



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
441 G Street N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20314-1000

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF:

APR 8 2008

CECW-P

MEMORANDUM FOR Commanders, Major Subordinate Commands

SUBJECT: Implementation Guidance for Section 5158 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (WRDA 2007) – Additional Assistance for Critical Projects

1. Section 5158 of WRDA 2007 further amends Section 219 of WRDA 1992, as amended, as follows:

a. Paragraph 219(c)(5) is modified to redefine the types of work to be addressed in Jackson County, Mississippi.

b. Paragraph 219(e)(1) is modified to increase the funds authorized to be appropriated for providing construction assistance in Jackson County, Mississippi, from \$20,000,000 to \$32,500,000.

c. The previously unnumbered paragraph in Section 219(f) for Charleston, South Carolina, is numbered as paragraph (72); the maximum funds permitted to be expended for this provision are increased from \$5,000,000 to \$10,000,000; and stormwater systems improvement are added to the types of projects to be undertaken.

d. The next five paragraphs are renumbered as paragraphs (73) through (77).

e. At the end of Paragraph 219(f) 196 additional locations for environmental infrastructure, numbered (78) through (273) are added.

A copy of the Section 219 legislation, as amended, is provided in Enclosure 1.

2. Guidance for implementation of Section 219 projects is in the CECW-PD memorandum dated 12 December 2001, SUBJECT: Implementation of Projects Under Section 219 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (WRDA 92), as Amended. In addition, approved model agreements for design, construction, or design and construction, as appropriate, for projects implemented pursuant to Section 219, as well as delegation of approval and execution authority for Section 219 Agreements were provided by the CECW-PC memorandum dated 1 February 2006, SUBJECT: Approval of Model Agreements and Delegation of Approval and Execution Authority for Agreements for the Section 219 of the Water Resources

CECW-P

SUBJECT: Implementation Guidance for Section 5158 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (WRDA 2007) – Additional Assistance for Critical Projects

Development Act of 1992, as amended, Environmental Assistance Program. This existing guidance shall be followed for undertaking the projects identified in Section 5158 of WRDA 2007. However, budgeting for these projects is subject to the Administration's policies, priorities, and criteria, and no work may be initiated under this authority unless funds are specifically appropriated for such work.

FOR THE COMMANDER:



STEVEN L. STOCKTON, P.E.
Director of Civil Works

Encl

DISTRIBUTION: MSC COMMANDERS
Great Lakes and Ohio Division
Mississippi Valley Division
North Atlantic Division
Northwestern Division
Pacific Ocean Division
South Atlantic Division
South Pacific Division
Southwestern Division

CECW-PC (Groska)

Rev. 31 March 2008

ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE
SECTION 219, WRDA 1992, P.L. 102-580, AS AMENDED

(a) IN GENERAL- The Secretary is authorized to provide assistance to non-Federal interests for carrying out water-related environmental infrastructure and resource protection and development projects described in subsection (c), including waste water treatment and related facilities and water supply, storage, treatment, and distribution facilities. Such assistance may be in the form of technical and planning and design assistance. If the Secretary is to provide any design or engineering assistance to carry out a project under this section, the Secretary shall obtain by procurement from private sources all services necessary for the Secretary to provide such assistance, unless the Secretary finds that (1) the service would require the use of a new technology unavailable in the private sector, or (2) a solicitation or request for proposal has failed to attract 2 or more bids or proposals. [underlining added]

(b) NON-FEDERAL SHARE- The non-Federal share of the cost of projects for which assistance is provided under this section shall not be less than 25 percent, except that such share shall be subject to the ability of the non-Federal interest to pay, including the procedures and regulations relating to ability to pay established under section 103(m) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986. [underlining added]

(c) PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS- The projects for which the Secretary is authorized to provide assistance under subsection (a) are as follows:

(1) WASHINGTON, D.C. AND MARYLAND- Measures to alleviate adverse water quality impacts resulting from storm water discharges from Federal facilities in the Anacostia River watershed, Washington, D.C. and Maryland. [*CAA 2001 added construction and modified the project to include measures to eliminate/control combined sewer overflows*]

(2) ATLANTA, GEORGIA- A combined sewer overflow treatment facility for the city of Atlanta, Georgia. [*WRDA 1999 added construction and modified the project to include watershed restoration & development*]

(3) HAZARD, KENTUCKY- A water system (including a 13,000,000 gallon per day water treatment plant), intake structures, raw water pipelines and pumps, distribution lines, and pumps and storage tanks for Hazard, Kentucky.

(4) ROUGE RIVER, MICHIGAN- Completion of a comprehensive streamflow enhancement project for the Western Townships Utility Authority, Rouge River, Wayne County, Michigan.

(5) JACKSON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI- Provision of an alternative water supply and ~~a project for the elimination or control of combined sewer overflows~~ projects for the design, installation, enhancement, or repair of

sewer systems *[WRDA 20007]* for Jackson County, Mississippi. *[WRDA 1996 added construction]*

[Sec. 219(c) Continued]

(6) EPPING, NEW HAMPSHIRE- Evaluation and assistance in addressing expanded and advanced wastewater treatment needs for Epping, New Hampshire. *[WRDA 1996 added construction]*

(7) MANCHESTER, NEW HAMPSHIRE- Elimination of combined sewer overflows in the city of Manchester, New Hampshire. *[WRDA 1996 added construction]*

(8) ROCHESTER, NEW HAMPSHIRE- Provision of advanced wastewater treatment for the city of Rochester, New Hampshire. *[WRDA 1996 added construction]*

(9) PATERSON AND PASSAIC COUNTY, NEW JERSEY- Drainage facilities to alleviate flooding problems on Getty Avenue in the vicinity of St. Joseph's Hospital for the city of Paterson, New Jersey, and Passaic County, New Jersey. *[WRDA 1999 added construction and modified the project to include drainage & flow management facilities]*

(10) STATE OF NEW JERSEY AND NEW JERSEY WASTEWATER TREATMENT TRUST- The development of innovative beneficial uses of sewage sludge and conventional and innovative facilities to dispose of sewage sludge or to make reusable products from sewage sludge for local government units that ceased the discharge of sewage sludge in the Atlantic Ocean.

(11) ERIE COUNTY, NEW YORK- A tunnel from North Buffalo, New York, to Amherst Quarry to relieve flooding and improve water quality.

(12) ERIE COUNTY, NEW YORK- A sludge processing disposal facility to serve the Erie County Sewer District 5, New York.

