and completed October 1968. Modifications to the project at Grand Lagoon were completed in January 1972. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $4,724,110 for new work

and $16,026,103 for maintenance, a total of $20,750,213. Contributed funds expended for new work amount to $1,993,522.

19. PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS

Location. The project is located along lower 6.8 miles of Pascagoula River, the lower six miles of Dog River, and in Bayou Casotte (about four miles east of the mouth of Pascagoula River), and through Mississippi Sound into the Gulf of Mexico, in southeastern Mississippi, about 38 miles west of Mobile, Alabama, and about 100 miles east of New Orleans, Louisiana. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Chart 11373.)

Previous project. For details see page 741, Annual Report for 1938.

Existing project. The existing project provides for (a) An entrance channel 40 feet deep and 350 feet wide from the Gulf of Mexico through Horn Island Pass, including an impounding area for littoral drift, 40 feet deep, 200 feet wide, and about 1,500 feet long adjacent to the channel at the west end of Petit Bois Island; (b) a channel 38 feet deep and 350 feet wide in Mississippi Sound and Pascagoula River to the railroad bridge at Pascagoula, including a turning basin 2,000 feet long and 950 feet wide (including channel area) on the west side of the river below the railroad bridge; (c) a channel 38 feet deep and 225 feet wide from the ship channel in Mississippi Sound to the mouth of Bayou Casotte, thence 38 feet deep and 300 feet wide for about one mile to a turning basin 38 feet deep, 1,000 feet wide, and 1,750 feet long; (d) a 22-foot deep by 150-foot wide channel up Pascagoula River from the railroad bridge to the mouth of Escatawpa (or Dog) River, then up Escatawpa River to Highway 613 (formerly 63) bridge; (e) a 12-foot by 125-foot channel from the highway bridge; via Robertson and Bounds Lakes, to mile 6 on Escatawpa River; and (f) a 12-foot by 80-foot channel extending from deep water in the Pascagoula River (about one-half mile north of the railroad bridge) to a turning basin in Krebs Lake a distance of about 1,500 feet, then along the south bank of the lake a channel 10-foot by 60-foot and terminating at a second turning basin, a distance of 2,700 feet from the first. Under ordinary conditions mean tidal range is 1.75 feet, and extreme range is 3.75 feet. Plane of reference is mean low water.

Further authorization provides for deepening and widening gulf entrance channel to 44 by 550 feet; widen Horn Island channel to 600 feet, relocating that channel about 500 feet westwardly; deepen Mississippi Sound portion to 42 feet; widen and deepen Bayou Casotte to 42 by 350 feet and construct turning basin. Disposal of all new work material in Gulf of Mexico. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Requirements have been fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. Modern rail-connected terminal facilities at this port are considered adequate for existing commerce. (See Port Series No. 19.)

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Contract dredging, condition surveys, supervision and administration and miscellaneous costs totaled $377,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The existing project was completed in August 1965 and the Krebs Lake project was completed in November 1983. The General Design Memorandum (GDM) was approved in June 1992 for deepening and widening channels. Preconstruction Engineering and Design is complete. The channel dredging contract was awarded in September 1994, and completed November 2001. Total Federal cost of existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $40,824,036 for new work and $101,983,235 for maintenance, a total of $142,807,271. Contributed funds expended for maintenance amounted to $9,391,102.

20. PENSACOLA HARBOR, FL

Location. The project is located in a landlocked bay on the coast of northwest Florida about 50 miles east of the entrance to Mobile Bay. (See Coast and Geodetic Survey Charts 490 and 11382.)

Previous project. For details see Annual Report for 1938.

Existing project. The existing project provides for: (a) A 35-foot by 500-foot entrance channel about five miles long, from the Gulf of Mexico to lower Pensacola Bay; (b) a 33-foot by 300-foot bay channel; (c) two 33-foot by 300-foot parallel approach channels to opposite ends of the inner harbor channel; (d) an inner harbor channel 500 feet wide, 33 feet deep, and 3,950 feet long; (e) a 30-foot by 250-foot approach channel to the pierhead line south of Muscogee wharf; and (f) a 15-foot by 100-foot entrance channel into Bayou Chico, thence a channel 14 feet deep, 75 feet wide, and about 4,400 feet long to a turning basin 14 feet deep and 500 feet square. Mean range of tide throughout the harbor is about 1.1 feet near the entrance and about 1.6 feet at the head of bay. Extreme tidal range, except during storms, is about three feet. Plane of reference is mean low water. Modification of the Bayou Chico project to provide for enlarging the entrance channel to 21 by 100 feet, the bayou channel to 20 feet by 100 feet, and deepening the turning basin to 20 feet has been deferred for restudy. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Requirements have been fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. Modern rail-connected terminal facilities at this port are considered adequate for existing commerce. (See Port Series No. 19, revised in 1979.)

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Dredging, condition survey, environmental permits, support activities and miscellaneous costs totaled $238,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. New work is completed except for features which are deferred for restudy. The modification authorized in 1962 was commenced in March and completed in May 1965. Total Federal cost of the existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $1,469,693 for new work and $10,327,680 for maintenance, a total of $11,797,373. Contributed funds for maintenance amount to $312,350.

21. PERDIDO PASS CHANNEL, AL

Location. The project is located about midway between Pensacola, Florida, and Mobile, Alabama. (See Coast and Geodetic Chart 11378.)

Existing project. The existing project provides for a channel 12 feet deep and 150 feet wide for about 1,300 feet from the Gulf of Mexico into the inlet, thence 9 feet deep and 100 feet wide for about 2,200 feet to the highway bridge, where the channel branches into two arms, each having dimensions of 9 by 100 feet, one of which extends about 3,400 feet into Terry Cove and the other about 3,200 feet into the southern arm of Perdido Bay. The project also provides for two jetties spaced 600 feet apart at the seaward end. The east jetty has a low weir section, 1000 feet long to permit passage of littoral drift into a dredged deposition basin 800 feet by 1,200 feet located between the east jetty and the navigation channel. Mean tidal range is 1.1 feet and extreme is 2.8 feet. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Requirements have been fully complied with.

Terminal facilities. Six marinas, numerous timber piers, docks, and several launching ramps are available. These facilities are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Contract dredging, condition surveys and miscellaneous cost $269,000.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The existing project was commenced in May 1968 and completed in May 1969. Total Federal cost of the existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $629,860 for new work and $15,742,865 for maintenance, a total of $16,372,725. Contributions from local interest amount to $510,000 for new work and $10,325 for maintenance.

22. TENNESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL AND MS

Location. The waterway extends from mile 215 in Pickwick pool on the Tennessee River, southerly through northeastern Mississippi and western Alabama, a total of 234 miles, to the confluence of the Black Warrior and Tombigbee Rivers at Demopolis, Alabama.

Previous project. For details see Annual Report for 1953.

Existing project. The existing project provides for a waterway 234 miles long, connecting the Tennessee and Tombigbee Rivers via the East Fork of Tombigbee River and Mackeys and Yellow Creeks and consists of three sections as follows: (1) the river section, a 9-foot by 300-foot channel for 149 miles between Demopolis and Amory, Mississippi; (2) the canal section, 12 feet by 300 feet for 46 miles from Amory to Bay Springs; and (3) the divide section, a 12-foot by 300-foot channel (except in the 27 mile long divide cut in which the bottom width is 280 feet) for 39 miles from Bay Springs through the dividing ridge to the Tennessee River. The total lift of 341 feet is accomplished by 10 locks (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Local interests have made and are maintaining alterations in highways and highway bridges and in sewer, water-supply, and drainage facilities and provide and maintain suitable and adequate river and canal terminals. Officials of the State of Mississippi were notified of these requirements on December 13, 1949, and officials of the State of Alabama were notified on December 20, 1949. Legislation enabling boards of supervisors of the various counties concerned to enter into agreements with the United States relative to navigation projects was adopted by the State of Mississippi in 1950. A compact between the States of Alabama, Mississippi, Tennessee, Kentucky and Florida has been formed for the purpose of promoting the project. The name of this organization is the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway Development Authority.

During its 1962 session the Mississippi Legislature authorized the formation of the Tombigbee River Valley Water Management District. The District was organized in accordance with the enabling legislation and is empowered to fulfill the requirements of local cooperation for the portion of the project in Mississippi. A satisfactory resolution has been furnished. During its 1967 session the Alabama Legislature authorized the formation of a public corporation to be named the Tombigbee Valley Development Authority for the purpose of further development of the Tombigbee River and tributary streams. The organization was formed in accordance with the enabling legislation and in a referendum held December 5, 1967 the voters of Alabama authorized a bond issue not to exceed $10,000,000 of finance participation in this project and the Tombigbee River and Tributaries project. A satisfactory resolution has been furnished.

Terminal facilities. Docks, storage facilities and handling equipment are still being developed along this new waterway. As of September 30,1998, twelve such facilities were operational, while five were under construction, and five more are planned. The operational facilities are handling grain, wood chips, and

logs. When all facilities are complete, about half will be publicly owned and operated. Additional ports and terminals must be completed before the waterway can achieve its full potential. (See Table 10-N for existing locks and dams.)

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Total cost for operation and maintenance of the project for FY 2005 amounted to $23,000,000. Recreation attendance for FY 2005 totaled 2,902,037 visits.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Total Federal cost under the existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $1,053,001,011 for new work, and $447,093,204 for maintenance for a total of $1,500,094,215. Construction formally began December 12, 1972 and overall project is essentially complete. The waterway was opened for navigation in January 1985.

22A. TENNESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY WILDLIFE MITIGATION PROJECT, AL AND MS

Location. This project is in Alabama and Mississippi at the following locations:

(1) Existing Project Lands - Approximately 72,500 acres of Tennessee-Tombigbee Project Lands have been designated for mitigation purposes. An additional 20,100 acres have also been designated at Coffeeville Lake, Demopolis Lake, Claiborne Lake and Dannelly Lakes in Alabama and at Okatibbee Lake in Mississippi.

(2) Separable Lands - Acquisition and management of 88,000 separable lands including not less than 20,000 acres in the Mobile-Tensaw Delta, Alabama, and not less than 25,000 acres in the Pascagoula, Pearl, and Mississippi Delta Basins in Mississippi; and the balance at any location in the two states.

Previous project. None. This project was a new construction start in Fiscal year 1990. It was authorized by Section 601 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986.

Existing project. The authorized project called for the acquisition of separable lands at the above named locations. The Alabama Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, Mississippi Department of Wildlife Fisheries and Parks, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) assisted in the selection of separable lands. The selected lands were purchased from willing sellers at fair market value. Emphasis was placed on forested wetlands, with a minimum of 34,000 acres of bottomland hardwoods being purchased.

Management of Lands, the separable lands and the existing project lands designated for mitigation purposes are being managed for wildlife. The States are primarily responsible for managing these lands in accordance with management plans jointly developed by the States, Corps and the FWS. However, due to operational constraints it is necessary for the Corps to retain management responsibility for some of the existing project lands included in the mitigation program.

Local cooperation. A local cooperation agreement is not required since the cost of this project is a 100% Federal responsibility.

Operations and results during fiscal year. Total cost for the wildlife mitigation program in FY 2005 was $2,607,792, with $1,870,000 being used to reimburse State efforts.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Initial funding for the project was received in January 1990. At the end of September 1998, 21,182 acres had been acquired in the Mobile-Tensaw Delta; 13,433 acres in the Pascagoula Basin; 18,542 acres in the Mississippi Delta; 7,655 acres in the Pearl Basin; 14,378 acres in northeast Mississippi; and 12,292 acres in other areas of Alabama. A variety of activities also continued to intensively manage the 92,600 acres of existing project lands included in the Mitigation Program. The total project cost is estimated to be $94,042,000. Total Federal cost of the project as of September 30, 2005 is $92,176,425 for new work. $2,375,365 for environmental efforts, and $6,960,842 for maintenance, a total of $101,512,632.

23. OTHER AUTHORIZED NAVIGATION PROJECTS

(See Table 10-C.)

24. OTHER AUTHORIZED BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECTS

(See Table 10-D.)

25. OTHER AUTHORIZED FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS

(See Table 10-E.)

26. DEAUTHORIZED PROJECTS

(See Table 10-G.)

27. NAVIGATION WORK UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

(See Table 10-H.)

28. NAVIGATION WORK UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

Navigation activities pursuant to Section 107, Public Law 86-645, as amended (Preauthorization). Studies conducted under this authority amounted to $-153,928 in FY 2002. (See Table 10-H.)

29. FLOOD CONTROL WORK UNDER

SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

(See Table 10-J.)

30. RECONNAISSANCE AND CONDITION SURVEYS

(See Table 10-K.)

FLOOD CONTROL

31. CEDAR POINT EXTENSION BAY ST. LOUIS, MS

Location. The project is located in the city of Bay St. Louis, Mississippi. It is on St. Louis Bay about 95 miles from Mobile, Alabama, on the east and about 50 miles from New Orleans, Louisiana, on the west.

Existing project. The shoreline erosion project consists of a 4,500 linear-foot concrete/sheetpile wall constructed in front of an existing concrete seawall. Concrete was placed between the new sheetpile walls and the bottom step of the existing concrete seawall.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New work: None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction was authorized on September 5, 2002, with contract awarded on September 13, 2002. Total Federal cost to date is $605,316 for new work. Contributed funds expended amounted to $56,010 for new work.

32. MATUBBEE CREEK AT COONTAIL ROAD, MS

Location. The project site is located along 500 feet of both banks of Matubbee Creek which runs parallel and adjacent to Coontail Road in rural Monroe County near Aberdeen, Mississippi.

Existing project. The emergency streambank protection project consists of rebuilding and armoring the left descending (southeast) bank of Matubbee Creek with riprap and filter fabric; placement of a riprap-filled toe trench along the left descending bank; grading, grassing, and armoring the adjacent road shoulder; removal and backfill of the failed grout materials along the left descending bank; grading and grassing of both banks and adjacent drainage ditches; and replacing guard rails along the road adjacent to the left descending bank.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project was awarded in January 2003. Construction was initiated in May 2003. Total Federal cost to date is $457,238 for new work. Contributed funds expended amounted to $215,203 for new work.

33. WEAVER CREEK AT HATLEY ROAD

Location. The project site is located along both banks above and below the Hatley Road Bridge crossing at Weaver Creek in rural Monroe County near Amory, Mississippi.

Existing project. The emergency streambank protection project consists of reconditioning and armoring the endangered slope with riprap and filter fabric; removal and backfill of the failed grout materials at the wingwalls; grading and grassing of banks and adjacent drainage ditches; and replacing adjacent guard rails.

Operations and results during fiscal year. New Work: None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project was awarded in January 2003. Construction was initiated in May 2003. Total Federal cost to date is $71,517 for new work. Contributed funds expended amounted to $60,476 for new work.

34. OKATIBBEE LAKE, MS

Location. The project is located on Okatibbee Creek 37.7 miles above its mouth, in Lauderdale County, Mississippi, seven miles northwest of Meridian.

