DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20314-1000

CECW-PC

MAY - 9 2006

MEMORANDUM FOR SEE DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Approval of Model Project Cooperation Agreement and Delegation of Approval and Execution Authority for Project Cooperation Agreements for Specifically Authorized Projects and Separable Elements Thereof With Costs Allocable to Structural Flood Damage Reduction, Ecosystem Restoration, and Recreation

1. Reference Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works (ASA(CW)) memorandum to the Director of Civil Works, dated 19 April 2006, Subject as above (SAB) (enclosure 1).

2. Effective today, the enclosed model project cooperation agreement (PCA) (enclosure 2) shall be used for specifically authorized projects (and separable elements thereof) with costs allocable to structural flood damage reduction, ecosystem restoration, and recreation. The model agreement includes optional language to address several variations. Selection and use of the optional language is not considered a deviation from the model. If you have already substantially completed negotiations with a non-Federal sponsor using a prior draft model or a sample agreement please contact your HQUSACE Regional Integration Team (RIT) for guidance.

3. The basis for delegating authority to execute PCAs, as provided for in this memorandum, is the use of models, whenever it is practical to do so. The use of models in the development of individual PCAs should help to streamline project implementation in a way that also achieves national consistency, policy compliance, legal sufficiency, and equitable treatment of project sponsors. While these attributes favor the use of models, it is also recognized that deviations from the models may be appropriate in certain cases. The Project Manager should work collaboratively and expeditiously with the non-Federal sponsor to develop a PCA using the subject model without deviation or adapt it, as necessary, for the particular project. District offices are encouraged to coordinate early resolution of deviations from the subject model through the vertical team so that projects can be implemented on schedule.

4. The following procedures should be used for review and approval of PCAs and PCA amendments for specifically authorized projects (and separable elements thereof) with costs allocable to structural flood damage reduction, ecosystem restoration, and recreation. In those cases where there are no deviations from the subject model, implementation of these procedures eliminates submission of a formal agreement package to Washington for review and approval. However in all cases, the

documentation, including, but not necessarily limited to, approval of the non-Federal sponsor's financing plan, Federal – non-Federal funds allocation table, Certificate of Legal Review, and PCA Checklist, that is necessary for the Major Subordinate Command (MSC) to review and approve the agreement in accordance with the following paragraphs, shall be prepared and forwarded for review to the MSC. A copy of all of the necessary documentation shall be placed in the project file prior to approval by the MSC Commander.

a. The responsibility for review and approval of a PCA that does not deviate from the subject model is delegated to the MSC Commander and may not be further delegated. Division Counsel concurrence that the PCA does not deviate from the subject model is required prior to approval.

b. The MSC Commander also is delegated the responsibility for review and approval of non-policy and non-substantive deviations from the subject model. This authority may not be further delegated. Division Counsel review of any such deviations and a Division Counsel recommendation to approve such deviations are required prior to approval by the MSC Commander. Where there is a question whether the deviation is policy related or substantive, HQUSACE is available for informal consultation.

c. Project Cooperation Agreements with substantive deviations or deviations involving policy issues, unique circumstances, or controversial matters shall be forwarded for MSC review and then transmitted to the appropriate HQUSACE RIT, with MSC recommendations, for review by HQUSACE and approval by ASA(CW). The MSC should forward packages for these PCAs in accordance with the current guidance addressing submission of a formal agreement package to Washington for review and approval. Early coordination of these proposed deviations with the appropriate HQUSACE RIT is encouraged.

d. Review and approval of any amendment to an existing PCA to address non-policy and non-substantive changes, is delegated to the MSC Commander and may not be further delegated. Division Counsel review of any such amendment and a Division Counsel recommendation to approve such amendment are required prior to approval by the MSC Commander. Where there is a question whether the changes to the existing PCA are policy related or substantive, HQUSACE is available for informal consultation.

e. If a PCA amendment incorporates substantive revisions to an existing PCA or any revisions to an existing PCA that involve policy issues, unique circumstances, or controversial matters, the amendment shall be forwarded for MSC review and then transmitted to the appropriate HQUSACE

RIT, with MSC recommendations, for review by HQUSACE and approval by ASA(CW). The MSC should forward packages for these PCAs in accordance with the current guidance addressing submission of a formal agreement package to Washington for review and approval. Early coordination of the proposed amendment with the appropriate HQUSACE RIT is encouraged. In addition, any amendment that consists solely of changes to the description of the project, as defined in Article I of the existing PCA, and the resulting changes to the costs shown in Article VI of the existing PCA, shall be approved by the organizational level that approved the decision document that supports the change, except that in no event shall the approval level be below the MSC.

f. The District Commander is authorized to execute each PCA and PCA amendment after its approval, including agreements that require MSC or ASA(CW) approval. However, the ASA(CW) retains the authority to sign any agreement of his or her choosing.