(13) OTSEGO COUNTY, NEW YORK- A water storage tank and an adequate water filtration system for the Village of Milford, Otsego County, New York.

(14) CHENANGO COUNTY, NEW YORK- A primary source water well and improvement of a water distribution system for New Berlin, Chenango County, New York.

(15) GREENSBORO AND GLASSWORKS, PENNSYLVANIA- A sewage treatment plant for the borough of Greensboro, Pennsylvania, and the unincorporated village of Glassworks, Pennsylvania.

(16) LYNCHBURG, VIRGINIA- Alleviation of combined sewer overflows for Lynchburg, Virginia, in accordance with combined sewer overflow control plans adopted by, and currently being implemented by, the non-Federal sponsor. *[WRDA 1996 added construction; WRDA 1999 increased amount authorized to be appropriated (AATBA)]*

(17) RICHMOND, VIRGINIA- Alleviation of combined sewer overflows for Richmond, Virginia, in accordance with combined sewer overflow control plans adopted by, and currently being implemented by, the non-Federal sponsor. *[WRDA 1996 added construction; WRDA 1999 increased AATBA]*

(18) COLONIAS ALONG UNITED STATES-MEXICO BORDER- Wastewater treatment facilities, water systems (including water treatment plants), intake structures, raw water pipelines and pumps, distribution

[Sec. 219(c) Continued]

lines, and pumps and storage tanks for colonias in the United States along the United States-Mexico border.

(19) MARANA, ARIZONA- Wastewater treatment and distribution infrastructure, Marana, Arizona.

(20) EASTERN ARKANSAS ENTERPRISE COMMUNITY, ARKANSAS- Water-related infrastructure, Eastern Arkansas Enterprise Community, Cross, Lee, Monroe, and St. Francis Counties, Arkansas.

(21) CHINO HILLS, CALIFORNIA- Storm water and sewage collection infrastructure, Chino Hills, California.

(22) CLEAR LAKE BASIN, CALIFORNIA- Water-related infrastructure and resource protection, Clear Lake Basin, California.

(23) DESERT HOT SPRINGS, CALIFORNIA- Resource protection and wastewater infrastructure, Desert Hot Springs, California.

(24) EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT, CALIFORNIA- Regional water-related infrastructure, Eastern Municipal Water District, California.

(25) HUNTINGTON BEACH, CALIFORNIA- Water supply and wastewater infrastructure, Huntington Beach, California.

(26) INGLEWOOD, CALIFORNIA- Water infrastructure, Inglewood, California.

(27) LOS OSOS COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT, CALIFORNIA- Wastewater infrastructure, Los Osos Community Service District, California.

(28) NORWALK, CALIFORNIA- Water-related infrastructure, Norwalk, California.

(29) KEY BISCAIYNE, FLORIDA- Sanitary sewer infrastructure, Key Biscayne, Florida.

(30) SOUTH TAMPA, FLORIDA- Water supply and aquifer storage and recovery infrastructure, South Tampa, Florida.

(31) FORT WAYNE, INDIANA- Combined sewer overflow infrastructure and wetlands protection, Fort Wayne, Indiana.

(32) INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA- Combined sewer overflow infrastructure, Indianapolis, Indiana.

(33) ST. CHARLES, ST. BERNARD, AND PLAQUEMINES PARISHES, LOUISIANA- Water and wastewater infrastructure, St. Charles, St. Bernard, and Plaquemines Parishes, Louisiana.

(34) ST. JOHN THE BAPTIST AND ST. JAMES PARISHES, LOUISIANA- Water and sewer improvements, St. John the Baptist and St. James Parishes, Louisiana.

(35) UNION COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA- Water infrastructure, Union County, North Carolina.

(36) HOOD RIVER, OREGON- Water transmission infrastructure, Hood River, Oregon.

(37) MEDFORD, OREGON- Sewer collection infrastructure, Medford, Oregon.

(38) PORTLAND, OREGON- Water infrastructure and resource protection, Portland, Oregon.

[Sec. 219(c) Continued]

(39) COUDERSPORT, PENNSYLVANIA- Sewer system extensions and improvements, Coudersport, Pennsylvania.

(40) PARK CITY, UTAH- Water supply infrastructure, Park City, Utah.'.

(d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS- There is authorized to be appropriated for providing assistance under this section \$30,000,000. Such sums shall remain available until expended. [underlining added]

(e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION

ASSISTANCE- There are authorized to be appropriated for providing construction assistance under this section: [underlining added]

(1) ~~\$20,000,000~~ \$32,500,000 [WRDA 20007] for the project described in subsection (c)(5);

(2) \$2,000,000 for the project described in subsection (c)(6);

(3) \$20,000,000 for the project described in subsection (c)(7);

(4) \$11,000,000 for the project described in subsection (c)(8);

(5) \$25,000,000 for the project described in subsection (c)(2);

(6) \$30,000,000 for the project described in subsection (c)(9);

(7) \$30,000,000 for the project described in subsection (c)(16); and

(8) \$30,000,000 for the project described in subsection (c)(17).

(f) ADDITIONAL ASSISTANCE- The Secretary may provide assistance under subsection (a) and assistance for construction for the following: [underlining added]

(1) ATLANTA, GEORGIA- The project described in subsection (c)(2), modified to include watershed restoration and development in the regional Atlanta watershed, including Big Creek and Rock Creek.

(2) PATERSON, PASSAIC COUNTY, AND PASSAIC VALLEY, NEW JERSEY- The project described in subsection (c)(9), modified to include drainage facilities to alleviate flooding problems on Getty Avenue in the vicinity of St. Joseph's Hospital for the city of Paterson, New Jersey, and Passaic County, New Jersey, and innovative facilities to manage and treat additional flows in the Passaic Valley, Passaic River basin, New Jersey.

(3) NASHUA, NEW HAMPSHIRE- \$20,000,000 for a project to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows in the city of Nashua, New Hampshire.

(4) FALL RIVER AND NEW BEDFORD, MASSACHUSETTS- \$35,000,000 for a project to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows in the cities of Fall River and New Bedford, Massachusetts.

(5) FINDLAY TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLVANIA- \$11,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure in Findlay Township, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania.

(6) DILLSBURG BOROUGH AUTHORITY, PENNSYLVANIA- \$2,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure in Franklin Township, York County, Pennsylvania.