Existing project. The project provides for a dam and reservoir for flood control, water supply, water quality control, fish and wildlife, and recreation. The dam consists of a compacted earth fill 6,540 feet long with the top elevation 369.8 feet above national geodetic datum, with top width of 18 feet. The spillway, which is located 1,500 feet east of the east end of the dam, is an unpaved free overflow type, 1,500 feet long with a fixed crest at elevation 359. A sluice intake structure near the center of the dam serves a 9.0-foot diameter concrete conduit. Storage allocated for water supply and water quality control varies seasonally from 21,400 acre-feet to 34,300 acre-feet between a minimum elevation of 328 and top-of-conservation-pool elevation varying from 339 to 343. Storage varying from 46,500 to 59,500 acre-feet between the top of the conservation pool and elevation 352 has been reserved exclusively for storage of flood waters. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Requirements have been fully complied with.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Costs for the year for ordinary maintenance and recreational management amount to $1,551,450. Recreation attendance at the reservoir during FY 2005 totaled 752,211 visits.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction began in June 1965, and was completed in November 1968. Total Federal cost of the existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $1,408,737.

35. TOMBIGBEE RIVER (EAST FORK), MS AND AL

Location. The project is located on the Tombigbee River and its tributaries between the junction of the Browns and Mackeys Creek in Itawamba County, Mississippi, for a distance of 53 miles along the East Fork of the Tombigbee River, from Walkers Bridge at the junction of Browns and Mackeys Creeks to the Monroe County line.

Existing project. Provides for alleviation of floods from the Tombigbee River by clearing and snagging and excavation of 13 cut-off channels, and other related channel improvements. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Fully complied with on work done under the 1963 Flood Control Act. Work to be done under authority of the 1941 Flood Control Act requires local interest to provide all lands, easements, and rights-of-way for project construction; hold and save the United States free from damages due to construction of the project; and maintain and operate all the works after completion in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary of the Army.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Routine maintenance of channels cost $130,767.

Condition at end of fiscal year. The project for Itawamba County, as authorized in the 1936 Flood Control Act, was completed during fiscal year 1940. No work has been done on the extension of the project authorized in the 1941 Flood Control Act. Total Federal cost of the existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $134,801 for new work and $4,890,895 for maintenance, a total of $5,025,696.

36. TOMBIGBEE RIVER TRIBUTARIES, MS AND AL

Location. The Tombigbee River rises in extreme northeast Mississippi and flows southerly through eastern Mississippi and western Alabama, emptying into the Mobile River about 45 miles above its mouth at Mobile, Alabama. Tributaries to be improved for flood control are all in northeast Mississippi and northwest Alabama. Luxapalila Creek project consists of 32 miles of completed channel modifications. Approved estimate of cost for new work is $42,108,000; consisting of $37,743,000 Federal funds, and $4,365,000 non-Federal funds. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Local interests must furnish lands and rights-of-way for construction; make all roads, highway bridge, and utility changes, alterations, additions, and relocations necessary for the project; hold the United States free from damages; prevent future encroachments along the improved channels; maintain all works after completion, with the exception of Twenty Mile Creek from mile 11.7 to mile 22.0.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

New work: None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction commenced in July 1965. Total project is complete. Total Federal cost of existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $40,020,744 for new work. Contributed funds for new work amounted to $570,113.

37. VILLAGE CREEK, BIRMINGHAM, AL

Location. The project is located in central Alabama, Jefferson County, in the city of Birmingham, Alabama.

Existing project. None. The project is basically non-structural and includes evacuating 642 structures, in six (6) separate neighborhoods, from the floodplain; enlarging 2 miles of the stream channel in the vicinity of the Municipal Airport which also involves modification of three (3) bridges, demolishing two (2) unused bridges, and relocating two (2) waterlines and other utilities, installing three (3) emergency floodwarning devices; and creating an area of 210 acres which can be utilized for future recreation development. Channel enlargements (2 miles) will reduce annual damages near Municipal Airport by 82 percent and evacuation of 642 structures will reduce annual damages in residential areas by 61 percent. The channel segment is not being constructed at the request of the sponsor.

Local cooperation. The Local Cooperation Agreement with the city of Birmingham, Alabama was executed on December 14, 1988. The local sponsor has also agreed to make all required payments concurrently with the project construction.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

New work: None.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Real Estate acquisition started January 1989. The project is complete with acquisition of 634 tracts. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $22,887,742 for new work. Contributed funds for new work amounted to $7,196,238.

38. FLOOD CONTROL WORK UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

Flood Control activities pursuant to Section 205, Public Law 858, 80th Congress, as amended (Preauthorization).

Snagging and Clearing for flood control pursuant to Section 208 of Flood Control Act of 1954, as amended.

Emergency streambank and shoreline protection pursuant to Section 14 of the Flood Control Act of 1946, as amended.

(See Table 10-J)

Emergency flood control activities - repair, flood fighting, and rescue work under Public Law 99, 84th Congress, and antecedent legislation, and disaster relief and assistance under Public law 288, 93d Congress. (See Table 10-J)

39. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS

Local flood protection works for which inspection is performed under this heading consist of levee projects at West Point, Georgia, on the Chattahoochee River; Beaver Creek at Montezuma, Georgia, in the Flint River Basin; Elba and Geneva, Alabama in the Choctawhatchee River Basin; Rome, Georgia, and Little Wills Creek at Collinsville, Alabama, in the Alabama-Coosa River Basin. Channel rectification projects include Little Cove Creek, Glencoe, Alabama and Black Creek, Gadsden, Alabama in the Alabama-Coosa River Basin; Big Brown Creek, Donivan Creek, Twenty Mile Creek and Mantachie Creek, near Fulton, Mississippi, Burketts Creek and Stanifer Creek near Amory, Mississippi, Houlka Creek and Sakatonchee Creek in Chickasaw and Clay Counties, Mississippi, James Creek in Monroe County, Mississippi, and Luxapalila Creek, Lowndes County, Mississippi, all in the Tombigbee River Basin; Sowashee Creek, Meridian, Mississippi in the Pascagoula River Basin; Burnt Corn and Murder Creeks, Brewton, Alabama in the Conecuh River Basin; Autauga Creek, Prattville, Alabama; Poley Bridge, Goose Pond and Walnut Creeks, Clanton, Alabama; Pinchgut Creek, and Cahaba River, Trussville, Alabama; Town Creek, Americus, Georgia; and Lake Douglas in Bainbridge, Georgia. Shore protection and erosion control projects include Harrison County, Mississippi; Chattahoochee River at La Grange, Troup County, Georgia; Pumpkinvine Creek, Emerson, Georgia; and Chickasawbogue Creek, US Highway 43 Bridge, Linden, Alabama. The project at Rome and Montezuma, Georgia and Collinsville, Alabama include pumping stations. Inspections are made annually to determine the extent of compliance with approved regulations for maintenance and operation of the project. Responsible local officials are advised of inadequacies in the maintenance and operation of the local flood protection works under their jurisdiction where appropriate. Followup for compliance of the deficient projects continued during the year. Fiscal year costs were $70,917. Total cost as of September 30, 2005 is $928,029 charged to maintenance.

40. OTHER AUTHORIZED FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS

(See Table 10-E.) Multiple-Purpose Projects Including Power

Multiple Purpose Power Projects

41. ALLATOONA DAM, COOSA RIVER BASIN, GA

Location. The project is on Etowah River in Bartow County, Georgia, about 48 miles upstream from Rome, Georgia, about five miles due east of Cartersville, Georgia, and about 2,000 feet downstream from mouth of Allatoona Creek. The reservoir extends about 28 miles up the Etowah River at maximum power-pool elevation of 840 feet above mean sea level.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a dam and reservoir for flood control, regulation of streamflow for navigation, and development of hydroelectric power. Height above the river bottom of the concrete, gravity-type dam is about 190 feet, from elevation 690 feet to 880 feet national geodetic datum. The spillway, with crest at elevation 835, is controlled by nine tainter gates, 40 feet wide by twenty-six feet high, and two tainter gates, 20 feet wide by twenty-six feet high; having a combined discharge capacity of 321,000 cubic feet per second with the water surface at elevation 870.3. One 48-inch diameter sluicing conduit with a free discharge valve and four sluices, 5 feet-8 inches wide by 10 feet high, are included in dam. Installed generating capacity consists of two 36,000 kilowatt units and one 2,000 kilowatt unit, or a total of 74,000 kilowatts. The reservoir, covering 19,200 acres at elevation 860, has a storage capacity of 670,000 acre-feet. The initial construction cost was $31,424,738, excluding the addition of recreation facilities at the completed project. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. None required. (Sec. 2, Flood Control Act of June 28, 1938, applies).

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Operation and maintenance of the dam, reservoir, powerhouse, service and recreational activities, and administration totaled $6,484,000. Gross power generation amounted to 216,785 megawatt hours during FY 2005. Recreation attendance at Allatoona Lake during FY 2005 totaled 5,663,215 visits.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction of the existing project began in March 1944 and was completed in October 1955. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September

30, 2005 is $35,709,085 for new work and $168,840,937 for maintenance, a total of $204,550,022.

42. BUFORD DAM, LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GA

Location. Buford Dam is on the Chattahoochee River about 348.9 miles above its mouth, 50 miles above Atlanta and five miles northwest of Buford, Georgia. The reservoir, Lake Sidney Lanier, extends about 47 miles upstream along the Chattahoochee River, and about 21 miles up the Chestatee River, which enters the Chattahoochee River 14.5 miles above the dam.

Existing project. The authorized project provides for a rolled-earth dam 1,630 feet long with crest elevation 1,106 feet national geodetic datum, or about 192 feet above streambed elevation; three earth saddle dikes with a total length of 5,406 feet; a chute spillway with crest at elevation 1,085; a powerhouse in a deep cut with steel penstocks in tunnels, and concrete intake structure at the upstream end of the tunnels; and a flood control sluice tunnel paralleling the power tunnels. The Lake Sidney Lanier reservoir has a gross capacity of 2,554,000 acre-feet of which 637,000 acre-feet of storage is reserved for flood control storage. The power installations consist of one generating unit of 6,000 kilowatts and two units of 40,000 kilowatts each, or a total of 86,000 kilowatts. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. None required.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Operation and maintenance cost $8,425,500. Gross power generation amounted to 224,661 megawatt hours during FY 2005. Recreational attendance at Lake Sidney Lanier during FY 2005 totaled 7,706,568 visits.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction commenced in March 1950 and was completed in June 1960. The reservoir was in useful operation for flood control in February 1956. The first power generating unit was placed on the line June 19, 1957; the second on July 26, 1957; and the third on October 10, 1957. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $53,030,038 for new work, major rehabilitation cost $26,292,680 and $211,063,368 for maintenance, a total of $290,386,086. (See also Table 10-M.)

43. CARTERS DAM AND RESERVOIR, GA

Location. The damsite is in Murray County, Georgia, on the Coosawattee River 26.8 miles above its junction with Conasauga River, one of the headwater tributaries of the Alabama-Coosa system. It is 60 miles north of Atlanta near the town of Oakman, Georgia. The reservoir is in both Murray and Gilmer Counties.

Existing project. The existing project consists of a 1,950-foot long rockfill dam across the river, three saddle dikes on the left bank, a 258-foot long high-level, gated spillway on the left bank, a powerhouse on the right bank having two conventional units with a generating capacity of 125,000 kilowatts each and two pump-generating units of the same size, and a regulating dam 2,855 feet long with a gated spillway 208 feet long 1.5 miles downstream from the main dam. The lake has an area of 3,220 acres, at maximum pool power elevation 1,072, total capacity of 472,800 acre-feet, of which 134,900 acre-feet is usable for power and 95,700 acre-feet reserved for flood control and 242,200 acre-feet dead storage. For other information see description of Alabama-Coosa project.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Operation and maintenance of the dam, reservoir, powerhouse, service and recreational activities and administration totaled $10,809,000. Gross power generation amounted to 450,918 megawatt hours during FY 2005. Recreation attendance during FY 2005 totaled 561,237 visits.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction which commenced in April 1962 and completed in September 1980. Total Federal cost of the existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $251,502,596, including $111,140,340 for new work and $140,362,256 for maintenance.

44. JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM, GA AND FL

Location. The project is located on the Apalachicola River 107.6 miles above its mouth, about 1,000 feet below confluence of the Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, and 1.5 miles northwest of Chattahoochee, Florida. Reservoir extends about 46.5 miles upstream along the Chattahoochee River to the vicinity of Columbia, Alabama, and about 47 miles upstream along Flint River, or 17 miles above Bainbridge, Georgia. (See Geological Survey maps for southwest Georgia.)

Existing project. The existing project provides for a concrete open-crest spillway 1,634 feet long on the right bank, with a crest at elevation 79 feet national geodetic datum; a single-lift lock with usable chamber dimensions of 82 by 450 constituting a portion of the dam; an earth section 506 feet, a maximum lift of 33 feet, and depth over the sills of 14 feet; a gated spillway 766

feet long with the bridge at elevation 107 feet national geodetic datum, or about 67 feet above the streambed elevation; a powerhouse with an intake section constituting a portion of the dam; an earth section 506 feet long to accommodate the switchyard and substation; and an overflow dike section 2,130 feet long on the left bank, with a crest at elevation 85. The underlying foundation is limestone. At the normal pool elevation of 77, the reservoir has a total capacity of 406,160 acre-feet. The power installation consists of three units of 10,000 kilowatts each, or a total of 30,000 kilowatts. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Required cooperation is being fulfilled. Easements for rights-of-way and spoil-disposal areas were provided as required along the Apalachicola River. Adequate public terminals were constructed by local interests at Bainbridge, Georgia, on the Flint River, and at Columbia, Alabama, on the Chattahoochee River. Facilities are being planned for other localities on the project.

Terminal facilities. A public wharf with concrete deck for handling general cargo, a bulk storage terminal for petroleum, a grain elevator, and private riverside facilities at Bainbridge, Georgia, on the Flint River, are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Operation and maintenance cost $7,545,000. Gross power generation amounted to 241,903 megawatt hours during FY 2005. Recreational attendance at Lake Seminole during FY 2005 totaled 1,233,736 visits.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction of the lock was commenced in 1947, and completed in 1957. The pool was opened to navigation in May 1954, and the pool was raised to project level in January 1957. The first power-generating unit was placed on-the-line on February 1, the second on March 1, and the third April 26, 1957. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $47,978,858 for new work, major rehabilitation cost $31,673,389 and $157,928,149 for maintenance, a total of $237,580,396. (See also Table 10-M.)

45. MILLERS FERRY LOCK AND DAM, AL

Location. The site is in Wilcox County at mile 142.2 on the Alabama River, 10 miles northwest of Camden, Alabama, and 30 miles southwest of Selma.