5. The following procedures should be used for execution of PCAs and PCA amendments for specifically authorized projects (and separable elements thereof) with costs allocable to structural flood damage reduction, ecosystem restoration, and recreation. After approval of the PCA or PCA amendment by the appropriate authority, the district should prepare a minimum of four final originals for signature by the non-Federal sponsor. After signature by the non-Federal sponsor, the District Counsel shall review the non-Federal signatures on the PCA or PCA amendment, the Certificate of Authority, and the Certification Regarding Lobbying to ensure that the PCA or PCA amendment has been signed and dated by the non-Federal sponsor in all the appropriate locations. After completion of such review and a recommendation to proceed with signature by the District Counsel, the District Commander shall execute the PCA or PCA amendment. The PCA or PCA amendment shall be dated with the date the District Commander executes the agreement. The district shall retain two copies of the executed PCA or PCA amendment and the remaining copies should be provided to the non-Federal sponsor. An electronic copy of the executed PCA or PCA amendment, with all appropriate signatures, should be provided to the MSC and the appropriate HQUSACE RIT within 14 days after execution.

6. The other models for specifically authorized flood damage reduction projects and any Continuing Authority Program flood damage reduction projects and the accompanying implementation memos for each model shall be provided in separate correspondence as they are approved.

7. The districts and the MSC shall advise HQUSACE of any signing ceremonies requested by the non-Federal sponsor, and in particular whether the presence of the ASA(CW) is requested. A signing ceremony should not be scheduled until the PCA has been approved.

8. Although the new model agreement has been designed to provide the districts and non-Federal sponsors maximum flexibility in negotiating these agreements, there may be additional opportunities to improve upon the model, in particular where a provision is repeatedly requested or needed by non-Federal sponsors. The districts and MSC shall provide this information to HQUSACE so that consideration can be given to revising the model or providing pre-approved deviations.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

2 Encls
as

for DON T. RILEY
Major General, USA
Director of Civil Works

DISTRIBUTION:
COMMANDER, GREAT LAKES AND OHIO RIVER DIVISION
COMMANDER, MISSISSIPPI VALLEY DIVISION
COMMANDER, NORTH ATLANTIC DIVISION
COMMANDER, NORTHWESTERN DIVISION
COMMANDER, PACIFIC OCEAN DIVISION
COMMANDER, SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION
COMMANDER, SOUTH PACIFIC DIVISION
COMMANDER, SOUTHWESTERN DIVISION
CECW-LRD
CECW-MVD
CECW-NWD
CECW-SAD
CEMP-NAD
CEMP-POD
CEMP-SPD
CEMP-SWD

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY
CIVIL WORKS
108 ARMY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON DC 20310-0108

APR 19 2006

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR OF CIVIL WORKS

SUBJECT: Approval of Model Project Cooperation Agreement and Delegation of Approval and Execution Authority for Project Cooperation Agreements for Specifically Authorized Projects and Separable Elements Thereof with Costs Allocable to Structural Flood Damage Reduction, Ecosystem Restoration and Recreation

This responds to Mr. Waters' memorandum dated January 13, 2006, requesting approval of the subject model project cooperation agreement (PCA) and delegation of authority to execute and approve individual PCAs.

The model agreement is approved, subject to modifications that have been made and agreed to by our respective staffs. Approval and execution of individual PCAs and amendments thereto (within the parameters specified below) are delegated to the Headquarters of the Army Corps of Engineers. Re-delegation of these authorities to the Major Subordinate Commanders or the District Commanders is approved, in accordance with the draft implementation memorandum enclosed with Mr. Waters' memorandum of January 13, 2006.

Proposed deviations involving policy issues, unique circumstances, or controversial matters should be forwarded to Corps Headquarters for resolution. You should consult with my office in those cases where a policy has not yet been established, or if existing policy is unclear, or if there is any uncertainty about unique or controversial issues. The Major Subordinate Command may approve non-policy and non-substantive deviations. Corps Headquarters is encouraged to pre-approve selected variations from the model that, based on experience gained from reviewing other agreements, are deemed appropriate.

This office remains available to sign agreements and participate in signing ceremonies, depending upon the wishes of the non-Federal sponsor, or in those cases where this office so desires.

Claudia Tornblom
for John Paul Woodley, Jr.
Assistant Secretary of the Army
(Civil Works)

Printed on Recycled Paper

Encl 1