[Sec. 219(f) Continued]

- (7) HAMPDEN TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLVANIA- \$3,000,000 for water, sewer, and storm sewer improvements in Hampden Township, Pennsylvania.
- (8) TOWAMENCIN TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLVANIA- \$1,500,000 for sanitary sewer and water and wastewater infrastructure in Towamencin Township, Pennsylvania.
- (9) DAUPHIN COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA- \$2,000,000 for a project to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows and water system rehabilitation for the city of Harrisburg, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania.
- (10) EASTERN SHORE AND SOUTHWEST VIRGINIA- \$20,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure projects in the counties of Accomac, Northampton, Lee, Norton, Wise, Scott, Russell, Dickenson, Buchanan, and Tazewell, Virginia.
- (11) NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA- \$20,000,000 for water related infrastructure in the counties of Lackawanna, Lycoming, Susquehanna, Wyoming, Pike, Wayne, Sullivan, Bradford, and Monroe, Pennsylvania, including assistance for the Mountoursville Regional Sewer Authority, Lycoming County, Pennsylvania.
- (12) CALUMET REGION, INDIANA- \$30,000,000 for water related infrastructure projects in the counties of Benton, Jasper, Lake, Newton, and Porter, Indiana.
- (13) CLINTON COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA- \$1,000,000 for water related infrastructure in Clinton County, Pennsylvania.
- (14) PATTON TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLVANIA- \$1,400,000 for water related infrastructure in Patton Township, Pennsylvania.
- (15) NORTH FAYETTE TOWNSHIP, ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA- \$500,000 for water related infrastructure in North Fayette Township, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania.
- (16) SPRINGDALE BOROUGH, PENNSYLVANIA- \$500,000 for water related infrastructure in Springdale Borough, Pennsylvania.
- (17) ROBINSON TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLVANIA- \$1,200,000 for water related infrastructure in Robinson Township, Pennsylvania.
- (18) UPPER ALLEN TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLVANIA- \$3,400,000 for water related infrastructure in Upper Allen Township, Pennsylvania.
- (19) JEFFERSON TOWNSHIP, GREENE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA- \$1,000,000 for water related infrastructure in Jefferson Township, Greene County, Pennsylvania.
- (20) LUMBERTON, NORTH CAROLINA- \$1,700,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure projects in Lumberton, North Carolina.
- (21) BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA- \$20,000,000 for water related infrastructure for the parishes of East Baton Rouge, Ascension, and Livingston, Louisiana.
- (22) EAST SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA- \$25,000,000 for ground water recharge and conjunctive use projects in Stockton East Water District, California.

[Sec. 219(f) Continued]

- (23) SACRAMENTO AREA, CALIFORNIA- \$35,000,000 for regional water conservation and recycling projects in Placer and El Dorado Counties and the San Juan Suburban Water District, California.
- (24) CUMBERLAND COUNTY, TENNESSEE- \$5,000,000 for water supply projects in Cumberland County, Tennessee.
- (25) LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE, SOUTH CAROLINA- \$35,000,000 for wastewater treatment and water supply treatment and distribution projects in the counties of Calhoun, Clarendon, Colleton, Dorchester, Orangeberg, and Sumter, South Carolina.
- (26) BRIDGEPORT, CONNECTICUT- \$10,000,000 for a project to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows in the city of Bridgeport, Connecticut.
- (27) HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT- \$10,000,000 for a project to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows in the city of Hartford, Connecticut.
- (28) NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT- \$10,000,000 for a project to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows in the city of New Haven, Connecticut.
- (29) OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN- \$20,000,000 for a project to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows in the cities of Berkley, Ferndale, Madison Heights, Royal Oak, Birmingham, Hazel Park, Oak Park, Southfield, Clawson, Huntington Woods, Pleasant Ridge, and Troy, and the village of Beverly Hills, and the Charter Township of Royal Oak, Michigan.
- (30) DESOTO COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI- \$55,000,000 for a wastewater infrastructure project in the county of DeSoto, Mississippi.
- (31) KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI- \$15,000,000 for a project to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows in the city of Kansas City, Missouri.
- (32) ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI- \$15,000,000 for a project to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows in the city of St. Louis, Missouri.
- (33) ELIZABETH, NEW JERSEY- \$10,000,000 for a project to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows in the city of Elizabeth, New Jersey.
- (34) NORTH HUDSON, NEW JERSEY- \$20,000,000 for a project to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows for the North Hudson Sewerage Authority, New Jersey.
- (35) INNER HARBOR PROJECT, NEW YORK, NEW YORK- \$15,000,000 for a project to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows for the inner harbor project, New York, New York.
- (36) OUTER HARBOR PROJECT, NEW YORK, NEW YORK- \$15,000,000 for a project to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows for the outer harbor project, New York, New York.
- (37) LEBANON, NEW HAMPSHIRE- \$8,000,000 for a project to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows in the city of Lebanon, New Hampshire.
- (38) ASTORIA, OREGON- \$5,000,000 for a project to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows in the city of Astoria, Oregon.
- (39) CACHE COUNTY, UTAH- \$5,000,000 for a wastewater infrastructure project for Cache County, Utah.

[Sec. 219(f) Continued]

(40) LAWTON, OKLAHOMA- \$5,000,000 for a wastewater infrastructure project for the city of Lawton, Oklahoma.

(41) LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA- \$1,500,000 for a project to provide water facilities for the Fox Field Industrial Corridor, Lancaster, California.

(42) SAN RAMON VALLEY, CALIFORNIA- \$15,000,000 for a project for recycled water for San Ramon Valley, California.

(43) HARBOR/SOUTH BAY, CALIFORNIA- \$35,000,000 for an industrial water reuse project for the Harbor/South Bay area, California.

[(44) was skipped]

(45) WASHINGTON, D.C., AND MARYLAND- \$15,000,000 for the project described in subsection (c)(1), modified to include measures to eliminate or control combined sewer overflows in the Anacostia River watershed.

(46) DUCK RIVER, CULLMAN, ALABAMA- \$5,000,000 for water supply infrastructure, Duck River, Cullman, Alabama.

(47) UNION COUNTY, ARKANSAS- \$52,000,000 for water supply infrastructure, including facilities for withdrawal, treatment, and distribution, Union County, Arkansas.

(48) CAMBRIA, CALIFORNIA- \$10,300,000 for desalination infrastructure, Cambria, California.