Existing project. The existing project consists of an earth dike on the right bank, a concrete, gravity-gated spillway in the river channel, a lock and mound on the left bank, an earth dike extending downstream paralleling the lock, to the powerhouse intake structure; a powerhouse, and an earth dike extending to high ground on the left bank. Normal upper pool is at elevation 80. The powerplant contains two 25,000 kilowatt units and one 30,000 kilowatt unit. The 103-mile long reservoir has an area of 17,200 acres at normal pool level and a total capacity of 331,800 acre-feet. The lock chamber is 84 by 600 feet with a 13-foot depth over the miter sills. For other information see description of Alabama-Coosa project.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Operation and maintenance of lock, dam, powerhouse, reservoir, and administration cost $4,849,000. Gross power generation amounted to 470,725 megawatt hours during FY 2005. Recreation attendance at William “Bill” Dannelly Reservoir during FY 2005 totaled 1,599,206 visits.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction began in April 1963. The lock was placed in temporary operations in June 1968 and opened to full use in November 1969. The powerhouse units were placed on line during April and May 1970. The project was completed in 1980. Total Federal cost of the project as of September 30, 2005 is $63,125,300 for new work and $121,100,994 for maintenance, a total of $184,226,294.

46. ROBERT F. HENRY LOCK AND DAM, AL

Location. The site is in Lowndes and Autauga Counties at mile 281.2 on the Alabama River, 26 miles west of Montgomery.

Existing project. The existing project provides for a navigation lock, a gated spillway, and a power plant located at mile 281.2. The normal upper pool is at elevation 125.0 and the minimum lower pool due to the Millers Ferry Lock and Dam is at elevation 80.0. The structures consist of earth dikes and a power plant on the right bank, a gated spillway in the river channel, a lock and mound on the left bank, and an earth dike extending upstream parallel to the Western Railway of Alabama. The total length of the structures is about 14,962 feet with maximum height above the foundation at the power plant intake about 101 feet. The power plant contains four 20,500-kw. units. The 88-mile long reservoir has an area of 12,300 acres at normal pool level and a total capacity of 234,200 acre-feet. The lock has a chamber 84 feet wide and 600 feet long and provides a 12-foot depth over the lower miter sill. For other information see description of Alabama-Coosa project.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Operation and maintenance costs amounted to $5,140,000. Gross power generation amounted to 414,907

megawatt hours during FY 2005. Recreation attendance during FY 2005 totaled 1,366,249 visits.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction began in March 1966 and is complete. The first powerhouse unit was placed in operation in June 1975, with the last unit on line in November 1975. Total Federal cost of the project as of September 30, 2005 is $178,392,427, including $83,360,800 for new work and $95,031,627 for maintenance.

47. WALTER F. GEORGE LOCK AND DAM, AL AND GA

Location. The project is on the Chattahoochee River about 75.2 miles above its mouth and about 1.5 miles above Fort Gaines, Georgia. (See Geological Survey maps for southwest Georgia.)

Existing project. The existing project provides for a concrete dam, gated spillway, and single-lift lock, with earth embankments at either side. The non-overflow section of the dam includes a powerhouse and an intake structure. The gated spillway is 708 feet long with a fixed crest at elevation 163 feet national geodetic datum. The two earth embankments, of almost equal lengths, have a total length of 12,128 feet, with a crest elevation at 215, and a maximum height of about 68 feet. The nonoverflow section of the concrete dam is 200 feet long, with the deck of the powerhouse section at elevation 208. The lock, with usable chamber dimensions of 82 feet by 450 feet, has a lift of 88 feet with the normal upper pool elevation at 190. Depths are 13 feet over the lower sill and 18 feet over the upper sill at normal pool elevation. The underlying foundation is limestone. Total reservoir capacity is 934,400 acre-feet, with 244,000 acre-feet reserved for power. The power installation consists of four units of 32,500 kilowatts each, or a total of 130,000 kilowatts. The project provides for maintenance, including operation and care. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. Local interests must maintain and operate all utility and highway facilities which may be relocated or otherwise altered as part of the improvement, provide suitable public terminal facilities, and hold the United States free from damages. Local agencies and other organizations have indicated their willingness and ability to comply.

Terminal facilities. Public wharves at Eufaula and Phenix City, Alabama, and Columbus, Georgia, are considered adequate for existing commerce.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Operation and maintenance cost for FY 2005 was $7,353,600. Gross power generation amounted to 510,248 megawatt hours during FY 2005. Recreational attendance during FY 2005 totaled 3,693,899 visits.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction was completed in 1963. The lock was opened to navigation in June 1963. The first power generating unit was placed on-the-line in March, the second in May, the third in September, and the fourth in November 1963. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $88,330,669 for new work, major rehabilitation cost $39,368,486 and $192,466,792 for maintenance, a total cost of $320,165,947. (See also Table 10-M.)

48. WEST POINT LAKE, CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVER BASIN, GA AND AL

Location. The damsite is on the Chattahoochee River 2.8 miles upstream from West Point, Georgia, 201.4 miles above the mouth of the Chattahoochee River, and 309.2 miles above the mouth of the Apalachicola River. At the full power pool elevation of 635 feet above national geodetic datum, the reservoir would lie in Troup and Heard Counties, Georgia, and in Chambers and Randolph Counties, Alabama (See Geological Survey maps of Georgia and Alabama.)

Existing project. The existing project provides for flood control, power, recreation, fish and wildlife development, and streamflow regulation for downstream navigation. The project provides for a gravity-type concrete dam 896 feet long with earth embankments at either end 1,111 feet long on the east end and 5,243 feet long on the west end. The total length of the dam and spillway is 7,250 feet. The main dam consists of a concrete non-overflow section, 185 feet long on the west side and an earth embankment retaining wall on the east side; a gravity concrete spillway 390 feet long, including piers and abutments, with six tainter gates, each 50 feet by 41 feet. A monolith intake-powerhouse section and erection bay 321 feet long is constructed directly west and adjacent to the spillway. At the full power-pool elevation of 635 the reservoir provides a total storage of 605,000 acre-feet of which 307,000 acre-feet is usable. During the critical flood season the reservoir is operated with maximum power pool elevation at 625 feet to provide flood storage between elevations 625 and 635. The initial power installation of 73,375 kilowatts consisting of units 1, 2 and 3 were placed in operation in March and April, 1975. (See Table 10-B for authorizing legislation.)

Local cooperation. None required.

Operations and results during fiscal year.

Maintenance: Operation and maintenance of the project totaled $6,037,900. Gross power generation amounted to 212,306 megawatt hours during FY 2005. Recreational attendance during FY 2005 totaled 3,199,052 visits.

Condition at end of fiscal year. Construction of the project, which was initiated in June 1966, and completed at the end of FY 1984. Total Federal cost under existing project as of September 30, 2005 is $131,565,760 for new work and $141,395,940 for maintenance, a total of $272,961,700 (See also Table 10-M.)

49. SCHEDULING FLOOD CONTROL RESERVOIR OPERATIONS

Mobile District monitors flood control operations of Alabama Power Company's Weiss, H. Neely Henry, and Logan Martin Dams on Coosa River, Alabama, and their Lewis Smith Dam on Sipsey Fork (headwaters of Black Warrior River, Alabama), for compliance with regulation plans prepared in accordance with Public Law 436, 83rd Congress, and Federal Power Commission licenses. Fiscal year cost for these activities on the Weiss, H. Neely Henry, and Logan Martin Dams are included under operation and maintenance costs for the Alabama-Coosa Rivers. Fiscal year cost for the Lewis Smith Dam is included under the overall operation and maintenance costs for the Black Warrior-Tombigbee Rivers System.

50. FLOOD CONTROL AND COASTAL EMERGENCIES (FC & CE)

Disaster Preparedness Program	$3,215,689
Emergency Operations	4,538,243
Rehabilitation	58,002
Miscellaneous Reimbursable	389,963,162
Total FC & CE	$397,775,096

51. NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAM (NEPP)

National Preparedness	$320,930
Local Preparedness	18,828
Emergency Facilities	31,103
Training and Exercise	10,000
Other Programs/Activity	14,993
Total NEPP	$395,854

52. REGULATORY FUNCTIONS

PROGRAM

Permit Evaluation	$2,790,473
Enforcement	206,474
Studies	8,371
Other Navigational Regulations	9,765
Coastal Mississippi EIS	32,363
Administrative Appeals	5,056
Total Regulatory	$3,052,502

53. PROJECT MODIFICATION TO IMPROVE ENVIRONMENT (SECTION 1135)

Mayo's Bar, Floyd County, GA	$6,231
Coordination Account Funds	9,018
Gator Lake, Panama City Harbor, FL	34,389
Disposal Area 39, Liberty County, FL	-0-
Coosa River Levees, GA	-0-
Paddlefish Spawning, MS	-0-
Preliminary Restoration Plan	23,051
Osborne Creek, MS	6,176
Mantachie Creek, MS	52,476
Claiborne Dam Fish Passage, AL	-0-
Lake Seminole-Spring Restoration	-0-
Longwood Cove, GA	63,446
Total Improvement (Sec. 1135, P.L. 99-662)	$194,787

54. AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION (SECTION 206)

Big Escambia Creek, FL	$1,687,509
Coordination Account Funds	3,299
Gulf Breeze Wetlands, FL	-0-
Marvel Slab Removal, AL	86,416
Preliminary Restoration Plans	-0-
Panama City Harbor East Pass, FL	-0-
Butler Creek Detention Pond	74,414
Lake Jackson, FL	8,482
Bell Fountaine Jackson Co., MS	-0-
Deadman's Island, FL	-0-
Allatoona Creek, GA	-0-
Proctor Creek, GA	-0-
Shoal Creek, GA	-0-
Chattahoochee River Dam Removal, GA	39,672

Crooked Creek, Gwinnett, GA…….....-0-
West Bay Wave Break, FL……-0-
Salt Marsh and Seagrass, FL…….....-0-
Ginger Creek, GA ……...-0-
Flat Creek…….....-0-
Anneewakee Creek Watershed, GA……..-0-
Settingdown Creek Watershed, Forsyth County, GA……...-0-

Flint River Watershed, Clayton County, GA…….-0-
Little River Watershed, Hall County, GA……..……-0-
Mirror Lake, Spring Hill College, Mobile, AL……10,024

Big Creek, Forsythe County, GA……...-0-
Mountain Park Dam, GA…….86,253

Total Restoration (Sec. 206, P.L. 104-303)……..$1,996,069

55. OTHER AQUATIC HABITAT (SECTION 204)

Coordination Account Funds $2,088
Dauphin Island Parkway, AL..... 49,901
Deer Island Marsh, MS 40,579
Cadet Bayou Marsh Creation, Hancock County, MS 49,694
Grand Batture Island, MS 78,532
Helen Wood Park, AL..... 32,726

Total Other Aquatic (Sec. 204, P.L. 102-560) $253,520

General Investigations

56. SURVEYS

During FY 2005, costs of $2,010,381 were incurred as shown below:

Flood Damage Preventive Studies $93,808
Navigation Studies ..... -0-
Special Studies 1,071,124
Shoreline Protection Studies 703,814
Miscellaneous Activities 57,786
Coordination with Other Agencies, and non-Federal Interests 83,849
Total Surveys $2,010,381

57. COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA

Collection and study of basic data continued during the fiscal year with the initiation of Flood Insurance studies, the cost of which was reimbursable by FEMA. In addition, Flood Plain Management Services were performed at a cost of $130,646 and $4,854 expended for Hydrologic Studies. (See Table 10-P for listings of studies completed during FY 2005.)

58. PRECONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING AND DESIGN

Total FY 2005 expenditures for Preconstruction, Engineering and Design (PED) was $0.

59. RIVERS AND HARBORS CONTRIBUTED FUNDS (GENERAL INVESTIGATION)

Contributed funds expended for authorized Federal studies included:

Birmingham Village Creek Watershed, AL $132,136
Brewton and East Brewton, AL 59,077
Dog River Watershed, AL..... -0-
Hancock County, MS 88,155
Metro Atlanta Watershed, GA 33,766
Planning Assistance to States..... 139,688

Total Contributed Funds $452,822

TABLE 10-A COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Section In Text	Project	Funding	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY 05	Total Cost to Sept. 30, 2005
Navigation							
1.	Alabama-Coosa	New Work:					
	Rivers, AL and GA	Approp.	-	-	-	-	3,245,400
		Cost	-	-	-	-	3,245,400
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	4,582,000	2,611,000	3,749,334	4,640,000	136,176,987[24]
		Cost	4,503,947	2,694,342	3,675,097	4,355,626	135,798,320[24]
1A.	Claiborne Lock and	New Work:					
	Dam, AL	Approp.	-	-	-		27,997,450
		Cost	-	-	-	-	27,997,450
1B.	Coosa River,	New Work:					
	Montgomery to	Approp.	-	-	-	-	14,986,400[23]
	Gadsden, AL	Cost	-	-	2,535	-	14,988,935[23]
2.	Apalachicola Bay, FL	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	2,033,461[1]
		Cost	-	-	-	-	2,033,461[1]
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	114,950	323,750	22,189	222,670	10,036,527[2]
		Cost	114,532	324,365	21,431	222,206	10,035,273[2]
3.	Apalachicola,	New Work:					
	Chattahoochee	Approp.	-	-	-	-	4,452,162[26]
	And Flint Rivers,	Cost	-	-	-	-	4,452,162[26]
	AL and GA	Maint.:					
		Approp.	12,906,209	1,871,804	3,130,726	1,628,000	158,096,500[27]
		Cost	8,973,843	5,779,159	3,184,911	1,630,018	158,085,150[27]
3A.	George W. Andrews	New Work:					
	Lock and Dam	Approp.	-	-	-	-	13,038,427[29]
	AL and GA	Cost	-	-	-	-	13,038,427[29]
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	30	30	-	-	30
		Cost	30	30	-	-	30
4.	Aquatic Plant	New Work:					
	Control	Approp.	50,000	-1,100	-	-	1,018,087
		Cost	10,563	13,859	5,290	5,189	981,172
	(Contributed Funds)	Maint.:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	21,225
		Cost	-	-	-	-	21,225
5.	Bayou Coden, AL	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	330,701[3]
		Cost	-	-	-	-	330,701[3]
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	378,000	-	21,670	1,153,846	3,466,674
		Cost	6,715	370,624	22,512	1,147,450	3,459,900
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	100,000
		Cost	-	-	-	-	100,000
	(Contributed Funds)	Maint.:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	134,357
		Cost	-2,428	-	-	-	131,912
6.	Bayou La Batre, AL	New Work:					
		Approp.	-115,900	-	-	-	5,755,195
		Cost	-36,699	-	-	-	5,755,195
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	844,000	36,000	130,575	1,160,004	12,990,326
		Cost	840,308	37,762	131,985	1,162,621	12,990,326
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-18,882	-	-	-	678,618[4]
		Cost	36,738	-	-	-	678,618[4]
7.	Biloxi Harbor, MS	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	1,431,919[5]
		Cost	-	-	-	-	1,431,919[5]
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	82,000	2,814,000	324,639	2,654,176	21,497,488[6]
		Cost	107,279	2,799,065	332,312	2,547,347	21,360,172[6]
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	102,600
		Cost	-	-	-	-	102,600
	(Contributed Funds)	Maint.:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	238,640
		Cost	-	-	-	-	238,640

