

*Presentation
to the*

CIVIL WORKS REVIEW BOARD

**WOOD RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM
RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT**

by

***Lieutenant Colonel Gregory C. Raimondo
Deputy Commander, St. Louis District
Mississippi Valley Division***

25 October 2005



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Presentation Overview



Study Authority and Background

Existing Project

Problems and Objectives

Alternatives Considered

Design Deficiency / Recommended Plan

Reconstruction / Recommended Plan

Cost

ITR, Agency, Public, and HQ Comments

Systems and Watershed Context

Environmental Operating Principles

Sponsor's Support

MSC Briefing

Policy Review Assessment

Lessons Learned



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Study Authority



Resolution of the Committee on Transportation and
Infrastructure, U.S. House of Representatives, May 7, 1997:

“ ...the Secretary of the Army is requested to review the report of the Chief of Engineers on the Mississippi River between Coon Rapids Dam, Minnesota, and the mouth of the Ohio River,... to determine whether modifications of the recommendations contained therein are advisable at this time, for the purpose of reconstructing the facilities of the Wood River Drainage and Levee District along the Mississippi River in Madison County, to return the levee and pump stations and other appurtenant features to their original degree of protection.”

Original Project Authorization – Flood Control Act of 28 June 1938, to
provide flood protection to urban, agricultural and industrial areas.



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Wood River Levee System




**PROJECT
LEVELS**

The non-Federal
sponsor is Wood
River Drainage
and Levee District



US Army Corps of Engineers®

Study Area



One Corps Serving the Armed Forces and the Nation



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Protected Area



One Corps Serving the Armed Forces and the Nation



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Protected Urban/Industrial Area



— One Corps Serving the Armed Forces and the Nation —



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Existing Project



Wood River Levee System Features:

- 163 relief wells
- 26 closure structures (road and rail)
- 7 pump stations
- 41 gravity drains

Many project features have reached or are nearing the end of their design life



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Problems and Objectives



Problem –

Potential for levee system failure will increase without design deficiency correction and comprehensive reconstruction

Objective –

Determine work needed to prevent flood damages and flood related costs by restoring operational functionality of the levee system



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Alternatives Considered



- **Three basic courses of action for the system components**
 - **No Action**
 - **Refurbish**
 - **Replace**



US Army Corps
of Engineers®



Design Deficiency

Reconstruction



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Wood River Levee System Relief Wells




One Corps Serving the Armed Forces and the Nation



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

No Relief Well Inoperable Pump Station






US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Relief Well



RETURN TO RIVER



— One Corps Serving the Armed Forces and the Nation —



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Design Deficiency



- **Relief Wells**
 - **Inadequate Number of Wells**
 - **Poor Function of Existing Wells**

- **Recommended Plan**
 - **Replacement of 163 existing relief wells**
 - **Construction of 60 new relief wells**



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Reconstruction




EC 11-2-183 (31 March 2002) states:

“Older projects that are properly operated and maintained by non-Federal sponsors but are no longer performing satisfactorily primarily due to their advanced age may be considered for reconstruction. The proposed work will insure that the project continues to deliver the full benefits intended by Congress at the time of authorization; will not expand or change the authorized scope, function, or purpose of the project, and is not operation and maintenance typically associated with project or corrective work required due to improper maintenance on the part of the non-Federal sponsor.”



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Closure Structures



— One Corps Serving the Armed Forces and the Nation —



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Pump Stations



Electrical switchgear




Pump



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Pump Station Gates



— One Corps Serving the Armed Forces and the Nation —



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Gravity Drains




— One Corps Serving the Armed Forces and the Nation —



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Wood River Levee System 72" Pipe Failure, January 2005



— One Corps Serving the Armed Forces and the Nation —



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Recommended Plan Reconstruction



■ Pump Stations

- Electrical equipment replacement
- Pump reconstruction / replacement



- Miscellaneous structural work
- Sluice Gates – reconstruction/ replacement



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Recommended Plan Reconstruction