(49) LOS ANGELES HARBOR/TERMINAL ISLAND, CALIFORNIA- \$6,500,000 for wastewater recycling infrastructure, Los Angeles Harbor/Terminal Island, California.

(50) NORTH VALLEY REGION, LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA- \$14,500,000 for water infrastructure, North Valley Region, Lancaster, California.

(51) SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA- \$10,000,000 for water-related infrastructure, San Diego County, California.

(52) SOUTH PERRIS, CALIFORNIA- \$25,000,000 for water supply desalination infrastructure, South Perris, California.

(53) AURORA, ILLINOIS- \$8,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure to reduce or eliminate combined sewer overflows, Aurora, Illinois.

(54) COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS- \$35,000,000 for water-related infrastructure and resource protection and development, Cook County, Illinois.

(55) MADISON AND ST. CLAIR COUNTIES, ILLINOIS- \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater assistance, Madison and St. Clair Counties, Illinois.

(56) IBERIA PARISH, LOUISIANA- \$5,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Iberia Parish, Louisiana.

(57) KENNER, LOUISIANA- \$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Kenner, Louisiana.

(58) BENTON HARBOR, MICHIGAN- \$1,500,000 for water-related infrastructure, City of Benton Harbor, Michigan.

(59) GENESEE COUNTY, MICHIGAN- \$6,700,000 for wastewater infrastructure assistance to reduce or eliminate sewer overflows, Genesee County, Michigan.

[Sec. 219(f) Continued]

(60) NEGAUNEE, MICHIGAN- \$10,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure assistance, City of Negaunee, Michigan.

(61) GARRISON AND KATHIO TOWNSHIP, MINNESOTA- \$11,000,000 for a wastewater infrastructure project for the city of Garrison and Kathio Township, Minnesota.

(62) NEWTON, NEW JERSEY- \$7,000,000 for water filtration infrastructure, Newton, New Jersey.

(63) LIVERPOOL, NEW YORK- \$2,000,000 for water infrastructure, including a pump station, Liverpool, New York.

(64) STANLY COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA- \$8,900,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Stanly County, North Carolina.

(65) YUKON, OKLAHOMA- \$5,500,000 for water-related infrastructure, including wells, booster stations, storage tanks, and transmission lines, Yukon, Oklahoma.

(66) ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA- \$20,000,000 for water-related environmental infrastructure, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania.

(67) MOUNT JOY TOWNSHIP AND CONEWAGO TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLVANIA- \$8,300,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Mount Joy Township and Conewago Township, Pennsylvania.

(68) PHOENIXVILLE BOROUGH, CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA- \$2,400,000 for water and sewer infrastructure, Phoenixville Borough, Chester County, Pennsylvania.

(69) TITUSVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA- \$7,300,000 for storm water separation and treatment plant upgrades, Titusville, Pennsylvania.

(70) WASHINGTON, GREENE, WESTMORELAND, AND FAYETTE COUNTIES, PENNSYLVANIA- \$8,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Washington, Greene, Westmoreland, and Fayette Counties, Pennsylvania.'

(71) CORONADO, CALIFORNIA- \$10,000,000 is authorized for wastewater infrastructure, Coronado, California.

~~() CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA- \$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, including wastewater collection systems, Charleston, South Carolina. [Added without a number]~~

(72) CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.--\$10,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, including wastewater collection systems, and stormwater systems improvements, Charleston, South Carolina. [WRDA 20007]

(73) [WRDA 20007] PLACER AND EL DORADO COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA- \$35,000,000 to improve the efficiency and use of existing water supplies in Placer and El Dorado Counties, California, through water and wastewater projects, programs, and infrastructure. [Added as another (71)]

(74) [WRDA 20007] LASSEN, PLUMAS, BUTTE, SIERRA, AND NEVADA COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA- \$25,000,000 to improve the efficiency and use of existing water supplies in the counties of Lassen, Plumas, Butte, Sierra, and Nevada, California, through water and waste water projects, programs, and infrastructure.

[Sec. 219(f) Continued]

~~(71) \$6,430,000 for environmental infrastructure for Indianapolis, Indiana.
[Added as another (71)]~~

(75) INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA.--\$6,430,000 for environmental infrastructure for Indianapolis, Indiana. *[WRDA 20007]*

(76) *[WRDA 20007]* ST. CROIX FALLS, WISCONSIN- \$5,000,000 for waste water infrastructure, St. Croix Falls, Wisconsin.

(77) *[WRDA 20007]*ALPINE, CALIFORNIA- \$10,000,000 is authorized for a water transmission main, Alpine, CA. *[Added as another (72)]*

[WRDA 2007 Added the following 196 projects]

(78) ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ALABAMA.—\$5,000,000 for water related infrastructure, St. Clair County, Alabama.

(79) CRAWFORD COUNTY, ARKANSAS.—\$35,000,000 for water supply infrastructure, Crawford County, Arkansas.

(80) ALAMEDA AND CONTRA COSTA COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA.—\$25,000,000 for recycled water treatment facilities within the East Bay Municipal Utility District service area, Alameda and Contra Costa Counties, California.

(81) ALISO CREEK, ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$5,000,000 for water related infrastructure, Aliso Creek, Orange County, California.

(82) AMADOR COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,000,000 for wastewater collection and treatment infrastructure, Amador County, California.

(83) ARCADIA, SIERRA MADRE, AND UPLAND, CALIFORNIA.—\$33,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Arcadia, Sierra Madre, and Upland, California, including \$13,000,000 for stormwater infrastructure for Upland, California.

(84) BIG BEAR AREA REGIONAL WASTEWATER AGENCY, CALIFORNIA.—\$15,000,000 for water reclamation and distribution infrastructure, Big Bear Area Regional Wastewater Agency, California.

(85) BRAWLEY COLONIA, IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$1,400,000 for water infrastructure to improve water quality in the Brawley Colonia Water District, Imperial County, California.

(86) CALAVERAS COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure improvement projects in Calaveras County, California, including wastewater reclamation, recycling, and conjunctive use projects.

(87) CONTRA COSTA WATER DISTRICT, CALIFORNIA.— \$23,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure for the Contra Costa Water District, California.

(88) EAST BAY, SAN FRANCISCO, AND SANTA CLARA AREAS, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000 for a desalination project to serve the East Bay, San Francisco, and Santa Clara areas, California.

(89) EAST PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000 for a new pump station and stormwater management and drainage system, East Palo Alto, California.