TABLE 10-A (continued) COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Section In Text	Project	Funding	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	Total Cost to Sept. 30, 2005
8.	Black Warrior and Tombigbee Rivers, AL	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	88,461,935[7]
		Cost	-	-	-	-	88,461,935[7]
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	25,972,000	22,953,902	24,659,016	20,464,000	529,492,260[8]
		Cost	22,679,480	24,142,192	26,485,626	20,054,787	528,649,096[8]
		Major Rehab.					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	52,292,880
		Cost	-	-	-	-	52,292,880
8A.	Oliver Lock and Dam (Replacement), AL	New Work:					
		Approp.	-35,000	-800	-		61,373,200
		Cost	-	87	-		61,373,200
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	63,164,125
		Cost	-	-	-	-	63,128,156
9.	Bon Secour River, AL	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	150,615
		Cost	-	-	-	-	150,615
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	3,000	-	-		2,894,758
		Cost	25,876	-	-		2,891,686
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	9,700
		Cost	-	-	-	-	9,700
10.	Carrabelle Bar and Harbor, FL	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	481,627
		Cost	-	-	-	-	481,627
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	-	29,100	4,079	-	1,067,264
		Cost	60	28,270	5,567	-	1,067,101
11.	Dauphin Island Bay, AL	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-500	-	292,364
		Cost	-	-	-	-	292,864
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	18,000	431,000	172,455	958,921	5,839,673
		Cost	18,512	435,064	173,761	959,885	5,834,564
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	41,422
		Cost	-	-	-	-	41,422
12.	Dog and Fowl Rivers, AL	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	391,354
		Cost	-	-	-	-	391,354
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	2,142,000	0	16,070	745,383	8,808,597
		Cost	2,830,712	700,014	35,345	739,424	8,479,085
	(Contributed Funds)	Maint.:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	197,450
		Cost	-	-	-	-	195,626
12A.	Dog River Pilot, AL	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	0	-	60,000	661,000
		Cost	39,978	6,553	8,941	20,706	487,440
13.	East Pass Channel From Gulf of Mexico into Choctwhatchee Bay, FL	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	916,715
		Cost	-	-	-	-	916,715
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	888,850	608,225	29,982	1,005,084	16,360,602
		Cost	888,908	513,637	124,595	1,005,084	16,360,601
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	398,000
		Cost	-	-	-	-	398,000
14.	Fly Creek, AL	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	29,000
		Cost	-	-	-	-	29,000
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	179,000	-33,000	-281	-	1,119,840
		Cost	145,424	1,231	-	-	1,119,835
15.	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway between Apalachee Bay, FL and Mexican Border	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	6,480,299[9]
		Cost	-	-	-	-	6,480,299[9]
		Maint.:					

TABLE 10-A (continued) COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Section In Text	Project	Funding	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	Total Cost to Sept. 30, 2005
		Approp.	4,377,995	5,784,250	4,019,436	8,751,270	127,309,097[10]
		Cost	4,348,216	5,801,824	4,032,738	7,734,760	126,285,651[10]
16.	Gulfport Harbor, MS	New Work:					
		Approp.	84,000	345,000	1,444,510	292,150	26,922,660[11]
		Cost	130,245	377,314	1,444,801	209,419	26,779,418[11]
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	235,000	6,056,000	2,510,850	5,111,255	75,830,232[12]
		Cost	362,192	6,074,469	2,509,012	4,218,110	74,924,461[12]
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	197,000	453,000	-	9,288,980
		Cost	-	3,200	277,524	288,091	9,207,795
17.	Mobile Harbor, AL	New Work:					
		Approp.	197,000	85,120	21,000	26,420	97,943,475[13]
		Cost	343,313	72,756	31,612	28,330	97,942,966[13]
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	26,314,000	22,061,000	21,031,907	38,961,218	435,841,427[14]
		Cost	25,628,125	22,452,804	21,033,875	33,621,802	430,120,189[14]
	(Contributed Funds)	Maint.:					
		Contrib.	-	-10,000	-	-	19,132,500
		Cost	-	-10,000	55,345	-	19,124,309
		New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	202,040
		Cost	-	-	-	-	202,040
18.	Panama City Harbor, FL	New Work:					
		Approp.	321,000	1,995,000	346,000	-	4,725,045[15]
		Cost	392,852	2,039,845	357,917	-	4,724,110[15]
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	19,400	993,300	1,054,309	417,040	16,026,104[16]
		Cost	19,416	990,225	1,054,602	419,833	16,026,103[16]
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	1,750,000	850,000	-	2,680,000
		Cost	-	557,819	1,199,943	155,760	1,993,522
19.	Pascagoula Harbor, MS	New Work:					
		Approp.	1,622,000	552,935	3,229,764	317,240	40,826,924[17]
		Cost	1,912,103	886,413	3,232,014	314,433	40,824,036[17]
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	5,889,000	1,477,195	7,207,829	6,426,604	103,475,956[18]
		Cost	6,473,267	1,538,061	7,218,784	4,936,001	101,983,235[18]
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	1,100,000	417,652	2,000,000	150,000	13,244,754
		Cost	1,566,352	110,049	2,121,780	12,881	12,888,164
	(Contributed Funds)	Maint.:					
		Contrib.	613,412	600,000	239,000	214,400	9,413,696
		Cost	556,340	652,604	207,135	253,932	9,391,102
19A.	Bayou Casotte, MS	New Work:					
		Approp.	-791,200	-	15,300	-	2,090,100
		Cost	-256,665	-	15,804	-	2,090,100
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-236,809	-	713,191
		Cost	62,061	3,549	-13,379	-	713,191
20.	Pensacola Harbor, FL	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	1,469,693[19]
		Cost	-	-	-	-	1,469,693[19]
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	236,755	35,135	233,490	713,537	10,650,724[20]
		Cost	249,702	93,232	237,794	391,551	10,327,680[20]
	(Contributed Funds)	Maint.:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	312,350
		Cost	-	-	-	-	312,350
21.	Perdido Pass Channel, AL	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	629,860
		Cost	-	-	-	-	629,860
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	988,000	1,642,000	162,827	1,786,607	15,795,050
		Cost	588,136	1,937,814	269,071	1,735,013	15,742,865

TABLE 10-A (continued) COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Section In Text	Project	Funding	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	Total Cost to Sept. 30, 2005
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	510,000
		Cost	-	-	-	-	510,000
	(Contributed Funds)	Maint.:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	10,325
		Cost	-	-	-	-	10,325
22.	Tennessee-Tombigbee	New Work:					
	Waterway, AL and MS	Approp.	-1,300	-	-69,384	-	1,053,001,016[21]
		Cost	43	-1,755	-67,634	-	1,053,001,011[21]
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	28,280,940	24,511,926	21,256,587	25,885,060	449,636,213[22]
		Cost	24,954,726	25,036,502	24,396,633	23,480,076	447,093,204[22]
22A.	Tenn-Tom Wildlife	New Work:					
	Mitigation	Approp.	-180,000	-12,700	-30,574	-	92,176,726
		Cost	69,477	7,685	4,662	-239	92,176,425
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	1,870,000	1,848,400	1,388,000	1,870,000	6,976,400
		Cost	1,829,202	1,877,973	1,387,780	1,865,887	6,960,842
22B.	Tenn-Tom Wildlife	New Work:					
	Environmental	Approp.	-	-	5,440	240,000	2,375,440
		Cost	5,976	-176,678	202,297	240,000	2,375,365
	Flood Control						
31.	Cedar Point Extension	New Work:					
	Bay St. Louis, MS	Approp.	-	-700	-	-	684,300
		Cost	-	24	-	-	605,316
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-590	-	56,010
		Cost	-	-	-	-	-
32.	Choctawhatchee and	New Work:					
	Pea Rivers, AL and FL	Approp.	-4,800	-	-	-	381,000
		Cost	-	37	-	-	381,000
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	150,988
		Cost	-	-	-	-	150,988
32A.	Choctawhatchee and	New Work:					
	Pea Rivers, Elba and	Approp.	-11,600	-	-	-	629,400
	Geneva Levees, AL	Cost	-	44	-	-	629,400
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	338,835
		Cost	-	-	-	-	338,835
33.	Dane Avenue	New Work:					
	Waveland, MS	Approp.	290,000	-	-	-	1,000,000
		Cost	404,743	-	-	-	1,000,000
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-117,490	-	-	524,810
		Cost	491,634	-	-	-	524,810
34.	Graveline Bayou East	New Work:					
	Jackson County, MS	Approp.	-4,954	-9,800	-	-15,905	241,241
		Cost	3,454	13,146	-5,779	-10,050	241,233
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-12,789	91,511
		Cost	-	427	8,701	10,050	91,510
35.	Gulf Breeze Wetlands	New Work:					
	Gulf Breeze, FL	Approp.	-	-6,800	-215	-	139,185
		Cost	10,963	757	-194	-	139,186
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	6,235
		Cost	3,771	2,270	194	-	6,235
36.	Gulf of Mexico,	New Work:					
	Highway 193	Approp.	-	-	-	-	1,000,000
	Mobile County, AL	Cost	1,383	-	-	-	1,000,000
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-6,250	-	632,034
		Cost	-1,383	-	-	-	632,034
37.	Gulfside Seawall	New Work:					
	Waveland, MS	Approp.	4,954	1,749	-	-	668,503
		Cost	30,479	6,703	-	-	666,754

TABLE 10-A (continued) COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Section In Text	Project	Funding	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY 05	Total Cost to Sept. 30, 2005
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-37,182	-	-	337,483
		Cost	-30,479	-6,703	-	-	337,483
38.	Texas Flat Road	New Work:					
	Hancock County, MS	Approp.	-	-4,200	-	-	190,900
		Cost	7	33	-	-	190,900
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-6,064	-	-	81,236
		Cost	-7	-	-	-	81,236
39.	Okatibbee Dam, MS	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	9,739,528
		Cost	-	-	-	-	9,739,528
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	1,551,102	1,605,000	1,473,211	1,394,000	35,563,969
		Cost	1,520,122	1,524,287	1,551,450	1,408,737	35,529,652
40.	Tombigbee River	New Work:					
	(East Fork)	Approp.	-	-	-	-	134,801
	MS and AL	Cost	-	-	-	-	134,801
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	158,000	126,500	149,600	142,000	4,892,978
		Cost	156,272	130,767	141,361	148,174	4,890,895
41.	Tombigbee River	New Work:					
	Tributaries,	Approp.	-	5,970	-	-	40,032,795
	MS and AL	Cost	16,186	21,359	-6,644	-	40,020,744
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-302,587	-	570,113
		Cost	-	12,389	-	-	570,113
42.	Upper Gordon Creek	New Work:					
	Hattiesburg, MS	Approp.	-	5,000	-	-	3,916,000
		Cost	2,909	-	2,860	1,694	3,915,315
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	130,720
		Cost	-	-	-	-	130,689
43.	Village Creek,	New Work:					
	Birmingham, AL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	22,894,000
		Cost	6,954	9,842	-		22,887,742
	(Contributed Funds)	New Work:					
		Contrib.	-	-	-	-	7,199,710
		Cost	-	-	-	-	7,196,238
Multiple Purpose Power Projects							
47.	Allatoona Dam,	New Work:					
	Coosa River Basin, GA	Approp.	-	-	-	-	35,709,085[25]
		Cost	-	-	-	-	35,709,085[25]
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	7,503,604	5,955,526	6,282,412	6,518,000	168,911,986
		Cost	6,358,079	6,146,865	7,484,621	6,484,210	168,840,937
48.	Buford Dam,	New Work:					
	Lake Sidney	Approp.	-	-	-	-	53,030,038[28]
	Lanier, GA	Cost	-	-	-	-	53,030,038
		Maint.					
		Approp.	9,235,419	7,802,000	8,814,759	8,548,000	211,363,833
		Cost	8,213,189	8,595,916	9,016,534	8,425,533	211,063,368
		Major Rehab.					
		Approp.	4,346,000	4,816,000	7,211,172	1,882,500	26,299,672
		Cost	4,788,268	5,100,860	7,195,997	1,894,359	26,292,680
49.	Carters Dam and	New Work:					
	Reservoir, GA	Approp.	-	-	-	-	111,140,340
		Cost	-	-	-	-	111,140,340
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	9,535,000	8,267,474	8,974,891	11,389,000	141,068,992
		Cost	8,540,318	7,647,371	10,617,831	10,809,137	140,362,256
50.	Jim Woodruff Lock	New Work:					
	and Dam, GA and FL	Approp.	-	-	-	-	47,978,858[31]
		Cost	-	-	-	-	47,978,858[31]
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	6,143,630	6,759,000	6,547,917	7,326,692	158,068,095
		Cost	6,008,079	6,447,711	6,681,688	7,544,999	157,928,149

TABLE 10-A (continued) COST AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT

See Section In Text	Project	Funding	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	Total Cost to Sept. 30, 2005
		Major Rehab.					
		Approp.	4,457,000	165,220	1,418,044	502,020	31,287,284
		Cost	4,665,051	398,175	1,429,184	505,219	31,673,389
51.	Millers Ferry Lock and Dam, AL	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	63,125,300
		Cost	-	-	-	-	63,125,300
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	7,466,438	6,069,000	6,393,173	4,701,000	121,153,719
		Cost	7,339,593	6,024,428	6,449,728	4,849,762	121,100,994
52.	Robert F. Henry Lock and Dam, AL	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	83,360,800
		Cost	-	-	-	-	83,360,800
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	5,983,002	4,788,000	5,482,930	4,762,000	95,069,375
		Cost	5,834,553	4,820,517	5,252,852	5,140,237	95,031,627
53.	Walter F. George Lock and Dam, AL and GA	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	88,330,669[32]
		Cost	-	-	-	-	88,330,669[32]
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	6,824,298	6,860,058	6,134,807	7,374,692	192,550,946
		Cost	6,322,634	6,649,173	6,838,692	7,353,589	192,466,792
		Major Rehab.:					
		Approp.	5,637,650	5,130,000	3,575,000	4,819,670	39,373,320
		Cost	5,455,138	5,696,492	3,565,671	4,826,288	39,368,486
53A	Walter F. George Secant Wall, AL and GA	Major Rehab.:					
		Approp.	16,785,000	33,503,078	9,068,066	175,633	60,888,777
		Cost	16,878,001	33,718,076	9,205,501	179,200	60,881,228
54.	West Point Lake, Chattahoochee River Basin, GA and AL	New Work:					
		Approp.	-	-	-	-	131,565,760[33]
		Cost	-	-	-	-	131,565,760[33]
		Maint.:					
		Approp.	6,503,823	7,103,504	7,085,965	6,084,000	141,548,757
		Cost	6,204,334	6,678,683	7,733,483	6,037,884	141,395,940

1. Includes $134,613 for previous projects and $28,500 for DPR on Two-Mile.
2. Includes $168,766 for previous projects.
3. Includes $5,650 for previous projects.
4. Cost for providing spoil dikes for work authorized by 1965 River and Harbor Act.
5. Includes $44,382 for previous projects of which $5,000 was contributed funds.
6. Includes $96,509 for previous projects of which $10,200 was contributed funds.
7. Includes $606,931 for previous projects and $1,243,661 for recreational facilities on completed projects.
8. Includes $50,000 for previous projects.
9. Includes $45,605 for previous projects.
10. Includes $65,137 for previous projects.
11. Includes $269,017 for previous projects.
12. Includes $2,312,297 for previous projects and $442,836 Special O and M Funds.
13. Includes $6,683,104 for previous projects, $14,000 Public Work Funds, and $41,242 Emergency Relief funds.
14. Includes $4,096,681 for previous projects and $494,136 Special O and M funds.
15. Includes $203,560 for previous projects, $581,959 Public Works Funds, $457,467 rehabilitation of jetties under existing project and $48,001 for Grand Lagoon modification.
16. Includes $513,604 for previous projects.
17. Includes $904,442 for previous projects.
18. Includes $412,624 for previous projects, $124,565 Special O and M funds, and $829,472 Emergency Work Funds.
19. Includes $594,688 for previous projects.
20. Includes $126,649 for previous projects.
21. Includes $1,993,000 transferred to National Park Service; $210,249 GI funds expended during FY 1957 thru FY 1961. Previous project cost amounted to $197,651.
22. Includes $234,331 for previous projects.
23. Includes $3,692,483 for previous projects.
24. Includes $2,978,050 for previous projects.
25. Includes $3,347,489 for recreational facilities.
26. Includes $1,883,193 Federal funds, $1,924 contributed funds for previous projects; and $809,553 for Lazer Creek design and $301,310 for Rysco Channel.
27. Includes $2,246,233 for previous projects.
28. Includes $8,233,325 for recreational facilities.
29. Includes $84,014 for recreational facilities.
30. Included under maintenance for overall Apalachicola, Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers projects.
31. Includes $1,515,822 for recreational facilities.
32. Includes $2,441,029 for recreational facilities.
33. Includes $35,045 for previous project costs.