- **Gravity Drainage Corrugated Metal Pipes -**
 - 24” or less diameter – replace
 - 30” or larger diameter – line
- **Gates**
 - Replace gate stems, guides, and hoists
 - Refurbish sluice gate slides and frames, and flap gates
- **Gatewell structures**
 - Miscellaneous structural repairs





US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Recommended Plan Reconstruction



■ Concrete Structures

- Removal / replacement of closure structure monoliths and floodwalls
- Gate sill replacement
- Gate seal replacement
- Approach apron removal and replacement



■ Gates

- 11 gates to be refurbished
- 5 gates to be replaced
- 3 gates to be removed and openings backfilled



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Recommended Plan Cost



Limited Reevaluation Report	\$ 1,800,000
Correct Design Deficiency	\$ 10,900,000
Reconstruction	\$ 16,400,000
Total Project	\$ 29,100,000 ^{\1}
^{\1} Federal share 65% (\$18,900,000) and non-Federal share 35% (\$10,200,000)	



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Independent Technical Review (ITR)

(conducted by Vicksburg District)



- **MCACES did not include cost escalation through construction**
 - **MCACES was revised; comment resolved**

- **Rehab vs replacement of pumps was questioned**
 - **Rehab has been previously successful; comment resolved**

- **Need to make sure report information tracks from one part to another**
 - **Report was revised to be consistent**



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Agency and Public Comments



- **Public meeting was held during the public review of the draft report**
- **Project was strongly supported**
- **Project is supported by local industry and local government**
- **Project has congressional support**



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

HQ Policy Review



- **Alternative Formulation Briefing was held in April 2004 hosted by St. Louis**
- **Local stakeholders, HQ, MVD, and MVS attended AFB**
- **The team visited the project site**



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Comments

HQ Policy Review



- **Without Project Conditions with Respect to Failure Modes**
- **Sponsor OMRR&R**
- **Design Deficiency for Relief Wells**
- **Reconstruction of Existing Project Features**
- **Incremental Justification for Project Features**
- **Cost Sharing – WRDA 86 (original vs. amended)**



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Systems/Watershed Context



- **Loss of Wood River Levee System would create environmental catastrophe**
- **A reliable Wood River System also protects East St. Louis Levee District to the south**
- **Project supports both navigation and flood damage reduction**



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Environmental Operating Principles




- **The Environmental Assessment for the project contained a Finding Of No Significant Impact**
- **Project supports environmental responsibility**
- **Replacement of existing relief wells avoids environmental issues associated with well restoration**



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Sponsor and Local Stakeholder Support



Mr. Leroy Emerick

President, Wood River Drainage and Levee District
Board of Commissioners

*Presentation
to the*

CIVIL WORKS REVIEW BOARD

**WOOD RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM
RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT**

by
Colonel Al Bleakley
Deputy Commander
Mississippi Valley Division

25 October 2005



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Rationale for MVD Support



- **Concur with MVS Commander's findings and recommendations**
- **Report complies with all applicable policies and laws in place at this time**
- **Anticipate a favorable response to the draft Chief's Report**
- **Plan supported by sponsor and congressional delegation**



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

Certification of Legal and Policy Compliance



- **Legal certification by MVS Counsel on 27 July 2005**
- **Technical and policy compliance:**
 - **MVK performed ITR**
 - **All ITR comments resolved**



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

MVD Quality Assurance Activities



- MVD reviewed ITR comments/responses to ensure appropriate resolution
- Active participation by vertical team
- Worked with MVS to successfully resolve HQ review comments
- MVS certified that project is technically, legally, and policy compliant
- MVD concurs that project is technically, legally, and policy compliant



US Army Corps
of Engineers®

MVD

Recommendation



- **Approve Final Feasibility Report**
- **Release report for State and Agency Review**
- **Complete Chief's Report NLT 31 Dec 05**

Civil Works Review Board

Significant Policy Review Concerns

Wood River Levee System Reconstruction Project Madison County, Illinois

Steve Cone

Office of Water Project Review

Policy and Policy Compliance Division

Washington, DC – October 25, 2005



Wood River Levee System Reconstruction Project

Areas of Policy Concern:

- Without Project Condition – Failure Modes
- Sponsor OMRR&R
- Design Deficiency
- Reconstruction Measures
- Incremental Justification
- Cost-sharing



Wood River Levee System Reconstruction Project

Without Project Condition – Failure Modes

Concern: Initial AFB materials did not account for the different modes and probabilities of failure of the various project components.