(90) IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$10,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, including a wastewater disinfection facility and polishing

[Sec. 219(f) Continued]

system, to improve water quality in the vicinity of Calexico, California, on the southern New River, Imperial County, California.

(91) LA HABRA, CALIFORNIA.—\$5,000,000 for wastewater and water related infrastructure, city of La Habra, California.

(92) LA MIRADA, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000 for the planning, design, and construction of a stormwater program in La Mirada, California.

(93) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,000,000 for wastewater and water related infrastructure, Diamond Bar, La Habra Heights, and Rowland Heights, Los Angeles County, California.

(94) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000 for the planning, design, and construction of water related infrastructure for Santa Monica Bay and the coastal zone of Los Angeles County, California.

(95) MALIBU, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,000,000 for municipal wastewater and recycled water infrastructure, Malibu Creek Watershed Protection Project, Malibu, California.

(96) MONTEBELLO, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000 for water infrastructure improvements in south Montebello, California.

(97) NEW RIVER, CALIFORNIA.—\$10,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure to improve water quality in the New River, California.

(98) ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$10,000,000 for wastewater and water related infrastructure, Anaheim, Brea, Mission Viejo, Rancho Santa Margarita, and Yorba Linda, Orange County, California.

(99) PORT OF STOCKTON, STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure projects for Rough and Ready Island and vicinity, Stockton, California.

(100) PERRIS, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,000,000 for recycled water transmission infrastructure, Eastern Municipal Water District, Perris, California.

(101) SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$9,000,000 for wastewater and water related infrastructure, Chino and Chino Hills, San Bernardino County, California.

(102) SANTA CLARA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$5,500,000 for an advanced recycling water treatment plant in Santa Clara County, California.

(103) SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA.—\$3,000,000 for improving water system reliability, Santa Monica, California.

(104) SOUTHERN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$15,000,000 for environmental infrastructure for the groundwater basin optimization pipeline, Southern Los Angeles County, California.

(105) STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA.—\$33,000,000 for water treatment and distribution infrastructure, Stockton, California.

(106) SWEETWATER RESERVOIR, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$375,000 to improve water quality and remove nonnative aquatic nuisance species from the Sweetwater Reservoir, San Diego County, California.

(107) WHITTIER, CALIFORNIA.—\$8,000,000 for water, wastewater, and water related infrastructure, Whittier, California.

[Sec. 219(f) Continued]

- (108) ARKANSAS VALLEY CONDUIT, COLORADO.—\$10,000,000 for the Arkansas Valley Conduit, Colorado.
- (109) BOULDER COUNTY, COLORADO.—\$10,000,000 for water supply infrastructure, Boulder County, Colorado.
- (110) MONTEZUMA AND LA PLATA COUNTIES, COLORADO.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater related infrastructure for the Ute Mountain project, Montezuma and La Plata Counties, Colorado.
- (111) OTERO, BENT, CROWLEY, KIOWA, AND PROWERS COUNTIES, COLORADO.—\$35,000,000 for water transmission infrastructure, Otero, Bent, Crowley, Kiowa, and Prowers Counties, Colorado.
- (112) PUEBLO AND OTERO COUNTIES, COLORADO.—\$34,000,000 for water transmission infrastructure, Pueblo and Otero Counties, Colorado.
- (113) ENFIELD, CONNECTICUT.—\$1,000,000 for infiltration and inflow correction, Enfield, Connecticut.
- (114) LEDYARD AND MONTVILLE, CONNECTICUT.—\$7,113,000 for water infrastructure, Ledyard and Montville, Connecticut.
- (115) NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT.—\$300,000 for stormwater system improvements, New Haven, Connecticut.
- (116) NORWALK, CONNECTICUT.—\$3,000,000 for the Keeler Brook Storm Water Improvement Project, Norwalk, Connecticut.
- (117) PLAINVILLE, CONNECTICUT.—\$6,280,000 for wastewater treatment, Plainville, Connecticut.
- (118) SOUTHLINGTON, CONNECTICUT.—\$9,420,000 for water supply infrastructure, Southington, Connecticut.
- (119) ANACOSTIA RIVER, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AND MARYLAND.—\$20,000,000 for environmental infrastructure and resource protection and development to enhance water quality and living resources in the Anacostia River watershed, District of Columbia and Maryland.
- (120) DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—\$35,000,000 for implementation of a combined sewer overflow long-term control plan in the District of Columbia.
- (121) CHARLOTTE COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$3,000,000 for water supply infrastructure, Charlotte County, Florida.
- (122) CHARLOTTE, LEE, AND COLLIER COUNTIES, FLORIDA.—\$20,000,000 for water supply interconnectivity infrastructure, Charlotte, Lee, and Collier Counties, Florida.
- (123) COLLIER COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$5,000,000 for water infrastructure to improve water quality in the vicinity of the Gordon River, Collier County, Florida.
- (124) HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$6,250,000 for water infrastructure and supply enhancement, Hillsborough County, Florida.
- (125) JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA.—\$25,000,000 for wastewater related infrastructure, including septic tank replacements, Jacksonville, Florida.
- (126) SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure in Sarasota County, Florida.

[Sec. 219(f) Continued]