TABLE 10-B AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	APALACHICOLA BAY, FL (See Section 2 of Text)	
Mar. 2, 1907	Channel 18 feet deep through West Pass and Link Channels. Ten feet deep across the inner bar, and 9 feet deep through Bulkhead Shoals.	H. Doc. 422, 59th Cong., 1st Sess.
Jan. 21, 1927	Modify project to provide for channel 10 feet deep from mouth of inner bar channel to Gulf via Link and West Pass Channels, and 10-foot depth in Bulkhead Shoals Channel.	H. Doc. 106, 69th Cong., 1st Sess.
Sep. 3, 1954	At Eastpoint, Fl., a channel 6 feet deep, 100 feet wide, and about 6,000 feet long, parallel to shore, with connecting channel 6 feet deep, and 100 feet wide to St. George Sound, and at Apalachicola, Fl., a small-boat basin 200 feet and 9 feet deep, with a connecting channel 9 feet deep and 80 feet wide through Scipio Creek to the Apalachicola River.	H. Doc. 156, 82d Cong., 1st Sess.
Sep. 3, 1954	Modify project to provide for a channel 10 feet deep and 100 feet wide from the 10-foot depth in Apalachicola Bay across St. George Island to within 300 feet of the Gulf shore, thence increasing uniformly in width to 200 feet at shore and continuing at this width to the 10-foot depth in the Gulf, with two jetties extending from the dune line on St. George Island to the outer end of channel, and for abandonment of West Pass Channel upon completion of channel through St. George Island.	H. Doc. 557, 82d Cong., 2d Sess.
Jul. 3, 1958	Modify improvement of Apalachicola Bay, Fl., authorized by River and Harbor Act of Sept. 3, 1954 to provide that the Secretary of the Army shall reimburse local interests for such approved work as they may have done based upon the reduction in the amount of material which will have to be removed to provide project dimensions at such time as Federal dredging of the channel is undertaken.	
Nov. 21, 1963[1]	A channel 6 feet deep, 100 feet wide, and about 1 mile long, parallel to shore at Two Mile, Fl., with a 6-by 100-foot connecting channel to water of the same depth in Apalachicola Bay.	DPR (Sec. 107)
Feb. 5, 1975[1]	A channel 6 feet deep and 100 feet wide extending from the eastern end of the existing Two Mile channel and generally paralleling the shoreline for a distance of about 9,000 feet to intersect with the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway about 1,650 feet south of Gorrie Bridge at Apalachicola, and a breakwater built to elevation 4.0 feet above mean low water along the seaward side of the existing channel parallel to the shore at Two Mile. The total length of the breakwater of about 6,150 feet, includes two 860-foot legs paralleling the existing entrance channel.	DPR (Sec. 107)
Aug. 11, 1983[1]	Modify Eastpoint project to include breakwaters with a total length of 5,300 feet.	DPR (Sec. 107)
	AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL (See Section 4 of Text)	
Jul. 3, 1958	Aquatic plant control for N.C., S.C., Ga., Fl., Al., Ms., and La.	H. Doc. 37, 85th Cong., 1st Sess.
Oct. 23, 1962	Research and planning costs to be borne by U.S.	Public Law 87-874, 87th Cong.
Oct. 27, 1965	Provided for continued research.	H. Doc. 251, 89th Cong., 1st Sess.
Nov. 17, 1986	Increased non-federal cost-sharing from 30% to 50%.	Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-662)
	BAYOU CODEN, AL (See Section 5 of Text)	
Mar. 2, 1945	Channel 4 by 40 feet.	H. Doc. 824, 77th Cong., 2d Sess.
Jun. 2, 1969[1]	Channel 8 by 60 feet to connect with Bayou La Batre channel	DPR (Sec. 107)
	BAYOU LA BATRE, AL (See Section 6 of Text)	
Oct. 27, 1965	A 12- by 100-foot channel from that depth in Mississippi Sound to a point about 2,800 feet south of the highway bridge, thence a channel 12 by 75 feet to the bridge, an overall distance of about 33,500 feet.	H. Doc. 327, 88th Cong., 2d Sess.
Nov. 28, 1990	Deepen existing channel to 18 feet to the bridge; to 14 feet above bridge, and into Snake Bayou at a depth of 12 feet.	Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (P.L. 101-640)
	BILOXI HARBOR, MS (See Section 7 of Text)	
Jul. 3, 1930	Channel 10 feet deep and 150 feet wide from Mississippi Sound west and north of Deer Island to deep water in Back Bay of Biloxi.	H. Doc. 754, 69th Cong., 2d Sess.
Jun. 20, 1938	Relocation of channel.	H. Doc. 639, 75th Cong., 3d Sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	Entrance channel 6 feet deep and 50 feet wide into Old Fort Bayou.	H. Doc. 258, 76th Cong., 1st Sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	Entrance channel 8 feet deep and 100 feet wide through Cranes Neck into Bayou Bernard.	H. Doc. 326, 76th Cong., 1st Sess.
May 17, 1950	Maintain channel 6 feet deep and 40 feet wide from Biloxi Harbor to Ott Bayou.	H. Doc. 256, 81st Cong., 1st Sess.
Jul. 14, 1960	Continuous channel 12 feet deep from Mississippi Sound the Air Force terminal on Bayou Bernard via Back Bay and Cranes Neck.	H. Doc. 271, 86th Cong., 2d Sess.
Nov. 7, 1966	A 23-mile-long through channel 12 feet deep and 150 feet wide from the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway through: Mississippi Sound, Biloxi Bay (east of Deer Island), Back Bay, Big Lake, and via land cut to Gulfport Lake, including a 500 by 2,600-foot turning basin in the lake, thence, a channel 12 by 100 feet from the western end of Gulfport lake for about 2 miles to a 300- by 500-foot turning basin; adoption for maintenance of a 12- by 150-foot spur channel from the main channel in Biloxi Bay, westward about 1 mile to a 400- by 600-foot turning basin opposite Ott Bayou, and	H. Doc. 513, 89th Cong., 2d Sess.

TABLE 10-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	abandonment of the existing 6- by 40-foot channel into Ott Bayou; continuation of maintenance of the 12- by 150-foot lateral channel westward about 2.2 miles from the main channel in Biloxi Bay to a point opposite Oak Street; continuation of maintenance of the channel west of Deer Island.	
Mar. 28, 1979[1]	A channel 10 feet deep; 100 feet wide and 300 feet long extending northward from the Biloxi Lateral Channel and, into a rectangular basin approximately 300 feet by 370 feet.	DPR (Sec. 107)
Aug. 15, 1985	A channel 12-feet deep; 130-feet wide and 2,100-feet long, and a 300 by 300 foot turning basin also to a 12-foot depth.	DPR (Sec. 107)
	BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL (See Section 8 of Text)	
Jul. 5, 1884	Original appropriation for improving Black Warrior River.	
	Original project for slack-water improvement authorized by Secretary of War, Apr. 19, 1887	Annual Report, 1887, pt. 2, p. 1302.
Mar. 3, 1899	Construction of the first locks between Tuscaloosa and Demopolis.	
Sep. 19, 1890	(Maintenance of the section of Tombigbee River below lock 1 to its mouth (66 miles) included in the existing project.)	
Sep. 19, 1890	Construction of locks and dams, 1, 2, and 3. Merging of the individual project for the Black Warrior and Warrior Rivers and the Tombigbee River below Demopolis	H. Doc. 178, 56th Cong., 2d Sess., and Annual Report, 1901, pt. 3, p. 1858 H. Doc. 165, 57th Cong., 1st Sess., and Annual Report, 1902, p. 1293
Mar. 2, 1907	Construction of locks and dams 14, 15, 16, and 17.	
Mar. 3, 1909	Provides for reconstruction of obsolete structures, modified in plan and location, to provide efficient and economical maintenance and operation.	Public Law 317
Aug. 22, 1911	Lift of lock 17 changed to 63 feet, and construction of locks and dams 18 and 19 eliminated from the project.	H. Doc. 72, 62d Cong., 1st Sess.
	Extension of slack-water improvement on Sanders Ferry on Mulberry Fork and Nichols Shoal on Locust Fork.	
Mar. 2, 1919	Raising of various dams 2 feet and raising the lock walls 2 feet at lock 1 to provide a minimum depth of 8 feet at low water, widening the channel to 150 feet where practicable.	Annual Report, 1918, P. 876
Aug. 30, 1935	For snagging Mobile River from the mouth of Chickasaw Creek to the junction of the Alabama and Tombigbee Rivers.	H. Doc. 728, 71st Cong., 3d Sess,
Aug. 30, 1935	Increase channel dimensions to 9 by 200 feet; construct crest gates at lock and dam 17; add flashboards at all dams; Sunflower Bend Cutoff.	H. Doc. 56, 73d Cong., 1st Sess,, and Rivers and Harbors and Committee Doc. 45 73d Cong.
Aug. 30, 1935	Construction of a lock and dam below Tuscaloosa to replace original locks and dams 10, 11, and 12.	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 26., 74th Cong., 1st Sess.
Jun. 26, 1934	Operation and care of locks and dams provided for with funds from War Department appropriations for rivers harbors.	
Dec. 22, 1944	Recreation facilities.	
Mar. 2, 1945	Construction of a lock and dam near Demopolis to replace existing dams 4, 5, 6, and 7.	H. Doc. 276, 76th Cong., 1st Sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	Provide increased spillway capacity at dam 1.	H. Doc. 382, 76th Cong., 1st Sess.
Jul. 14, 1960	Coffeeville Lock and Dam Wildlife Refuge.	S. Doc. 50, 86th Cong., 1st Sess.
Dec. 21, 1982	Provides for a wider navigation opening at the Franklin Ferry Bridge, Jefferson County, Al.	Public Law 97, 377
Jul. 30, 1983	Authorized to widen, as necessary for safe passage, the navigation opening of Franklin Ferry Bridge, Jefferson County, Al.	Public Law 98-63
Nov. 17, 1986	Conduct a feasibility study of protection from erosion problems on the southern bank from river mile 253 to river mile 255.	Water Resource Development Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-662)
	OLIVER LOCK AND DAM (REPLACEMENT), AL (See Section 8A of Text)	
Aug. 15, 1985	Construction and land acquisition for Oliver Lock Replacement	The Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1985 (P.L. 99-88)
Nov. 17, 1986	Construction of a lock and dam to replace the William Bacon Oliver Lock and Dam.	Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-662)
	BON SECOUR RIVER, AL (See Section 9 of Text)	
May 16, 1963[1]	A 10- by 100-foot channel from Gulf Intracoastal Waterway through Bon Secour Bay to mouth of Bon Secour River and extending up river to vicinity of Swift's Landing, thence 6 by 80 feet up river to a point 600 feet above Oak Landing, with two turning and maneuvering areas 150 feet wide and 1,100 and 1,200 feet long opposite Swift's Landing and ice loading dock. Overall length of improvement is about 4.7 miles.	DPR (Sec. 107)