Reason: Guidance for CW projects requires accurate without project condition and proper characterization of risks and consequences of various project features in order to determine damages, benefits, and overall justification.

Resolution: District revised engineering and economic analysis to appropriately measure risks and consequences of failure due to under-seepage, closure structure problems, gravity drains, and internal drainage.

Resolution Impact: Concern resolved



Wood River Levee System Reconstruction

Sponsor OMRR&R

Concern: AFB materials were not sufficiently clear as to whether sponsor had performed all OMRR&R responsibilities or if some of the recommended measures should be local responsibility.

Reason: Corps policy requires that sponsor perform all OMRR&R. The Corps does not recommend actions that are result of deficient local OMRR&R.

Resolution: Draft and final report clarified local performance of OMRR&R. Determination was made during review that the design deficiency corrective measures and the reconstruction measures proposed are appropriate for Corps recommendation.

Resolution Impact: Concern resolved



Wood River Levee System Reconstruction Project

Design Deficiency

Concern: The AFB materials and Corps guidance were not clear as to whether under-seepage problems associated with inadequate relief wells could be considered a design or construction deficiency or part of reconstruction subject to new authority.

Reason: Corps can undertake only design/construction deficiencies corrections under original project authorization. Reconstruction requires new authority.

Resolution: After considerable debate and coordination, a determination was made that the under-seepage problems associated with clogged and inadequate number of relief wells was a design/construction deficiency.

Resolution Impact: Concern resolved



Wood River Levee System Reconstruction Project

Reconstruction Measures

Concern: AFB materials and Corps guidance were unclear as to whether the problems with gate closures, gravity drains, and pump stations should be reconstruction measures, design deficiencies.

Reason: Corps must determine if measures are design deficiencies under existing authority or reconstruction works warranting new authorization.

Resolution: Report improvements and internal discussions and debates resulted in review team reaching consensus that gates, drains, and pumps should be reconstruction measures. Also resulted in the development of new policy guidance.

Resolution Impact: Concern resolved



Wood River Levee System Reconstruction Project

Incremental Justification

Concern: The AFB materials did not present incremental justification for the major project features. - e.g. relief wells, closure structures, gravity drains, pump stations

Reason: Corps policy requires that incremental justification be demonstrated for all project elements/features.

Resolution: Draft and final reports revised to present incremental justification for all major project features.

Resolution Impact: Concern resolved



Wood River Levee System Reconstruction Project

Cost-Sharing

Concern: The AFB materials did not clearly allocate costs to design deficiency and reconstruction measures. Design deficiency measures were incorrectly recommended for 75/25 cost sharing.

Reason: All project measures whether design deficiency or reconstruction should be recommended with WRDA 86, as amended cost sharing (e.g. 65/35).

Resolution: Draft and final report allocated costs between design deficiency corrections and reconstruction measures. 65/35 cost sharing recommended for all measures.

Resolution Impact: Concern resolved



Wood River Levee System Reconstruction Project

Additional Information

Reconstruction Authorizations in House and Senate WRDA Bills:
Authorize Reconstruction of Levees in Illinois and Missouri,
including Wood River without requirement for economic
justification and with original project cost sharing.

New Policy Guidance Memorandum dated August 16, 2005:

Memorandum provides policy guidance on reconstruction of Corps structural flood damage reduction projects for which non-Federal interests are responsible for operation, maintenance, repair, rehabilitation and replacement (OMRR&R).



Wood River Levee System Reconstruction Project

HQUSACE Policy Compliance Review Team RECOMMENDATION

Release the report and EA for S&A Review