- (127) SOUTH SEMINOLE AND NORTH ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$30,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure for the South Seminole and North Orange Wastewater Transmission Authority, Florida.
- (128) MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$6,250,000 for water reuse supply and a water transmission pipeline, Miami-Dade County, Florida.
- (129) PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA.—\$7,500,000 for water infrastructure, Palm Beach County, Florida.
- (130) ALBANY, GEORGIA.—\$4,000,000 for a storm drainage system, Albany, Georgia.
- (131) BANKS COUNTY, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for water infrastructure improvements, Banks County, Georgia.
- (132) BERRIEN COUNTY, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for water infrastructure improvements, Berrien County, Georgia.
- (133) CHATTOOGA COUNTY, GEORGIA.—\$8,000,000 for wastewater and drinking water infrastructure improvement, Chattooga County, Georgia.
- (134) CHATTOOGA, FLOYD, GORDON, WALKER, AND WHITIFIELD COUNTIES, GEORGIA.—\$10,000,000 for water infrastructure improvements, Armuchee Valley, Chattooga, Floyd, Gordon, Walker, and Whitifield Counties, Georgia.
- (135) DAHLONEGA, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for water infrastructure improvements, Dahlonega, Georgia.
- (136) EAST POINT, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for water infrastructure improvements, city of East Point, Georgia.
- (137) FAYETTEVILLE, GRANTVILLE, LAGRANGE, PINE MOUNTAIN (HARRIS COUNTY), DOUGLASVILLE, AND CARROLLTON, GEORGIA.—\$24,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Fayetteville, Grantville, LaGrange, Pine Mountain (Harris County), Douglasville, and Carrollton, Georgia.
- (138) MERIWETHER AND SPALDING COUNTIES, GEORGIA.—\$7,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Meriwether and Spalding Counties, Georgia.
- (139) MOULTRIE, GEORGIA.—\$5,000,000 for water supply infrastructure, Moultrie, Georgia.
- (140) STEPHENS COUNTY/CITY OF TOCCOA, GEORGIA.—\$8,000,000 water infrastructure improvements, Stephens County/city of Toccoa, Georgia.
- (141) NORTH VERNON AND BUTLERVILLE, INDIANA.—\$1,700,000 for wastewater infrastructure, North Vernon and Butlerville, Indiana.
- (142) SALEM, WASHINGTON COUNTY, INDIANA.—\$3,200,000 for water supply infrastructure, Salem, Washington County, Indiana.
- (143) ATCHISON, KANSAS.—\$20,000,000 to address combined sewer overflows, Atchison, Kansas.
- (144) CENTRAL KENTUCKY.—\$10,000,000 for water related infrastructure and resource protection and development, Scott, Franklin, Woodford, Anderson, Fayette, Mercer, Jessamine, Boyle, Lincoln,

[Sec. 219(f) Continued]

Garrard, Madison, Estill, Powell, Clark, Montgomery, and Bourbon Counties, Kentucky.

(145) LAFAYETTE, LOUISIANA.—\$1,200,000 for water and wastewater improvements, Lafayette, Louisiana.

(146) LAFOURCHE PARISH, LOUISIANA.—\$2,300,000 for measures to prevent the intrusion of saltwater into the freshwater system, Lafourche Parish, Louisiana.

(147) LAKE CHARLES, LOUISIANA.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater improvements, Lake Charles, Louisiana.

(148) NORTHWEST LOUISIANA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS, LOUISIANA.—\$2,000,000 for water and wastewater improvements, Northwest Louisiana Council of Governments, Louisiana.

(149) OUACHITA PARISH, LOUISIANA.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater improvements, Ouachita Parish, Louisiana.

(150) PLAQUEMINE, LOUISIANA.—\$7,000,000 for sanitary sewer and wastewater infrastructure, Plaquemine, Louisiana.

(151) RAPIDES AREA PLANNING COMMISSION, LOUISIANA.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater improvements, Rapides, Louisiana.

(152) SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA.—\$20,000,000 for water supply infrastructure in Shreveport, Louisiana.

(153) SOUTH CENTRAL PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, LOUISIANA.—\$2,500,000 for water and wastewater improvements, South Central Planning and Development Commission, Louisiana.

(154) UNION-LINCOLN REGIONAL WATER SUPPLY PROJECT, LOUISIANA.—\$2,000,000 for the Union-Lincoln Regional Water Supply project, Louisiana.

(155) CHESAPEAKE BAY IMPROVEMENTS, MARYLAND, VIRGINIA, AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—\$30,000,000 for environmental infrastructure projects to benefit the Chesapeake Bay, including the nutrient removal project at the Blue Plains Wastewater Treatment facility in the District of Columbia.

(156) CHESAPEAKE BAY REGION, MARYLAND AND VIRGINIA.—\$40,000,000 for water pollution control, Chesapeake Bay Region, Maryland and Virginia.

(157) MICHIGAN COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOWS.—\$35,000,000 for correction of combined sewer overflows, Michigan.

(158) CENTRAL IRON RANGE SANITARY SEWER DISTRICT, MINNESOTA.—\$12,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure for the Central Iron Range Sanitary Sewer District to serve the cities of Hibbing, Chisholm, Buhl, and Kinney, and Balkan and Great Scott Townships, Minnesota.

(159) CENTRAL LAKE REGION SANITARY DISTRICT, MINNESOTA.—\$2,000,000 for sanitary sewer and wastewater infrastructure for the Central Lake Region Sanitary District, Minnesota, to serve Le Grande and Moe Townships, Minnesota.

[Sec. 219(f) Continued]

- (160) GOODVIEW, MINNESOTA.—\$3,000,000 for water quality infrastructure, Goodview, Minnesota.
- (161) GRAND RAPIDS, MINNESOTA.—\$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Grand Rapids, Minnesota.
- (162) WILLMAR, MINNESOTA.—\$15,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Willmar, Minnesota.
- (163) BILOXI, MISSISSIPPI.—\$5,000,000 for water and wastewater related infrastructure, city of Biloxi, Mississippi.
- (164) CORINTH, MISSISSIPPI.—\$7,500,000 for a surface water program, city of Corinth, Mississippi.
- (165) GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI.—\$5,000,000 for water and wastewater related infrastructure, city of Gulfport, Mississippi.
- (166) HARRISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—\$5,000,000 for water and wastewater related infrastructure, Harrison County, Mississippi.
- (167) JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI.—\$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Jackson, Mississippi.
- (168) CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.—\$30,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Clark County, Nevada.
- (169) CLEAN WATER COALITION, NEVADA.—\$50,000,000 for the Systems Conveyance and Operations Program, Clark County, Henderson, Las Vegas, and North Las Vegas, Nevada.
- (170) GLENDALE DAM DIVERSION STRUCTURE, NEVADA.—\$10,000,000 for water system improvements to the Glendale Dam Diversion Structure for the Truckee Meadows Water Authority, Nevada.
- (171) HENDERSON, NEVADA.—\$13,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Henderson, Nevada.
- (172) INDIAN SPRINGS, NEVADA.—\$12,000,000 for construction of wastewater system improvements for the Indian Springs community, Nevada.
- (173) RENO, NEVADA.—\$13,000,000 for construction of a water conservation project for the Highland Canal, Mogul Bypass in Reno, Nevada.
- (174) WASHOE COUNTY, NEVADA.—\$14,000,000 for construction of water infrastructure improvements to the Huffaker Hills Reservoir Conservation Project, Washoe County, Nevada.
- (175) CRANFORD TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY.—\$6,000,000 for storm sewer improvements, Cranford Township, New Jersey.
- (176) MIDDLETOWN TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY.—\$1,100,000 for storm sewer improvements, Middletown Township, New Jersey.
- (177) PATERSON, NEW JERSEY.—\$35,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Paterson, New Jersey.
- (178) RAHWAY VALLEY, NEW JERSEY.—\$25,000,000 for sanitary sewer and storm sewer improvements in the service area of the Rahway Valley Sewerage Authority, New Jersey.
- (179) BABYLON, NEW YORK.—\$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Town of Babylon, New York.