TABLE 10-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	CARRABELLE HARBOR, FL (See Section 10 of Text)	
Sep. 3, 1954	Entrance channel 27- by 200-foot, harbor channel 25- by 150-foot, turning basin 25- by 100-foot.	H. Doc. 451, 83d Cong., 2d Sess,
	DAUPHIN ISLAND BAY, AL (See Section 11 of Text)	
Mar. 2, 1945	A channel 7 feet deep and 150 feet wide from Mobile Bay to an anchorage basin of same depth, about 7 acres in area, in marsh just north of Fort Gaines on Dauphin Island; a channel 4 feet deep and 40 feet wide from anchorage basin	H. Doc. 333, 76th Cong., 1st Sess.
Sep. 3, 1954	to Dauphin Island Bay; and a jetty and revetment to protect entrance channel; and (b) an anchorage basin 7 feet deep and 500 feet square at Dauphin Island Village, with an entrance channel of like depth, 100 feet wide and about 8,300 feet long, extending to 7-foot hydrographic contour in Mississippi Sound.	H. Doc. 394, 82d Cong., 2d Sess.
Aug. 16, 1991	Deepen existing 4-foot channel to 7-feet and 40-feet wide from anchorage basin to Dauphin Island Bay.	DPR (Sec. 107)
Jun. 23, 1993	Provides 400 feet of stone protection along the shoreline near the pier and erosion protection for shoreline in the immediate vicinity of Fort Gaines.	DPR (Sec. 14)
	DOG AND FOWL RIVERS, AL (See Section 12 of Text)	
May 19, 1969[1]	To provide small craft navigation on west side of Mobile Bay.	DPR (Sec. 107)
	EAST PASS CHANNEL FROM GULF OF MEXICO INTO CHOCTAWHATCHEE BAY, FL (See Section 13 of Text)	
Jul. 3, 1930	Maintenance of 6-foot channel by 100-foot channel from Choctawhatchee Bay into Gulf.	H. Doc. 209, 70th Cong., 1st Sess.
Oct. 24, 1951	Maintenance of 12-foot channel from Choctawhatchee Bay into Gulf, and maintenance of 6-foot channel into Old Pass Lagoon.	H. Doc. 470, 81st Cong., 2d Sess.
Oct. 27, 1965	Construction of twin jetties. (Present project dimensions.)	H. Doc. 194, 88th Cong., 2d Sess.
Oct. 1, 1980	Modifications to provide a channel length of approximately 3,800 feet in lieu of 2,000 feet from the East Pass Channel into Old Pass Lagoon, with no changes in width and depth.	Energy and Water Development Appropriation
	FLY CREEK, FAIRHOPE, AL (See Section 14 of Text)	
May 17, 1950	A channel 6 feet deep, 80 feet wide, and about 1,650 feet long from 6-foot depth in Mobile Bay to a turning basin of same depth, 100 feet wide and 350 feet long, in Fly Creek.	H. Doc. 194, 81st Cong., 1st Sess,
	GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY BETWEEN APALACHEE BAY, FL, AND MEXICAN BORDER (Mobile District) (See Section 15 of Text)	
	APALACHICOLA RIVER TO ST. ANDREW BAY, FL	
Jun. 25, 1910	A channel 5 feet deep at mean low water and 65 feet wide at the bottom.	H. Doc. 670, 61st Cong., 2d Sess.
	A channel 9 feet deep at mean low water and 100 feet wide at the bottom.	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 52.; 72d Cong., 2d Sess.
	CHOCTAWHATCHEE BAY TO WEST BAY, FL	
Aug. 30, 1935	A channel 9 feet deep at mean low water and 100 feet wide at the bottom.	H. Doc. 259, 72d Cong., 1st Sess.
Jun. 25, 1910	A channel 6 feet deep at mean low water, with no reference to width.	H. Doc. 565, 61st Cong., 2d Sess.
	CHOCTAWHATCHEE BAY TO PENSACOLA BAY, FL	
Aug. 30, 1935	A channel 9 feet deep at mean low water and 100 feet wide at the bottom.	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 42, 73d Cong., 2d Sess.
	PENSACOLA BAY, FL, TO MOBILE BAY, AL	
Jul. 3, 1930	A channel 9 feet deep at mean low water and 100 feet wide at the bottom.	H. Doc. 42, 71st Cong., 1st Sess.
	MOBILE BAY, AL, TO NEW ORLEANS, LA	
Jul. 3, 1930	A channel 300 feet wide and 10 feet from the 10 foot contour in Mobile Bay to the 10-foot contour in Mississippi Sound,	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 4, 71st Cong., 1st Sess.
Jul. 3, 1930	A channel 100 feet wide and 9 feet deep from Lake Pontchartrain to Mississippi Sound.	H. Doc. 341, 71st Cong., 2d Sess.
	APALACHEE BAY, FL, TO NEW ORLEANS, LA	
Jul. 23, 1942	A channel 12 feet deep and 125 feet wide at mean low water, except in the section between Mobile, Al., and New Orleans, La, where the width is to be 150 feet.	Public Law 675, 77th Cong., 2d Sess., and H. Doc. 96, 79th Cong., 1st Sess.
Jun. 17, 1943	Conditional acquisition of Gulf County Canal, Fl. And enlargement of the canal to 9 feet deep and 100 feet wide.	H. Doc. 257, 76th Cong., 1st Sess., and P. L.75, 78th Cong., 1st Sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	Construction of a movable span in the Georgia, Florida and Alabama R.R. bridge crossing the Ochlockonee River.	H. Doc. 442, 76th Cong., 1st Sess,
May 17, 1950	Abandonment and closure of original channel between Big Lagoon and Pensacola Bay and construction of a new channel to enter the bay north of original entrance.	H. Doc. 325, 81st Cong., 1st Sess.

TABLE 10-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
Nov. 7, 1966	Authorized enlargement of Gulf County Canal to 12 by 125 feet.	P. L. 89-789, 89th Cong. 2d Sess.
	GULFPORT HARBOR, MS (See Section 16 of Text)	
Mar. 3, 1899	A channel 19 feet deep and 300 feet wide from the anchorage basin at Ship Island to Gulfport, Ms., and an anchorage basin next to the shore end 19 feet deep and not less than 2,640 feet by 1,320 feet in area.	H. Doc. 120, 55th Cong., 3d Sess.
Mar. 2, 1907	Combined Ship Island Pass with Gulfport Harbor project.	
Feb. 27, 1911	Increased depth to 26 feet and width to 300 feet across Ship Island Bar and depth to 19 feet in channel from anchorage basin at Ship Island to anchorage basin at Gulfport.	H. Doc. 2, 60th Cong., 1st Sess.
Jan. 21, 1927	Authorized relocation of channel across Ship Island Bar.	
Jul. 23, 1930	Increased depth to 27 feet and width to 300 feet across Ship Island Bar, 26 feet deep and 220 feet wide through Ms. Sound and depth of 26 feet in the anchorage basin at Gulfport.	H. Doc. 692, 69th Cong., 2d Sess.
Jun. 30, 1948	Increased depth of 32 feet and width to 30 feet across Ship Island Bar, 30 feet deep and 220 feet wide through Ms. Sound and a depth of 30 feet in the anchorage basin at Gulfport.	H. Doc. 112, 81st Cong., 1st Sess.
Jul. 3, 1958	Maintenance of the existing commercial small-boat harbor and an approach channel 100 feet wide and 4,300 feet long, from deep water in Ms. Sound to the small-boat basin, all at a depth of 8 feet.	S. Doc. 123, 84th Cong., 2d Sess.
Aug. 15, 1985	Modify the existing Ship Channel to 36 x 300 feet in Mississippi Sound, and 38 x 400 feet across the bar, with changes in the channel alignment and the entrance to the anchorage basin for safe and unrestricted navigation.	The Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1985 (P.L. 99-88)
Nov. 17, 1986	Modification of FY 1985 Supplemental Appropriations Act. Dredged material from project shall be disposed of in open water in the Gulf of Mexico in accordance with all provisions of Federal law.	Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-662)
Nov. 17, 1988	Modify of WRDA of 1986 and authorize disposal of dredged material in open waters of the Gulf of Mexico; and by Thin-layer disposal in Mississippi Sound under a demonstration program.	Water Resources Development Act of 1988 (P.L. 100-676)
	MOBILE HARBOR, AL (See Section 17 of Text)	
Aug. 26, 1937	For improvement of Threemile Creek by snagging from Mobile River to the Industrial Canal.	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 69, 74th Cong., 1st Sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	Adoption of existing channel through Garrows Bend from Choctaw Point in Arlington pier, 27 feet deep and 150 feet wide, with 2 turning basins. Adoption of the existing channel alongside Arlington pier from the of Garrows Bend Channel, 27 feet deep and 150 feet wide. A channel 25 feet deep and generally 500 feet wide in Mobile River from the highway bridge to the mouth of Chickasaw Creek, then 25 feet deep and 250 feet wide in Chickasaw Creek to a point about 400 feet below Shell Bayou.	H. Doc. 739, 79th Cong., 2d Sess,
Sep. 3, 1954	Enlarging Mobile Bay Channel to 42 by 600 feet. Enlarging Mobile Bay Channel to 40 by 400 feet. Deepening Mobile River Channel below highway bridge to 40 feet over present widths, including existing turning basin and anchorage areas. Widening river channel opposite Mazagine Point to provide a 40- by 800- by1,400-foot turning basin. The turning basin was further modified for maintenance by SAD letter of November 27, 1973 under authority contained in ER-1130-2-307 to increase the turning basin's dimensions to 1000' by 1600'.	H. Doc. 74, 83d Congress, 1st Sess.
Sep. 3, 1954	Closure of Garrows Bend Channel by construction and operation of an earth-filled causeway across said channel (work to be done by local interests).	H. Doc. 74, 83d Cong., 2d Sess.
Jul. 26, 1970 (SR)	To provide a channel 40 feet deep and 400 feet wide from the main ship channel in Mobile Bay and extending northwesterly for about 5.3 miles to the shore of Mobile	(Under provision of Section 201 of the 1965 Flood Control)
Dec. 15, 1970 (HR)	Bay including an anchorage and turning basin near the shoreline, thence a land-cut 40 feet deep, 300 feet wide and about 1.9 miles long to and including a trapezoidal turning basin 40 feet deep and approximately 42 acres, 40 feet deep; a barge channel 12- by 100-feet extending 6500-feet and terminating in a 300-foot by 300-foot terminal basin.	
Aug. 15, 1985	Deepen and widen entrance channel over the bar to 57 by 700 feet, a distance of about 7.4 miles, deepen and widen Mobile Bay Channel from mouth of bay to south of Mobile River, 55 by 550 feet, a distance of about 27.0 miles, deepen and widen an additional 4.2 miles of Mobile Bay Channel to 55 by 650 feet, provide 55 foot deep anchorage area and turning basin in vicinity of Little Sand Island, and construct a 1710 acre disposal area adjacent to the Brookley Industrial Complex.	The Supplemental Appropriations Act.
Nov. 17, 1986	Modification of FY 1985 Supplemental Appropriations Act. Dredged material from project shall be disposed of in open water in the Gulf of Mexico in accordance with all provisions of Federal law.	Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-662)
	PANAMA CITY BEACHES, FL	
Nov. 17, 1986	Shoreline protection to provide a 110-foot wide beach with an artificial dune system and stabilization of vegetation.	Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-662)
	PANAMA CITY HARBOR, FL (See Section 18 of Text)	
Aug. 30, 1935	Channels 27 and 29 feet deep. Abandonment of project adopted by act of June 25, 1910.	H. Doc. 33, 73d Cong., 1st Sess.
Mar. 2, 1945	Maintenance of a channel in Watson Bayou 100 feet wide and 10 feet deep	H. Doc. 555, 76th Cong., 3d Sess.

TABLE 10-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	from that depth in St. Andrew bay to the highway bridge.	
Jun. 30, 1948	Channels 32 and 34 feet deep.	H. Doc. 559, 80th Cong., 2d Sess.
Mar. 23, 1967[1]	A channel 8 by 100 feet in Grand Lagoon from St. Andrew Bay to a point about 2,400 feet east of State Highway 392. Bridge with branches to serve shore facilities which terminate at the bridge.	DPR (Sec. 107)
Jun. 14, 1972	Channels 38, 40, 42 feet deep.	H. Doc. 196, 92d Cong., 2d Sess.
	PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS (See Section 19 of Text)	
Mar. 4, 1913	Provides for through channel from the Gulf to mile 4 on Dog River 25 by 300 feet through Horn Island Pass, thence 22 by 225 feet across Mississippi Sound and up 150 feet in Pascagoula River above bridge, and up Dog River to mile 4, all subject to financial participation by local interests.	H. Doc. 682, 62d Cong.,
Mar. 4, 1915	Waived requirement for financial participation by local interests.	River and Harbor Committee Doc. 12, 63d Cong., 2d Sess.
May 17, 1950	Cutoff channel, 12 by 125 feet, from State Highway 63 bridge to mile 4 on Dog River, via Robertson and Bounds Lakes.	H. Doc. 188, 81st Cong., 1st Sess.
Sep. 3, 1954	Modification to provide for channel dimensions of 35 by 325 feet through Horn Island Pass, thence 30 by 275 feet across Mississippi Sound and up Pascagoula River to the railroad bridge, and a turning basin just below the bridge.	H. Doc. 98, 96th Cong.,
Jul. 3, 1958	Reimbursement of local interests for work done on Dog River cutoff ($44,000).	
Jul. 14, 1960	Modification to provide for maintenance of 12- by 125-foot channel to mile 6 on Dog River, and maintenance of 30-by 225-foot side channel from main ship channel in Mississippi Sound to the mouth of Bayou Casotte, thence 30 by 300 feet in Bayou Casotte to a turning basin of the same depth 1 mile above the mouth.	H. Doc. 98, 86th Cong., 1st Sess.
Jul. 14, 1967	Deepening the Horn Island Pass channel to 38 feet and deepening the main ship channel in Mississippi Sound, the river channel to the railroad bridge, and the turning basin all to 33 feet.	Chief of Engineers Report dated Nov. 3, 1960.
Oct. 23, 1962	Enlarging Horn Island Pass Channel to 40 by 350 feet provision of an impounding area adjacent to and east of channel 40 feet deep, 200 feet wide, and about 1,500 feet long, enlarging main channel in Mississippi Sound and river channel to railroad bridge to 38 by 350 feet, and deepening turning basin in river and Bayou Casotte channels and basin to 38 feet.	H. Doc. 560, 87th Cong., 2d Sess.
Jul. 11, 1983[1]	Modification to provide for channel dimensions 12 by 80 feet extending about 2,750 feet from deep waters in the Pascagoula River into Krebs Lake to a turning basin, thence, along the south bank of the lake a channel with dimensions of 10 x 60 feet terminating at a second turning basin, a distance of about 2,750 feet. The project was constructed by hydraulic dredging with the disposal placed in an upland diked area.	DPR (Sec. 107)
Nov. 17, 1986	Deepen and widen gulf entrance channel to 44 by 550 feet; widen Horn Island channel to 600 feet, relocating that channel about 500 feet westwardly; deepen Mississippi Sound portion to 42 feet; widen and deepen Bayou Casotte to 42 by 350 feet and construct turning basin. Disposal of all new work material in Gulf of Mexico.	Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-662)
	PENSACOLA HARBOR, FL (See Section 20 of Text)	
Jun. 13, 1902	A channel 30 feet deep at mean low water and 500 feet wide from the Gulf of Mexico to the dock line at the east end of the city of Pensacola, and also provided that $150,000 may be used in constructing or purchasing a seagoing suction dredge.	
Aug. 30, 1935	Modified the existing project for the present inner channels and the deepening of the entrance channel to 32 feet.	H. Doc. 253, 72d Cong., 1st Sess.
Aug. 26, 1937	Improvements of Bayou Chico.	Rivers and Harbors Committee Doc. 96, 74th Cong., 2d Sess.
Aug. 27, 1962	Maintenance of the entrance channel from the Gulf of Mexico to lower Pensacola Bay, a distance of about 5 miles to dimensions of 35 feet deep and 500 feet wide; maintenance of a channel along the south side of the aircraft carrier mooring basin, a distance of about 2.5 miles, to dimensions of 33 feet deep and 300 feet wide; a bay channel 33 feet deep, 300 feet wide, and about 2.1 miles long; parallel approach channels to opposite ends of the inner-harbor channel, about 1.3 and 1.4 miles in length, each 33 feet deep, 300 feet wide, and flared at the junctions with the inner-harbor channel; and deepening the existing 500-foot wide inner-harbor channel to a depth of 33 feet and lengthening it to 3,950 feet.	H. Doc. 528, 87th Cong., 2d Sess.
	PERDIDO PASS CHANNEL, AL (See Section 21 of Text)	
Oct. 27, 1965	A 12- by 150-foot channel stabilized by twin rubblemount jetties, from the Gulf of Mexico into Perdido Pass, thence 9 by 100 feet into Perdido Bay with a spur channel of the same dimensions into Terry Cove.	H. Doc. 94, 88th Cong., 2d Sess.
	TENNESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL AND MS (See Section 22 of Text)	
Jul. 24, 1946	A waterway connecting Tennessee and Tombigbee Rivers via East Fork of Tombigbee River, Mackeys and Yellow Creeks. Plan of improvement consists of three sections: river section, 9- by 300-foot channel for 149 miles between Demopolis and Amory, Ms.;	H. Doc. 486, 79th Cong., 2d Sess.

TABLE 10-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
	canal section, 12 by 300 feet for 46 miles from Amory to Bay Springs; divide section, 12 by 300 feet (except in the 27 mile-long divide cut in which bottom width be 280 feet) for 39 miles from Bay Springs through dividing ridge to Tennessee. Total lift of 341 feet to be accomplished by 10 locks. Total length of project is 234 miles.	
	TENNESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WILDLIFE MITIGATION (See Section 22A of Text)	
Nov. 17, 1986	Acquire from willing sellers in a timely manner at fair market value 88,000 acres of land for mitigation of wildlife losses resulting from construction and operation of the project for the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway.	Water Resource Development Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-662)
	CEDAR POINT EXTENSION, BAY ST. LOUIS, MS (See Section 31 of Text)	
Sep. 5, 2002	Construction of a 4,500 foot concrete/sheetpile wall in front of existing concrete seawall.	DPR (Sec. 14)
	MATUBBEE CREEK AT COONTAIL ROAD, MS (See Section 32 of Text)	
1946 Flood Control Act	Rebuild and armor left descending (southeast) bank of Matubbee Creek with riprap and filter fabric; remove and backfill failed grout materials along left descending bank; and replace guard rails along the road adjacent to left descending bank.	DPR (Sec. 14)
	WEAVER CREEK AT HATLEY ROAD, MS (See Section 33 of Text)	
1946 Flood Control Act	Remove and backfill failed grout materials at the wingwalls; grade and grass banks and adjacent drainage ditches and replace adjacent guard rails.	DPR (Sec. 14)
	OKATIBBEE LAKE, MS (See Section 34 of Text)	
Oct. 23, 1962	Provides for a dam and reservoir for flood control, water supply, water quality control, and recreation.	H. Doc. 549, 87th Cong., 2d Sess.
	TOMBIGBEE RIVER (EAST FORK), MS AND AL (See Section 35 of Text)	
Aug. 18, 1941	Provides for alleviation of floods from the Tombigbee River by clearing, snagging, and excavation of 13 cut-off channels, and other related channel improvements.	Special Report on Record in Oct. (P.L. 222) 77th Cong., 1st Sess.
	TOMBIGBEE RIVER TRIBUTARIES, MS AND AL (See Section 36 of Text)	
Jul. 23, 1958	Provides for improvement of 22 tributaries of Tombigbee River.	H. Doc. 167, 84th Cong., 1st Sess.
Jul. 8, 1980	Extends project limits on Twenty Mile Creek and eliminates local cooperation for this remedial work.	Supplemental Appropriations and Recession Act
	VILLAGE CREEK, JEFFERSON COUNTY, BIRMINGHAM, AL (See Section 37 of Text)	
Nov. 17, 1986	Basically nonstructural and includes evacuating 642 structures in six separate neighborhoods from floodplain; enlarge 2 miles of channel and relocate necessary facilities; Install flood warning devices.	Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-662)
Nov. 28, 1990	Authorized the Secretary to acquire private vacant lands within the definite project boundaries established in the Real Estate Design Memorandum as a nonstructural element of the project.	Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (P.L. 101-640)
	MULTIPLE PURPOSE PROJECTS INCLUDING POWER ALABAMA-COOSA RIVERS, AL AND GA (See Section 1 of Text)	
Mar. 2, 1945	Provides for full development of Alabama-Coosa Rivers and tributaries for navigation, flood control, power, recreation, and other purposes. Authorized construction of Carters Lake, GA, Claiborne Lock and Dam, AL, Jones Bluff Lock and Dam, AL, and Millers Ferry Lock and Dam, AL.	H. Doc. 414, 77th Cong., 1st Sess.
Jun. 28, 1954	Suspended comprehensive plan to permit non-Federal interests to develop Coosa River by constructing series of dams.	Public Law 436, 83rd Cong.
May 25, 1982	Designated change of name from Jones Bluff to Robert F. Henry Lock and Dam.	S.2034 97th Cong., 2d Sess.
Nov. 17, 1986	Modification to the plan for the Coosa River segment of the waterway between Montgomery and Gadsden, AL to carry out planning, engineering and design in accordance with the Montgomery to Gadsden, Coosa River Channel, AL Design Memorandum No. 1, General Design, dated May 1982.	Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-662)
	ALLATOONA DAM, COOSA RIVER BASIN, GA (See Section 41 of Text)	
Aug. 18, 1941	Provides for dam and reservoir for flood control, regulation of stream flow for navigation, development of hydroelectric power and recreation.	Public Law 228, 77th Cong., 1st Sess.
Dec. 22, 1944		H. Doc. 674, 76 Cong., 3d Sess.
	APALACHICOLA, CHATTAHOOCHEE AND FLINT RIVERS, AL, GA AND FL (See Section 3 of Text)	
Mar. 2, 1945	Development of Apalachicola, Chattahoochee, and Flint Rivers for navigation, flood control, hydropower, and recreation.	H. Doc. 342, 76th Cong., 1st Sess.
Jul. 24, 1946	Modified general plan for full development of Apalachicola, Chattahoochee, and Flint River System and authorized construction of Lake Sidney Lanier multipurpose reservoir.	H. Doc. 300, 80th Cong., 1st Sess.

TABLE 10-B (Continued) AUTHORIZING LEGISLATION

Acts	Work Authorized	Documents
Dec. 22, 1944	Authorized recreation facilities.	
Jan. 27, 1981[1]	Modified the existing project to provide for 9- foot deep by 100-foot wide side channel into the Apalachicola River Industrial Park, Blountstown, Fl.	DPR (Sec. 107)
	APALACHICOLA, CHATTAHOOCHEE, AND FLINT	
Nov. 17, 1986	Modified Rivers and Harbors Act of 1945 to restore and maintain access to bendways and interconnecting waterways in the course of routinue maintenance dredging; and to acquire lands for and to construct, operate, and maintain water-related public use and access facilities.	Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-662)
	BUFORD DAM, LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GA (See Section 42 of Text)	
Jul. 24, 1944	Provision of recreation facilities.	
	GEORGE W. ANDREWS LOCK AND DAM, AL AND GA (See Section 3A of Text)	
Jul. 24, 1946	Construction of high dam at Walter F. George site and low dam at George W. Andrews site.	H. Doc. 300, 80th Cong., 1st Sess.
Dec. 22, 1944	Provided recreation facilities.	
	JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM, GA AND FL (See Section 44 of Text)	
Dec. 22, 1944	Provided for recreation facilities.	
	WALTER F. GEORGE LOCK AND DAM, AL AND GA (See Section 47 of Text)	
May 19, 1953	Authorized construction of high dam at Walter F. George site and low dam at Columbia site.	H. Comm. On Public Works
	WEST POINT LAKE, CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVER BASIN, GA AND AL (See Section 48 of Text)	
Oct. 23, 1963	Authorized construction for flood control, power, recreation, fish and wildlife development, and streamflow regulation for downstream navigation.	H. Doc. 570, 87th Cong., 2d Sess.

1. Authorized by Chief of Engineers under Section 107 of 1960 River and Harbor Act, as amended.
2. Authorized by Chief of Engineers under Section 205 of Flood Control Act of 1948, as amended.
3. Authorized by Chief of Engineers under Section 208 of Flood Control Act of 1954, as amended.
4. Authorized by Chief of Engineers under Section 14 of Flood Control Act of 1946, as amended.

TABLE 10-C OTHER AUTHORIZED NAVIGATION PROJECTS

	For Last Full Report See Annual Report For	Cost to September 30, 2005	
		Construction	Operation Maintenance
Blackwater River, FL	1981	$41,650	$330,433
Bluff Creek, MS[1]	1963	1,000	6,883
Cadet Bayou, MS	1984	87,921	2,181,608
Cahaba River, AL[2]	1894	45,000	-
Choctawhatchee River, FL and AL	1973	171,885	291,694
Escambia-Conecuh Rivers, AL and FL	1981	208,499	2,488,355
Helicopter Lidar Bathymeter	-	-	19,142,975
Holmes Creek, FL	1931	8,562	36,800
LaGrange Bayou, FL	1972	289,496	209,089
Leaf and Chickasawhay River, MS[2]	1919	23,090	42,676
Mobile Area Digital Mapping, AL	-	-	3,024,348
Noxubee River, MS[1]	1902	47,528	14,472
Ochlockonee (Ochlockney) River, GA and FL[1 2]	1900	5,000	-
Old Town Creek[1 2]	1887	3,000	-
Oostanaula and Coosawattee River, GA[1 2]	1907	32,656	-
Panacea Harbor, FL	1979	122,383	106,446
Pascagoula River, MS	1956	15,000	179,535
Pass Christian Harbor, MS	1976	59,313	823,385
Port St. Joe Harbor, FL	1984	1,960,862	3,511,567
Removing Water Hyacinths	1984	-	1,100,471
Sediment MGT Pilot PGM	-	-	2,829,431
St. Marks River, FL	1965	1,710,809	87,379
Tallapoosa River, AL[2]	1893	43,972	-
Tombigbee River at Columbus Port, MS	1988	500,500	-
Upper Chipola River, FL from Marianna to its' mouth[1 2]	1941	36,781	63,193
Wolf and Jordan Rivers, MS	1979	29,195	1,326,609

1. Abandonment recommended in H. Doc. 467, 69th Cong.
2. Uncompleted portion of project deauthorized by H. Doc. 96-157, 1st Session.

TABLE 10-D OTHER AUTHORIZED BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECT

	For Last Full Report See Annual Report For	Cost to September 30, 2005	
		Construction	Operation Maintenance[2]
Harrison County, MS, shore protection[1]	1953	$1,133,000	-
Panama City Beaches, FL	1999	10,125,192	-

1. Completed.
2. Operation and maintenance is the responsibility of local interests.

TABLE 10-E OTHER AUTHORIZED FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS

	For Last Full Report See Annual Report For	Cost to September 30, 2005	
		Construction	Operation Maintenance[2]
Alabama River at Montgomery, AL[1]	1965	$144,194	-
Armuchee Creek, GA	1966	115,547	-
Bayview Court, Bay St. Louis, MS	1998	247,400	-
Beaver Creek, Montezuma, GA	1958	149,815	-
Big Brown Creek, Prentiss County, MS	1987	137,500	-
Biloxi River at Lorraine Road, Harrison County, MS	1985	132,174	-
Black Creek, Gadsden, AL	1953	125,389	-
Black Warrior River, Northport Leveel, AL	2000	4,807,566	-
Black Warrior River, U.S. Hwy. 11 Bridge, Fosters, AL	1986	181,500	-
Boggy Bayou, Valparaiso, FL	1994	147,200	-
Boligee Canal, Boligee, AL	1987	178,600	-
Burketts Creek, Amory, MS	1984	1,366,454	-
Chattahoochee River, Eufaula, AL	1988	206,600	-
Chickasaw Bogue Creek, U.S. Highway 43 Bridge, Linden, AL	1985	121,718	-
Clanton, AL	1964	274,024	-
Collinsville, AL	1940	71,119	-
County Line Road Bridge, Itawamba County, MS	1992	116,800	-
County Road 55, Etowah County, AL	1996	242,348	-
Cribbs Mill Creek, Tuscaloosa, AL	1994	1,848,327	-
Dauphin Island Shoreline, AL	1996	352,479	-
East End Dauphin Island, AL	1996	318,580	-
Eslava Creek, Mobile, AL	1997	4,732,721	-
Fort Toulouse, Wetumpka, AL	1994	368,000	-
Gadsden Water Treatment Plant, AL	1996	360,000	-
Goodfood Creek, Chickasaw County, MS	1988	91,500	-
Gordons Creek, Hattiesburg, MS	1985	802,026	-
Gulf Breeze, Santa Rosa County, FL	1991	147,432	-
Hancock County Seawall, Hancock County, MS	1998	307,000	-
Highway 39 Bridge, Gainesville, AL	1990	71,000	-
Hintonville Road Bridge, Perry County, MS	1991	268,691	-
Houlka Creek, Chickasaw & Clay Counties, MS	1982	238,219	-
Houston School Rd. Bridge, Itawamba County, MS	1988	59,300	-
Hurricane Creek, Prentiss County, MS	1992	52,803	-
Interstate 59 Bridges, Fosters, AL	1987	155,200	-
Kings Creek, Tupelo, MS	1998	499,930	-
Lake Douglas, Decatur County, GA	1970	164,998	-
Leaf and Bowie Rivers, Hattiesburg, MS	1990	1,585,000	-
Leaf River, County Road Bridge, Mahned, MS	1986	231,618	-
Little Cove Creek, Glencoe, AL	1991	144,047	-
Magby Creek, Columbus, MS	1991	156,508	-
Martin Creek, Prentiss County, MS	1988	78,500	-
Mill Creek, Dalton, GA	1992	474,065	-
Mill Creek, Sumrall, MS	1994	157,340	-
Mound State Park, Moundville, AL	1994	789,000	-
Murder and Burnt Corn Creeks, Brewton, AL	1980	190,974	-
Murder Creek, Brewton, AL	1994	1,215,000	-
Murder Creek, East Brewton, AL	1986	903,474	-
Noxubee River Relief Bridge, Shuqualak, MS	1988	119,500	-
Old Hwy. 82 Bridge, Columbus, MS	1987	143,936	-
Osborne Creek, Highway 362 Bridge, Prentiss County, MS	1985	250,000	-
Portersville Bay East, Mobile County, AL	1996	490,750	-
Prattville, Autauga Creek, AL	1946	649,280	-
Proctor Creek, Atlanta, GA	1994	870,000	-
Pumpkinvine Creek, Emerson, GA	1985	85,029	-
Raccoon Creek, Baconton, GA	1994	385,300	-
Rome, Coosa River, GA	1955	384,550	-
Saint Louis Bay, Bay St. Louis, MS	1998	237,400	-
Sewerline Protection, Valley, AL	1989	180,937	-
Silver Creek, Rome, GA	1992	604,719	-
Sope Creek, Marietta, GA	1990	1,538,555	-
Sowashee Creek, Meridian, MS	1998	1,218,036	-
Sun Creek, Okibbeha County, MS	1984	55,569	-
Tallabinella Creek, Chickasaw County, MS	1988	81,000	-
Tallahala Creek, Pascagoula River, MS	1988	3,945,757	-
Tallahalla Creek, Laurel, MS	1996	641,058	-
Three Mile Creek, Mobile, AL	2000	17,384,782	-
Tombigbee River Bridge #6, Monroe County, MS	1996	331,323	-
Town Creek, Americus, GA	1965	340,409	-
Trim Cane Creek, Okibbeha County, MS	1984	145,519	-
Trussville, AL	1965	141,334	-
Twenty Mile Creek, Airport Road Bridge, Frankstown, MS	1986	215,860	-
West Point, Chattahoochee River, GA	1955	599,637	-
Whorton's Bend Road, Etowah County, AL	1991	448,956	-
Wolf Creek, Highway 362 Bridge, Prentiss County, MS	1985	114,753	-
Wolf Creek, U.S. Highway 45 Bridge, Prentiss County, MS	1986	154,641	-
Yellow Jacket Creek, Hogansville, GA	1966	330,734	-

1. Engineering, design, supervision, and administration (no construction work has been done. Includes $17,000 for pre-authorization studies.)
2. Operation and maintenance is the responsibility of local interests.