[Sec. 219(f) Continued]

- (180) ELLICOTTVILLE, NEW YORK.—\$2,000,000 for water supply, water, and wastewater infrastructure in Ellicottville, New York.
- (181) ELMIRA, NEW YORK.—\$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Elmira, New York.
- (182) ESSEX HAMLET, NEW YORK.—\$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Essex Hamlet, New York.
- (183) FLEMING, NEW YORK.—\$5,000,000 for drinking water infrastructure, Fleming, New York.
- (184) KIRYAS JOEL, NEW YORK.—\$5,000,000 for drinking water infrastructure, village of Kiryas Joel, New York.
- (185) NIAGARA FALLS, NEW YORK.—\$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Niagara Falls Water Board, New York.
- (186) PATCHOGUE, NEW YORK.—\$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, village of Patchogue, New York.
- (187) SENNETT, NEW YORK.—\$1,500,000 for water infrastructure, town of Sennett, New York.
- (188) SPRINGPORT AND FLEMING, NEW YORK.—\$10,000,000 for water related infrastructure, including water mains, pump stations, and water storage tanks, Springport and Fleming, New York.
- (189) WELLSVILLE, NEW YORK.—\$2,000,000 for water supply, water, and wastewater infrastructure in Wellsville, New York.
- (190) YATES COUNTY, NEW YORK.—\$5,000,000 for drinking water infrastructure, Yates County, New York.
- (191) CABARRUS COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—\$4,500,000 for water related infrastructure, Cabarrus County, North Carolina.
- (192) CARY, WAKE COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—\$4,000,000 for a water reclamation facility, Cary, Wake County, North Carolina.
- (193) CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA.—\$14,000,000 for the Briar Creek Relief Sewer project, city of Charlotte, North Carolina.
- (194) FAYETTEVILLE, CUMBERLAND COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—\$6,000,000 for water and sewer upgrades, city of Fayetteville, Cumberland County, North Carolina.
- (195) MOORESVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—\$4,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements, town of Mooresville, North Carolina.
- (196) NEUSE REGIONAL WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY, NORTH CAROLINA.—\$4,000,000 for the Neuse regional drinking water facility, Kinston, North Carolina.
- (197) RICHMOND COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—\$13,500,000 for water related infrastructure, Richmond County, North Carolina.
- (198) UNION COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—\$6,000,000 for water related infrastructure, Union County, North Carolina.
- (199) WASHINGTON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Washington County, North Carolina.
- (200) WINSTON-SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA.—\$3,000,000 for stormwater upgrades, city of Winston-Salem, North Carolina.

[Sec. 219(f) Continued]

(201) NORTH DAKOTA.—\$15,000,000 for water-related infrastructure, North Dakota.

(202) DEVILS LAKE, NORTH DAKOTA.—\$15,000,000 for water supply infrastructure, Devils Lake, North Dakota.

(203) SAIPAN, NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS.—\$20,000,000 for water related infrastructure, Saipan, Northern Mariana Islands.

(204) AKRON, OHIO.—\$5,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Akron, Ohio.

(205) BURR OAK REGIONAL WATER DISTRICT, OHIO.—\$4,000,000 for construction of a water line to extend from a well field near Chauncey, Ohio, to a water treatment plant near Millfield, Ohio.

(206) CINCINNATI, OHIO.—\$1,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Cincinnati, Ohio.

(207) CLEVELAND, OHIO.—\$2,500,000 for Flats East Bank water and wastewater infrastructure, city of Cleveland, Ohio.

(208) COLUMBUS, OHIO.—\$4,500,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Columbus, Ohio.

(209) DAYTON, OHIO.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Dayton, Ohio.

(210) DEFIANCE COUNTY, OHIO.—\$1,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Defiance County, Ohio.

(211) FOSTORIA, OHIO.—\$2,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Fostoria, Ohio.

(212) FREMONT, OHIO.—\$2,000,000 for construction of offstream water supply reservoir, Fremont, Ohio.

(213) LAKE COUNTY, OHIO.—\$1,500,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Lake County, Ohio.

(214) LAWRENCE COUNTY, OHIO.—\$5,000,000 for Union Rome wastewater infrastructure, Lawrence County, Ohio.

(215) MEIGS COUNTY, OHIO.—\$1,000,000 to extend the Tupper Plains Regional Water District water line to Meigs County, Ohio.

(216) MENTOR-ON-LAKE, OHIO.—\$625,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Mentor-on-Lake, Ohio.

(217) VINTON COUNTY, OHIO.—\$1,000,000 to construct water lines in Vinton and Brown Townships, Ohio.

(218) WILLOWICK, OHIO.—\$665,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Willowick, Ohio.

(219) ADA, OKLAHOMA.—\$1,700,000 for sewer improvements and other water infrastructure, city of Ada, Oklahoma.

(220) ALVA, OKLAHOMA.—\$250,000 for wastewater infrastructure improvements, city of Alva, Oklahoma.

(221) ARDMORE, OKLAHOMA.—\$1,900,000 for water and sewer infrastructure improvements, city of Ardmore, Oklahoma.

(222) BARTLESVILLE, OKLAHOMA.—\$2,500,000 for water supply infrastructure, city of Bartlesville, Oklahoma.

(223) BETHANY, OKLAHOMA.—\$1,500,000 for water improvements and water related infrastructure, city of Bethany, Oklahoma.

[Sec. 219(f) Continued]

(224) CHICKASHA, OKLAHOMA.—\$650,000 for industrial park sewer infrastructure, city of Chickasha, Oklahoma.

(225) DISNEY AND LANGLEY, OKLAHOMA.—\$2,500,000 for water and sewer improvements and water related infrastructure, cities of Disney and Langley, Oklahoma.

(226) DURANT, OKLAHOMA.—\$3,300,000 for bayou restoration and water related infrastructure, city of Durant, Oklahoma.

(227) EASTERN OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, WILBERTON, OKLAHOMA.—\$1,000,000 for sewer and utility upgrades and water related infrastructure, Eastern Oklahoma State University, Wilberton, Oklahoma.