TABLE 10-G DEAUTHORIZED PROJECTS

Project	Type	Year of Last Full Report	Deauthorization Document	Date Deauthorized
Bayou Galere, MS[1]	Navigation	1946	H. Doc. 192, 94th Cong., 1st Session, as amended.	Aug. 5, 1977
Buttahatchee Creek, MS[2] (Auth. P.L. 96-304)	Flood Control	1989	Public Law 99-662 99th Congress	Jul. 9, 1995
Coosa River Channel, Gadsden, AL to Rome, GA (Auth. 1945 Act)	Navigation	1955	Public Law 99-662 99th Congress	Aug. 18, 1996
GIWW; Apalachicola Bay to St. Marks River, FL	Navigation	1986	Public Law 99-662, 99th Congress	Nov. 17, 1986
Highway 39 Bridge, Gainesville, AL (Appropriation Act, 1986)	Flood Control	1990	Public Law 99-88	Apr.16,2002
Lazer Creek Lake, GA	Hydroelectric power	1986	Public Law 99-662, 99th Congress	Nov. 17, 1986
Little Browns Creek, AL[2] (Auth. P.L. 96-304)	Flood Control	1989	Public Law 99-662 99th Congress	Jul. 9, 1995
Lower Auchumpkee Creek, GA	Hydroelectric power	1986	Public Law 99-662, 99th Congress	Nov. 17, 1986
Montgomery to Gadsden, AL (Auth. 1945 Act)	Navigation		Public Law 99-88	Apr. 16, 2002
Noxubee River, MS[2] (Auth. P.L. 96-304)	Flood Control	1989	Public Law 99-662 99th Congress	Jan. 1, 1990
Pensacola Harbor Modification, FL	Navigation	1986	Public Law 99-662 99th Congress	Nov. 17, 1986
Sipsey River, AL[2] (Auth. P.L. 96-304)	Flood Control	1989	Public Law 99-662 99th Congress	Jan. 1, 1990
Tallahala Creek, MS (Auth. 1945 Act)	Flood Control	2000	Public Law 99-662	Apr. 16, 2002
Tombigbee River, AL & MS[2] (Auth. 1941 Act)	Flood Control	n/a	Public Law 99-662 99th Congress	Jan. 1, 1990
Westfork, MS[2] (Auth. P.L. 96-304)	Flood Control	1989	Public Law 99-662 99th Congress	Jan. 1, 1990

1. H. Doc. 96-157 deauthorized uncompleted portions of certain projects as shown in Table 10-C.
2. Deauthorized tributaries of Tombigbee River improvements.

TABLE 10-H NAVIGATION WORK UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

Study	Authority	Fiscal year 2005 Costs
Bayou Cadet, Hancock County, MS	107	0
Biloxi Channel, Harrison County, MS	107	0
Ocean Springs Harbor, Jackson County, MS	107	0
Old Pass Lagoon, Destin, FL	107	0
Section 107 Coordination Account	107	3,306
Scipio Creek, Franklin County, FL	107	0
Total		$3,306

TABLE 10-J FLOOD CONTROL WORK UNDER SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION

Study	Authority	Fiscal year 2005 Costs
Big Cedar Creek, Cedartown, GA	205	0
Black Warrior River, Northport, AL	205	0
Catoma Creek, AL	205	0
Jasper, AL	205	0
Little Cahaba River, Moody, AL	205	0
Mill Creek, Eton, GA	205	0
Mixons Creek, Lamar County, MS	205	15,055
Section 205 Coordination Account	205	11,755
Turkey Creek, Jefferson County, AL	205	0
Turkey Creek, Harrison County, MS	205	178,270
Walton County, FL	205	0
Bouie River, MS	14	290,472
Dye Branch, Fort Payne, AL	14	0
Macon County, AL	14	13,576
Matubbee Creek, Monroe County, MS	14	0
Plum Bluff Road, MS	14	851
Portersville Bay, West Alabama	14	0
Section 14 Coordination Account	14	4,236
Weaver Creek, Monroe County, MS	14	0
Whorton Bend Road, Etowah County, AL	14	71,310
Total		$585,525

TABLE 10-K RECONNAISSANCE AND CONDITION SURVEYS

Project	Cost During Fiscal Year
Shoals - Airborne Lidar Bathymetry	$2,400,000
Channel Condition Surveys on Alabama, Florida and Mississippi projects	486,826
Total	$2,886,826

TABLE 10-L ALABAMA-COOSA RIVERS, AL AND GA DEVELOPMENT PROPOSED UNDER EXISTING PROJECT (See Section 1 of Text)

Proposed Structures	Claiborne Lock and Dam	Millers Ferry Lock and Dam	Robert F. Henry Lock and Dam	Carters Lake Dam[3]	Allatoona Dam
Miles above mouth of river	72.5[1]	133.0[1]	245.4[1]	26.8[2]	47.8[4]
Nearest town	Claiborne, AL	Camden, AL	Benton, AL	Carters, GA	Cartersville, GA
Distance (miles)	7	10	3	2	4
Greatest lock length available for full width (feet)	600	600	600	-	-
Width of lock chamber (feet)	84	84	84	-	-
Maximum lift (feet)	30	48	47	-	-
Elevation of normal pool surface (mean sea level)	35	80	125	1072	840
Depth over sills at low water (feet)	13	Upper 15 Lower 13	Upper 15 Lower 12	-	-
Character of foundation	Rock	Rock	Rock	Rock	Rock
Kind of spillway	Gated and Fixed Crest	Gated	Gated	Gated	Gated
Height of dam (feet)	95.5	99.5	94	451	190
Type of construction	Concrete	Concrete	Concrete	Rock-fill Earth Core	Concrete
Total reservoir capacity (acre-feet)	96,360	331,800	234,200	472,800	670,050
Power development (kw)	-	75,000	68,000	500,000	74,000
Percent complete	100	100	100	100	100
Cost to date:[5]	$27,997,450	$63,125,300	$83,360,800	$111,140,340	$35,709,085

1. Above mouth of Alabama River (river miles).
2. Above mouth of Coosawattee River (river miles).
3. Reregulation dam (Carters) 25.3 (river miles).
4. Above mouth of Etowah River (river miles).
5. Includes cost of added recreation facilities as shown in Table 10A.

TABLE 10-M

APALACHICOLA, CHATTAHOOCHEE, AND FLINT RIVERS, AL, GA, AND FL, LOCKS AND DAMS AND MULTIPLE-PURPOSE DEVELOPMENT INCLUDED IN EXISTING PROJECT (See Section 3 of Text)

	Existing Projects				
	Jim Woodruff Lock and Dam	**George W. Andrews Lock and Dam**	**Walter F. George Lock and Dam**	**West Point Lake**	**Buford Dam Lake Sidney Lanier**
Miles above mouth	107.6[1]	46.5[2]	75.0[2]	201.4[2]	348.3[2]
Nearest town	Chattahoochee, FL	Columbia, AL	Ft. Gaines, GA	West Point, GA	Buford, GA
Distance (miles)	1	1	2	2.8	5
Greatest lock length and available for full width (feet)	450	450	450	-	-
Width of chamber (feet)	82	82	82	-	-
Maximum lift (feet)	33	25	88	-	-
Elevation of normal pool surface (msl)	77	102	190(Summer) 185(Winter)	635(Summer) 625(Winter)	1071(Summer) 1070(Winter)
Depth over sills at low water (feet)	14	13	13	-	-
Character of foundation	Limestone	Limestone	Limestone	Rock	Rock
Kind of spillway	Fixed-gated	Fixed-gated	Gated	Gated	Fixed
Height of dam (feet)	67	72	114	95	192
Type of construction	Concrete and Earth	Concrete	Concrete and Earth	Concrete and Earth	Earth
Total reservoir capacity (acre-feet)	367,300	18,180	934,400	604,500	2,554,000
Power-development (kilowatts)	30,000	-	130,000	73,375	86,000
Percent complete 100	100	100	100	100	100
Year opened to navigation	1954	1962	1963	-	-
Cost to date:[3]	$79,652,247[5]	$13,038,427	$127,699,155[4]	$131,565,760	$79,322,718[6]

1. Above mouth of Apalachicola River (river miles).
2. Above mouth of Chattahoochee River (river miles).
3. Includes cost of added recreational facilities as shown in Table 10-A.
4. Includes $30,976,527 cost of land for wildlife refuge and $10,932,884 for major rehabilitation.
5. Includes $29,738,986 for major rehabilitation.
6. Includes $17,202,324 for major rehabilitation.

TABLE 10-N BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL

(See Section 8 of Text)

	Coffeeville Lock and Dam	Demopolis Lock and Dam	Armistead Selden Lock and Dam	William Bacon Oliver Lock & Dam (Replacement)	Holt Lock and Dam	John Hollis Bankhead Lock and Dam[1]
Miles above Mobile[2]	116.7	213.2	261.1	337.7	347.0	365.5
Nearest town (Alabama)	Coffeeville	Demopolis	Eutaw	Tuscaloosa	Tuscaloosa	Tuscaloosa
Distance (miles)	3 (within city)	6	15	(within city)	6	30
Lock:						
Grestest length available for full width (feet)	600	600	600	600	600	600
Width of chamber (feet)	110	110	110	110	110	110
Maximum lift (feet)	34	40	22	28	64	68
Depth over sills at low water (feet)	13.0	13.0	13.2	18.0	13.0	14.0
Character of foundation	Rock	Rock	Sand, clay	Hard shale	Shale, sandstone	Sandstone
Kind of spillway	Fixed-Gated	Fixed	Gated	Fixed	Gated	Gated
Type of construction						
Lock	Concrete	Concrete	Concrete	Concrete	Concrete	Concrete
Dam	Concrete	Concrete	Earth-Concrete	Concrete	Concrete	Concrete
Year completed	1965	1962	1962	1934	1969	1985
Year opened to navigation	1960	1954	1957	1991	1966	1975
Actual cost of lock and dam[3]	$21,597,264	$19,774,583	$13,295,553	$123,822,919	$28,100,000	$52,292,880

1. Single lift lock.
2. Navigation mileage from foot of Government Street, Mobile, Ala.
3. Excludes cost of adding recreation facilities.

TABLE 10-O

TENNESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, ALABAMA AND MISSISSIPPI (See Section 21 of Text)

	Existing Projects									
	Gainesville Lock and Dam	**Aliceville Lock and Dam**	**Columbus Lock and Dam**	**Aberdeen Lock and Dam**	**Lock A and Spillway**	**Lock B and Spillway**	**Lock C and Spillway**	**Lock D and Spillway**	**Lock E and Spillway**	**Bay Springs Lock and Dam**
Miles above mouth[1]	266	307	335	358	371	376	39	398	407	412
Nearest town	Gainesville, AL	Aliceville, AL	Columbus, MS	Aberdeen, MS	Amory, MS	Smithville, MS	Fulton, MS	Fulton, MS	Belmont, MS	Tupelo, MS
Greatest lock length available for full width (feet)	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600	600
Width of Chamber (feet)	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110	110
Maximum lift (feet)	36	27	27	27	30	25	25	30	30	84
Elevation of normal pool surface (msl)	109	136	163	190	220	245	270	300	330	(Summer) 414 (Winter) 409
Depth over sills at low water (feet)	15	15	15	15	15	18	18	18	18	18
Character of foundation	Mooreville Chalk	Eutaw Form. Sand & Clay	Eutaw Form. Sand & Clay	Eutaw Form. Sand	Eutaw Form. Lam. Sand	Eutaw Form. Sand & Clay	Gordo Form. Clay	Eutaw Form. Sand	Gordo Form. Clay	Sandstone and Shale
Kind of spillway	Fixed & Gated	Fixed & Gated	Gated	Gated	Gated	Gated	Gated	Gated	Gated	N/A
Height of dam (feet)	56	57	57	57	46	48	53	52	44.5	103
Type of construction	Concrete	Concrete & Earth	Concrete & Earth	Concrete & Earth	Concrete & Earth	Concrete & Earth	Concrete & Earth	Concrete & Earth	Concrete & Earth	Concrete & Earth
Total reservoir capacity (acre-feet)	45,290	655	59,483	31,564	4,400	19,000	13,300	24,900	6,900	(Summer)180,000 (Winter) 143,000
Percent complete	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Year opened to navigation	1978	1979	1981	1984	1985	1985	1985	1985	1985	1985
Estimated Cost	$103,214,000	151,255,000	182,650,000	128,262,000	102,685,000	96,905,000	71,375,000	98,205,000	88,173,000	147,000,000
Cost to Date	$100,010,600	143,190,800	174,620,500	112,620,200	92,190,500	93,106,700	62,197,000	89,610,800	76,917,700	130,398,000

1. Miles above Mobile, Alabama (Mile 0.00 is at Bankhead Tunnel on U.S. Highway 90).

TABLE 10-P COMPLETED FLOOD INSURANCE STUDIES AND FLOOD HAZARD INFORMATION REPORTS FOR FY 1996 THROUGH FY 2005[1]

	Requesting Agency	Date Completed	Federal Cost
Flood Insurance Studies			
Tuscaloosa, AL	Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)	Jan 97	182,000
Dougherty County, GA	Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)	Feb 97	244,000
Meridian, MS	Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)	Jan 96	119,000
Special Flood Hazard Information			
Thompson Creek, MS	Richton, MS	Aug 96	44,000
Black Creek, MS	Forrest County, MS	Aug 97	35,000
Leaf River, MS	McLain, MS	Sep 97	19,000
Tanyard Creek, AL	Jasper, AL	Oct 96	32,000
Cane Creek & Tribs, AL	Oakman, AL	May 97	45,000
Magby Creek, MS	Lowndes County, MS	Apr 96	5,700
Coosa River	Wetumpka, AL	Sep 98	24,000
Chickasawhay	Shabuta, MS	Sep 98	62,000
Walnut Creek	Chilton County, AL	Nov 98	4,000
Big Dry Creek	Floyd County, GA	May 99	62,000
Noxabee River	Macon, MS	Sep 99	67,000
Spring Creek	Lafayette, GA	Aug 99	7,000
Galbrith Mill Creek	Montgomery, AL	Sep 99	14,000
Okeelala Creek	Baldwyn, MS	Oct 99	53,000
Coosa River	Riverside, AL	Oct 99	5,000
Black Warrior River	City of Northport	June 00	50,000
Graves Creek	Roanoke, AL	Jul 2001	55,000
Perkins Creek	Lamar County, MS	Sep 2000	63,000
Ryan Creek	Cullman, AL	Jan 2001	59,000
Carteycar River	Gilmer County, GA	Sep 2001	60,000
Halawakee Creek	Opelika, AL	Feb 2002	56,000
Pascagoula Creek	George County, MS	Mar 2002	8,000
Bogue Homo Creek	Heidelberg, MS	Aug 2002	58,000
Big Creek	Jackson County, MS	Sep 2004	50,000

1. For list of reports completed by Mobile District for FY 1965 thru FY 1974, see FY 1974 Annual Report, page 10-50; reports completed in FY 1975 see FY 1975 Annual Report, page 10-44; and for reports completed in FY 1976 and FY 1977, see FY 1977 Annual Report, page 10-46. See page 10-48 FY 1978 Annual Report for FY 1978 reports, and see page 10-43 FY 1984 Annual Report for FY 1978 through FY 1984 reports.