(228) GUYMON, OKLAHOMA.—\$16,000,000 for water and wastewater related infrastructure, city of Guymon, Oklahoma.

(229) KONAWA, OKLAHOMA.—\$500,000 for water treatment infrastructure improvements, city of Konawa, Oklahoma.

(230) LUGERT-ALTUS IRRIGATION DISTRICT, ALTUS, OKLAHOMA.—\$5,000,000 for water related infrastructure improvements, Lugert-Altus Irrigation District, Altus, Oklahoma.

(231) MIDWEST CITY, OKLAHOMA.—\$2,000,000 for improvements to water related infrastructure, the City of Midwest City, Oklahoma.

(232) MUSTANG, OKLAHOMA.—\$3,325,000 for water improvements and water related infrastructure, city of Mustang, Oklahoma.

(233) NORMAN, OKLAHOMA.—\$10,000,000 for water related infrastructure, Norman, Oklahoma.

(234) OKLAHOMA PANHANDLE STATE UNIVERSITY, GUYMON, OKLAHOMA.—\$275,000 for water testing facility and water related infrastructure development, Oklahoma Panhandle State University, Guymon, Oklahoma.

(235) WEATHERFORD, OKLAHOMA.—\$500,000 for arsenic program and water related infrastructure, city of Weatherford, Oklahoma.

(236) WOODWARD, OKLAHOMA.—\$1,500,000 for water improvements and water related infrastructure, Woodward, Oklahoma.

(237) ALBANY, OREGON.—\$35,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure to improve habitat restoration, Albany, Oregon.

(238) BEAVER CREEK RESERVOIR, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$3,000,000 for projects for water supply and related activities, Beaver Creek Reservoir, Clarion County, Beaver and Salem Townships, Pennsylvania.

(239) HATFIELD BOROUGH, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$310,000 for wastewater related infrastructure for Hatfield Borough, Pennsylvania.

(240) LEHIGH COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$5,000,000 for stormwater control measures and storm sewer improvements, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania.

(241) NORTH WALES BOROUGH, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$1,516,584 for wastewater related infrastructure for North Wales Borough, Pennsylvania.

(242) PEN ARGYL, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$5,250,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Pen Argyl, Pennsylvania.

[Sec. 219(f) Continued]

- (243) PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$1,600,000 for wastewater related infrastructure for Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- (244) STOCKERTON BOROUGH, TATAMY BOROUGH, AND PALMER TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$10,000,000 for stormwater control measures, particularly to address sinkholes, in the vicinity of Stockerton Borough, Tatamy Borough, and Palmer Township, Pennsylvania.
- (245) VERA CRUZ, PENNSYLVANIA.—\$5,500,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Vera Cruz, Pennsylvania.
- (246) COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO.—\$35,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.
- (247) CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$4,000,000 for stormwater control measures and storm sewer improvements, Spring Street/Fishburne Street drainage project, Charleston, South Carolina.
- (248) CHARLESTON AND WEST ASHLEY, SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$6,000,000 for wastewater tunnel replacement, Charleston and West Ashley, South Carolina.
- (249) CROOKED CREEK, MARLBORO COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$25,000,000 for a project for water storage and water supply infrastructure on Crooked Creek, Marlboro County, South Carolina.
- (250) MYRTLE BEACH, SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$18,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, including ocean outfalls, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina.
- (251) NORTH MYRTLE BEACH, SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$11,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, including ocean outfalls, North Myrtle Beach, South Carolina.
- (252) SURFSIDE, SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$11,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, including stormwater system improvements and ocean outfalls, Surfside, South Carolina.
- (253) CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX RESERVATION (DEWEY AND ZIEBACH COUNTIES) AND PERKINS AND MEADE COUNTIES, SOUTH DAKOTA.—\$65,000,000 for water related infrastructure, Cheyenne River Sioux Reservation (Dewey and Ziebach counties) and Perkins and Meade Counties, South Dakota.
- (254) ATHENS, TENNESSEE.—\$16,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Athens, Tennessee.
- (255) BLAINE, TENNESSEE.—\$500,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, Blaine, Tennessee.
- (256) CLAIBORNE COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—\$1,250,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, Claiborne County, Tennessee.
- (257) GILES COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—\$2,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, county of Giles, Tennessee.
- (258) GRAINGER COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—\$1,250,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, Grainger County, Tennessee.
- (259) HAMILTON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—\$500,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, Hamilton County, Tennessee.
- (260) HARROGATE, TENNESSEE.—\$2,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, city of Harrogate, Tennessee.

[Sec. 219(f) Continued]

- (261) JOHNSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—\$600,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, Johnson County, Tennessee.
- (262) KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE.—\$5,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, city of Knoxville, Tennessee.
- (263) NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE.—\$5,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, Nashville, Tennessee.
- (264) LEWIS, LAWRENCE, AND WAYNE COUNTIES, TENNESSEE.—\$2,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, counties of Lewis, Lawrence, and Wayne, Tennessee.
- (265) OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE.—\$4,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, city of Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- (266) PLATEAU UTILITY DISTRICT, MORGAN COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—\$1,000,000 for water supply and wastewater infrastructure, Morgan County, Tennessee.
- (267) SHELBY COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—\$4,000,000 for water related environmental infrastructure, county of Shelby, Tennessee.
- (268) CENTRAL TEXAS.—\$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure in Bosque, Brazos, Burleson, Grimes, Hill, Hood, Johnson, Madison, McLennan, Limestone, Robertson, and Somervell Counties, Texas.
- (269) EL PASO COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$25,000,000 for water related infrastructure and resource protection, including stormwater management, and development, El Paso County, Texas.
- (270) FT. BEND COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Ft. Bend County, Texas.
- (271) DUCHESNE, IRON, AND UINTAH COUNTIES, UTAH.—\$10,800,000 for water related infrastructure, Duchesne, Iron, and Uintah Counties, Utah.
- (272) NORTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.—\$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure in Hancock, Ohio, Marshall, Wetzel, Tyler, Pleasants, Wood, Doddridge, Monongalia, Marion, Harrison, Taylor, Barbour, Preston, Tucker, Mineral, Grant, Gilmer, Brooke, and Ritchie Counties, West Virginia.
- (273) UNITED STATES VIRGIN ISLANDS.—\$25,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure for the St. Croix Anguilla wastewater treatment plant and the St. Thomas Charlotte Amalie wastewater treatment plant, United States Virgin Islands.”.